Research Article

Monotonicity, Convexity, and Inequalities Involving the Agard Distortion Function

**Yu-Ming Chu,¹ Miao-Kun Wang,¹
 Yue-Ping Jiang,² and Song-Liang Qiu³**

¹ Department of Mathematics, Huzhou Teachers College, Huzhou 313000, China

² College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China

³ Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou 310018, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yu-Ming Chu, chuyuming2005@yahoo.com.cn

Received 22 June 2011; Accepted 10 November 2011

Academic Editor: Martin D. Schechter

Copyright © 2011 Yu-Ming Chu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We present some monotonicity, convexity, and inequalities for the Agard distortion function $\eta_K(t)$ and improve some well-known results.

1. Introduction

For $r \in [0, 1]$, Langedre's complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind [1] are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{K}(r) = \int_0^{\pi/2} (1 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{-1/2} d\theta, \\ \mathcal{K}'(r) &= \mathcal{K}(r'), \quad \mathcal{K}(0) = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \mathcal{K}(1) = \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= \mathcal{E}(r) = \int_0^{\pi/2} (1 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{1/2} d\theta, \\ \mathcal{E}'(r) &= \mathcal{E}(r'), \quad \mathcal{E}(0) = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \mathcal{E}(1) = 1, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

respectively. Here and in what follows, we set $r' = \sqrt{1 - r^2}$.

Let $\mu(r)$ be the modulus of the plan Grötzsch ring $\mathbb{B}^2 \setminus [0, r]$ for $r \in (0, 1)$, where $\mathbb{B}^2$ is the unit disk. Then, it follows from [2] that

$$\mu(r) = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\mathcal{K}'(r)}{\mathcal{K}(r)}. \quad (1.3)$$

For $K \in (0, \infty)$, the Hersch-Pfluger distortion function $\varphi_K(r)$ is defined as

$$\varphi_K(r) = \mu^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu(r)}{K}\right) \quad \text{for } r \in (0, 1), \quad \varphi_K(0) = \varphi_K(1) - 1 = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

while the Agard distortion function $\eta_K(t)$ and the linear distortion function $\lambda(K)$ are defined by

$$\eta_K(t) = \left[\frac{\varphi_K(r)}{\varphi_{1/K}(r')} \right], \quad \lambda(K) = \eta_K(1), \quad r = \sqrt{\frac{t}{1+t}} \quad t \in (0, \infty), \quad (1.5)$$

respectively.

It is well known that the functions $\eta_K(t)$ and $\lambda(K)$ play a very important role in quasiconformal theory, quasiregular theory, and some other related fields [3–8]. For example, Martin [8] found that the sharp upper bound in Schottky's theorem can be expressed by $\eta_K(t)$, and in [9–15] the authors established a number of remarkable properties for the Agard distortion function $\eta_K(t)$.

In [14], the authors proved that

$$e^{\pi(K-1)} < \lambda(K) < e^{a(K-1)}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$e^{b(K-1/K)} < \lambda(K) < e^{\pi(K-1/K)} \quad (1.7)$$

for all $K \in (1, \infty)$, where $a = (4/\pi)\mathcal{K}(1/\sqrt{2})^2 = 4.3768\dots$, $b = a/2$. Recently, Anderson et al. [15] established that

$$\lambda(K) < e^{(\pi+b/K)(K-1)}, \quad (1.8)$$

$$e^{[\log 2 + (a-\log 2)/K](K-1)} < \lambda(K) < e^{[\pi + (a-\log 2)/K](K-1)} \quad (1.9)$$

for all $K \in (1, \infty)$, where a and b are defined as in inequalities (1.6) and (1.7), respectively.

The purpose of this paper is to present the new monotonicity, convexity, and inequalities for the Agard distortion function $\eta_K(t)$ and improve inequalities (1.6)–(1.9).

Our main results are Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $K \in (1, \infty)$, $a = (4/\pi)\mathcal{K}(1/\sqrt{2})^2 = 4.3768\dots$, $b = a/2$, and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the following statements are true.*

- (1) $f(K) = \lambda(K)/K^c$ is strictly increasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(1, \infty)$ for $c \leq a$; if $c > a$, then there exists $K_0 \in (1, \infty)$, such that f is strictly decreasing in $(1, K_0)$ and strictly increasing

in (K_0, ∞) . In particular, the inequality $\lambda(K) \geq K^c$ holds for all $K \in (1, \infty)$ with the best possible constant $c = a$.

- (2) $g(K) = [\log \eta_K(t) - \log t] / (K - 1)$ is convex in $(1, \infty)$ for fixed $t \in (0, \infty)$.
- (3) If $t \geq 1$ and $r = \sqrt{t/(1+t)}$, then $h(K) = [\log \eta_K(t) - \log t] / (K - 1/K)$ is strictly increasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(2\mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r)/\pi, \pi\mathcal{K}(r)/\mathcal{K}'(r))$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $t \in (0, \infty)$, $r = \sqrt{t/(1+t)}$, $a = (4/\pi)\mathcal{K}(1/\sqrt{2})^2$, $b = a/2$, $A(r) = \pi^2/(2\mu(r))$, $B(r) = 8\mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r)^2[\mathcal{E}(r) - r'^2\mathcal{K}(r)]/\pi^2$, and $F_c(K) = K[(\log \eta_K(t) - \log t)/(K - 1) - c]$. Then, the following statements are true.

- (1) $F_c(K)$ is strictly decreasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(-\infty, 4\mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r)/\pi - c)$ for $c > A(r)$. If $c = A(r)$, then $F_c(K)$ is strictly decreasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(A(r) - 4\log 2 - \log t, 4\mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r)/\pi - A(r))$. Moreover,

$$te^{(K-1)(A(r)+((A(r)-4\log 2-\log t)/K))} < \eta_K(t) < te^{(K-1)(A(r)+((4\mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r)/\pi-A(r))/K))} \quad (1.10)$$

for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $K \in (1, \infty)$. In particular, if $t = 1$, then (1.10) becomes

$$e^{(K-1)(\pi+((\pi-4\log 2)/K))} < \lambda(K) < e^{(K-1)(\pi+((a-\pi)/K))}. \quad (1.11)$$

- (2) If $c \leq B(r)$, then $F_c(K)$ is strictly increasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(4\mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r)/\pi - c, \infty)$. Moreover,

$$\eta_K(t) > te^{(K-1)(B(r)+((4\mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r)/\pi-B(r))/K))} \quad (1.12)$$

for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $K \in (1, \infty)$. In particular, if $t = 1$, then (1.12) becomes

$$\lambda(K) > e^{(K-1)(b+(b/K))} = e^{b(K-(1/K))}. \quad (1.13)$$

- (3) If $B(r) < c < A(r)$, then there exists $K_1 \in (1, \infty)$ such that $F_c(K)$ is strictly decreasing on $(1, K_1)$ and strictly increasing on (K_1, ∞) .

- (4) $F_c(K)$ is convex in $(1, \infty)$.

2. Lemmas

In order to prove our main results, we need several formulas and lemmas, which we present in this section.

The following formulas were presented in [14, Appendix E, pp. 474-475]. Let $t \in (0, \infty)$, $K \in (0, \infty)$, $r = \sqrt{t/(1+t)} \in (0, 1)$, and $s = \varphi_K(r)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\mathcal{K}(r)}{dr} &= \frac{\mathcal{E}(r) - r'^2 \mathcal{K}(r)}{rr'^2}, & \frac{d\mathcal{E}(r)}{dr} &= \frac{\mathcal{E}(r) - \mathcal{K}(r)}{r}, \\
 \mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{E}'(r) + \mathcal{K}'(r)\mathcal{E}(r) - \mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r) &= \frac{\pi}{2}, \\
 \frac{d\mu(r)}{dr} &= -\frac{\pi^2}{4rr'^2 \mathcal{K}(r)^2}, \\
 \frac{\partial s}{\partial r} &= \frac{ss'^2 \mathcal{K}(s)\mathcal{K}'(s)}{rr'^2 \mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r)}, & \frac{\partial s}{\partial K} &= \frac{2}{\pi K} ss'^2 \mathcal{K}(s)\mathcal{K}'(s), \\
 \varphi_K(r)^2 + \varphi_{1/K}(r')^2 &= 1, \\
 \eta_K(t) &= \left(\frac{s}{s'}\right)^2, & \frac{\partial \eta_K(t)}{\partial K} &= \frac{4}{\pi K} \eta_K(t) \mathcal{K}(s)\mathcal{K}'(s) = \frac{2}{\mu(r)} \mathcal{K}'(s)^2 \eta_K(t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.1 (see [14, Theorem 1.25]). For $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) , and let $g'(x) \neq 0$ on (a, b) . If $f'(x)/g'(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) on (a, b) , then so are

$$\frac{f(x) - f(a)}{g(x) - g(a)}, \quad \frac{f(x) - f(b)}{g(x) - g(b)}. \tag{2.2}$$

If $f'(x)/g'(x)$ is strictly monotone, then the monotonicity in the conclusion is also strict.

The following lemma can be found in [14, Theorem 3.21(1) and (7), Lemma 3.32(1) and Theorem 5.13(2)].

Lemma 2.2. (1) $[\mathcal{E}(r) - r'^2 \mathcal{K}(r)]/r^2$ is strictly increasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(\pi/4, 1)$;
 (2) $r'^c \mathcal{K}(r)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(0, \pi/2)$ if and only if $c \geq 1/2$;
 (3) $\mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0, \sqrt{2}/2)$ and strictly increasing in $(\sqrt{2}/2, 1)$;
 (4) $\mu(r) + \log r$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(0, \log 4)$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $r \in [1/\sqrt{2}, 1)$, $K \in (1, \infty)$, and $s = \varphi_K(r)$. Then, $G(K) \equiv \{\pi/[2\mathcal{K}(s)]\}^2 + [\mu(r)/\mathcal{K}'(s)]^2$ is strictly decreasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(\mathcal{K}'(r)^2/\mathcal{K}(r)^2, \pi^2/[2\mathcal{K}(r)^2])$.

Proof. Clearly $G(1^+) = \pi^2/(2\mathcal{K}(r)^2)$, $G(+\infty) = \mathcal{K}'(r)^2/\mathcal{K}(r)^2$. Differentiating $G(K)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 G'(K) &= \frac{4}{\pi K} \mu(r)^2 \mathcal{K}(s)\mathcal{K}'(s)^{-2} [\mathcal{E}'(s) - s^2 \mathcal{K}'(s)] \\
 &\quad - \frac{\pi}{K} \mathcal{K}(s)^{-2} \mathcal{K}'(s) [\mathcal{E}(s) - s'^2 \mathcal{K}(s)] \\
 &= \frac{4}{\pi K} \mathcal{K}(s)^{-2} \mathcal{K}'(s)^{-2} G_1(K),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $G_1(K) = [\mathcal{E}'(s) - s^2 \mathcal{K}'(s)] \mathcal{K}(s)^3 \mu(r)^2 - \pi^2 [\mathcal{E}(s) - s'^2 \mathcal{K}(s)] \mathcal{K}'(s)^3/4$.

From Lemma 2.2(1) and (2), we clearly see that $G_1(K)$ is strictly decreasing in $(1, \infty)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{K \rightarrow 1^+} G_1(K) &= \left[\mathcal{E}'(r) - r^2 \mathcal{K}'(r) \right] \mathcal{K}(r)^3 \mu(r)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left[\mathcal{E}(r) - r'^2 \mathcal{K}(r) \right] \mathcal{K}'(r)^3 \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{4} \mathcal{K}'(r)^2 G_2(r), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $G_2(r) = \mathcal{K}(r)[\mathcal{E}'(r) - r^2 \mathcal{K}'(r)] - \mathcal{K}'(r)[\mathcal{E}(r) - r'^2 \mathcal{K}(r)]$ is also strictly decreasing in $(0, 1)$. Thus, $G_2(r) \leq G_2(\sqrt{2}/2) = 0$ for $r \in [1/\sqrt{2}, 1)$, and $G_1(K) < G_1(1^+) \leq 0$ for $K \in (1, \infty)$.

Therefore, the monotonicity of $G(K)$ follows from (2.3) and (2.4) together with the fact that $G_1(K) < 0$ for $K \in (1, \infty)$. $\square$

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For part (1), clearly $f(1^+) = 1$. Let $r = \mu^{-1}[\pi/(2K)]$ for $K \in (1, \infty)$, then $\lambda(K) = (r/r')^2$, $r \in (1/\sqrt{2}, 1)$,

$$\frac{dr}{dK} = \frac{2}{\pi} r r'^2 \mathcal{K}'(r)^2, \quad \frac{d\lambda(K)}{dK} = \frac{4}{\pi} \lambda(K) \mathcal{K}'(r)^2, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow +\infty} f(K) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{r^2 \mathcal{K}'(r)}{r'^2 \mathcal{K}(r)} = +\infty. \quad (3.2)$$

Making use of (3.1), we have

$$\frac{K^{c+1} f'(K)}{\lambda(K)} = f_1(K) \equiv \frac{4}{\pi} \mathcal{K}'(r) \mathcal{K}(r) - c. \quad (3.3)$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2(3) that $f_1(K)$ is strictly increasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(a - c, \infty)$. Then, from (3.2) and (3.3), we know that f is strictly increasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(1, \infty)$ for $c \leq a$. If $c > a$, then there exists $K_0 \in (1, \infty)$ such that f is strictly decreasing in $(1, K_0)$ and strictly increasing in (K_0, ∞) . Moreover, the inequality $\lambda(K) \geq K^c$ holds for all $K \in (1, \infty)$ with the best possible constant $c = a$.

For part (2), denote $r = \sqrt{t/(1+t)}$. Differentiating $g(K)$, we get

$$g'(K) = \frac{2\mathcal{K}'(s)^2(K-1)/\mu(r) - (\log \eta_K(t) - \log t)}{(K-1)^2}. \quad (3.4)$$

Let $g_1(K) = 2\mathcal{K}'(s)^2(K-1)/\mu(r) - (\log \eta_K(t) - \log t)$ and $g_2(K) = (K-1)^2$, then $g_1(1) = g_2(1) = 0$, $g'(K) = g_1(K)/g_2(K)$ and

$$\frac{g_1'(K)}{g_2'(K)} = g_3(K) \equiv -\frac{2}{\mu(r)^2} \left[\mathcal{E}'(s) - s^2 \mathcal{K}'(s) \right] \mathcal{K}'(s)^3. \quad (3.5)$$

Clearly, $g_3(K)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$. Then, (3.5) and Lemma 2.1 lead to the conclusion that $g'(K)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$. Therefore, $g(K)$ is convex in $(1, \infty)$.

For part (3), if $t \geq 1$, then $r \geq \sqrt{2}/2$. Let $h_1(K) = \log \eta_K(t) - \log t$ and $h_2(K) = K - 1/K$, then $h_1(1) = h_2(1) = 0$, $h(K) = h_1(K)/h_2(K)$, and

$$\frac{h_1'(K)}{h_2'(K)} = \frac{2\mathcal{K}'(s)^2/\mu(r)}{1 + K^{-2}} = \frac{2\mu(r)}{G(K)}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $G(K)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.2.

Therefore, $h(K)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$ for $t \geq 1$ follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 together with (3.6). Moreover, making use of l'Hôpital's rule, we have $h(1^+) = 2\mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r)/\pi$, $h(\infty) = \pi\mathcal{K}(r)/\mathcal{K}'(r)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Differentiating $F_c(K)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} F_c'(K) &= \frac{\log \eta_K(t) - \log t}{K - 1} - c + K \left[\frac{\left(2\mathcal{K}'(s)^2(K - 1)\right)/\mu(r) - (\log \eta_K(t) - \log t)}{(K - 1)^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{2\mathcal{K}'(s)^2 K(K - 1)/\mu(r) - (\log \eta_K(t) - \log t)}{(K - 1)^2} - c. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Let

$$H(K) = \frac{\left[2\mathcal{K}'(s)^2 K(K - 1)/\mu(r)\right] - [\log \eta_K(t) - \log t]}{(K - 1)^2}, \quad (3.8)$$

$H_1(K) = 2\mathcal{K}'(s)^2 K(K - 1)/\mu(r) - (\log \eta_K(t) - \log t)$, and $H_2(K) = (K - 1)^2$, then $H(K) = H_1(K)/H_2(K)$, $H_1(1) = H_2(1) = 0$, and

$$\frac{H_1'(K)}{H_2'(K)} = H_3(K) \equiv \frac{4}{\pi\mu(r)} \left[\mathcal{E}(s) - s'^2 \mathcal{K}(s) \right] \mathcal{K}'(s)^3. \quad (3.9)$$

Clearly, that $H_3(K)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$ follows from Lemma 2.2(1) and (2). Then, from (3.8) and (3.9) together with Lemma 2.1, we know that $H(K)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$. Moreover, l'Hôpital's rule leads to

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow 1} H(K) = B(r), \quad \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} H(K) = A(r). \quad (3.10)$$

For part (1), if $c > A(r)$, then from (3.7) and (3.8), we know that $F_c'(K) < 0$ for $K \in (1, \infty)$ and $F_c(K)$ is strictly decreasing in $(1, \infty)$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow 1} F_c(K) = [4\mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r)/\pi] - c, \quad \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} F_c(K) = -\infty. \quad (3.11)$$

If $c = A(r)$, then $F_c(K)$ is also strictly decreasing in $(1, \infty)$ and $F_c(1^+) = [4\mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r)/\pi] - A(r)$, and from Lemma 2.2(4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} F_c(K) &= \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K}{K-1} [-2\log(s') - 2\mu(s') + A(r) - \log t] \\ &= A(r) - 4\log 2 - \log t.\end{aligned}\quad (3.12)$$

Therefore, inequalities (1.10) and (1.11) follows from (3.12) and the monotonicity of $F_c(K)$ when $c = A(r)$.

For part (2), if $c \leq B(r)$, then that $F_c(K)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$ follows from (3.7) and (3.8). Note that

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow 1} F_c(K) = [4\mathcal{K}(r)\mathcal{K}'(r)/\pi] - c, \quad \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} F_c(K) = +\infty. \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore, inequalities (1.12) and (1.13) follow from (3.13) and the monotonicity of $F_c(K)$ when $c = B(r)$.

For part (3), if $B(r) < c < A(r)$, then from (3.7) and (3.8) together with the monotonicity of $H(K)$ we clearly see that there exists $K_1 \in (1, \infty)$, such that $F'_c(K) < 0$ for $K \in (1, K_1)$ and $F'_c(K) > 0$ for $K \in (K_1, \infty)$. Hence, $F_c(K)$ is strictly decreasing in $(1, K_1)$ and strictly increasing in (K_1, ∞) .

Part (4) follows from (3.7) and (3.8) together with the monotonicity of $H(K)$. $\square$

Taking $t = 1$ in Theorem 1.2, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Let a and b be defined as in Theorem 1.2, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f_c(K) = K\{\lceil \log \lambda(K) \rceil / (K - 1) - c\}$. Then,*

- (1) *if $c > \pi$, then $f_c(K)$ is strictly decreasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(-\infty, a - c)$; if $c = \pi$, then $f_c(K)$ is strictly decreasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(\pi - 4\log 2, a - \pi)$;*
- (2) *if $c \leq b$, then $f_c(K)$ is strictly increasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(a - c, \infty)$;*
- (3) *if $b < c < \pi$, then there exists $K_2 \in (1, \infty)$, such that $f_c(K)$ is strictly decreasing in $(1, K_2)$ and strictly increasing in (K_2, ∞) ;*
- (4) *$f_c(K)$ is convex in $(1, \infty)$.*

Inequalities (1.11) and (1.13) lead to the following corollary, which improve inequalities (1.6)–(1.9).

Corollary 3.2. *Let a and b be defined as in Theorem 1.2, then the following inequality*

$$\max\left\{e^{(K-1)(\pi+((\pi-4\log 2)/K))}, e^{b(K-(1/K))}\right\} < \lambda(K) < e^{(K-1)(\pi+((a-\pi)/K))}. \quad (3.14)$$

holds for all $K \in (1, \infty)$.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants nos. 11071059, 11071069, and 11171307) and the Innovation Team Foundation of the Department of Education of Zhejiang Province (Grant no. T200924).

References

- [1] F. Bowman, *Introduction to Elliptic Functions with Applications*, Dover Publications, New York, NY, USA, 1961.
- [2] O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, *Quasiconformal Mappings in the Plane*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1973.
- [3] B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Notebooks. Part III*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1991.
- [4] A. Beurling and L. Ahlfors, "The boundary correspondence under quasiconformal mappings," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 96, pp. 125–142, 1956.
- [5] S. B. Agard and F. W. Gehring, "Angles and quasiconformal mappings," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society. Third Series*, vol. 14a, pp. 1–21, 1965.
- [6] S. L. Qiu, "Some distortion properties of K -quasiconformal mappings and an improved estimate of Mori's constant," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 492–504, 1992 (Chinese).
- [7] G. D. Anderson and M. K. Vamanamurthy, "Some properties of quasiconformal distortion functions," *New Zealand Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 1995.
- [8] G. J. Martin, "The distortion theorem for quasiconformal mappings, Schottky's theorem and holomorphic motions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 125, no. 4, pp. 1095–1103, 1997.
- [9] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy, and M. Vuorinen, "Distortion functions for plane quasiconformal mappings," *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 1988.
- [10] S.-L. Qiu and M. Vuorinen, "Quasimultiplicative properties for the η -distortion function," *Complex Variables. Theory and Application*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 77–96, 1996.
- [11] G. D. Anderson, S. Qiu, and M. K. Vuorinen, "Bounds for the Hersch-Pfluger and Belinskii distortion functions," in *Computational Methods and Function Theory 1997 (Nicosia)*, vol. 11 of *Ser. Approx. Decompos.*, pp. 9–22, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 1999.
- [12] S. Qiu, "Agard's η -distortion function and Schottky's theorem," *Science in China. Series A*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 1997.
- [13] G. D. Anderson, S.-L. Qiu, M. K. Vamanamurthy, and M. Vuorinen, "Generalized elliptic integrals and modular equations," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 192, no. 1, pp. 1–37, 2000.
- [14] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy, and M. K. Vuorinen, *Conformal Invariants, Inequalities, and Quasiconformal Maps*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1997.
- [15] G. D. Anderson, S.-L. Qiu, and M. Vuorinen, "Modular equations and distortion functions," *Ramanujan Journal*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 147–169, 2009.