

## Research Article

# Positive Solutions of Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations with Integral Boundary Value Conditions

**J. Caballero, I. Cabrera, and K. Sadarangani**

*Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Campus de Tafira Baja, 35017 Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain*

Correspondence should be addressed to K. Sadarangani, ksadaran@dma.ulpgc.es

Received 28 February 2012; Accepted 7 September 2012

Academic Editor: Yong H. Wu

Copyright © 2012 J. Caballero et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We investigate the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions of the following nonlinear fractional differential equation with integral boundary value conditions  ${}^C D^\alpha u(t) + f(t, u(t)) = 0$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ ,  $u(0) = u'(0) = 0$ ,  $u(1) = \lambda \int_0^1 u(s) ds$ , where  $2 < \alpha < 3$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 2$  and  ${}^C D^\alpha$  is the Caputo fractional derivative and  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous function. Our analysis relies on a fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets. Moreover, we compare our results with others that appear in the literature.

## 1. Introduction

Many papers and books on fractional differential equations have appeared recently (see, for example, [1–22]). The interest of the study of fractional-order differential equations lies in the fact that fractional-order models are more accurate than integer-order models, that is, there are more degrees of freedom in the fractional-order models.

Integral boundary conditions have various applications in chemical engineering, thermo-elasticity, population dynamics, and so forth. For a detailed description of the integral boundary conditions, we refer the reader to some recent papers (see, [23–30]) and the references therein. Recently, Cabada and Wang in [31] investigated the existence of positive solutions for the fractional boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D^\alpha u(t) + f(t, u(t)) &= 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = u'(0) &= 0, & u(1) = \lambda \int_0^1 u(s) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $2 < \alpha < 3$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 2$ ,  ${}^C D^\alpha$  is the Caputo fractional derivative and  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous function.

The main tool used in [31] is the well-known Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem and the question of uniqueness of solutions is not treated. We consider our paper as an alternative answer to the results of [31]. The fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets is the main tool used in our results. The existence of fixed points in partially ordered sets has been considered recently (see, e.g. [32–34]).

## 2. Preliminaries and Basic Facts

For the convenience of the reader, we present in this section some notations and lemmas which will be used in the proofs of our results. For details, see [35, 36].

*Definition 2.1.* The Caputo derivative of fractional order  $\alpha > 0$  of a function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$${}^C D^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f^{(n)}(s) ds, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $n = [\alpha] + 1$  and  $[\alpha]$  denotes the integer part of  $\alpha$ .

*Definition 2.2.* The Riemman-Liouville fractional integral of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$I^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad (2.2)$$

provided that such integral exists.

*Definition 2.3.* The Riemman-Liouville fractional derivative of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$D^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $n = [\alpha] + 1$ , provided that the right hand side is pointwise defined on  $(0, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$  then the fractional differential equation*

$${}^C D^\alpha u(t) = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

has

$$u(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{[\alpha]} \frac{u^{(j)}(0)}{j!} t^j, \quad (2.5)$$

as unique solution.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$  then*

$$I^\alpha \text{ }^C D^\alpha u(t) = u(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{[\alpha]} \frac{u^{(j)}(0)}{j!} t^j. \tag{2.6}$$

In [31], the authors obtain the Green’s function associated with Problem (1.1). More precisely, they proved the following result.

**Theorem 2.6** (see [31]). *Let  $2 < \alpha < 3$  and  $\lambda \neq 2$ . Suppose that  $f \in C[0, 1]$  then the unique solution of*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ }^C D^\alpha u(t) + f(t) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = u''(0) &= 0, \quad u(1) = \lambda \int_0^1 u(s) ds \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

is  $u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s) ds$ , where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{(2 - \lambda)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \begin{cases} 2t(1 - s)^{\alpha-1}(\alpha - \lambda + \lambda s) - (2 - \lambda)\alpha(t - s)^{\alpha-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ 2t(1 - s)^{\alpha-1}(\alpha - \lambda + \lambda s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

In [31], the following lemma is proved.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $G(t, s)$  be the Green’s function associated to Problem (2.7), which has the expression (2.8). Then:*

- (i)  $G(t, s) > 0$  for all  $t, s \in (0, 1)$  if and only if  $\lambda \in [0, 2)$ .
- (ii)  $G(t, s) \leq 2 / (2 - \lambda)\Gamma(\alpha)$  for all  $t, s \in [0, 1]$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 2)$ .
- (iii) For  $2 < \alpha < 3$  and  $\lambda \neq 2$   $G(t, s)$  is a continuous function on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

In the sequel, we present the fixed point theorem which we will be use later. This result appears in [32].

**Theorem 2.8** (see [32]). *Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric  $d$  in  $X$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a nondecreasing mapping such that there exists an element  $x_0 \in X$  with  $x_0 \leq Tx_0$ .*

*Suppose that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y)) \quad \text{for } x, y \in X \text{ with } x \geq y, \tag{2.9}$$

where  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous and nondecreasing function,  $\varphi$  is positive on  $(0, \infty)$  and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ .

*Assume that either  $T$  is continuous or  $X$  is such that*

$$\text{if } \{x_n\} \text{ is a nondecreasing sequence in } X \text{ such that } x_n \rightarrow x \text{ then } x_n \leq x, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.10}$$

Besides, if

$$\text{for each } x, y \in X \text{ there exists } z \in X \text{ which is comparable to } x \text{ and } y, \quad (2.11)$$

then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

*Remark 2.9.* Notice that the condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$  is superfluous in Theorem 2 of [32].

*Remark 2.10.* If we look at the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [32] we notice that the condition about the continuity of  $\varphi$  is redundant.

In fact, from  $x_0 \leq Tx_0$  the authors generate the sequence  $\{T^n x_0\}$  and if we put  $x_{n+1} = T^n x_0$  it is proved that

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n-1}) - \varphi(d(x_n, x_{n-1})) \leq d(x_n, x_{n-1}). \quad (2.12)$$

Consequently,  $\{d(x_{n+1}, x_n)\}$  is a nonnegative decreasing sequence of real numbers and hence  $\{d(x_{n+1}, x_n)\}$  possesses a limit  $\rho^*$ .

Taking limit when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the last inequality, we obtain

$$\rho^* \leq \rho^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(x_n, x_{n-1})) \leq \rho^*, \quad (2.13)$$

and, therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(x_n, x_{n-1})) = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Suppose that  $\rho^* > 0$ , since  $\{d(x_{n+1}, x_n)\}$  is a decreasing sequence  $\rho^* \leq d(x_{n+1}, x_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and, since  $\varphi$  is a nondecreasing function, we have  $\varphi(\rho^*) \leq \varphi(d(x_{n+1}, x_n))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

As  $\varphi$  is positive on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $0 < \varphi(\rho^*) \leq \varphi(d(x_{n+1}, x_n))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and, therefore,

$$0 < \varphi(\rho^*) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(x_{n+1}, x_n)). \quad (2.15)$$

This contradicts to (2.14). Consequently,  $\rho^* = 0$ .

The rest of the proof works well and the condition about the continuity of  $\varphi$  is not used.

**Theorem 2.11.** *Theorem 2.8 is valid without the assumption  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous.*

In our considerations, we will work in the Banach space  $C[0, 1] = \{x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{continuous}\}$  with the classical metric given by  $d(x, y) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \{|x(t) - y(t)|\}$ .

Notice that this space can be equipped with a partial order given by

$$x, y \in C[0, 1], \quad x \leq y \iff x(t) \leq y(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1]. \quad (2.16)$$

In [33] it is proved that  $(C[0, 1], \leq)$  satisfies condition (2.10) of Theorem 2.8. Moreover, for  $x, y \in C[0, 1]$ , as the function  $\max\{x, y\} \in C[0, 1]$ ,  $(C[0, 1], \leq)$  satisfies condition (2.11) of Theorem 2.8.

### 3. Main Result

Our starting point in this section is to present the class of function  $\mathcal{A}$  which we will use later. By  $\mathcal{A}$  we will denote the class of those functions  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which are nondecreasing and such that if  $\varphi(x) = x - \phi(x)$  then the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a)  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\varphi$  is nondecreasing.
- (b)  $\varphi(0) = 0$ .
- (c)  $\varphi$  is positive on  $(0, \infty)$ .

Examples of such functions are  $\phi(x) = \arctan x$  and  $\phi(x) = x/(1+x)$ . In what follows, we formulate our main result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that  $2 < \alpha < 3$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 2$  and  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies the following assumptions:*

- (i)  *$f$  is continuous.*
- (ii)  *$f(t, x)$  is nondecreasing respect to the second argument for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ .*
- (iii) *There exist  $0 < \rho \leq (2 - \lambda)\Gamma(\alpha)/2$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$  such that*

$$f(t, y) - f(t, x) \leq \rho\phi(y - x), \tag{3.1}$$

for  $x, y \in [0, \infty)$  with  $y \geq x$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Then Problem (1.1) has a unique nonnegative solution.

*Proof.* Consider the cone

$$P = \{u \in C[0, 1] : u \geq 0\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Notice that, as  $P$  is a closed set of  $C[0, 1]$ ,  $P$  is a complete metric with the distance given by  $d(x, y) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \{|x(t) - y(t)|\}$  satisfying conditions (2.10) and (2.11) of Theorem 2.8.

Now, for  $u \in P$  we define the operator  $T$  by

$$(Tu)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u(s))ds, \tag{3.3}$$

where  $G(t, s)$  is the Green's function defined by (2.8).

By Lemma 2.7 and assumption (i), it is clear that  $T$  applies  $P$  into itself.

In the sequel, we will check that the assumptions of Theorem 2.11 are satisfied.

Firstly, the operator  $T$  is nondecreasing. In fact, by (ii), for  $u \geq v$  we have

$$(Tu)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u(s))ds \geq \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, v(s))ds = (Tv)(t). \tag{3.4}$$

Besides, for  $u \geq v$  and taking into account our assumptions, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Tu, Tv) &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \{|(Tu)(t) - (Tv)(t)|\} \\
 &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \{Tu(t) - Tv(t)\} \\
 &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left[ \int_0^1 G(t, s) (f(s, u(s)) - f(s, v(s))) ds \right] \\
 &\leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left[ \int_0^1 G(t, s) \rho \phi(u(s) - v(s)) ds \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Since  $\phi$  is nondecreasing and  $u \geq v$ , we have

$$\phi(u(s) - v(s)) \leq \phi(d(u, v)), \tag{3.6}$$

and, from the last inequality it follows

$$d(Tu, Tv) \leq \rho \phi(d(u, v)) \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds. \tag{3.7}$$

By Lemma 2.7(ii) and since  $\rho \leq (2 - \lambda)\Gamma(\alpha)/2$ , we can obtain

$$d(Tu, Tv) \leq \phi(d(u, v)) = d(u, v) - [d(u, v) - \phi(d(u, v))]. \tag{3.8}$$

Since  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\psi(x) = x - \phi(x)$  satisfies the properties (a), (b), and (c) mentioned at the beginning of this section, for  $u \geq v$  we have

$$d(Tu, Tv) \leq d(u, v) - \psi(d(u, v)). \tag{3.9}$$

Finally, taking into account that the zero function,  $0 \leq T0$  (where  $T0 = Tu$  with  $u(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ), by Theorem 2.11, Problem (1.1) has a unique nonnegative solution.  $\square$

Now, we present a sufficient condition for the existence and uniqueness of a positive solution for Problem (1.1) (positive solution means a solution satisfying  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (0, 1)$ ).

**Theorem 3.2.** *Under assumptions of Theorem 3.1 and adding the following condition*

$$f(t_0, 0) \neq 0 \quad \text{for certain } t_0 \in [0, 1], \tag{3.10}$$

*we obtain existence and uniqueness of a positive solution for Problem (1.1).*

*Proof.* Consider the nonnegative solution  $x(t)$  for Problem (1.1) whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 3.1.

Notice that  $x(t)$  satisfies

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s,x(s))ds. \tag{3.11}$$

In what follows, we will prove that  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ .

In fact, in contrary case we can find  $0 < t^* < 1$  such that  $x(t^*) = 0$ , and therefore,

$$x(t^*) = \int_0^1 G(t^*,s)f(s,x(s))ds = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Since  $x \geq 0$  and  $G(t,s) \geq 0$  (see, Lemma 2.7) and, taking into account the nondecreasing character with respect to the second argument of the function  $f$ , from the last inequality it follows

$$0 = x(t^*) = \int_0^1 G(t^*,s)f(s,x(s))ds \geq \int_0^1 G(t^*,s)f(s,0)ds \geq 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Thus,  $\int_0^1 G(t^*,s)f(s,0)ds = 0$ . This fact and the nonnegative character of the functions  $G(t^*,s)$  and  $f(s,0)$  give us

$$G(t^*,s)f(s,0) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } (s). \tag{3.14}$$

Since  $G(t^*,s) > 0$  for  $s \in (0,1)$ , we get

$$f(s,0) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } (s). \tag{3.15}$$

By (3.10), since  $f(t_0,0) \neq 0$  for certain  $t_0 \in [0,1]$ , this means that  $f(t_0,0) > 0$ , and taking into account the continuity of  $f$ , we can find a set  $\Omega \subset [0,1]$  with  $t_0 \in \Omega$  and  $\mu(\Omega) > 0$  (where  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure) such that  $f(t,0) > 0$  for any  $t \in \Omega$ . This contradicts to (3.15).

Therefore,  $x(t) > 0$ . □

*Remark 3.3.* In Theorem 3.2, the condition  $f(t_0,0) \neq 0$  for certain  $t_0 \in [0,1]$  seems to be a strong condition in order to obtain a positive solution for Problem (1.1), but when the solution is unique we will see that the condition is very adjusted one. In fact, under the assumption that Problem (1.1) has a unique nonnegative solution  $x(t)$  we have that

$$f(t,0) = 0 \quad \text{for any } t \in [0,1] \text{ iff } x(t) \equiv 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Indeed, if  $f(t,0) = 0$  for any  $t \in [0,1]$  then it is easily seen that the zero function is a solution for Problem (1.1) and the uniqueness of solution gives us  $x(t) \equiv 0$ .

The reverse implication is obvious.

*Remark 3.4.* Notice that assumptions in Theorem 3.1 are invariant by nonnegative and continuous perturbations. More precisely, if  $f(t,0) = 0$  for any  $t \in [0,1]$  and  $f$  satisfies

conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 3.1 then  $g(t, x) = f(t, x) + a(t)$ , where  $a : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  continuous and  $a \neq 0$  satisfies assumptions of Theorem 3.2 and, consequently, the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D^\alpha u(t) + g(t, u(t)) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, 2 < \alpha < 3 \\ u(0) = u''(0) &= 0, \quad u(1) = \lambda \int_0^1 u(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

with  $0 < \lambda < 2$ , has a unique positive solution.

*Example 3.5.* Now, consider the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D^\alpha u(t) + t + \frac{\gamma u(t)}{1 + u(t)} &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, 2 < \alpha < 3, \gamma > 0 \\ u(0) = u''(0) &= 0, \quad u(1) = \frac{\sin 1}{1 - \cos 1} \int_0^1 u(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

In this case,  $f(t, u) = t + (\gamma u / (1 + u))$ . Obviously,  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $f$  is continuous. Since  $\partial f / \partial u = \gamma / (1 + u)^2 > 0$ ,  $f$  satisfies condition (ii) of Theorem 3.1.

Moreover, for  $u \geq v$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, u) - f(t, v) &= t + \frac{\gamma u}{1 + u} - t - \frac{\gamma v}{1 + v} \\ &= \gamma \left( \frac{u}{1 + u} - \frac{v}{1 + v} \right) = \gamma \left( \frac{u - v}{(1 + u)(1 + v)} \right) \\ &\leq \gamma \frac{u - v}{1 + u - v} = \gamma \phi(u - v), \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where  $\phi(x) = x / (1 + x)$ . It is easily seen that  $\phi$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this case,  $\lambda = \sin 1 / (1 - \cos 1) \approx 1,83048$ , consequently  $0 < \lambda < 2$ , and for  $0 < \gamma \leq (2 - \lambda) / 2 \cdot \Gamma(\alpha) \approx 0,08476\Gamma(\alpha)$ , Problem (3.18) satisfies (iii) of Theorem 3.1. Since  $f(t, 0) = t \neq 0$  for  $t \neq 0$ , Theorem 3.2 says that Problem (3.18) has a unique positive solution for  $0 < \gamma \leq 0,08476\Gamma(\alpha)$ , where  $2 < \alpha < 3$ .

#### 4. Some Remarks and Examples

In [31] the authors consider Problem (1.1).

In order to present the main result of [31] we need the following notation. Denote by  $f_0$  and  $f_\infty$  the following limits:

$$f_0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \left\{ \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \frac{f(t, u)}{u} \right\}, \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \frac{f(t, u)}{u} \right\}. \quad (4.1)$$

The main result of [31] is the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that one of the two following conditions is fulfilled:

- (i) (sublinear case)  $f_0 = \infty$  and  $f_\infty = 0$ ,
- (ii) (superlinear case)  $f_0 = 0$ ,  $f_\infty = \infty$  and there exist  $\mu > 0$  and  $\theta > 0$  for which  $f(t, \rho x) \geq \mu \rho^\theta f(t, x)$  for all  $\rho \in (0, 1]$ .

Then, Problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution that belongs to

$$P = \left\{ u \in C[0, 1] : \frac{u}{id_{[0,1]}} \in C[0, 1], u(t) \geq \frac{t\lambda(\alpha - 2)}{2\alpha} \|u\| \forall t \in [0, 1] \right\}, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $id_{[0,1]}$  is the identity mapping on  $[0, 1]$ .

Notice that in Example 3.5,  $f(t, u) = t + (\gamma u / (1 + u))$  and in this case we have

$$\min_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{f(t, u)}{u} = \frac{\gamma}{1 + u}. \quad (4.3)$$

Consequently,

$$f_0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \left\{ \min_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{f(t, u)}{u} \right\} = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\gamma}{1 + u} = \gamma. \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore, for  $0 < \gamma \leq 0,08476\Gamma(\alpha)$  Example 3.5 cannot be treated by Theorem 4.1.

*Example 4.2.* Consider the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D^\alpha u(t) + c + \gamma \arctan u(t) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, c > 0, \gamma > 0, 2 < \alpha < 3, \\ u(0) = u''(0) &= 0, \quad u(1) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

In this case,  $0 < \lambda = (1/2) < 2$ ,  $f(t, u) = c + \gamma \arctan u$ .

It is easily proved that  $f$  satisfies condition (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1. In [37], it is proved that if  $u \geq v \geq 0$

$$\arctan u - \arctan v \leq \arctan(u - v). \quad (4.6)$$

Using this fact, for  $u \geq v \geq 0$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$f(t, u) - f(t, v) = \gamma(\arctan u - \arctan v) \leq \gamma \arctan(u - v) = \gamma\phi(u - v), \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\phi(x) = \arctan x$ . It is easily proved that  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Then, for  $0 < \gamma \leq (3/4)\Gamma(\alpha)$ , the function  $f$  satisfies condition (iii) of Theorem 3.1. Moreover, since  $f(t, 0) = c > 0$ , Theorem 3.2 gives us the existence and uniqueness of a positive solution for Problem (4.5) when  $0 < \gamma \leq (3/4)\Gamma(\alpha)$ .

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{f(t,u)}{u} &= \min_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{f(t,u)}{u} = \frac{c + \gamma \arctan u}{u}, \\ f_0 &= \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{c + \gamma \arctan u}{u} = \infty, \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c + \gamma \arctan u}{u} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Consequently, this example corresponds to the sublinear case of Theorem 4.1. Therefore, Theorem 4.1 gives us the existence of at least one positive solution for  $0 < \gamma \leq (3/4)\Gamma(\alpha)$ . The question of uniqueness of solutions is not treated in [31].

## References

- [1] Z. Bai and H. Lü, "Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 311, no. 2, pp. 495–505, 2005.
- [2] J. Caballero, J. Harjani, and K. Sadarangani, "Existence and uniqueness of positive and nondecreasing solutions for a class of singular fractional boundary value problems," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2009, Article ID 421310, 10 pages, 2009.
- [3] L. M. B. C. Campos, "On the solution of some simple fractional differential equations," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 481–496, 1990.
- [4] D. Delbosco and L. Rodino, "Existence and uniqueness for a nonlinear fractional differential equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 204, no. 2, pp. 609–625, 1996.
- [5] A. A. Kilbas and J. J. Trujillo, "Differential equations of fractional order: methods, results and problems. I," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 78, no. 1-2, pp. 153–192, 2001.
- [6] J. Jiang, L. Liu, and Y. H. Wu, "Multiple positive solutions of singular fractional differential system involving Stieltjes integral conditions," *Electronic Journal Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, vol. 43, pp. 1–18, 2012.
- [7] C. F. Li, X. N. Luo, and Y. Zhou, "Existence of positive solutions of the boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1363–1375, 2010.
- [8] Y. Ling and S. Ding, "A class of analytic functions defined by fractional derivation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 186, no. 2, pp. 504–513, 1994.
- [9] X. Liu and M. Jia, "Multiple solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems for fractional differential equations on the half-line," *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, no. 56, pp. 1–14, 2011.
- [10] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- [11] T. Qiu and Z. Bai, "Existence of positive solutions for singular fractional differential equations," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2008, no. 146, pp. 1–9, 2008.
- [12] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science, Yverdon, Switzerland, 1993.
- [13] Y. Wang, L. Liu, and Y. Wu, "Positive solutions of a fractional boundary value problem with changing sign nonlinearity," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 149849, 12 pages, 2012.
- [14] Y. Wang, L. Liu, and Y. Wu, "Positive solutions for a nonlocal fractional differential equation," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications A*, vol. 74, no. 11, pp. 3599–3605, 2011.
- [15] J. Wu, X. Zhang, L. Liu, and Y. H. Wu, "Positive solutions of higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equations with changing-sign measure," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2012, article 71, 2012.
- [16] S. Zhang, "The existence of a positive solution for a nonlinear fractional differential equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 252, no. 2, pp. 804–812, 2000.
- [17] S. Zhang, "Positive solutions to singular boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equation," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1300–1309, 2010.
- [18] X. Zhang, L. Liu, and Y. Wu, "The eigenvalue problem for a singular higher order fractional differential equation involving fractional derivatives," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 17, pp. 8526–8536, 2012.

- [19] X. Zhang, L. Liu, B. Wiwatanapataphee, and Y. Wu, "Positive solutions of eigenvalue problems for a class of fractional differential equations with derivatives," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 512127, 16 pages, 2012.
- [20] X. Zhang and Y. Han, "Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for higher order nonlocal fractional differential equations," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 555–560, 2012.
- [21] X. Zhang, L. Liu, and Y. Wu, "Multiple positive solutions of a singular fractional differential equation with negatively perturbed term," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 55, no. 3-4, pp. 1263–1274, 2012.
- [22] Y. Zhou and F. Jiao, "Nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional evolution equations," *Nonlinear Analysis. Real World Applications*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 4465–4475, 2010.
- [23] M. Feng, X. Liu, and H. Feng, "The existence of positive solution to a nonlinear fractional differential equation with integral boundary conditions," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2011, Article ID 546038, 14 pages, 2011.
- [24] M. Feng, X. Zhang, and W. Ge, "New existence results for higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equation with integral boundary conditions," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2011, Article ID 720702, 20 pages, 2011.
- [25] J. Jiang, L. Liu, and Y. Wu, "Second-order nonlinear singular Sturm-Liouville problems with integral boundary conditions," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 215, no. 4, pp. 1573–1582, 2009.
- [26] X. Zhang, M. Feng, and W. Ge, "Existence result of second-order differential equations with integral boundary conditions at resonance," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 353, no. 1, pp. 311–319, 2009.
- [27] T. Jankowski, "Positive solutions for fourth-order differential equations with deviating arguments and integral boundary conditions," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications A*, vol. 73, no. 5, pp. 1289–1299, 2010.
- [28] M. Benchohra, J. J. Nieto, and A. Ouahab, "Second-order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2011, Article ID 260309, 9 pages, 2011.
- [29] H. A. H. Salem, "Fractional order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions involving Pettis integral," *Acta Mathematica Scientia B*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 661–672, 2011.
- [30] B. Ahmad, A. Alsaedi, and B. S. Alghamdi, "Analytic approximation of solutions of the forced Duffing equation with integral boundary conditions," *Nonlinear Analysis. Real World Applications*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 1727–1740, 2008.
- [31] A. Cabada and G. Wang, "Positive solutions of nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary value conditions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 389, no. 1, pp. 403–411, 2012.
- [32] J. Harjani and K. Sadarangani, "Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications A*, vol. 71, no. 7-8, pp. 3403–3410, 2009.
- [33] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, "Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations," *Order*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 223–239, 2005.
- [34] D. O'Regan and A. Petruşel, "Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 341, no. 2, pp. 1241–1252, 2008.
- [35] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 204 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
- [36] G. A. Anastassiou, *Fractional Differentiation Inequalities*, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2009.
- [37] J. Caballero, J. Harjani, and K. Sadarangani, "Uniqueness of positive solutions for a class of fourth-order boundary value problems," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2011, Article ID 543035, 13 pages, 2011.



# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

