Research Article

n -Bazilevic Functions

F. M. Al-Oboudi

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, College of Science, Princess Nora bint Abdul Rahman University,
 P.O. Box 4384, Riyadh 11491, Saudi Arabia*

Correspondence should be addressed to F. M. Al-Oboudi, fmaloboudi@pnu.edu.sa

Received 31 December 2011; Revised 2 March 2012; Accepted 3 March 2012

Academic Editor: Khalida Inayat Noor

Copyright © 2012 F. M. Al-Oboudi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The aim of this paper is to define and study a class of Bazilevic functions using the generalized Salagean operator. Some properties of this class are investigated: inclusion relation, some convolution properties, coefficient bounds, and other interesting results.

1. Introduction

Let H be the set of analytic functions in the open unit disc $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let A be the set of functions $f \in H$, with $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, and let A_0 be the set of functions $f \in H$, with $f(0) = 1$. Let S be the class of functions $f \in A$, which are univalent in E . Denote by $ST(\gamma)(CV(\gamma))(K(\gamma))$, $\gamma < 1$, the class of starlike (convex) (close-to-convex) functions of order γ . Note that when $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, then $ST(\gamma)(CV(\gamma))(K(\gamma)) \subset S$, let $ST(0)(CV(0))(K(0)) \equiv ST(CV)(K)$. A function $F \in A_0$, where $F \neq 0$ belongs to the Kaplan class $K(\alpha, \beta)$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$, [1] if

$$-\alpha\pi + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta)(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \leq \arg F(re^{i\theta_2}) - \arg F(re^{i\theta_1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta)(\theta_2 - \theta_1) + \beta\pi, \quad (1.1)$$

for $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_1 + 2\pi$ and $0 < r < 1$.

The Dual of $\nu \subset A_0$ is defined as

$$\nu^* = \{g \in A_0 : f * g \neq 0 \text{ in } \Delta, f \in \nu\}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $*$ denotes Hadamard product (convolution).

A set $v \subset A_0$ is called a test set for $u \subset A$ (denoted by $v \rightsquigarrow u$) if $v \subset u \subset v^{**}$. Note that if $v \rightsquigarrow u$, then $v^* \subset u^*$.

Denote by P the class of functions $p \in A_0$, such that $\operatorname{Re} p > 0$, in E , and let $P^\alpha = \{f^\alpha \in A_0, f \in P\}$. Note that for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$,

$$K(\alpha, \beta) = P^\alpha \cdot K(0, \beta - \alpha), \quad (1.3)$$

and that $f \in ST(\alpha)$, $\alpha < 1$, if, and only if, $f/z \in K(0, 2 - 2\alpha)$.

For $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$, define the class $T(\alpha, \beta)$ as

$$T(\alpha, \beta) = \left\{ \frac{(1+xz)^{[\alpha]}(1+yz)^{\alpha-[\alpha]}}{(1+uz)^\beta} : |x| = |y| = |u| = 1 \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Note that $T(\alpha, \beta) \rightsquigarrow K(\alpha, \beta)$, $\alpha \geq 1$, $\beta \geq 1$.

A function $f \in A_0$, is called prestarlike of order α , $\alpha \leq 1$, (denoted by $R(\alpha)$) if and only if $f/z \in T(0, 3 - 2\alpha)^*$, or $f \in R(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} f * \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-2\alpha}} &\in ST(\alpha) \quad \alpha < 1, \\ \operatorname{Re} \frac{f}{z} &> \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in E \quad \alpha = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Let $B(\alpha, \beta)$, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, denote the class of Bazilevic functions in E , introduced by Bazilevic [2], $f \in B(\alpha, \beta)$, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if there exists a $g \in ST(1 - \alpha)$, such that for $z \in E$

$$\frac{zf'}{g} \left(\frac{f}{z} \right)^{\alpha+i\beta-1} \in P, \quad (1.6)$$

where $(f/z)^{\alpha+i\beta} = 1$ at $z = 0$. We denote $B(\alpha, 0)$ by $B(\alpha)$. Bazilevic shows that $B(\alpha, \beta) \subset S$, for $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that

$$CV \subset ST \subset K \subset B(\alpha, \beta). \quad (1.7)$$

For further information, see [3–7].

The generalized Salagean operator $D_\lambda^n f : A \longrightarrow A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\lambda \geq 0$, is defined [8] as

$$D_\lambda^n f(z) = h_{n,\lambda}(z) * f(z), \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$h_{n,\lambda}(z) = \underbrace{h_\lambda(z) * \cdots * h_\lambda(z)}_{(n\text{-times})}, \quad (1.9)$$

$$h_\lambda(z) = \frac{z - (1-\lambda)z^2}{(1-z)^2} = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]z^k.$$

The Operator $D_\lambda^n f$ satisfies the following identity:

$$D_\lambda^{n+1} f(z) = (1-\lambda)D_\lambda^n f(z) + \lambda z(D_\lambda^n f(z))'. \quad (1.10)$$

Not that for $\lambda = 1$, $D_1^n f(z) \equiv D^n f(z)$, Salagean differential operator [9].

Let

$$k_{n,\lambda}(z) = h_{n,\lambda}^{(-1)}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{[1 + \lambda(k-1)]^n}, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad (1.11)$$

we mean by $f^{(-1)}$, the solution of $f * f^{(-1)} = z/(1-z)$. Hence

$$k_{n,\lambda}(z) = \underbrace{k_\lambda(z) * \cdots * k_\lambda(z)}_{(n\text{-times})}, \quad (1.12)$$

where $k_\lambda(z) = h_\lambda^{(-1)}(z)$. It is known [10] that $k_\lambda/z \in T(1, 1+1/\lambda)^*$, hence $k_\lambda \in R(1-1/\lambda)$, and that

$$k_\lambda(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^{(1/\lambda)+1}} = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{1/\lambda}}. \quad (1.13)$$

The class $ST^n(\gamma)$, $\gamma \leq 1$ is defined as $f \in ST^n(\gamma)$ if and only if $D_\lambda^n f \in ST(\gamma)$. For $\lambda = 1$, we get Salagean-type n -starlike functions [9].

The operator $D_\lambda^n f$ is now called “Al-Oboudi Operator” and has been extensively studied lately, [5, 11, 12].

In this paper we define and study a class of Bazilevic functions using the operator $D_\lambda^n f$ and study some of its basic properties, inclusion relation, convolution properties coefficient bounds, and other interesting results.

2. Definition and Preliminaries

In this section, the class of n -Bazilevic functions B_λ^n , $\lambda > 0$, where $B_\lambda^0 \equiv B(1/\lambda, 0)$, is defined and some preliminary lemmas are given.

2.1. Definition

Let $f \in A$. Then $f \in B_\lambda^n$, $n \in N_0$, $\lambda > 0$, if and only if there exists a $g \in ST^n(1 - 1/\lambda)$, such that

$$\frac{D_\lambda^{n+1} z(f/z)^{1/\lambda}}{D_\lambda^n g(z)} \in P, \quad z \in E, \quad (2.1)$$

where the power $(f/z)^{1/\lambda}$ is chosen as a principal one.

Denote by $B_{1\lambda}^n$ the class of functions $f \in B_\lambda^n$, where $g \equiv z$.

Using (1.10), we see that $D_\lambda^{n+1} z(f/z)^{1/\lambda} = f'(z) D_\lambda^n z(f/z)^{1/\lambda-1}$, from which the following special cases are clear.

2.1.1. Special Cases

- (1) For $n = 0$, $B_{1/\alpha}^0 \equiv B(\alpha)$, $\alpha > 0$, Bazilevic [2].
- (2) For $\lambda = 1$, $B_1^n \equiv K_n(0)$, Salagean type close to convex functions, Blezu [13].
- (3) For $n = 0$, $\lambda = 1$, $B_1^0 \equiv K$, Kaplan [14].
- (4) For $\lambda = 1$, $B_{11}^n \equiv B_{n+1}(1)$, Abdul Halim [15] and $B_{11}^n \equiv T_{n+1}^1(0)$, Opoola [16].

2.2. Lemmas

The following lemmas are needed to prove our results.

Lemma 2.1 (see [10]). Let $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$ and $f \in T(\alpha, \beta)^*$, $g \in K(\alpha - 1, \beta - 1)$. Then for $F \in A$

$$\frac{(\varphi * g F)}{(\varphi * g)}(E) \subset \overline{CO} (F(E)). \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.2. If $D_\lambda^{n+1} f \in ST(1 - 1/\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, then $D_\lambda^n f \in ST(1 - 1/\lambda)$.

Proof. Since $D_\lambda^n f = k_\lambda * D_\lambda^{n+1} f$, we will show that $(k_\lambda * D_\lambda^{n+1} f) \in ST(1 - 1/\lambda)$. Now $D_\lambda^{n+1} f \in ST(1 - 1/\lambda) \subset ST(1/2 - 1/2\lambda)$, implies

$$\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{1/\lambda+1}} \right)^{(-1)} * D_\lambda^{n+1} f(z) \in R\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\lambda}\right) \subset R\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}\right). \quad (2.3)$$

Hence

$$\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{1/\lambda}} \right) \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{1/\lambda+1}} \right)^{(-1)} * D_\lambda^{n+1} f(z) \in ST\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}\right) \subset ST\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right). \quad (2.4)$$

From (1.13), we get the required result. $\square$

Lemma 2.3 (see [1]). Let $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$ and $f \in T(\alpha, \beta)^*$, $g \in K(\alpha, \beta)$. Then $f * g \in K(\alpha, \beta)$.

For $X \subset A$, let $r_c(X)$ denote the largest positive number so that every $f \in X$ is convex in $|z| < r_c(X)$. The following result is due to Al-Amiri [17].

Lemma 2.4. One has

$$r_c(h_\lambda) = r_c = \frac{1}{1 + |c| + \sqrt{1 + |c| + |c|^2}}, \quad c = 2\lambda - 1, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq 1. \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.5 (see [18]). Let $f, g \in H$, with $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ and $f'(0)g'(0) \neq 0$. Let $\varphi \in v^*$ in $|z| < r < 1$, where

$$v = \left\{ \frac{1+xz}{1+yz} g(z) : |x| = |y| = 1 \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Then for each $F \in H$,

$$\frac{(\varphi * Fg)}{(\varphi * g)}(|z| < r) \subset \overline{CO} (F(E)), \quad (2.7)$$

where $\overline{CO}$ stands for closed convex hull.

Remark 2.6. In [1], it was shown that condition (2.6) is satisfied for all z in E whenever φ is in CV and g is in ST.

Lemma 2.7 (see [10]). Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha - 1, \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq \beta - 1, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq \alpha - \gamma, \quad 0 \leq \nu \leq \beta - \delta, \quad (2.8)$$

and let $f \in T(\alpha, \beta)^*$, $g \in K(\gamma, \delta)$, $F \in K(\mu, \nu)$. Then

$$\frac{(f * gF)}{(f * g)} \in P^{\max\{\mu, \nu\}}. \quad (2.9)$$

From (1.12) and (1.13), we immediately have;

Lemma 2.8. One has

$$k_{n+1, \lambda} = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{(1/\lambda)-n}} * \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{(1/\lambda)+1}} \right)^{(-1)}, \quad (2.10)$$

Lemma 2.9 (see [10]). *Let $f \in K(\alpha, \beta)$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$. Then*

$$f \ll \frac{(1+z)^\alpha}{(1-z)^\beta}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\ll$ stands for coefficient majorization.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *One has*

$$B_\lambda^{n+1} \subset B_\lambda^n, \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let $f \in B_\lambda^{n+1}$. Then there exists $g \in ST^{n+1}(1 - 1/\lambda)$, such that

$$D_\lambda^{n+2} z \left(\frac{f}{z} \right)^{1/\lambda} = D_\lambda^{n+1} g(z) \cdot p(z), \quad p \in K(1, 1). \quad (3.2)$$

Hence

$$\left(\frac{f}{z} \right)^{1/\lambda} = \frac{k_{n+1, \lambda}}{z} * \frac{k_\lambda}{z} * \frac{D_\lambda^{n+1} g}{z} \cdot p, \quad p \in K(1, 1). \quad (3.3)$$

Since $D_\lambda^{n+1} g/z \in K(0, 2/\lambda)$, and $k_\lambda/z \in T(1, 1 + 1/\lambda)^*$, application of Lemma 2.3 gives

$$\frac{k_\lambda}{z} * \frac{D_\lambda^{n+1} g}{z} \cdot p = \left(\frac{k_\lambda}{z} * \frac{D_\lambda^{n+1} g}{z} \right) p_0, \quad p_0 \in K(1, 1) \quad (3.4)$$

hence

$$\left(\frac{f}{z} \right)^{1/\lambda} = \frac{k_{n+1, \lambda}}{z} * \frac{D_\lambda^n g}{z} p_0, \quad p_0 \in K(1, 1) \quad (3.5)$$

Using Lemma 2.2 we deduce that $f \in B_\lambda^n$.

As a consequence of (3.1) we immediately have the following.

Corollary 3.2. *One has*

$$B_\lambda^n \subset S. \quad (3.6)$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *If $f \in B_\lambda^n$, $n \in N_0$, $\lambda > 0$, then, for $z \in E$*

$$\left(\frac{f}{z}\right)^{1/\lambda} \in K\left(1, 1 + \frac{2}{\lambda}\right). \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. Since $f \in B_\lambda^n$, there exists a $g \in ST^n(1 - 1/\lambda)$ or $D_\lambda^n g/z \in K(0, 2/\lambda)$ such that

$$\left(\frac{f}{z}\right)^{1/\lambda} = \frac{k_{n+1,\lambda}}{z} * \frac{D_\lambda^n g}{z} \cdot p, \quad p \in K(1, 1), \quad (3.8)$$

$$= \frac{k_{n+1,\lambda}}{z} * F, \quad F \in K\left(1, 1 + \frac{2}{\lambda}\right), \quad (3.9)$$

using (1.3). From (3.6), we conclude that

$$\left(\frac{f}{z}\right)^{1/\lambda} = \frac{k_{n+1,\lambda}}{z} * F \neq 0, \quad 0 < |z| < 1, \quad (3.10)$$

which implies that

$$\frac{k_{n+1,\lambda}}{z} \in K\left(1, 1 + \frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^* \equiv T\left(1, 1 + \frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^*, \quad (3.11)$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 to (3.9), we get the result. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f \in B_\lambda^n$. Then*

$$\left(\frac{D_\lambda^{n+1} z (f/z)^{1/\lambda}}{z}\right)^\lambda \in K(\lambda, \lambda + 2). \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. From (2.1), we see that

$$D_\lambda^{n+1} z \left(\frac{f}{z}\right)^{1/\lambda} = D_\lambda^n g(z) \cdot p(z), \quad p \in K(1, 1). \quad (3.13)$$

Since $(D_\lambda^n g/z)^\lambda \in K(0,2)$, and $p(z)^\lambda \in K(\lambda, \lambda)$, then

$$\left(\frac{D_\lambda^{n+1} z(f/z)^{1/\lambda}}{z} \right)^\lambda = \left(\frac{D_\lambda^n g}{z} \right)^\lambda p(z)^\lambda \in K(\lambda, \lambda+2), \quad (3.14)$$

which is the required result. $\square$

In the following we prove the converse of Theorem 3.1, for $0 < \lambda \leq 1$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f \in B_\lambda^n$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. Then $f \in B_\lambda^{n+1}$ in $|z| < r_c$, where r_c is given by (2.5)*

Proof. $f \in B_\lambda^n$ implies (2.1), where $D_\lambda^n g \in ST(1 - 1/\lambda) \subset ST$.

Now

$$\frac{D_\lambda^{n+2} z(f/z)^{1/\lambda}}{D_\lambda^{n+1} g} = \frac{h_\lambda * D_\lambda^{n+1} z(f/z)^{1/\lambda}}{h_\lambda * D_\lambda^n g}. \quad (3.15)$$

Using Lemma 2.4, we see that $h_\lambda \in CV$ in $|z| < r_c$, for $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, where r_c is given by (2.5).

From Remark 2.6, we conclude

$$h_\lambda * \left\{ \frac{1+xz}{1+yz} D_\lambda^n g : |x| = |y| = 1 \right\} \neq 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Applying Lemma 2.5, we deduce

$$\left(\frac{h_\lambda * (D_\lambda^{n+1} z(f/z)^{1/\lambda} / D_\lambda^n g) D_\lambda^n g}{h_\lambda * D_\lambda^n g} \right) (|z| < r_c) \subset \overline{CO} \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{n+1} z(f/z)^{1/\lambda}}{D_\lambda^n g} \right) (E), \quad (3.17)$$

hence $D_\lambda^{n+2} z(f/z)^{1/\lambda} / D_\lambda^{n+1} g \in P$ in $|z| < r_c$, as required. $\square$

Corollary 3.3 can be improved for $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, as follows.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $f \in B_\lambda^n$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. Then*

$$\frac{f}{z} \in K(1,2). \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. We will use Ruscheweyh's method of proof [10]. $f \in B_\lambda^n$ implies (3.8), where $D_\lambda^{n+1} g/z \in K(0, 2/\lambda)$, $k_{n+1,\lambda}/z \in T(1, 1+2/\lambda)^*$.

Let $D_\lambda^n g/z = l \cdot m$, where $l = (D_\lambda^n g/z)^{(1+\lambda)/2}$ and $m = (D_\lambda^n g/z)^{(1-\lambda)/2}$.

Then $l \in K(0, 1/\lambda + 1)$, $m \in K(0, 1/\lambda - 1)$ and $m \cdot p = F \in K(1, 1/\lambda)$. This implies

$$\left(\frac{f}{z} \right)^{1/\lambda} = \frac{k_{n+1,\lambda}}{z} * lF. \quad (3.19)$$

Using Lemma 2.7, we get

$$\frac{(k_{n+1,\lambda}/z) * lF}{(k_{n+1,\lambda}/z) * l} = F_0 \in K\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}, \frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \quad 0 < \lambda \leq 1. \quad (3.20)$$

Hence

$$\left(\frac{f}{z}\right)^{1/\lambda} = \left(\frac{k_{n+1,\lambda}}{z} * l\right)F_0, \quad F_0 \in K\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}, \frac{1}{\lambda}\right). \quad (3.21)$$

To prove that $(f/z)^{1/\lambda} \in K(1/\lambda, 2/\lambda)$, we have to show that $((k_{n+1,\lambda}/z) * l) \in K(0, 1/\lambda)$, or equivalently $k_{n+1,\lambda} * z l \in ST(1 - 1/2\lambda)$.

Since $z l \in ST((\lambda - 1)/2\lambda)$, then from (1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{1/\lambda+1}}\right)^{(-1)} * z l &\in R\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{2\lambda}\right) \subset R\left(\frac{(n+2)\lambda-1}{2\lambda}\right), \\ \frac{z}{(1-z)^{1/\lambda-n}} * \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{1/\lambda+1}}\right)^{(-1)} * z l &\in ST\left(\frac{(n+2)\lambda-1}{2\lambda}\right) \subset ST\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

From Lemma 2.8, (1.13), and (3.22), we see that $((k_{n+1,\lambda}/z) * l) \in K(0, 1/\lambda)$. Using (3.21), we obtain $(f/z)^{1/\lambda} \in K(1/\lambda, 2/\lambda)$. From (1.1) we get the required result. $\square$

Remark 3.7. For $n = 0$, $\lambda = 1/\alpha$ Theorem 3.6 and other stronger results depending on α , are proved by Sheil-Small [7].

For the coefficient bounds of $f \in B_\lambda^n$, Theorem 3.6 is not strong enough to settle this problem for $0 < \lambda < 1$. In 1962, Zamorski [19] proved the Bieberbach conjecture for $f \in B(\alpha)$, when $\alpha = 1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots$, in the following we prove this result for $f \in B_\lambda^n$, using the extreme points of Kaplan class $K(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 3.8. For $f \in B_\lambda^n$, $\lambda = m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f \ll \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-mn}}. \quad (3.23)$$

Proof. From (3.9) and Lemma 2.9, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{f}{z}\right)^{1/\lambda} &\ll \frac{k_{n+1,\lambda}}{z} * \frac{1+z}{(1-z)^{1+2/\lambda}}, \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2/\lambda-n}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

using (2.10). Raising both sides of (3.24) to the m th power, where $\lambda = m \in \mathbb{N}$, we get the required result. $\square$

Remark 3.9. For $n = 0$, we get the result of Zamorski [19], and the result of Sheil-Small [7], from which we get the idea of proof.

References

- [1] T. Sheil-Small, "The Hadamard product and linear transformations of classes of analytic functions," *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, vol. 34, pp. 204–239, 1978.
- [2] I. E. Bazilevic, "On a case of integrability in quadratures of the Loewner-Kufarev equation," *Matematicheskii Sbornik*, vol. 37, pp. 471–476, 1955.
- [3] M. Arif, K. I. Noor, and M. Raza, "On a class of analytic functions related with generalized Bazilevic type functions," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 61, no. 9, pp. 2456–2462, 2011.
- [4] Y. C. Kim, "A note on growth theorem of Bazilevic functions," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 208, no. 2, pp. 542–546, 2009.
- [5] A. T. Oladipo, "On a new subfamilies of Bazilevic functions," *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, no. 29, pp. 165–185, 2012.
- [6] Q. Deng, "On the coefficients of Bazilevic functions and circularly symmetric functions," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 991–995, 2011.
- [7] T. Sheil-Small, "Some remarks on Bazilevic functions," *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, vol. 43, pp. 1–11, 1983.
- [8] F. M. Al-Oboudi, "On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, no. 25–28, pp. 1429–1436, 2004.
- [9] G. S. Salagean, "Subclasses of univalent functions," in *Complex Analysis, Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar, Part 1 (Bucharest, 1981)*, vol. 1013 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 362–372, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1983.
- [10] St. Ruscheweyh, *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*, vol. 83 of *Seminaire de Mathematiques Supérieures*, Presses de l'Université de Montreal, Montreal, Canada, 1982.
- [11] A. Cătaş, G. I. Oros, and G. Oros, "Differential subordinations associated with multiplier transformations," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2008, Article ID 845724, 11 pages, 2008.
- [12] M. Darus and I. Faisal, "A study on Becker's univalence criteria," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2011, Article ID 759175, 13 pages, 2011.
- [13] D. Blezu, "On the n -close-to-convex functions with respect to a convex set. I," *Mathematica Revue d'Analyse Numérique et de Théorie de l'Approximation*, vol. 28(51), no. 1, pp. 9–19, 1986.
- [14] W. Kaplan, "Close-to-convex schlicht functions," *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, vol. 1, pp. 169–185, 1952.
- [15] S. Abdul Halim, "On a class of analytic functions involving the Salagean differential operator," *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 51–58, 1992.
- [16] T. O. Opoola, "On a new subclass of univalent functions," *Mathematica*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 195–200, 1994.
- [17] H. S. Al-Amiri, "On the Hadamard products of schlicht functions and applications," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 173–177, 1985.
- [18] R. W. Barnard and C. Kellogg, "Applications of convolution operators to problems in univalent function theory," *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 81–94, 1980.
- [19] J. Zamorski, "On Bazilevic schlicht functions," *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, vol. 12, pp. 83–90, 1962.