Research Article

The Local Strong and Weak Solutions for a Generalized Pseudoparabolic Equation

Nan Li

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics,
Chengdu 610074, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Nan Li, linan@swufe.edu.cn

Received 7 February 2012; Accepted 21 March 2012

Academic Editor: Yonghong Wu

Copyright © 2012 Nan Li. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The Cauchy problem for a nonlinear generalized pseudoparabolic equation is investigated. The well-posedness of local strong solutions for the problem is established in the Sobolev space $C([0, T]; H^s(R)) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{s-1}(R))$ with $s > 3/2$, while the existence of local weak solutions is proved in the space $H^s(R)$ with $1 \leq s \leq 3/2$. Further, under certain assumptions of the nonlinear terms in the equation, it is shown that there exists a unique global strong solution to the problem in the space $C([0, \infty); H^s(R)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); H^{s-1}(R))$ with $s \geq 2$.

1. Introduction

Davis [1] investigated the pseudoparabolic equation

$$u_t(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(u_x) + \alpha u_{txx}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the constant $\alpha \geq 0$, the function $\varphi \in C^2(-\infty, \infty)$, $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi'(\xi) > 0$, and the subscripts x and t indicate partial derivatives. Equation (1.1) arises from the study of shearing flows of incompressible simple fluids. The quantity $\varphi(u_x) + \alpha u_{tx}$ is viewed as an approximation to the stress functional during such a flow. Much attention has been given to this approximation when the function φ is linear (see [2, 3]). The existence and uniqueness of the global weak solution of the initial value problem for (1.1) were established in [1].

Recently, Chen and Xue [4] investigated the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear generalized pseudoparabolic equation

$$u_t - \alpha u_{txx} - \lambda u_{xx} + \gamma u_x + f(u)_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(u_x) + g(u) - \alpha g(u)_{xx}, \quad x \in R, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $u(t, x)$ is an unknown function, $\alpha > 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$, γ is a real number, $f(s)$, $\varphi(s)$, and $g(s)$ denote given nonlinear functions. The well-posedness of global strong solution in a Sobolev space, the global classical solution and its asymptotic behavior are studied in [4] in which several key assumptions are imposed on the functions $\varphi(s)$ and $g(s)$. In fact, various dynamic properties for many special cases of (1.2) have been established in [5–7]. For example, when $\varphi(s) = g(s) = 0$, (1.2) becomes the generalized regularized long wave Burger equation.

Motivated by the works in [1, 4], we study the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \alpha u_{txx} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(u_x) + \beta u^{2m} u_{xx}, \quad x \in R, \quad t > 0, \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in R, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$, m is a nature number, $\varphi(s)$ is a given function, and $u_0(x)$ is a given initial value function. Here we should address that (1.2) does not include the first equation of problem (1.3) due to the term $\beta u^{2m} u_{xx}$. Letting $\beta = 0$, the first equation of problem (1.3) reduces to (1.1).

The objectives of this work are threefold. The first objective is to establish the local well-posedness of system (1.3) in the space $C([0, T]; H^s(R)) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{s-1}(R))$ with $s > 3/2$. We should address that the Sobolev index $s \geq 2$ is required to guarantee the local well-posedness of (1.1) and (1.2) in the works of Davis [1] and Chen and Xue [4]. The second aim is to study the existence of local weak solutions for system (1.3). The third aim is to discuss the well-posedness of the global strong solution for problem (1.3). Under the assumptions of the function $\varphi(s)$ and the initial value $u_0(x)$ similar to those presented in [1, 4], problem (1.3) is shown to have a unique global solution in the space $C([0, \infty); H^s(R)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); H^{s-1}(R))$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. The well-posedness of local strong solutions for problem (1.3) is investigated in Section 2, and the existence of local weak solutions is established in Section 3. Section 4 deals with the well-posedness of the global strong solution.

2. Local Well-Posedness

Let $L^p = L^p(R)$ ($1 \leq p < +\infty$) be the space of all measurable functions h such that $\|h\|_{L^p}^p = \int_R |h(t, x)|^p dx < \infty$. We define $L^\infty = L^\infty(R)$ with the standard norm $\|h\|_{L^\infty} = \inf_{m(e)=0} \sup_{x \in R \setminus e} |h(t, x)|$. For any real number s , $H^s = H^s(R)$ denotes the Sobolev space with the norm defined by

$$\|h\|_{H^s} = \left(\int_R (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\hat{h}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} < \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\hat{h}(t, \xi) = \int_R e^{-ix\xi} h(t, x) dx$.

For $T > 0$ and nonnegative number s , $C([0, T]; H^s(R))$ denotes the Frechet space of all continuous H^s -valued functions on $[0, T]$. We set $\Lambda = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{1/2}$. For simplicity, throughout this paper, we let c denote any positive constants.

The local well-posedness theorem is stated as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Provided that $s \geq 3/2$, $u_0 \in H^s(R)$, φ is a polynomial of order N with $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then problem (1.3) admits a unique local solution:*

$$u(t, x) \in C([0, T]; H^s(R)) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{s-1}(R)). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. In fact, the first equation of problem (1.3) is equivalent to the equation

$$u_t = \Lambda^{-2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(u_x) + \beta u^{2m} u_{xx} \right), \quad (2.3)$$

which leads to

$$u = u_0 + \int_0^t \Lambda^{-2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(u_x) + \beta u^{2m} u_{xx} \right) d\tau. \quad (2.4)$$

Suppose that both u and v are in the closed ball $B_{M_0}(0)$ of radius $M_0 > 1$ about the zero function in $C([0, T]; H^s(R))$ and A is the operator in the right-hand side of (2.4), for fixed $t \in [0, T]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t \Lambda^{-2} \left(\varphi(u_x)_x + \beta u^{2m} u_{xx} \right) dt - \int_0^t \Lambda^{-2} \left(\varphi(v_x)_x + \beta v^{2m} v_{xx} \right) dt \right\|_{H^s} \\ & \leq T \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\varphi(u_x) - \varphi(v_x)\|_{H^{s-1}} + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u^{2m} u_{xx} - v^{2m} v_{xx}\|_{H^{s-2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The algebraic property of $H^{s_0}(R)$ with $s_0 > 1/2$ (see [8–10]) and $s > 3/2$ derives that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_x^j - v_x^j\|_{H^{s-1}} &= \|(u_x - v_x) \left(u_x^{j-1} + u_x^{j-2} v_x + \cdots + u_x v_x^{j-2} + v_x^{j-1} \right)\|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\leq \|u_x - v_x\|_{H^{s-1}} \left\| \left(u_x^{j-1} + u_x^{j-2} v_x + \cdots + u_x v_x^{j-2} + v_x^{j-1} \right) \right\|_{H^{s-1}} \\ &\leq c \|u_x - v_x\|_{H^{s-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \|u_x\|_{H^{s-1}}^{j-1-i} \|v_x\|_{H^{s-1}}^i \leq c M_0^{j-1} \|u - v\|_{H^s}, \\ \| \varphi(u_x) - \varphi(v_x) \|_{H^{s-1}} &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^N \|(u_x)^j - (v_x)^j\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq c M_0^{N-1} \|u - v\|_{H^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Using $u^{2m}u_{xx} = \partial_x[u^{2m}u_x] - 2mu^{2m-1}(u_x)^2$ and $v^{2m}v_{xx} = \partial_x[v^{2m}v_x] - 2mv^{2m-1}(v_x)^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u^{2m}u_{xx} - v^{2m}v_{xx}\|_{H^{s-2}} &\leq \|\partial_x[u^{2m}u_x - v^{2m}v_x]\|_{H^{s-2}} + c\|u^{2m-1}u_x^2 - v^{2m-1}v_x^2\|_{H^{s-2}} \\
&\leq c\left\|\left(u^{2m} - v^{2m}\right)v_x + u^{2m}(u_x - v_x)\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \\
&\quad + c\left\|u^{2m-1}(u_x^2 - v_x^2) + (u^{2m-1} - v^{2m-1})v_x^2\right\|_{H^{s-1}} \\
&\leq c\left(\|u^{2m} - v^{2m}\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|u^{2m}(u_x - v_x)\|_{H^{s-1}}\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ \|u^{2m-1}(u_x^2 - v_x^2)\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|(u^{2m-1} - v^{2m-1})v_x^2\|_{H^{s-1}}\right) \\
&\leq cM_0^{2m}\|u - v\|_{H^s},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

in which $s > 3/2$ is used.

From (2.5)–(2.7), we obtain

$$\|Au - Av\|_{H^s} \leq \|u - v\|_{H^s}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\theta = \max(cTM_0^{N-1}, cTM_0^{2m})$ and c is independent of T . Choosing T sufficiently small such that $\theta < 1$, we know that A is a contractive mapping. Applying the above inequality and (2.4) yields

$$\|Au\|_{H^s} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^s} + \theta\|u\|_{H^s}. \tag{2.9}$$

Choosing T sufficiently small such that $\theta M_0 + \|u_0\|_{H^s} < M_0$, we know that A maps $B_{M_0}(0)$ to itself. It follows from the contractive mapping principle that the mapping A has a unique fixed point u in $B_{M_0}(0)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. $\square$

3. Existence of Local Weak Solutions

In this section, we assume that $\varphi(\eta) = \eta^{2N+1}$ where N is a nature number. In order to establish the existence of local weak solution, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 (see Kato and Ponce [8]). *If $r \geq 0$, then $H^r \cap L^\infty$ is an algebra. Moreover,*

$$\|uv\|_r \leq c(\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_r + \|u\|_r\|v\|_{L^\infty}), \tag{3.1}$$

where c is a constant depending only on r .

Lemma 3.2 (see Kato and Ponce [8]). *Let $r > 0$. If $u \in H^r \cap W^{1,\infty}$ and $v \in H^{r-1} \cap L^\infty$, then*

$$\|[\Lambda^r, u]v\|_{L^2} \leq c\left(\|\partial_x u\|_{L^\infty}\|\Lambda^{r-1}v\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^r u\|_{L^2}\|v\|_{L^\infty}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $s \geq 3/2$, $\varphi(u_x) = u_x^{2N+1}$, and the function $u(t, x)$ is a solution of problem (1.3) and the initial data $u_0(x) \in H^s$. Then the following results hold.*

For $q \in (0, s - 1]$, there is a constant c such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^{q+1} u)^2 dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[(\Lambda^{q+1} u_0)^2 \right] dx \\ &+ c \int_0^t \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}^2 \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{2N} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-1} + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m} \right) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

For $q \in [0, s - 1]$, there is a constant c such that

$$\|u_t\|_{H^q} \leq c \|u\|_{H^{q+1}} \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{2N-1} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. For $q \in (0, s - 1]$, applying $(\Lambda^q u) \Lambda^q$ to both sides of the first equation of system (1.3) and integrating with respect to x by parts, we have the identity

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[(\Lambda^q u)^2 + \alpha (\Lambda^q u_x)^2 \right] dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u) \Lambda^q (\varphi(u_x)_x) dx + \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda^q u \Lambda^q \left[u^{2m} u_{xx} \right] dx. \quad (3.5)$$

We will estimate the two terms on the right-hand side of (3.5), respectively. For the first term, by using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u) \Lambda^q (\varphi(u_x)_x) dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u_x) \Lambda^q (\varphi(u_x)) dx \right| \\ &\leq c \|\Lambda^q u_x\|_{L^2} \left\| \Lambda^q (u_x)^{2N+1} \right\|_{L^2} \leq c \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{2N}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

For the second term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda^q u \Lambda^q \left[u^{2m} u_{xx} \right] dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda^q u \Lambda^q \left[\left(u^{2m} u_x \right)_x - 2m u^{2m-1} u_x^2 \right] dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda^q u_x \Lambda^q \left(u^{2m} u_x \right) dx - 2m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda^q u \Lambda^q \left[u^{2m-1} u_x^2 \right] dx = K_1 + K_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

For K_1 , applying Lemma 3.1 derives

$$|K_1| \leq c \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}^2 \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-1} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

For K_2 , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |K_2| &\leq c \|u\|_{H^q} \|u^{2m-1} u_x^2\|_{H^q} \\
 &\leq c \|u\|_{H^q} \left(\|u^{2m-1} u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x\|_{H^q} + \|u^{2m-1} u_x\|_{H^q} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \right) \\
 &\leq c \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}^2 \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-2} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

It follows from (3.5)–(3.9) that there exists a constant c such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_R \left[(\Lambda^q u)^2 + (\Lambda^q u_x)^2 \right] dx \\
 \leq c \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}^2 \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{2N} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-2} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-1} + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Integrating both sides of the above inequality with respect to t results in inequality (3.3).

To estimate the norm of u_t , we apply the operator $(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}$ to both sides of the first equation of system (1.3) to obtain the equation

$$u_t = \Lambda^{-2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(u_x) + \beta u^{2m} u_{xx} \right). \tag{3.11}$$

Applying $(\Lambda^q u_t) \Lambda^q$ to both sides of (3.11) for $q \in [0, s-1]$ gives rise to

$$\int_R (\Lambda^q u_t)^2 dx = \int_R (\Lambda^q u_t) \Lambda^{q-2} \left[\partial_x \varphi(u_x) + u^{2m} u_{xx} \right] d\tau. \tag{3.12}$$

For the right-hand of (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \int_R (\Lambda^q u_t) (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \Lambda^q \partial_x \varphi(u_x) dx \right| \\
 &\leq c \|u_t\|_{H^q} \left(\int_R (1 + \xi^2)^{q-1} \left[\int_R \left[\widehat{u_x^{2N}}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{u_x}(\eta) \right] d\eta \right]^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq c \|u_t\|_{H^q} \|u\|_{H^1} \|u\|_{H^{q+1}} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{2N-1}, \\
 &\left| \int_R (\Lambda^q u_t) (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \Lambda^q \partial_x (u^{2m} u_x) dx \right| \\
 &\leq c \|u_t\|_{H^q} \left(\int_R (1 + \xi^2)^{q-1} \left[\int_R \left[\widehat{u^{2m}}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{u_x}(\eta) \right] d\eta \right]^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq c \|u_t\|_{H^q} \|u\|_{H^1} \|u\|_{H^{q+1}} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-1}, \\
 &\left| \int_R (\Lambda^q u_t) (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \Lambda^q (u^{2m-1} u_x^2) dx \right| \\
 &\leq c \|u_t\|_{H^q} \left(\int_R (1 + \xi^2)^{q-1} \left[\int_R \left[\widehat{u^{2m-1} u_x}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{u_x}(\eta) \right] d\eta \right]^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq c \|u_t\|_{H^q} \|u\|_{H^1} \|u\|_{H^{q+1}} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Applying (3.13) into (3.12) yields the inequality

$$\|u_t\|_{H^q} \leq c \|u\|_{H^1} \|u\|_{H^{q+1}} \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{2N-1} \right) \quad (3.14)$$

for a constant $c > 0$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *If $u(t, x)$ is a solution of problem (1.3), $\alpha > 0$, $\varphi(\eta) = \eta^{2N+1}$, then*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq c \|u\|_{H^1(R)} \leq c \|u_0\|_{H^1(R)}, \quad (3.15)$$

where c is a constant.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of the first equation of (1.3) by $u(t, x)$ and integrating with respect to x over R , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_R \left[u(t, x)^2 + \alpha u_x(t, x)^2 \right] dx = \int_R \varphi(u_x)_x u(t, x) dx + \beta \int_R u^{2m+1} u_{xx} dx. \quad (3.16)$$

Since

$$\int_R \varphi(u_x)_x u(t, x) dx + \beta \int_R u^{2m+1} u_{xx} dx = - \int_R u_x^{2N+2} dx - \beta(2m+1) \int_R u^{2m} u_x^2 dx < 0, \quad (3.17)$$

we derive that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_R \left[u(t, x)^2 + \alpha u_x(t, x)^2 \right] dx < 0, \quad (3.18)$$

which results in

$$\int_R \left[u(t, x)^2 + \alpha u_x(t, x)^2 \right] < \int_R \left[u(0, x)^2 + \alpha u_x(0, x)^2 \right] \leq c \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2. \quad (3.19)$$

From (3.19), we know that (3.15) holds. This completes the proof. $\square$

Defining

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} e^{1/(x^2-1)}, & |x| < 1, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

and setting $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-1/4} \phi(\varepsilon^{-1/4} x)$ with $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$ and $u_{\varepsilon 0} = \phi_\varepsilon \star u_0$, we know that $u_{\varepsilon 0} \in C^\infty$ for any $u_0 \in H^s(R)$ and $s > 0$.

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that for each ε the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - u_{txx} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(u_x) + \beta u^{2m} u_{xx}, \\ u(0, x) &= u_{\varepsilon 0}(x), \quad x \in R \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

has a unique solution $u_\varepsilon(t, x) \in C^\infty([0, T]; H^\infty)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Under the assumptions of problem (3.21), the following estimates hold for any ε with $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$, $u_0 \in H^s(R)$ and $s > 0$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon 0x}\|_{L^\infty} &\leq c_1 \|u_{0x}\|_{L^\infty}, \\ \|u_{\varepsilon 0}\|_{H^q} &\leq c_1, \quad \text{if } q \leq s, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where c_1 is a constant independent of ε .

Proof. Using the definition of $u_{\varepsilon 0}$ and $u_{\varepsilon 0x}$ results in the conclusion of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that $u_0(x) \in H^s(R)$ with $s \in [1, 3/2]$ such that $\|u_{0x}\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$. Let $u_{\varepsilon 0}$ be defined as in system (3.21) and let $\varphi(\eta) = \eta^{2N+1}$. Then there exist two positive constants T and c , independent of ε , such that the solution u_ε of problem (3.21) satisfies $\|u_{\varepsilon x}\|_{L^\infty} \leq c$ for any $t \in [0, T]$.*

Proof. Using notation $u = u_\varepsilon$ and differentiating both sides of the first equation of problem (3.11) with respect to x give rise to

$$u_{tx} = -\varphi(u_x) - \beta u^{2m} u_x + \Lambda^{-2} \left[\varphi(u_x) + \beta u^{2m} u_x - 2m\beta (u^{2m-1} u_x)_x \right]. \quad (3.23)$$

Letting $p > 0$ be an integer and multiplying the above equation by $(u_x)^{2p+1}$ and then integrating the resulting equation with respect to x yield the equality

$$\frac{1}{2p+2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_R (u_x)^{2p+2} dx = - \int_R \varphi(u_x) u_x^{2p+1} dx - \beta \int_R u^{2m} u_x^{2p+2} dx + \int_R J u_x^{2p+1} dx, \quad (3.24)$$

where

$$J = \Lambda^{-2} \left[\varphi(u_x) + \beta u^{2m} u_x - 2m\beta (u^{2m-1} u_x)_x \right]. \quad (3.25)$$

Applying the Hölder's inequality to (3.24) and noting Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2p+2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_R (u_x)^{2p+2} dx &\leq c \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{2N} \int_R |u_x|^{2p+2} dx + c \int_R u_x^{2p+2} dx \\ &\quad + \left(\int_R |J|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} \left(\int_R u_x^{2p+2} dx \right)^{2(p+1)/2(p+2)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_R (u_x)^{2(p+2)} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} &\leq c \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{2N} \left(\int_R u_x^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} + c \left(\int_R u_x^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} \\ &\quad + \left(\int_R |J|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Since $\|f\|_{L^p} \rightarrow \|f\|_{L^\infty}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ for any $f \in L^\infty \cap L^2$, integrating both sides of the inequality (3.27) with respect to t and taking the limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ result in the estimate

$$\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u_{0x}\|_{L^\infty} + \int_0^t c \left(\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{2N+1} + \|J\|_{L^\infty} \right) d\tau. \quad (3.28)$$

Using the algebra property of $H^{s_0}(R)$ with $s_0 > 1/2$ yields $(\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2+}})$ means that there exists a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ such that $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{1/2+} = \|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2+\delta}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|J\|_{L^\infty} &\leq c \|J\|_{H^{1/2+}} \leq c \|\Lambda^{-2} [\varphi(u_x) + \beta u^{2m} u_x - 2m\beta (u^{2m-1} u_x)]_x\|_{H^{1/2+}} \\ &\leq c \left(\|\varphi(u_x)\|_{H^0} + \|u\|_{H^1} + \|u^{2m-1} u_x\|_{H^0} \right) \\ &\leq c \left(\|u_x\|^{2N} \|u\|_{H^1} + \|u\|_{H^1} + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-1} \|u\|_{H^1} \right) \\ &\leq c \left(\|u_x\|^{2N} + 1 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

in which Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 are used. From (3.28) and (3.29), one has

$$\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u_{0x}\|_{L^\infty} + c \int_0^t \left[1 + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{2N} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{2N+1} \right] d\tau. \quad (3.30)$$

From Lemma 3.5, it follows from the contraction mapping principle that there is a $T > 0$ such that the equation

$$\|W\|_{L^\infty} = \|u_{0x}\|_{L^\infty} + c \int_0^t \left[1 + \|W\|_{L^\infty} + \|W\|_{L^\infty}^{2N} + \|W\|_{L^\infty}^{2N+1} \right] d\tau \quad (3.31)$$

has a unique solution $W \in C[0, T]$. Using the result presented on page 51 in [11] yields that there are constants $T > 0$ and $c > 0$ independent of ε such that $\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|W(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq c$ for arbitrary $t \in [0, T]$, which leads to the conclusion of Lemma 3.6. $\square$

Using Lemmas 3.3–3.6, notation $u_\varepsilon = u$ and Gronwall's inequality result in the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^q} &\leq C_T e^{C_T}, \\ \|u_{\varepsilon t}\|_{H^r} &\leq C_T e^{C_T}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where $q \in (0, s]$, $r \in (0, s - 1]$ ($1 \leq s \leq 3/2$) and C_T depends on T . It follows from the Aubin's compactness theorem that there is a subsequence of $\{u_\varepsilon\}$, denoted by $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$, such that $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$ and their temporal derivatives $\{u_{\varepsilon_n t}\}$ are weakly convergent to a function $u(t, x)$ and its derivative u_t in $L^2([0, T], H^s)$ and $L^2([0, T], H^{s-1})$, respectively. Moreover, for any real number $R_1 > 0$, $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$ is convergent to the function u strongly in the space $L^2([0, T], H^q(-R_1, R_1))$ and $\{u_{\varepsilon_n t}\}$ converges to u_t strongly in the space $L^2([0, T], H^r(-R_1, R_1))$ for $r \in [0, s - 1]$. Thus, we can prove the existence of a weak solution to (1.3).

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that $u_0(x) \in H^s$ with $1 \leq s \leq 3/2$, $\|u_{0x}\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ and $\varphi(\eta) = \eta^{2N+1}$. Then there exists a $T > 0$ such that (1.3) subject to initial value $u_0(x)$ has a weak solution $u(t, x) \in L^2([0, T], H^s)$ in the sense of distribution and $u_x \in L^\infty([0, T] \times R)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.6, we know that $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}\}$ ($\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$) is bounded in the space L^∞ . Thus, the sequences $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$, $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}\}$, $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}^2\}$, and $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}^{2N+1}\}$ are weakly convergent to u , u_x , u_x^2 , and u_x^{2N+1} in $L^2[0, T], H^r(-R, R)$ for any $r \in [0, s - 1]$, separately. Therefore, u satisfies the equation

$$\int_0^T \int_R u(g_t - g_{xxt}) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_R [u_x^{2N+1} g_x + \beta u^{2m} u_x g_x - 2m\beta u^{2m-1} u_x^2 g] dx dt, \quad (3.33)$$

with $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ and $g \in C_0^\infty$. Since $X = L^1([0, T] \times R)$ is a separable Banach space and $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}\}$ is a bounded sequence in the dual space $X^* = L^\infty([0, T] \times R)$ of X , there exists a subsequence of $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}\}$, still denoted by $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}\}$, weakly star convergent to a function v in $L^\infty([0, T] \times R)$. It derives from the weakly convergence of $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}\}$ to u_x in $L^2([0, T] \times R)$ that $u_x = v$ almost everywhere. Thus, we obtain $u_x \in L^\infty([0, T] \times R)$. $\square$

4. Well-Posedness of Global Solutions

Lemma 4.1. *If $u(t, x)$ is a solution of problem (1.3), $\alpha > 0$, $\varphi(\eta) = \eta^{2N+1}$, then*

$$\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq A^{1/2}, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$A = \int_R \left[\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha} (u'_0(x))^2 + (1+\alpha) (u''_0(x))^2 \right] dx. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Multiplying each side of the first equation of problem (1.3) by u_{xx} and integrating over $[0, t] \times R$ yields

$$\int_0^t \int_R \left(u_{xx}^2 \varphi'(u_x) + u^{2m} u_{xx}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u_{xx}^2) \right) dx dt = \int_0^t \int_R u_t u_{xx} dx dt. \quad (4.3)$$

Integrating the right-hand side of the above identity by parts and using $u_x(\pm\infty) = 0$, we get

$$2 \int_0^t \int_R u_t u_{xx} dx dt = \int_R [u'_0(x)]^2 dx - \int_R u_x^2(t, x) dx. \quad (4.4)$$

From (4.3), (4.4) and the assumption of this lemma, we have

$$\alpha \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_x\|_{L^2} \leq \int_R \left[(u'_0(x))^2 + \alpha (u''_0(x))^2 \right] dx, \quad (4.5)$$

from which we obtain (4.1). $\square$

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $s \geq 2$, $u_0 \in H^s(R)$, $\varphi(u_x) = u_x^{2N+1}$ with positive integer N . Then problem (1.3) has a unique global solution:

$$u(t, x) \in C([0, \infty); H^s(R)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); H^{s-1}(R)). \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Using the Gronwall inequality and Lemma 3.3 and choosing $s = q + 1$, we have

$$\|u\|_{H^s} \leq c \|u_0\|_{H^s} e^{\int_0^t (\|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{2N} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-2} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-1} + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{2m}) d\tau}. \quad (4.7)$$

From Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\|u_x\| \leq A^{1/2} = \left(\int_R \left[\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha} (u'_0(x))^2 + (1+\alpha) (u''_0(x))^2 \right] dx \right)^{1/2} \leq c \|u_0\|_{H^2(R)}. \quad (4.8)$$

Using (4.7) and (4.8) derives

$$\|u\|_{H^s} \leq c \|u_0\|_{H^s} e^{ct}, \quad (4.9)$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 4.2. $\square$

References

- [1] P. L. Davis, "A quasilinear parabolic and a related third order problem," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 40, pp. 327–335, 1972.
- [2] B. D. Coleman and W. Noll, "An approximation theorem for functionals, with applications in continuum mechanics," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 355–370, 1960.
- [3] R. E. Showalter and T. W. Ting, "Pseudoparabolic partial differential equations," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 1, pp. 1–26, 1970.
- [4] G. W. Chen and H. X. Xue, "Global existence of solution of Cauchy problem for nonlinear pseudo-parabolic equation," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 245, no. 10, pp. 2705–2722, 2008.
- [5] P. G. Kevrekidis, I. G. Kevrekidis, A. R. Bishop, and E. S. Titi, "Continuum approach to discreteness," *Physical Review E*, vol. 65, no. 4, Article ID 046613, 13 pages, 2002.
- [6] J. L. Bona and L. Luo, "Asymptotic decomposition of nonlinear, dispersive wave equations with dissipation," *Physica D*, vol. 152–153, pp. 363–383, 2001.
- [7] J. Albert, "Dispersion of low-energy waves for the generalized Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 117–134, 1986.
- [8] T. Kato and G. Ponce, "Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 41, no. 7, pp. 891–907, 1988.
- [9] S. Y. Lai and Y. H. Wu, "The local well-posedness and existence of weak solutions for a generalized Camassa-Holm equation," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 248, no. 8, pp. 2038–2063, 2010.

- [10] S. Y. Lai and Y. H. Wu, "Existence of weak solutions in lower order Sobolev space for a Camassa-Holm-type equation," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 43, no. 9, Article ID 095205, 13 pages, 2010.
- [11] Y. A. Li and P. Olver, "Well-posedness and blow-up solutions for an integrable nonlinearly dispersive model wave equation," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 162, no. 1, pp. 27–63, 2000.