Research Article

Periodic Solutions of a Lotka-Volterra System with Delay and Diffusion

Lin Li,¹ Mingxing Luo,² Zhijie Nan,¹ and Sihong Shi³

¹ Physics and Information Engineering, College of Mathematics, Jiaxing University, Jiaxing, Zhejiang 314001, China

² Information Security and National Computing Grid Laboratory, School of Information Science and Technology, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu 610031, China

³ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hainan Normal University, Haikou 571158, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Sihong Shi, shisihong1020@163.com

Received 29 January 2012; Accepted 15 June 2012

Academic Editor: Josef Diblík

Copyright © 2012 Lin Li et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Our purpose is to prove the existence of periodic solutions for a competition Lotka-Volterra system on time scales, and one example is given to illustrate our results.

1. Introduction

Denote $\mathbb{T}$ as an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$. The Lotka-Volterra system is mainly devoted to the study of population dynamics in mathematics. The classical two classes of species can be modeled as

$$\begin{aligned}x_1'(t) &= x_1(t)(r_{11}(t) + r_{12}(t)x_1(t) + r_{13}(t)x_2(t)), \\x_2'(t) &= x_2(t)(r_{21}(t) + r_{22}(t)x_1(t) + r_{23}(t)x_2(t)),\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

which are viewed in terms of different situations. For example, it is named with predator-prey system if $r_{13}(t)r_{22}(t) < 0$, while competition system when $r_{13}(t) < 0$, $r_{22}(t) < 0$, also a reciprocal system if $r_{13}(t) > 0$, $r_{22}(t) > 0$. Moreover, in order to reflect the seasonal fluctuations, the Lotka-Volterra system with periodic coefficients is also considered in [1]. The time delay effect, density regulation, and diffusion between patches in many ecological systems have been investigated for its ecological significance in [2–4].

The recently interest on ratio-dependent predator functional response calls for detailed qualitative study on ratio-dependent predator-prey differential systems. Predator-prey models where one or more terms involve ratios of the predator and prey populations may not be valid mathematically unless it can be shown that solutions with positive initial conditions never get arbitrarily close to the axis in question, that is, that persistence holds. By means of a transformation of variables, criteria for persistence are derived for two classes of such models, thereby leading to their validity. Ratio-dependent predator-prey models are favored by many animal ecologists recently involving a searching process.

Our concern in this paper is to consider both the periodic variations of the environment and the density regulation of the predators by considering account delay effect and diffusion between patches. The environments of most natural populations undergo temporal variation, causing changes in the growth characteristics of populations. One method of incorporating temporal nonuniformity of the environments in models is to assume that the parameters are periodic with the same period of the time variable. It can be modeled with the following dynamic system:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1^\delta(t) &= r_1(t) - f_1(t)e^{x_1(t)} - \frac{g_1(t)e^{y_1(t-\tau)}}{e^{x_1(t-\tau)} + \beta_1(t)e^{y_1(t-\tau)}} + p_1(t)(e^{x_2(t)-x_1(t)} - 1), \\
 x_2^\delta(t) &= r_2(t) - f_2(t)e^{x_2(t)} + p_2(t)(e^{x_1(t)-x_2(t)} - 1), \\
 y_1^\delta(t) &= r_3(t) - f_3(t)e^{y_1(t)} - \frac{g_2(t)e^{x_1(t-\tau)}}{e^{x_1(t-\tau)} + \beta_1(t)e^{y_1(t-\tau)}} - \frac{h_1(t)e^{y_2(t)}}{e^{y_1(t)} + \beta_2(t)e^{y_2(t)}}, \\
 y_2^\delta(t) &= r_4(t) - f_4(t)e^{y_2(t)} + \frac{h_2(t)e^{y_1(t-\tau)}}{e^{y_1(t-\tau)} + \beta_2(t)e^{y_2(t-\tau)}},
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where $y_1(t)$ and $x_1(t)$ denote the population density of species y and species x in patch 1, $y_2(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ represent the density of species y and species x in patch 2. Species x and y can be diffused between two patches and species y is confined to compete with species x . $\tau > 0$ is a delay due to gestation. $p_i(t) > 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) are rd-continuous ω -periodic functions and denote the dispersal rate of species y in the i th patch ($i = 1, 2$), respectively. $r_i(t) > 0$, $f_i(t) > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), $g_j(t) > 0$, $h_j(t) > 0$, $\beta_j(t) > 0$ ($j = 1, 2$) are rd-continuous ω -periodic functions.

With the transition variable $X_i = e^{x_i(t)}$, $Y(t) = e^{y_1(t)}$, and $y_2(t) \equiv 0$, the system (1.2) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_1'(t) &= X_1(t) \left(r_1(t) - f_1(t)X_1(t) - \frac{g_1(t)Y(t-\tau)}{X_1(t-\tau) + \beta(t)Y(t-\tau)} \right) \\
 &\quad - p_1(t)X_1(t) - p_1(t)X_2(t), \\
 X_2'(t) &= X_2(t)r_2(t) - f_2(t)X_2^2(t) + p_2(t)X_1(t) - p_2(t)X_2(t), \\
 Y'(t) &= Y(t)r_3(t) - f_3(t)Y^2(t) - \frac{g_2(t)X_1(t-\tau)Y(t)}{X_1(t-\tau) + \beta(t)Y(t-\tau)},
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

which was introduced by Hilger in [5] who firstly proposed the theory of time scales. There are many related studies of positive solutions for delayed equation [6–8], dynamic equation

(1.3) on time scales [5, 9–17], and the uniform persistence, global asymptotic stability, and periodicity of system (1.3); see [18–23].

Recently, various continuation theorems in coincidence degree have played an important role in study the existence of periodic solutions of the Lotka-Volterra system (see, e.g., [11, 23–28]). In this paper, by using the well-known Gains and Mawhin's theorem, we prove the existence of periodic solutions of competition Lotka-Volterra dynamic system (1.2) with time delay and diffusion on time scales.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some basic definitions and results of topological degree theory. Section 3 is contributed to the proof of the main results while the last section goes to one example.

2. Preliminaries

Several definitions and results will be presented in this section. For more details, refer to [9, 12].

Let $\omega > 0$. Throughout this paper, the time scales we considered are always assumed to be ω -periodic (i.e., $t \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{R}$ implies $t \pm \omega \in \mathbb{T}$) and unbounded above and below (may be represented by $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [2(k-1)\omega, 2k\omega]$). We denote $\epsilon = \min \mathbb{T} \cap (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{R}^-)$, $\mathbb{I}_\omega = [\epsilon, \epsilon + \omega] \cap \mathbb{T}$.

Definition 2.1. The forward jump operator $\sigma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and the backward jump operator $\rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ are defined by

$$\sigma(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s \geq t\}, \quad \rho(t) := \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s \leq t\}, \quad (2.1)$$

respectively, for any $t \in \mathbb{T}$: If $\sigma(t) = t$, then t is called right dense (otherwise: right scattered), and if $\rho(t) = t$, then t is called left dense (otherwise left scattered).

Definition 2.2. Suppose that $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and fix $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$. Then f is called differential at $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ if there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any given $\epsilon > 0$, there is an open neighborhood U of t such that

$$|f(\rho(t)) - f(s) - c(\rho(t) - s)| \leq \epsilon |\rho(t) - s|, \quad s \in U. \quad (2.2)$$

c is named with the delta (or Hilger) derivative of f at $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$ and is denoted by $c = f^\delta(t)$. Here, $[a, b]^\kappa = [a, b]$ if b is left dense and $[a, b]^\kappa = [a, b)$ if b is left scattered.

As far as $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{R}$ is considered, $\mathbb{T}^\kappa = \mathbb{T}$. We say that f is delta (Hilger) differential on $\mathbb{T}$ if $f(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. A function $F : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called an antiderivative of $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ provided that $F^\delta(t) = f(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then we define

$$\int_r^s f(t) \delta t = F(s) - F(r), \quad r, s \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (2.3)$$

Definition 2.3. A function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called rd-continuous if it is continuous at right dense points in $\mathbb{T}$ and its left-sided limits exist (finite) at left dense points in $\mathbb{T}$. The set of rd-continuous functions $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.

It is easy to see that every rd-continuous function has an antiderivative and every continuous function is rd-continuous.

Lemma 2.4 (see [11]). *If $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f, g \in C_{\text{rd}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, then*

- (1) $\int_s^t [\alpha f(u) + \beta g(u)] \delta u = \alpha \int_s^t f(u) \delta u + \beta \int_s^t g(u) \delta u$;
- (2) if $f(u) \geq 0$ for all $s \leq u < t$, then $\int_s^t f(u) \delta u \geq 0$;
- (3) if $|f(u)| \leq g(u)$ on $[s, t) := \{u \in \mathbb{T} : s \leq u < t\}$, then $|\int_s^t f(u) \delta u| \leq \int_s^t g(u) \delta u$.

Next, we introduce some results related to the topology degree theories which are crucial in our arguments [20].

Let X and Y be two Banach spaces. Consider an operator equation:

$$\mathcal{L}x = \lambda \mathcal{N}x, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1), \quad (2.4)$$

where $\mathcal{L} : \text{Dom } \mathcal{L} \cap X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear operator, $\mathcal{N} : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, and λ is a parameter. Let P and Q be two projections $P : X \rightarrow X$ and $Q : Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $\text{Im } P = \ker \mathcal{L}$ and $\text{Im } \mathcal{L} = \ker Q = \text{Im}(I - Q)$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}|_{\text{Dom } \mathcal{L} \cap \ker P} : (I - P)X \rightarrow \text{Im } \mathcal{L}$ is invertible, and thus we denote the inverse of this map by Φ . If Ω is a bounded open subset of X , the mapping $\mathcal{N}$ is called $\mathcal{L}$ -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ if $Q \circ \mathcal{N}(\overline{\Omega})$ is bounded and $\Phi \circ (I - Q) \circ \mathcal{N} : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ is compact. Since $\text{Im } Q$ is isomorphic to $\ker \mathcal{L}$, there exists an isomorphism $\Psi : \text{Im } Q \rightarrow \ker \mathcal{L}$.

Note that operator $\mathcal{L}$ is called a *Fredholm operator* of index zero if $\dim(\ker \mathcal{L}) = \text{codim}(\text{Im } \mathcal{L}) < \infty$ and $\text{Im } \mathcal{L}$ is closed in Y .

Lemma 2.5 (Gains and Mawhin's theorem [20]). *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Fredholm mapping of index zero, and let $\mathcal{N}$ be $\mathcal{L}$ -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$. Suppose that*

- (C1) for each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, every solution $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Dom } \mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{L}x = \lambda \mathcal{N}(x, \lambda)$ is such that $x \notin \partial\Omega$;
- (C2) $Q \circ \mathcal{N}x \neq 0$ for each $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \ker \mathcal{L}$;
- (C3) $\deg(\Psi \circ Q \circ \mathcal{N}, \Omega \cap \ker \mathcal{L}, 0) \neq 0$.

Then equation $\mathcal{L}x = \mathcal{N}x$ has at least one solution lying in $\text{Dom } \mathcal{L} \cap \overline{\Omega}$.

Throughout this paper, we take the following notations for convenience, and all the other notations are defined analogously:

$$\overline{f} = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_\omega} f(t) \delta t, \quad f^s = \min_{t \in \mathbb{I}_\omega} f(t), \quad f^M = \max_{t \in \mathbb{I}_\omega} f(t), \quad (2.5)$$

where $f \in C_{\text{rd}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is an ω -periodic function.

3. Periodic Solution

The main result is stated as follows about the existence of ω -periodic solutions.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that*

- (1) $(r_1 \beta_1 - g_1)^s > 0$;

- (2) $\bar{r}_1\beta_1^s - g_1^M > 0$;
- (3) $(r_3\beta_2 - g_2\beta_2 - h_1)^s > 0$;
- (4) $\bar{r}_3\beta_2^s - g_2^M\beta_2^s - h_1^M > 0$.

Then the dynamic system (1.2) has at least one ω -periodic solution.

Before proving Theorem 3.1, we first give some useful lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ is a parameter, $(r_1\beta_1 - g_1)^s > 0$, and $(r_3\beta_2 - g_2\beta_2 - h_1)^s > 0$. If $(x_1(t), x_2(t), y_1(t), y_2(t))^T$ is an ω -periodic solution of the system (1.2), then $|x_1(t)| + |x_2(t)| \leq 2C_1$ and $|y_1(t)| + |y_2(t)| \leq 2C_2$, where

$$C_1 := \max \left\{ \left| \left(\ln \frac{r_1}{f_1} \right)^M \right|, \left| \left(\ln \frac{r_2}{f_2} \right)^M \right|, \left| \left(\ln \frac{r_2}{f_2} \right)^s \right|, \left| \left(\ln \frac{r_1\beta_1 - g_1}{\beta_1 f_1} \right)^s \right| \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$C_2 := \max \left\{ \left| \left(\ln \frac{r_3}{f_3} \right)^M \right|, \left| \left(\ln \frac{r_4 + h_2}{f_4} \right)^M \right|, \left| \left(\ln \frac{r_4}{f_4} \right)^s \right|, \left| \left(\ln \frac{r_3\beta_2 - g_2\beta_2 - h_1}{\beta_2 f_3} \right)^s \right| \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Corresponding to the operator equation (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^\delta(t) &= \lambda \left[r_1(t) - f_1(t)e^{x_1(t)} - \frac{g_1(t)e^{y_1(t-\tau)}}{e^{x_1(t-\tau)} + \beta_1(t)e^{y_1(t-\tau)}} + p_1(t)(e^{x_2(t)-x_1(t)} - 1) \right], \\ x_2^\delta(t) &= \lambda \left[r_2(t) - f_2(t)e^{x_2(t)} + p_2(t)(e^{x_1(t)-x_2(t)} - 1) \right], \\ y_1^\delta(t) &= \lambda \left[r_3(t) - f_3(t)e^{y_1(t)} - \frac{g_2(t)e^{x_1(t-\tau)}}{e^{x_1(t-\tau)} + \beta_1(t)e^{y_1(t-\tau)}} - \frac{h_1(t)e^{y_2(t)}}{e^{y_1(t)} + \beta_2(t)e^{y_2(t)}} \right], \\ y_2^\delta(t) &= \lambda \left[r_4(t) - f_4(t)e^{y_2(t)} + \frac{h_2(t)e^{y_1(t-\tau)}}{e^{y_1(t-\tau)} + \beta_2(t)e^{y_2(t-\tau)}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Define

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \mathbf{u} = (x_1(t), x_2(t), y_1(t), y_2(t))^T \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^4) : x_i(t + \omega) = x_i(t), y_i(t + \omega) = y_i(t) \right\}, \quad (3.4)$$

with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}\| = \sum_{i=1}^2 \max_{t \in \mathbb{I}_\omega} |x_i(t)| + \sum_{i=1}^2 \max_{t \in \mathbb{I}_\omega} |y_i(t)|. \quad (3.5)$$

Then Γ is a Banach space. Take $X = Y = \Gamma$. Assume that $\mathbf{u} = (x_1(t), x_2(t), y_1(t), y_2(t))^T \in \Gamma$ is a solution of the system (3.3) for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. It only needs to be proven that there exists a $M_1 > 0$ such that $\|\mathbf{u}\| < M_1$.

In fact, since $\mathbf{u} \in \Gamma$, there exists $t_i \in \mathbb{I}_\omega$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) such that $x_i(t_i) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{I}_\omega} x_i(t)$ and $y_i(t_{i+2}) = \max_{t \in \mathbb{I}_\omega} y_i(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Thus $x_i^\delta(t_i) = y_i^\delta(t_{i+2}) = 0$, for $i = 1, 2$. Consequently, it follows from the system (3.3) that

$$r_1(t_1) - f_1(t_1)e^{x_1(t_1)} - p_1(t_1) + p_1(t_1)e^{x_2(t_1)-x_1(t_1)} - \frac{g_1(t_1)e^{y_1(t_1-\tau)}}{e^{x_1(t_1-\tau)} + \beta_1(t_1)e^{y_1(t_1-\tau)}} = 0, \quad (3.6a)$$

$$r_2(t_2) - f_2(t_2)e^{x_2(t_2)} - p_2(t_2) + p_2(t_2)e^{x_1(t_2)-x_2(t_2)} = 0, \quad (3.6b)$$

$$r_3(t_3) - f_3(t_3)e^{y_1(t_3)} - \frac{g_2(t_3)e^{x_1(t_3-\tau)}}{e^{x_1(t_3-\tau)} + \beta_1(t_3)e^{y_1(t_3-\tau)}} - \frac{h_1(t_3)e^{y_2(t_3)}}{e^{y_1(t_3)} + \beta_2(t_3)e^{y_2(t_3)}} = 0, \quad (3.6c)$$

$$r_4(t_4) - f_4(t_4)e^{y_2(t_4)} + \frac{h_2(t_4)e^{y_1(t_4-\tau)}}{e^{y_1(t_4-\tau)} + \beta_2(t_4)e^{y_2(t_4-\tau)}} = 0. \quad (3.6d)$$

When $x_1(t_1) \geq x_2(t_2)$, then $x_1(t_1) \geq x_2(t_1)$. From (3.6a) we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(t_1)e^{x_1(t_1)} &= r_1(t_1) - p_1(t_1) + p_1(t_1)e^{x_2(t_1)-x_1(t_1)} - \frac{g_1(t_1)e^{y_1(t_1-\tau)}}{e^{x_1(t_1-\tau)} + \beta_1(t_1)e^{y_1(t_1-\tau)}} \\ &\leq r_1(t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

It follows that

$$x_2(t_2) \leq x_1(t_1) \leq \ln \frac{r_1(t_1)}{f_1(t_1)} \leq \left(\ln \frac{r_1}{f_1} \right)^M. \quad (3.8)$$

When $x_1(t_1) < x_2(t_2)$, then $x_1(t_2) < x_2(t_2)$. From (3.6b) we obtain

$$f_2(t_2)e^{x_2(t_2)} = r_2(t_2) - p_2(t_2) + p_2(t_2)e^{x_1(t_2)-x_2(t_2)} \leq r_2(t_2), \quad (3.9)$$

which means

$$x_1(t_1) \leq x_2(t_2) \leq \ln \frac{r_2(t_2)}{f_2(t_2)} \leq \left(\ln \frac{r_2}{f_2} \right)^M. \quad (3.10)$$

As far as (3.6c) and (3.6d) are concerned, with analogue arguments above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(t_3) &\leq \frac{r_3(t_3)}{f_3(t_3)} \leq \left(\ln \frac{r_3}{f_3} \right)^M, \\ y_2(t_4) &\leq \ln \frac{r_4(t_4) + h_2(t_4)}{f_4(t_4)} \leq \left(\ln \frac{r_4 + h_2}{f_4} \right)^M. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Now choose $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{I}_\omega$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that $x_1(\kappa_1) = \min_{t \in \mathbb{I}_\omega} x_1(t)$, $x_2(\kappa_2) = \min_{t \in \mathbb{I}_\omega} x_2(t)$, then $x_i^\delta(\kappa_i) = 0$. Thus we obtain that

$$r_1(\kappa_1) - f_1(\kappa_1)e^{x_1(\kappa_1)} - p_1(\kappa_1) + p_1(\kappa_1)e^{x_2(\kappa_1)-x_1(\kappa_1)} - \frac{g_1(\kappa_1)e^{y_1(\kappa_1-\tau)}}{e^{x_1(\kappa_1-\tau)} + \beta_1(\kappa_1)e^{y_1(\kappa_1-\tau)}} = 0, \quad (3.12a)$$

$$r_2(\kappa_2) - f_2(\kappa_2)e^{x_2(\kappa_2)} - p_2(\kappa_2) + p_2(\kappa_2)e^{x_1(\kappa_2)-x_2(\kappa_2)} = 0. \quad (3.12b)$$

When $x_1(\kappa_1) < x_2(\kappa_2)$, then $x_1(\kappa_1) < x_2(\kappa_2) \leq x_2(\kappa_1)$. From (3.12a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\kappa_1)e^{x_1(\kappa_1)} &= r_1(\kappa_1) - p_1(\kappa_1) + p_1(\kappa_1)e^{x_2(\kappa_1)-x_1(\kappa_1)} - \frac{g_1(\kappa_1)e^{y_1(\kappa_1-\tau)}}{e^{x_1(\kappa_1-\tau)} + \beta_1(\kappa_1)e^{y_1(\kappa_1-\tau)}} \\ &\geq r_1(\kappa_1) - \frac{g_1(\kappa_1)}{\beta_1(\kappa_1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

and thus

$$x_2(\kappa_2) > x_1(\kappa_1) \geq \ln \frac{r_1(\kappa_1)\beta_1(\kappa_1) - g_1(\kappa_1)}{f_1(\kappa_1)\beta_1(\kappa_1)} \geq \left(\ln \frac{r_1\beta_1 - g_1}{\beta_1 f_1} \right)^s, \quad (3.14)$$

according to the hypothesis $(r_1\beta_1 - g_1)^s > 0$.

When $x_1(\kappa_1) \geq x_2(\kappa_2)$, then $x_1(\kappa_2) \geq x_1(\kappa_1) \geq x_2(\kappa_2)$. From (3.12b), we get

$$f_2(\kappa_2)e^{x_2(\kappa_2)} = r_2(\kappa_2) - p_2(\kappa_2) + p_2(\kappa_2)e^{x_1(\kappa_2)-x_2(\kappa_2)} \geq r_2(\kappa_2), \quad (3.15)$$

which yields

$$x_1(\kappa_1) \geq x_2(\kappa_2) \geq \ln \frac{r_2(\kappa_2)}{f_2(\kappa_2)} \geq \left(\ln \frac{r_2}{f_2} \right)^s. \quad (3.16)$$

Combing the inequalities (3.8) and (3.10) with (3.14) and (3.16), from (3.1) it easily gets that

$$|x_1(t)| + |x_2(t)| \leq 2C_1. \quad (3.17)$$

On the other hand, choose $\kappa_{i+2} \in \mathbb{I}_\omega$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that $y_1(\kappa_3) = \min_{t \in \mathbb{I}_\omega} y_1(t)$, $y_2(\kappa_4) = \min_{t \in \mathbb{I}_\omega} y_2(t)$, we have $y_i^\delta(\kappa_{i+2}) = 0$ and then

$$r_3(\kappa_3) - f_3(\kappa_3)e^{y_1(\kappa_3)} - \frac{g_2(\kappa_3)e^{x_1(\kappa_3-\tau)}}{e^{x_1(\kappa_3-\tau)} + \beta_1(\kappa_3)e^{y_1(\kappa_3-\tau)}} - \frac{h_1(\kappa_3)e^{y_2(\kappa_3)}}{e^{y_1(\kappa_3)} + \beta_2(\kappa_3)e^{y_2(\kappa_3)}} = 0, \quad (3.18a)$$

$$r_4(\kappa_4) - f_4(\kappa_4)e^{y_2(\kappa_4)} + \frac{h_2(\kappa_4)e^{y_1(\kappa_4-\tau)}}{e^{y_1(\kappa_4-\tau)} + \beta_2(\kappa_4)e^{y_2(\kappa_4-\tau)}} = 0. \quad (3.18b)$$

When $y_1(\kappa_3) < y_2(\kappa_4)$, then $y_1(\kappa_3) < y_2(\kappa_4) \leq y_2(\kappa_3)$. From (3.18a), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_3(\kappa_3)e^{y_1(\kappa_3)} &= r_3(\kappa_3) - \frac{g_2(\kappa_3)e^{x_1(\kappa_3-\tau)}}{e^{x_1(\kappa_3-\tau)} + \beta_1(\kappa_3)e^{y_1(\kappa_3-\tau)}} - \frac{h_1(\kappa_3)e^{y_2(\kappa_3)}}{e^{y_1(\kappa_3)} + \beta_2(\kappa_3)e^{y_2(\kappa_3)}} \\ &\geq r_3(\kappa_3) - g_2(\kappa_3) - \frac{h_1(\kappa_3)}{\beta_2(\kappa_3)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

which means

$$\begin{aligned} y_2(\kappa_4) > y_1(\kappa_3) &\geq \ln \frac{r_3(\kappa_3)\beta_2(\kappa_3) - g_2(\kappa_3)\beta_2(\kappa_3) - h_1(\kappa_3)}{\beta_2(\kappa_3)f_3(\kappa_3)} \\ &\geq \left(\ln \frac{r_3\beta_2 - g_2\beta_2 - h_1}{\beta_2f_3} \right)^s, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

under the hypothesis that $(r_3f_3 - g_2f_3 - h_1)^s > 0$.

When $y_1(\kappa_3) \geq y_2(\kappa_4)$, then $y_1(\kappa_4) \geq y_1(\kappa_3) \geq y_2(\kappa_4)$. From (3.18b), we have

$$f_4(\kappa_4)e^{y_2(\kappa_4)} = r_4(\kappa_4) + \frac{h_2(\kappa_4)e^{y_1(\kappa_4-\tau)}}{e^{y_1(\kappa_4-\tau)} + \beta_2(\kappa_4)e^{y_2(\kappa_4-\tau)}} \geq r_4(\kappa_4), \quad (3.21)$$

which implies

$$y_1(\kappa_3) \geq y_2(\kappa_4) \geq \ln \frac{r_4(\kappa_4)}{f_4(\kappa_4)} \geq \left(\ln \frac{r_4}{f_4} \right)^s. \quad (3.22)$$

Combing the inequalities (3.11) with (3.20) and (3.22), from (3.2) it easily follows that

$$|y_1(n)| + |y_2(n)| \leq 2C_2. \quad (3.23)$$

Set $M_1 = 2C_1 + 2C_2 + 1$, then $\|\mathbf{u}\| < M_1$. M_1 is independent on $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. Suppose $\mu \in (0, 1)$ is a parameter, $\bar{r}_1\beta_1^s - g_1^M > 0$ and $\bar{r}_3\beta_2^s - g_2^M\beta_2^s - h_1^M > 0$. Then any solution $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)^T$ of the algebraic system

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \bar{r}_1 - \bar{f}_1 e^{v_1} + \mu(-\bar{p}_1 + \bar{p}_1 e^{v_2-v_1}) - \frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_\omega} \frac{g_1(t)e^{v_3}}{e^{v_1} + \beta_1(t)e^{v_3}} \delta t, \\ 0 &= \bar{r}_2 - \bar{f}_2 e^{v_2} + \mu(-\bar{p}_2 + \bar{p}_2 e^{v_1-v_2}), \\ 0 &= \bar{r}_3 - \bar{f}_3 e^{v_3} - \frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_\omega} \frac{g_2(t)e^{v_1}}{e^{v_1} + \beta_1(t)e^{v_3}} \delta t - \frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_\omega} \frac{h_1(t)e^{v_4}}{e^{v_3} + \beta_2(t)e^{v_4}} \delta t, \\ 0 &= \bar{r}_4 - \bar{f}_4 e^{v_4} + \frac{\mu}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_\omega} \frac{h_2(t)e^{v_3}}{e^{v_3} + \beta_2(t)e^{v_4}} \delta t \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

satisfies $\|\mathbf{v}\| \leq 2C_3 + 2C_4$, where

$$C_3 := \max \left\{ \left| \ln \frac{\bar{r}_1 \beta_1^s - g_1^M}{\bar{f}_1 \beta_1^s} \right|, \left| \ln \frac{\bar{r}_1}{\bar{f}_1} \right|, \left| \ln \frac{\bar{r}_2}{\bar{f}_2} \right| \right\}, \quad (3.25)$$

$$C_4 := \max \left\{ \left| \ln \frac{\bar{r}_3 \beta_2^s - g_2^M \beta_2^s - h_1^M}{\bar{f}_3 \beta_2^s} \right|, \left| \ln \frac{\bar{r}_3}{\bar{f}_3} \right|, \left| \ln \frac{\bar{r}_4}{\bar{f}_4} \right|, \left| \ln \frac{\bar{r}_4 + h_2^M}{\bar{f}_4} \right| \right\}. \quad (3.26)$$

Proof. When $v_2 \leq v_1$, from the first two equations of (3.24) and Lemma 2.4, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_1 e^{v_1} &= \bar{r}_1 + \mu(-\bar{p}_1 + \bar{p}_1 e^{v_2-v_1}) - \frac{\mu e^{v_3}}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_\omega} \frac{g_1(t)}{e^{v_1} + \beta_1(t) e^{v_3}} \delta t \leq \bar{r}_1, \\ \bar{f}_2 e^{v_2} &= \bar{r}_2 + \mu(-\bar{p}_2 + \bar{p}_2 e^{v_1-v_2}) \geq \bar{r}_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

which implies that

$$\ln \frac{\bar{r}_2}{\bar{f}_2} \leq v_2 \leq v_1 \leq \ln \frac{\bar{r}_1}{\bar{f}_1}. \quad (3.28)$$

Analogously, when $v_1 < v_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_1 e^{v_1} &= \bar{r}_1 + \mu(-\bar{p}_1 + \bar{p}_1 e^{v_2-v_1}) - \frac{\mu e^{v_3}}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_\omega} \frac{g_1(t)}{e^{v_1} + \beta_1(t) e^{v_3}} \delta t \geq \bar{r}_1 - \frac{g_1^M}{\beta_1^s}, \\ \bar{f}_2 e^{v_2} &= \bar{r}_2 + \mu(-\bar{p}_2 + \bar{p}_2 e^{v_1-v_2}) \leq \bar{r}_2; \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

it follows that

$$\ln \frac{\bar{r}_1 \beta_1^s - g_1^M}{\bar{f}_1 \beta_1^s} \leq v_1 < v_2 \leq \ln \frac{\bar{r}_2}{\bar{f}_2}, \quad (3.30)$$

by the assumption that $\bar{r}_1 \beta_1^s - g_1^M > 0$.

Hence, from (3.25) we have $|v_1| + |v_2| \leq 2M_3$.

On the other hand, with similar discussion above, from the last two of (3.24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \frac{\bar{r}_3 \beta_2^s - g_2^M \beta_2^s - h_1^M}{\bar{f}_3 \beta_2^s} &\leq v_3 \leq \ln \frac{\bar{r}_3}{\bar{f}_3}, \\ \ln \frac{\bar{r}_4}{\bar{f}_4} &\leq v_4 \leq \ln \frac{\bar{r}_4 + h_2^M}{\bar{f}_4}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

which imply that $|v_3| + |v_4| \leq 2C_4$ from (3.26). So, $\|\mathbf{v}\| \leq 2C_3 + 2C_4$. $\square$

With the preparations above, we can complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 as follows.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Set $M_2 = 2C_3 + 2C_4 + 1$. By Lemma 3.3, we know that any solution $\mathbf{v}$ of the system (3.24) satisfies $\|\mathbf{u}\| < M_2$. Take $C = M_1 + M_2$, and define $\Omega = \{\mathbf{u} \in \Gamma : \|\mathbf{u}\| < C\}$. Due to Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, condition (C1) in Lemma 2.5 is satisfied.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} : \text{Dom } \mathcal{L} \cap \Gamma &\longrightarrow \Gamma, \\ \mathcal{L}\mathbf{u}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1^\delta(t) \\ x_2^\delta(t) \\ y_1^\delta(t) \\ y_2^\delta(t) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where $\text{Dom } \mathcal{L} = \{(x_1(t), x_2(t), y_1(t), y_2(t))^T \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^4)\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} : \Gamma &\longrightarrow \Gamma, \\ \mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} N_1(t) \\ N_2(t) \\ N_3(t) \\ N_4(t) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(t) &= r_1(t) - f_1(t)e^{x_1(t)} - \frac{g_1(t)e^{y_1(t-\tau)}}{e^{x_1(t-\tau)} + \beta_1(t)e^{y_1(t-\tau)}} - p_1(t) + p_1(t)e^{x_2(t)-x_1(t)}, \\ N_2(t) &= r_2(t) - f_2(t)e^{x_2(t)} - p_2(t) + p_2(t)e^{x_1(t)-x_2(t)}, \\ N_3(t) &= r_3(t) - f_3(t)e^{y_1(t)} - \frac{g_2(t)e^{x_1(t-\tau)}}{e^{x_1(t-\tau)} + \beta_1(t)e^{y_1(t-\tau)}} - \frac{h_1(t)e^{y_2(t)}}{e^{y_1(t)} + \beta_2(t)e^{y_2(t)}}, \\ N_4(t) &= r_4(t) - f_4(t)e^{y_2(t)} + \frac{h_2(t)e^{y_1(t-\tau)}}{e^{y_1(t-\tau)} + \beta_2(t)e^{y_2(t-\tau)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

With the definitions above, we obtain that $\mathcal{L}\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{N}\mathbf{u}$ for $\mathbf{u} \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{L} \cap \Gamma$ with $\text{Im } \mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{u} \in \Gamma : \int_{\mathbb{I}_\omega} x_i(t)\delta t = 0, \int_{\mathbb{I}_\omega} y_i(t)\delta t = 0, t \in \mathbb{T}, i = 1, 2\}$ and $\ker \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{R}^4$ which is closed in Γ , and $\dim(\ker \mathcal{L}) = \text{codim}(\text{Im } \mathcal{L}) = 4$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Moreover, define two projections P, Q such that $\text{Im } P = \ker \mathcal{L}$ and $\text{Im } \mathcal{L} = \ker Q = \text{Im}(I - Q)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} P &= Q : \Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma, \\ P\mathbf{u} = Q\mathbf{u} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_\omega} x_1(t)\delta t \\ \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_\omega} x_2(t)\delta t \\ \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_\omega} y_1(s)\delta s \\ \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_\omega} y_2(s)\delta s \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

Then $\Gamma = \ker \mathcal{L} \oplus \ker P = \ker \mathcal{L} \oplus \ker Q$, and choose Ψ as the identity isomorphism of $\text{Im } Q$ to $\ker P$. Furthermore, the generalized inverse (to $\mathcal{L}$) exists and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \text{Im } \mathcal{L} &\longrightarrow \text{Dom } \mathcal{L} \cap \ker P, \\ \Phi \mathbf{u} &= \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\eta}^s x_1(t) \delta t - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\omega}} \int_{\eta}^t x_1(s) \delta s \delta t \\ \int_{\eta}^s x_2(t) \delta t - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\omega}} \int_{\eta}^t x_2(s) \delta s \delta t \\ \int_{\eta}^t y_1(s) \delta s - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\omega}} \sum_{\eta}^t y_1(s) \delta s \\ \int_{\eta}^t y_2(s) \delta s - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\omega}} \sum_{\eta}^t y_2(s) \delta s \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

Thus

$$Q \circ \mathcal{N} \mathbf{u} = \left(\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\omega}} N_1(t) \delta t, \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\omega}} N_2(t) \delta t, \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\omega}} N_3(t) \delta t, \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{\omega}} N_4(t) \delta t \right)^T. \quad (3.37)$$

Clearly, $Q \circ \mathcal{N}$ and $\Phi \circ (I - Q)$ are well defined. By the Lebesgue convergence theorem and the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, $\Phi \circ (I - Q)(\overline{\Omega})$ is relatively compact for any open-bounded set $\Omega \subset \Gamma$. Moreover, $Q \circ \mathcal{N}(\overline{\Omega})$ is bounded. Therefore, $\mathcal{N}$ is $\mathcal{L}$ -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ for any open-bounded set $\Omega \subset \Gamma$. When $\mathbf{u} \in \partial\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^4$ is a constant vector in $\mathbb{R}^4$, then $Q \circ \mathcal{N} \mathbf{u} \neq 0$ since $Q \circ \mathcal{N} \mathbf{u} = 0$ is the system (3.24) with $\epsilon = 1$. Condition (C2) in Lemma 2.5 is also satisfied.

Finally, we claim that $\deg(\Psi \circ Q \circ \mathcal{N}, \Omega, O) \neq 0$, where $O := (0, 0, 0, 0)^T$. In fact, consider the homotopy

$$H_{\mu} \mathbf{v} = \mu Q \circ \mathcal{N} \mathbf{v} + (1 - \mu) G \mathbf{v}, \quad \mu \in [0, 1], \quad (3.38)$$

where $G \mathbf{v} = (\bar{r}_1 - \bar{f}_1 e^{v_1}, \bar{r}_2 - \bar{f}_2 e^{v_2}, \bar{r}_3 - \bar{f}_3 e^{v_3}, \bar{r}_4 - \bar{f}_4 e^{v_4})^T$.

When $\mathbf{v} \in \Omega \cap \ker \mathcal{L} = \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^4$ is a constant vector with $\|\mathbf{v}\| = C$, from Lemma 2.5, we get that $H_{\mu} \mathbf{v} \neq O$ on $\partial\Omega \cap \ker \mathcal{L}$. Since $\text{Im } Q = \ker \mathcal{L}$ and $(v_1^*, v_2^*, v_3^*, v_4^*)^T \in \Omega \cap \ker \mathcal{L}$ is the unique solution of the algebraic equations $G \mathbf{v} = (0, 0, 0, 0)^T$, by the homotopy invariance of Brouwer degree, we obtain

$$\deg(\Psi \circ Q \circ \mathcal{N}, \partial\Omega \cap \ker \mathcal{L}, O) = \text{sign} \left(-\bar{f}_1 \bar{f}_2 \bar{f}_3 \bar{f}_4 e^{v_1^* + v_2^* + v_3^* + v_4^*} \right) \neq 0. \quad (3.39)$$

Therefore, all the conditions in Lemma 2.5 are fulfilled and the dynamic system (1.2) has at least one ω -periodic solution lying in $\text{Dom } \mathcal{L} \cap \overline{\Omega}$. $\square$

4. Example

Consider the following system with 20π -periodic time scale:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1^\delta(t) &= 4 - 2 \cos \frac{t}{10} - \left(5 - \sin \frac{t}{10}\right) e^{x_1(t)} - \frac{(5 - \cos(t/10) + 2 \sin(t/10)) e^{y_1(t-1/3)}}{e^{x_1(t-1/3)} + (5 - \cos(t/10)) e^{y_1(t-1/3)}} \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{5}{3} - \frac{\sin t}{10}\right) (e^{x_2(t)-x_1(t)} - 1), \\
 x_2^\delta(t) &= 5 - 2 \sin \frac{t}{10} - \left(4 + \cos \frac{t}{10}\right) e^{x_2(t)} + \left(\frac{5}{4} - \cos\left(\frac{t}{10}\right)\right) (e^{x_1(t)-x_2(t)} - 1), \\
 y_1^\delta(t) &= 5 + 2 \sin \frac{t}{10} + \cos \frac{t}{10} - \frac{(2 - \cos(t/10)) e^{y_2(t)}}{e^{y_1(t)} + (4 - \sin(t/10)) e^{y_2(t)}} \\
 &\quad - \left(\frac{7}{3} - \cos \frac{t}{10}\right) e^{y_1(t)} - \frac{(2 + \sin(t/10)) e^{x_1(t-1/3)}}{e^{x_1(t-1/3)} + (5 - \cos(t/10)) e^{y_1(t-1/3)}}, \\
 y_2^\delta(t) &= 5 + 2 \sin \frac{t}{10} - \left(5 + \cos \frac{t}{10}\right) e^{y_2(t)} + \frac{(3 + \sin(t/10)) e^{y_1(t-1/3)}}{e^{y_1(t-1/3)} + (4 - \sin t/10) e^{y_2(t-1/3)}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

From the definition of $\mathbb{I}_\omega$, we obtain that $\mathbb{I}_\omega = [0, 20\pi]$. It is straight to check that $(r_1\beta_1 - g_1)^s = \min_t \{r_1(t)\beta_1(t) - g_1(t)\} = 15 - 13 \cos(t/10) + 2 \sin(t/10) + 2 \cos^2(t/10) > 0$, and other inequalities $\bar{r}_1\beta_1^s - g_1^M > 0$, $(r_3\beta_2 - g_2\beta_2 - h_1)^s > 0$ and $\bar{r}_3\beta_2^s - g_2^M\beta_2^s - h_1^M > 0$. Hence, from Theorem 3.1, the dynamic system (4.1) has at least one 20-periodic solution on the time scale $\mathbb{T}$.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for many helpful suggestions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 61003287) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (no. SWJT U11BR174).

References

- [1] G. Sunita and N. R. Kamel, "Chaos in seasonally perturbed ratio-dependent prey-predator system," *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 107–118, 2003.
- [2] B. Li, "The existence of positive periodic solution for three-species predator-prey diffusion delay models with functional response," *Journal of Biomathematics*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 385–394, 2002.
- [3] W. Wang and Z. Ma, "Harmless delays for uniform persistence," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 158, no. 1, pp. 256–268, 1991.
- [4] R. Xu and L. Chen, "Persistence and global stability for a three-species ratio-dependent predator-prey system with time delays in two-patch environments," *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 533–541, 2002.
- [5] S. Hilger, "Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 18, no. 1-2, pp. 18–56, 1990.
- [6] J. Bařtinec, J. Diblík, and Z. Šmarda, "Existence of positive solutions of discrete linear equations with a single delay," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 1047–1056, 2007.
- [7] J. Bařtinec, J. Diblík, and Z. Šmarda, "An explicit criterion for the existence of positive solutions of the linear delayed equation $\dot{x}(t) = -c(t)x(t - \tau(t))$," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2011, Article ID 561902, 12 pages, 2011.

- [8] J. Diblík and M. Kúdelciová, "Existence and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions of functional differential equations of delayed type," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2011, Article ID 754701, 16 pages, 2011.
- [9] R. P. Agarwal and M. Bohner, "Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 35, no. 1-2, pp. 3–22, 1999.
- [10] M. U. Akhmet, M. Beklioglu, T. Ergenc, and V. I. Tkachenko, "An impulsive ratio-dependent predator-prey system with diffusion," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 1255–1267, 2006.
- [11] M. Bohner, M. Fan, and J. M. Zhang, "Existence of periodic solutions in predator-prey and competition dynamic systems," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 1193–1204, 2006.
- [12] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2003.
- [13] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Sivasundaram, and B. Kaymakçalan, *Dynamic Systems on Measure Chains*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Mass, USA, 1996.
- [14] A. Slavík, "Dynamic equations on time scales and generalized ordinary differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 385, no. 1, pp. 534–550, 2012.
- [15] L. Hu and X. Zhou, "Positive solutions of semipositone higher-order differential equations on time scales," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 74, no. 9, pp. 3033–3045, 2011.
- [16] W. Liu, Q. A. Ngô, and W. Chen, "Ostrowski type inequalities on time scales for double integrals," *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, vol. 110, no. 1, pp. 477–497, 2010.
- [17] J. Zhang, M. Fan, and H. Zhu, "Periodic solution of single population models on time scales," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 52, no. 3-4, pp. 515–521, 2010.
- [18] E. Beretta and Y. Kuang, "Global analyses in some delayed ratio-dependent predator-prey systems," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 381–408, 1998.
- [19] H. I. Freedman and R. M. Mathsen, "Persistence in predator-prey systems with ratio-dependent predator influence," *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 817–827, 1993.
- [20] Z. Gui and L. Chen, "Persistence for nonautonomous competition system with functional response," *Journal of Mathematics and Technology*, vol. 17, pp. 7–12, 2001.
- [21] S. B. Hsu, T. W. Hwang, and Y. Kuang, "Global analysis of the Michaelis-Menten-type ratio-dependent predator-prey system," *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 489–506, 2001.
- [22] P. Y. H. Pang and M. Wang, "Qualitative analysis of a ratio-dependent predator-prey system with diffusion," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh A*, vol. 133, no. 4, pp. 919–942, 2003.
- [23] W. Sun, S. Chen, Z. M. Hong, and C. P. Wang, "On positive periodic solution of periodic competition Lotka-Volterra system with time delay and diffusion," *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 971–978, 2007.
- [24] F. Brauer and C. Castillo-Chávez, *Mathematical Models in Population Biology and Epidemiology*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2001.
- [25] M. Fan and K. Wang, "Periodic solutions of a discrete time nonautonomous ratio-dependent predator-prey system," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 35, no. 9-10, pp. 951–961, 2002.
- [26] R. E. Gaines and J. L. Mawhin, *Coincidence Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 568, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1977.
- [27] Y. Li and Y. Kuang, "Periodic solutions in periodic state-dependent delay equations and population models," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 130, no. 5, pp. 1345–1353, 2002.
- [28] L. Zhang, H. Li, and X. Zhang, "Periodic solutions of competition Lotka-Volterra dynamic system on time scales," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 1204–1211, 2009.