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We introduce an algorithm for solving the split common fixed point problem for quasi-total asymptotically nonexpansive uniformly Lipschitzian mapping in Hilbert spaces. The results presented in this paper improve and extend some recent corresponding results.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $H_1$ and $H_2$ be real Hilbert spaces with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$. Let $C$ and $Q$ be nonempty closed convex subsets of $H_1$ and $H_2$, respectively. The split feasibility problem (SFP) is formulated as finding a point $x^*$ with the property

$$
x^* \in C, \quad Ax^* \in Q,
$$

where $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is a bounded linear operator.

The SFP in finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces was first introduced by Censor and Elfving [1] for modeling inverse problems which arise from phase retrievals and in medical image reconstruction [2]. Recently, it has been found that the SFP can also be used in various disciplines such as image restoration, computer tomograph, and radiation therapy treatment planning [1, 3–7]. The SFP in infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces can be found in [8–16].
The split common fixed point problem (SCFP) is a generalization of the split feasibility problem (SFP) and the convex feasibility problem (CFP), see [4]. Let \( S : H_1 \to H_1 \) and \( T : H_2 \to H_2 \) be two mappings satisfying \( F(S) = \{ x \in H_1 : Sx = x \} \neq \emptyset \) and \( F(T) = \{ x \in H_2 : Tx = x \} \neq \emptyset \), respectively. The split common fixed point problem for mappings \( S \) and \( T \) is to find a point \( q \in H_1 \) with the property

\[
q \in F(S), \quad Aq \in F(T),
\]

where \( A \) is a bounded linear operator from \( H_1 \) to \( H_2 \). We use \( \Gamma \) to denote the set of solutions of SCFP \((1.2)\).

We first recall some definitions, notations, and conclusions which will be used in proving our main results.

Let \( E \) be a Banach space. A mapping \( T : E \to E \) is said to be demiclosed at origin, if for any sequence \( \{ x_n \} \subset E \) with \( x_n \to x^* \) and \( \|(I - T)x_n\| \to 0 \), then \( x^* = Tx^* \).

A Banach space \( E \) is said to satisfy Opial’s condition, if for any sequence \( \{ x_n \} \) in \( E \), \( x_n \to x^* \) implies that

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x^*\| < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y\|, \quad \forall y \in E \text{ with } y \neq x^*.
\]

It is well known that every Hilbert space satisfies Opial’s condition.

**Definition 1.1.** Let \( H \) be a real Hilbert space, \( T \) a mapping from \( H \) into itself, and the fixed point set \( F(T) \) of \( T \) nonempty.

1. \( T \) is said to be **quasi-nonexpansive** if for all \( (x, q) \in H \times F(T) \)

\[
\|Tx - q\| \leq \|x - q\|.
\]

2. \( T \) is said to be **quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive** if there exists a sequence \( \{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty) \) with \( k_n \to 1 \) as \( n \to \infty \) such that for all \( (x, q) \in H \times F(T) \)

\[
\|T^n x - q\| \leq k_n \|x - q\|, \quad \forall n \geq 1,
\]

3. \( T \) is said to be **\((\{\mu_n\}, \{\xi_n\}, \phi)\)-quasi-total asymptotically nonexpansive** if for all \( (x, q) \in H \times F(T) \)

\[
\|T^n x - q\|^2 \leq \|x - q\|^2 + \mu_n \phi(\|x - q\|) + \xi_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1,
\]

where \( \phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) is a continuous and strictly increasing function with \( \phi(0) = 0 \) and \( \{\mu_n\} \) and \( \{\xi_n\} \) are two nonnegative real sequences satisfying \( \mu_n \to 0 \) and \( \xi_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). The class of mappings was introduced by Alber et al. [17] in 2006.
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(4) $T$ is said to be \textit{uniformly $L$-Lipschitzian} if there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that for all $(x, y) \in H \times H$

$$
\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq L \|x - y\|.
$$

(5) $T$ is said to be \textit{semicompact} if for any bounded sequence $\{x_n\} \subset H$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - T x_n\| = 0$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converges strongly to a point $x^* \in H$.

\textbf{Remark 1.2.} From Definition 1.1 we can see that a quasi-nonexpansive mapping or an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping is a $(\{\mu_n\}, \{\xi_n\}, \phi)$-quasi-total asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. But the converse does not hold.

In [9], Moudafi proposed the following iterative algorithm for solving split common fixed point problem of quasi-nonexpansive mappings: for arbitrarily chosen $x_1 \in H_1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\{ u_n &= x_n + \gamma \beta A^*(T - I)Ax_n, \\
x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n Uu_n, \quad n \in N,
\end{align*}
$$

and proved that $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a split common fixed point $x^* \in \Gamma$, where $U : H_1 \to H_1$ and $T : H_2 \to H_2$ are two quasi-nonexpansive mappings and $A : H_1 \to H_2$ is a bounded linear operator.

Inspired by the work of Moudafi [9, 10], very recently, Qin et al. [12] introduced the following iterative algorithm to study multiple-sets feasibility problem of a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings. For arbitrarily chosen $x_1 \in H_1$, $\{x_n\}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_n &= x_n + \gamma \beta A^*(T_n - I)Ax_n, \\
x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)y_n + \alpha_n S_n y_n, \quad n \geq 1,
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_n = S_{n(\text{mod} N)}$, $T_n = T_{n(\text{mod} N)}$, $n \geq 1$, $A : H_1 \to H_2$ is a bounded linear operator. They also proved that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly or weakly to a multiple-sets split common fixed point of a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces under some suitable conditions.

Motivated and inspired by the work of Moudafi [9, 10] and Qin et al. [12], in this paper, we study the SCFP (1.2) of $(\{\mu_n\}, \{\xi_n\}, \phi)$-quasi-total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces and obtain some of the strong and weak convergence of the presented algorithm to some $q \in \Gamma$. The results obtained in this paper improve and extend the result of Qin et al. [12], Xu [14], and Yang [16] and others.

By using the well-known equality $\langle x, y \rangle = (1/2)\|x\|^2 + (1/2)\|y\|^2 - (1/2)\|x - y\|^2$ in Hilbert spaces, we can easily show the following proposition. The proof is omitted.

\textbf{Proposition 1.3.} Let $T : H \to H$ be a $(\{\mu_n\}, \{\xi_n\}, \phi)$-quasi-total asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. Then for each $q \in F(T)$ and $x \in H$, the following inequalities hold:

$$
\langle x - T^n x, x - q \rangle \geq \frac{\mu_n}{2} \|x - T^n x\|^2 - \frac{\mu_n}{2} \phi(\|x - q\|) - \frac{\xi_n}{2},
$$

$$
\langle x - T^n x, q - T^n x \rangle \leq \frac{\mu_n}{2} \|x - T^n x\|^2 + \frac{\mu_n}{2} \phi(\|x - q\|) + \frac{\xi_n}{2}.
$$
Lemma 1.4. Let \( \{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \) and \( \{\delta_n\} \) be sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying
\[
a_{n+1} \leq (1 + \delta_n)a_n + b_n. \tag{1.12}
\]
If \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty \) and \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < \infty \), then the \( \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n \) exists.

2. Main Results

For solving split common fixed point problem (1.2), we assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) are two real Hilbert spaces, and \( A : H_1 \to H_2 \) is a bounded linear operator;

(ii) \( S : H_1 \to H_1 \) is uniformly \( L_1 \)-Lipschitzian and \( (\{\mu_n^{(1)}\}, \{\xi_n^{(1)}\}, \phi_1) \)-quasi-total asymptotically nonexpansive mapping and \( T : H_2 \to H_2 \) is uniformly \( L_2 \)-Lipschitzian and \( (\{\mu_n^{(2)}\}, \{\xi_n^{(2)}\}, \phi_2) \)-quasi-total asymptotically nonexpansive mapping satisfying the following conditions:

(a) \( C := F(S) \neq \emptyset, Q := F(T) \neq \emptyset; \)

(b) \( \mu_n = \max\{\mu_n^{(1)}, \mu_n^{(2)}\}, \xi_n = \max\{\xi_n^{(1)}, \xi_n^{(2)}\}, n \geq 1, \) and \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n < \infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n < \infty; \)

(c) \( \phi = \max\{\phi_1, \phi_2\} \) and there exists two positive constant \( M \) and \( M^* \) such that \( \phi(\lambda) \leq M^* \lambda^2 \) for all \( \lambda \geq M. \)

Theorem 2.1. Let \( H_1, H_2, A, S, T, C, Q, L_1, L_2, \{\mu_n\}, \{\xi_n\}, \) and \( \phi \) be the same as above. Let \( \{x_n\} \) be the sequence generated by: arbitrarily chosen \( x_1 \in H_1 \)
\[
\begin{align*}
    u_n &= x_n + \gamma A^*(T^n - I)Ax_n, \\
    x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n S^\ast u_n, \quad n \geq 1,
\end{align*}
\tag{2.1}
\]
where \( \{\alpha_n\} \) is a sequence in \( [\alpha, 1 - \alpha] \) for some \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and \( \gamma > 0 \) is a constant satisfying the following condition:

(d) \( \gamma \in (0, 1/n ||A||^2) \).

If \( T \) and \( S \) both are demi-closed at origin and \( \Gamma \neq \emptyset \), then

(I) the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges weakly to a split common fixed point \( x^* \in \Gamma; \)

(II) in addition, if \( S \) is also semi-compact, then \( \{x_n\} \) and \( \{u_n\} \) both converge strongly to a \( x^* \in \Gamma. \)

Proof. (I) The proof will be divided into 4 steps.

Step 1. We prove that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - q|| \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} ||u_n - q|| \) exist, and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - q|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||u_n - q|| \) for each \( q \in \Gamma \). Since \( \phi \) is a continuous and increasing function, as \( \lambda \geq 0 \), we can obtain that
\[
    \phi(\lambda) \leq \phi(M) + M^* \lambda^2. \tag{2.2}
\]
Taking \( q \in \Gamma \), that is \( q \in F(S) = C \) and \( Aq \in F(T) = Q \), and using (1.10), (2.1), and (2.2), we have

\[
\|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 \leq \|u_n - q - \alpha_n (u_n - S^n u_n)\|^2 \\
= \|u_n - q\|^2 - 2\alpha_n \langle u_n - q, u_n - S^n u_n \rangle + \alpha_n^2 \|u_n - S^n u_n\|^2 \\
\leq \|u_n - q\|^2 - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - S^n u_n\|^2 \\
+ \alpha_n \mu_n \left( \phi(M) + M^* (\|u_n - q\|)^2 \right) + \alpha_n \xi_n \\
= (1 + \alpha_n \mu_n M^*) \|u_n - q\|^2 - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - S^n u_n\|^2 \\
+ \alpha_n \mu_n \phi(M) + \alpha_n \xi_n,
\]

\[\|u_n - q\|^2 = \|x_n + \gamma A^* (T^n - I) A x_n - q\|^2 \]

\[= \|x_n - q\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|A^* (T^n - I) A x_n\|^2 + 2\gamma \langle x_n - q, A^* (T^n - I) A x_n \rangle \\
= \|x_n - q\|^2 + \gamma^2 \langle (T^n - I) A x_n, A A^* (T^n - I) A x_n \rangle \\
+ 2\gamma \langle x_n - q, A^* (T^n - I) A x_n \rangle,
\]

where

\[
\gamma^2 \langle (T^n - I) A x_n, A A^* (T^n - I) A x_n \rangle \leq \|A\|^2 \gamma^2 \langle (T^n - I) A x_n, (T^n - I) A x_n \rangle \\
\leq \|A\|^2 \gamma^2 \| (T^n - I) A x_n \|^2,
\]

\[
2\gamma \langle x_n - q, A^* (T^n - I) A x_n \rangle = 2\gamma \langle A (x_n - q), (T^n - I) A x_n \rangle \\
= 2\gamma \langle A (x_n - q) + (T^n - I) A x_n - (T^n - I) A x_n, (T^n - I) A x_n \rangle \\
= 2\gamma \langle A (x_n - q) + (T^n - I) A x_n - (T^n - I) A x_n, (T^n - I) A x_n \rangle \\
= 2\gamma \left( \langle T^n (A x_n) - A q, (T^n - I) A x_n \rangle - \| (T^n - I) A x_n \|^2 \right).
\]

Using (1.11), we have

\[
2\gamma \left( \langle T^n (A x_n) - A q, (T^n - I) A x_n \rangle - \| (T^n - I) A x_n \|^2 \right) \\
\leq \gamma \| (T^n - I) A x_n \|^2 + \gamma \mu_n \phi \| A x_n - A q \| + \gamma \xi_n - 2\gamma \| (T^n - I) A x_n \|^2 \\
\leq -\gamma \| (T^n - I) A x_n \|^2 + \gamma \mu_n M^* A^2 \| x_n - q \| + \gamma \mu_n \phi(M) + \gamma \xi_n.
\]
Substituting (2.5) and (2.7) into (2.4) and simplifying, we obtain
\[
\|u_n - q\|^2 \leq \|x_n - q\|^2 + \gamma^2 \|A\|^2 \|(T^n - I)Ax_n\|^2 - \gamma \|(T^n - I)Ax_n\|^2 \\
+ \gamma \mu_n M^* \|A\|^2 \|x_n - q\|^2 + \gamma \mu_n \phi(M) + \gamma \xi_n
\]
\[
\leq \left(1 + \gamma \mu_n M^* \|A\|^2\right) \|x_n - q\|^2 - \gamma \left(1 - \gamma \|A\|^2\right) \|(T^n - I)Ax_n\|^2 \\
+ \gamma \mu_n \phi(M) + \gamma \xi_n.
\]  
(2.8)

Substituting (2.8) into (2.3) and simplifying, we have
\[
\|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 \leq (1 + \alpha_n \mu_n M^*) \left\{ \left(1 + \gamma \mu_n M^* \|A\|^2\right) \|x_n - q\|^2 \\
- \gamma \left(1 - \gamma \|A\|^2\right) \|(T^n - I)Ax_n\|^2 + \gamma \mu_n \phi(M) + \gamma \xi_n \right\} \\
- \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - S^\mu u_n\|^2 + \alpha_n \mu_n \phi(M) + \alpha_n \xi_n
\]
\[
= (1 + b_n) \|x_n - q\|^2 - (1 + \alpha_n \mu_n M^*) \gamma \left(1 - \gamma \|A\|^2\right) \|(T^n - I)Ax_n\|^2 \\
- \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - S^\mu u_n\|^2 + c_n,
\]  
(2.9)

where
\[
b_n = \alpha_n \mu_n M^* + \gamma \mu_n M^* \|A\|^2 + \gamma \|A\|^2 \alpha_n \mu_n^2 (M^*)^2,
\]
\[
c_n = (1 + \alpha_n \mu_n M^*) (\gamma \mu_n \phi(M) + \alpha_n \xi_n) + \alpha_n \mu_n \phi(M) + \alpha_n \xi_n.
\]  
(2.10)

Since \(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n < \infty\) and \(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n < \infty\), so \(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < \infty\) and \(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \infty\). By Condition (4), we have
\[
\|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 \leq (1 + b_n) \|x_n - q\|^2 + c_n.
\]  
(2.11)

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 1.4 that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - q\|\) exists. We now prove that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - q\|\) exists for each \(q \in \Gamma\). Since \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - q\|\) exists, from (2.9), we have
\[
(1 + \alpha_n \mu_n M^*) \gamma \left(1 - \gamma \|A\|^2\right) \|(T^n - I)Ax_n\|^2 + \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \|u_n - S^\mu u_n\|^2 \\
\leq \|x_n - q\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 - b_n \|x_n - q\|^2 \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]  
(2.12)

This together with Condition (4) implies that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u - S^\mu u\| = 0,
\]  
(2.13)
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|(T^n - I)Ax_n\| = 0.
\]  
(2.14)
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Thus, since \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - q \| \) exists, it follows from (2.4) and (2.14) that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| u_n - q \| \) exists and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - q \| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \| u_n - q \| \).

**Step 2.** Now we prove that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| = 0 \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| u_{n+1} - u_n \| = 0. \)

As a matter of fact, it follows from (2.1) that

\[
\| x_{n+1} - x_n \| = \|(1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n S^\gamma u_n - x_n \|
= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_n + \gamma A^*(T^n - I)Ax_n) + \alpha_n S^\gamma u_n - x_n \|
= \|(1 - \alpha_n)\gamma A^*(T^n - I)Ax_n + \alpha_n (S^\gamma u_n - x_n)\|.
\]

Similarly, it follows from (2.1), (2.14), and (2.16) that

\[
\| u_{n+1} - u_n \| = \| x_{n+1} + \gamma A^*(T^{n+1} - I)Ax_{n+1} - (x_n + \gamma A^*(T^n - I)Ax_n) \|
\leq \| x_{n+1} - x_n \| + \| \gamma A^*(T^{n+1} - I)Ax_{n+1} \|
+ \gamma \| A^*(T^n - I)Ax_n \| \to 0, \quad (n \to \infty).
\]

**Step 3.** Next, we prove that \( \| u_n - Su_n \| \to 0 \) and \( \| Ax_n - TAx_n \| \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty. \)

Setting \( \eta_n := \| u_n - S^\gamma u_n \|, \) since \( S \) is uniformly \( L \)-Lipschitzian continuous, it follows from (2.13), (2.16), and (2.17) that

\[
\| u_n - Su_n \| \leq \| u_n - S^\gamma u_n \| + \| S^\gamma u_n - Su_n \|
\leq \eta_n + L \left( \| S^{n-1} u_n - u_n \| \right)
\leq \eta_n + L \left( \| S^{n-1} u_n - S^{n-1} u_{n-1} \| + \| S^{n-1} u_{n-1} - u_n \| \right)
\leq \eta_n + L \left( \| u_n - u_{n-1} \| + \| u_{n-1} - u_n \| \right)
\leq \eta_n + L \left( 1 + L \right) \| u_n - u_{n-1} \| + L \eta_{n-1} \to 0, \quad (n \to \infty).
\]

Similarly, we have

\[
\| Ax_n - TAx_n \| \to 0, \quad (n \to \infty).
\]
Step 4. Finally, we prove that $x_n \to x^*$ and $u_n \to x^*$, where $x^* \in \Gamma$. Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\{u_{n_i}\}$ of $\{u_n\}$ such that $u_{n_i} \to x^*$ (some point in $H_1$). From (2.18) we have $\lim_{i \to \infty} \|u_{n_i} - Su_{n_i}\| = 0$. Since $S$ is semi-compact at zero, we know that $x^* \in F(S)$.

Moreover, it follows from (2.1) and (2.14) that

$$x_n = u_n - \gamma A^*(T^n - I)Ax_{n} \to x^*. \tag{2.20}$$

Since $A$ is a linear bounded operator, it gets $Ax_{n_i} \to Ax^*$. In view of (2.19) we have $\lim_{i \to \infty} \|Ax_{n_i} - TAx_{n_i}\| = 0$.

Again since $T$ is semi-compact at zero, we know that $Ax^* \in F(T)$. This implies that $x^* \in \Gamma$.

Assume that there exists another subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}$ of $\{u_n\}$ such that $\{u_{n_k}\}$ converges weakly to a point $y^* \in H$ with $y^* \neq x^*$. Using the same argument above, we know that $y^* \in \Gamma$. Since each Hilbert space possesses Opial’s property, we have

$$\liminf_{i \to \infty} \|u_{n_i} - x^*\| \leq \liminf_{i \to \infty} \|u_{n_i} - y^*\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - y^*\| = \liminf_{k \to \infty} \|u_{n_k} - y^*\| < \liminf_{k \to \infty} \|u_{n_k} - x^*\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - x^*\| = \liminf_{i \to \infty} \|u_{n_i} - x^*\|,$$

which is a contradiction. This implies that $\{u_{n_k}\}$ converges weakly to the point $x^* \in \Gamma$. Since $x_n = u_n - \gamma A^*(T^n - I)Ax_{n}$, we know that $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to $x^* \in \Gamma$. The proof of conclusion(I) is completed.

Proof of Conclusion (II). Since $S$ is semi-compact, it follows from Step 4 that there exists a subsequence of $\{u_{n_k}\}$ (without loss of generality, we still denote it by $\{u_{n_k}\}$) such that $\{u_{n_k}\} \to u^* \in H$ (some point in $H$). Since $\{u_{n_k}\} \to x^*$, this implies that $x^* = u^*$. And so $\{u_{n_k}\} \to x^* \in \Gamma$ as $i \to \infty$. Since $\lim_{i \to \infty} \|x_{n_k} - q\|$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - q\|$ exist, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - q\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - q\|$ for each $q \in \Gamma$, we know that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - x^*\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x^*\| = 0$. This implies that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_{n_k}\}$ both converge strongly to a $x^* \in \Gamma$. The proof is completed.
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