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1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, the symbols \( \mathbb{N} \) and \( \mathbb{R} \) will denote the set of all natural and real numbers, respectively. The notion of convergence for double sequence was introduced by Pringsheim [1]: we say that a double sequence \( x = (x_{jk})_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}} \) of reals is convergent to \( L \) in Pringsheim’s sense (briefly, \((P)\) convergent) provided that given \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists a positive integer \( N \) such that \( |x_{jk} - L| < \varepsilon \) whenever \( j, k \geq N \).

The idea of statistical convergence is a generalization of convergence of real sequences which was first presented by Fast [2] and Steinhaus [3], independently. Some of its basic properties and interesting concepts, especially, the notion of statistically Cauchy sequence, were proved by Schoenberg [4], Šalát [5], and Fridy [6]. See, for instance, [7–16] and references therein. Mursaleen and Edely [17] introduced the two-dimensional analogue of natural (or asymptotic) density as follows: let \( A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \) and \( A(h, l) = \{ j \leq h, k \leq l : (j, k) \in A \} \), where \( h, l \in \mathbb{N} \). Then

\[
\delta_2(A) = \limsup_{h, l \to \infty} \frac{|A(h, l)|}{hl},
\]

\[
\bar{\delta}_2(A) = \liminf_{h, l \to \infty} \frac{|A(h, l)|}{hl},
\]

are called the upper and lower asymptotic densities of a two-dimensional set \( A \), respectively, where the vertical bars stand for cardinality of the enclosed set. If \( \delta_2(A) = \bar{\delta}_2(A) \), then

\[
\delta_2(A) = (P) \lim_{h, l \to \infty} \frac{|A(h, l)|}{hl}
\]

is called the double natural density of the set \( A \). In the same paper, using the notion of double natural density, they extended the idea of statistical convergence from single to double sequences (for recent work, see [18–23]).

The double sequence \( x = (x_{jk}) \) is statistically convergent to the number \( L \) if, for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \), the set \( \{ (j, k), j \leq h, k \leq l : |x_{jk} - L| \geq \varepsilon \} \) has double natural density zero. We denote this by \( S\)-lim \( x = L \) (or \( x_{jk} \to L(S) \)).

Mursaleen initiated the notion of \( \lambda \)-statistical convergence (single sequences) with the help of de la Vallée-Poussin mean, in [24]. For detail of \( \lambda \)-statistical convergence, one can be referred to [25–31] and many others. In [32], Mursaleen et al. presented the notion of \((\lambda, \mu)\)-statistical convergence and \((\lambda, \mu)\)-statistically bounded for double sequences and showed that \((\lambda, \mu)\)-statistically bounded double sequences are \((\lambda, \mu)\)-statistical convergence if and only if \((\lambda, \mu)\)-statistical limit infimum of \( x = (x_{jk}) \) is equal to \((\lambda, \mu)\)-statistical limit supremum of \( x \) (also see [33]).

Suppose that \( \lambda = (\lambda_m) \) and \( \mu = (\mu_n) \) are two nondecreasing sequences of positive real numbers such that

\[
\lambda_{m+1} \leq \lambda_m + 1, \quad \lambda_1 = 0, \\
\mu_{n+1} \leq \mu_n + 1, \quad \mu_1 = 0
\]

and each tends to infinity.
Recall that \((\lambda, \mu)\)-density of the set \(K \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\) is given by
\[
\delta_{\lambda, \mu}(K) = (P) \lim_{m, n} \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \times \left\lfloor m - \lambda_m + 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad n - \mu_n + 1 \leq k \leq n : (j, k) \in K \right\rfloor
\]
provided that the limit exists.

We remark that, for \(\lambda_n = m\) and \(\mu_n = n\), the above density reduces to the double natural density.

The generalized double de la Vallée-Poussin mean is defined as
\[
t_{m,n}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \sum_{j \in I_m, k \in I_n} x_{j,k},
\]
where \(I_m = [m - \lambda_m + 1, m]\) and \(I_n = [n - \mu_n + 1, n]\).

We say that \(x = (x_{j,k})\) is \((\lambda, \mu)\)-statistically convergent to the number \(L\), if for every \(\varepsilon > 0\),
\[
(P) \lim_{m, n} \frac{1}{\lambda_m \mu_n} \| \{ j \in I_m, k \in I_n : |x_{j,k} - L| \geq \varepsilon \} \| = 0.
\]

We denote this by \(S_{\lambda, \mu}\)-limit \(x = L\).

The symbol \(\Delta^*\) will denote the set of all distribution functions (d.f.) \(f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]\) which are nondecreasing, left continuous on \(\mathbb{R}\), equal to zero on \([-\infty, 0]\), and such that \(f(+\infty) = 1\). The space \(\Delta^*\) is partially ordered by the usual pointwise order of functions.

A triangular norm (or \(a\)-norm) [34] is a binary operation \(\tau : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \to [0, 1]\) which satisfies the following conditions. For all \(h_1, h_2, h_3 \in [0, 1]\)
\[
\begin{align*}
(i) \quad & \tau(\tau(h_1, h_2), h_3) = \tau(h_1, \tau(h_2, h_3)) , \\
(ii) \quad & \tau(h_1, h_2) = \tau(h_2, h_1) , \\
(iii) \quad & \tau(h_1, h_3) \leq \tau(h_2, h_3) \text{ whenever } h_1 \leq h_2 , \\
(iv) \quad & \tau(h_1, 1) = h_1 .
\end{align*}
\]

In the literature, we have two definitions of probabilistic normed space or, briefly, PN-space; the original one is given by Šerstnev [35] in 1962 who used the concept of Menger [36] to define such space and the other one by Alsina et al. [37] (for more details, see [38–40]).

According to Šerstnev [35], a probabilistic normed space is a triple \((X, \nu, \tau)\), where \(X\) is a real linear space, \(\nu\) is the probabilistic norm, that is, \(\nu\) is a function from \(X\) into \(\Delta^*\), for \(x \in X\), the d.f. \(\nu(x)\) is denoted by \(\nu_x, \nu_x(t)\) (which is the value of \(\nu_x\) at \(t \in \mathbb{R}\), and \(\tau\) is a \(a\)-norm that satisfies the following conditions:
\[
\begin{align*}
(i) \quad & \nu_x(0) = 0 , \\
(ii) \quad & \nu_x(t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ if and only if } x = 0 , \\
(iii) \quad & \nu_{\alpha x}(t) = \nu_x(t/\alpha) \text{ for all } t > 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } \alpha \neq 0 \text{ and } x \in X , \\
(iv) \quad & \nu_{x+y}(t_1 + t_2) \geq \nu_x(t_1) \nu_y(t_2) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+ = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq 0 \} .
\end{align*}
\]

2. Main Results

We define the notions of \((\lambda, \mu)\)-summable, statistically \((\lambda, \mu)\)-summable, statistically \((\lambda, \mu)\)-Cauchy, and statistically \((\lambda, \mu)\)-complete for double sequences with respect to \(PN\)-space and establish some interesting results.

**Definition 1.** A double sequence \(x = (x_{j,k})\) is said to be \((\lambda, \mu)\)-summable in \((X, \nu, \tau)\) (or, shortly, \((\lambda, \mu)\)-summable) to \(L\) if for each \(\varepsilon > 0, (h, l) \in (0, 1)\) there exists \(N \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \(\nu_{m,n}(x_{j,k}) < 1 - \theta \) for all \(m, n > N\). In this case, one writes \(\nu(\lambda, \mu)\)-limit \(x = L\).

**Definition 2.** A double sequence \(x = (x_{j,k})\) is said to be statistically \((\lambda, \mu)\)-summable in \((X, \nu, \tau)\) (or, shortly, \((\lambda, \mu)\)-summable) to \(L\) if \(\delta_2(K_{\lambda, \mu}) = 0, \) where \(K_{\lambda, \mu} = \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \nu_{m,n}(x_{j,k}) \leq 1 - \theta \} \); that is, if, for each \(\varepsilon > 0, \theta \in (0, 1),\)
\[
(P) \lim_{h, l} \frac{1}{\nu_{m,n}} \| [m \leq h, n \leq l : \nu_{m,n}(x_{j,k}) \leq 1 - \theta] \| = 0
\]
or equivalently
\[
(P) \lim_{h, l} \frac{1}{\nu_{m,n}} \| [m \leq h, n \leq l : \nu_{m,n}(x_{j,k}) > 1 - \theta] \| = 0 .
\]
In this case, we write \(\nu(S_{\lambda, \mu})\)-limit \(x = L\), and \(L\) is called the \(\nu(S_{\lambda, \mu})\)-limit of \(x\).

**Definition 3.** A double sequence \(x = (x_{j,k})\) is said to be statistically \((\lambda, \mu)\)-Cauchy in \((X, \nu, \tau)\) (or, shortly, \((\lambda, \mu)\)-Cauchy) if, for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) and \(\theta \in (0, 1),\) there exist \(M, N \in \mathbb{N}\) such that, for all \(m, p \geq M, n, q \geq M,\) the set \(S_{\lambda, \mu} = \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \nu_{m,n}(x_{j,k}) < 1 - \theta \}\) has double natural density zero; that is,
\[
(P) \lim_{h, l} \frac{1}{\nu_{m,n}} \| [m \leq h, n \leq l : \nu_{m,n}(x_{j,k}) > 1 - \theta] \| = 0 .
\]

**Theorem 4.** If a double sequence \(x = (x_{j,k})\) is statistically \((\lambda, \mu)\)-summable in \((X, \nu, \tau)\), that is, \(\nu(S_{\lambda, \mu})\)-limit \(x = L\) exists, then \(\nu(S_{\lambda, \mu})\)-limit of \((x_{j,k})\) is unique.

**Proof.** Assume that \(\nu(S_{\lambda, \mu})\)-limit \(x = L_1\) and \(\nu(S_{\lambda, \mu})\)-limit \(x = L_2\). We have to prove that \(L_1 = L_2\). For given \(\varepsilon \) \(> 0\), choose \(q > 0\) such that
\[
(\tau((1 - q), (1 - q)) > 1 - \varepsilon .
\]
Then, for any \(t > 0\), we define
\[
M_t'(\lambda, \mu) = \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \nu_{m,n}(x_{j,k}) \leq 1 - q \}
\]
and
\[
\mu_t''(\lambda, \mu) = \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \nu_{m,n}(x_{j,k}) \leq 1 - q \} .
\]

Since \(\nu(S_{\lambda, \mu})\)-limit \(x = L_1\) implies \(\delta_2(M_t'(\lambda, \mu)) = 0\) and similarly we have \(\delta_2(M_t''(\lambda, \mu)) = 0\). Now, let \(M_t(\lambda, \mu) = M_t'(\lambda, \mu) \cap M_t''(\lambda, \mu)\). It follows that \(\delta_2(M_t(\lambda, \mu)) = 0\) and hence
the complement \( M_q^c(\lambda, \mu) \) is nonempty set and \( \delta_2(M_q^c(\lambda, \mu)) = 1 \). Now, if \((m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M_q(\lambda, \mu)\), then
\[
\nu_{L_1-L_2}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{2}, & \text{for } m, n = \omega^2, \; \omega \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \frac{t}{t + mn}, & \text{otherwise;} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

(12)

Since \( \epsilon > 0 \) was arbitrary, we obtain \( \nu_{L_1-L_2}(t) = 1 \) for all \( t > 0 \). Hence \( L_1 = L_2 \). This means that \( \nu(S_{\lambda, \mu}) \)-limit is unique. \( \square \)

**Theorem 5.** If a double sequence \( x = (x_{j,k}) \) is \( (\lambda, \mu) \)-summable to \( L \), then it is \( (S_{\lambda, \mu}) \)-summable to the same limit.

**Proof.** Let us consider that \( \nu(\lambda, \mu) \)-lim \( x = L \). For every \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( t > 0 \), there exists a positive integer \( N \) such that
\[
\nu_{L_1-L_2}(t) > 1 - \epsilon
\]
holds for all \( m, n \geq N \). Since
\[
K_c(\lambda, \mu) = \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \nu_{L_1-L_2}(t) \leq 1 - \epsilon \right\}
\]
is contained in \( \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \), hence \( \delta_2(K_c(\lambda, \mu)) = 0 \); that is, \( x = (x_{j,k}) \) is \( (S_{\lambda, \mu}) \)-summable to \( L \). \( \square \)

**Example 6.** This example proves that the converse of Theorem 5 need not be true. We denote by \((R, | \cdot |)\) the set of all real numbers with the usual norm and \( r(a, b) = ab \) for all \( a, b \in [0, 1] \). Assume that \( \nu(\tau(t) = t/(t + |x|)) \) for all \( x \in X \) and all \( t > 0 \). Here, we observe that \((R, v, \tau)\) is a PN-space. The double sequence \( x = (x_{j,k}) \) is defined by
\[
t_{mn}(x) = \begin{cases} mn, & \text{if } m, n = \omega^2, \; \omega \in \mathbb{N}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

(15)

For \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( t > 0 \), write
\[
K_c(\lambda, \mu) = \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \nu_{mn}(t) \leq 1 - \epsilon \right\}.
\]

(16)

It is easy to see that
\[
\nu_{mn}(t) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{for } m, n = \omega^2, \; \omega \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \frac{t}{t + mn}, & \text{otherwise;} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

(17)

and hence
\[
\lim \nu_{mn}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + mn}, & \text{for } m, n = \omega^2, \; \omega \in \mathbb{N}; \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

(18)

We see that the sequence \((x_{j,k})\) is not \((\lambda, \mu)\)-summable in \((R, v, \tau)\). But the set \( K_c(\lambda, \mu) \) has double natural density zero since \( K_c(\lambda, \mu) \subset \{(1,1), (4,4), (9,9), (16,16), \ldots\} \). From here, we conclude that the converse of Theorem 5 need not be true.

**Theorem 7.** A double sequence \( x = (x_{j,k}) \) is \( (S_{\lambda, \mu}) \)-summable to \( L \) if and only if there exists a subset \( K = \{(j_m, k_n) : j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m < \cdots ; k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n < \cdots \} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \delta_2(K) = 1 \) and \( \nu(\lambda, \mu) \)-lim \( x_{j_m,k_n} = L \).

**Proof.** Assume that there exists a subset \( K = \{(j_m, k_n) : j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m < \cdots ; k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n < \cdots \} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \delta_2(K) = 1 \) and \( \nu(\lambda, \mu) \)-lim \( x_{j_m,k_n} = L \). Then there exists \( N \) such that
\[
\nu_{L_1-L_2}(t) > 1 - \epsilon
\]
holds for all \( m, n > N \). Put \( K_m(\lambda, \mu) = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \nu_{mn}(t) \leq 1 - \epsilon \} \) and \( K'(m) = \{(j_{m+1}, k_{m+1}),(j_{m+2}, k_{m+2}), \ldots\} \). Then \( \delta_2(K') = 1 \) and \( K_c(\lambda, \mu) \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus K' \) which implies that \( \delta_2(K_c(\lambda, \mu)) = 0 \). Hence \( x = (x_{j,k}) \) is statistically \((\lambda, \mu)\)-summable to \( L \) in PN-space.

Conversely, suppose that \( x = (x_{j,k}) \) is \( (S_{\lambda, \mu}) \)-summable to \( L \). For \( q = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \) and \( t > 0 \), write
\[
K_q(\lambda, \mu) = \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \nu_{mn}(t) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{q} \right\},
\]
\[
M_q(\lambda, \mu) = \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \nu_{mn}(t) > \frac{1}{q} \right\}.
\]

(20)

Then \( \delta_2(K_q(\lambda, \mu)) = 0 \) and
\[
\delta_2(M_q(\lambda, \mu)) = 1, \quad q = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]

(21)

(22)

Now, we have to show that, for \((m, n) \in M_q(\lambda, \mu)\), \( x = (x_{j_m,k_n}) \) is not \( \nu(\lambda, \mu) \)-summable to \( L \). Suppose that \( x = \nu(\lambda, \mu) \)-summable to \( L \). Therefore, there is \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( \nu_{mn}(t) \leq \epsilon \) for infinitely many terms. Let
\[
M_e(\lambda, \mu) = \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \nu_{mn}(t) > \epsilon \right\},
\]
and \( \epsilon > 1/q \) with \( q = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). Then
\[
\delta_2(M_e(\lambda, \mu)) = 0,
\]
and by (21), \( M_q(\lambda, \mu) \subset M_e(\lambda, \mu) \). Hence \( \delta_2(M_e(\lambda, \mu)) = 0 \), which contradicts (22) and therefore \( x = (x_{j_m,k_n}) \) is \( \nu(\lambda, \mu) \)-summable to \( L \).

**Theorem 8.** If a double sequence \( x = (x_{j,k}) \) is statistically \((\lambda, \mu)\)-summable in PN-space, then it is statistically \((\lambda, \mu)\)-Cauchy.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \nu(S_{\lambda, \mu}) \)-lim \( x = L \). Let \( \epsilon > 0 \) be a given number so that we choose \( q > 0 \) such that
\[
\tau((1 - q), (1 - q)) > 1 - \epsilon.
\]

(25)

Then, for \( t > 0 \), we have
\[
\delta_2(A_q(\lambda, \mu)) = 0,
\]

(26)
where \( A_q(\lambda, \mu) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \gamma_{\nu_{m,n}}(x) - L(t/2) \leq 1 - q\} \)

which implies that

\[
\delta_2 \left( A^c_q(\lambda, \mu) \right) = \delta_2 \left( \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \gamma_{\nu_{m,n}}(x) - L(t/2) > 1 - q \right\} \right) = 1.
\]

Let \((f,g) \in A^c_q(\lambda, \mu)\). Then \(\nu_{f,g}(x) - L(t/2) > 1 - q\).

Now, let

\[ B_c(\lambda, \mu) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \gamma_{\nu_{m,n}}(x) - t \omega(x) < 1 - \epsilon\}.
\]

We need to show that \( B_c(\lambda, \mu) \subset A_q(\lambda, \mu) \). Let \((m,n) \in B_c(\lambda, \mu) \setminus A_q(\lambda, \mu) \). Then \(\gamma_{\nu_{m,n}}(x) - t \omega(x) < 1 - \epsilon\), \(\nu_{m,n}(x) - L(t/2) > 1 - q\), and in particular \(\nu_{f,g}(x) - L(t/2) > 1 - q\). Then

\[
1 - \epsilon \geq \gamma_{\nu_{m,n}}(x) - t \omega(x) \geq \tau \left( \gamma_{\nu_{m,n}}(x) - L(t/2), \nu_{f,g}(x) - L(t/2) \right) \geq \tau \left( \left( 1 - q \right), \left( 1 - q \right) \right) > 1 - \epsilon,
\]

which is not possible. Hence \( B_c(\lambda, \mu) \subset A_q(\lambda, \mu) \). Therefore, by (26) \( \delta_2(B_c(\lambda, \mu)) = 0 \). Hence, \( x \) is statistically \((\lambda, \mu)\)-Cauchy in PN-space.

**Definition 9.** Let \((X, \nu, \tau)\) be a PN-space. Then,

(i) PN-space is said to be **complete** if every Cauchy double sequence is \(P\)-convergent in \((X, \nu, \tau)\);

(ii) PN-space is said to be **statistically \((\lambda, \mu)\)-complete** (or, shortly, \(\nu(S_{\lambda,\mu})\)-complete) if every statistically \((\lambda, \mu)\)-Cauchy sequence in PN-space is statistically \((\lambda, \mu)\)-summable.

**Theorem 10.** Every probabilistic normed space \((X, \nu, \tau)\) is \(\nu(S_{\lambda,\mu})\)-complete but not \(\nu(S_{\lambda,\mu})\)-complete in general.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( x = (x_{j,k}) \) is \(\nu(S_{\lambda,\mu})\)-Cauchy but not \(\nu(S_{\lambda,\mu})\)-summable. Then there exist \( M, N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, for all \( m, p \geq M \), \( n, q \geq M \), the set \( E_p(\lambda, \mu) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \gamma_{\nu_{m,n}}(x) - t \omega(x) \leq 1 - \epsilon\} \) has double natural density zero; that is, \( \delta_2(E_p(\lambda, \mu)) = 0 \) and

\[
\delta_2 \left( E^c_p(\lambda, \mu) \right) = \delta_2 \left( \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \gamma_{\nu_{m,n}}(x) - L(t/2) > 1 - \epsilon \right\} \right) = 0.
\]

This implies that \( \delta_2(F^c_p(\lambda, \mu)) = 1 \), since

\[
\gamma_{\nu_{m,n}}(x) - t \omega(x) \geq 2 \gamma_{\nu_{m,n}}(x) - L(t/2) > 1 - \epsilon,
\]

if \( \gamma_{\nu_{m,n}}(x) - L(t/2) > (1 - \epsilon)/2 \). Therefore \( \delta_2(F^c_p(\lambda, \mu)) = 0 \); that is, \( \delta_2(E_p(\lambda, \mu)) = 1 \), which leads to a contradiction, since \( x = (x_{j,k}) \) was \(\nu(S_{\lambda,\mu})\)-Cauchy. Hence \( x = (x_{j,k}) \) must be \(\nu(S_{\lambda,\mu})\)-summable.

To see that a probabilistic normed space is not complete in general, we have the following example.

**Example 11.** Let \( X = (0,1] \) and \( \nu_x(t) = t/(t + |x|) \) for \( t > 0 \). Then \((X, \nu, \tau)\) is a probabilistic normed space but not complete, since the double sequence \((1/\pi)\) is Cauchy with respect to \((X, \nu, \tau)\) but not \(P\)-convergent with respect to the present PN-space.
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