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This paper focuses on the stability and oscillations of Euler-Maclaurin method for linear differential equations with piecewise constant arguments

\[ u'(t) = au(t) + bu([t]), \quad t \geq 0, \]

where \( a, b, u_0 \in \mathbb{R} \) and \([\cdot]\) denotes the greatest integer function. Moreover, we assume that \( b < 0 \). The general form of this type of equations is

\[ u'(t) = f(t, u(t), u(\beta(t))), \quad t \geq 0, \]

where the argument \( \beta(t) \) has intervals of constancy.

It is well known that studies of EPCA were motivated by the fact that they represent a hybrid of continuous and discrete dynamical systems and combine the properties of both the differential and difference equations. The local asymptotic stabilization of a class of instable autonomous nonlinear difference equations was studied in [1]. Convergence and stability of adaptation algorithm for a class of nominally first-order hybrid time-invariant linear systems were considered in [2], and stability for hybrid difference-differential systems was analyzed in [3]. The first work devoted to EPCA is the paper of Shah and Wiener [4] in 1983. In 1984 Cooke and Wiener [5] studied these equations with delay. The investigation of EPCA is an important subject because they play an important role in numerous applications [6–8]. In the literature, there are many papers dealing with the properties of EPCA, including the existence and uniqueness of solutions [9], the stability [10], the oscillations [11], and the existence of integral manifolds [12]. Moreover, the concept of EPCA has been generalized in [13–15] by introducing arbitrary piecewise constant functions as arguments. Significant parts of pioneer results for EPCA can be found in [16]. For more details of EPCA, the reader can see [17–22] and the references cited therein.

In recent ten years, much work has been done in the numerical solution of EPCA. For instance, in [23, 24], the stability of numerical solution in Runge-Kutta methods for (1) and the mixed type EPCA was studied, respectively. In [25],
the numerical stability of $\theta$-methods was considered for EPCA of advanced type. For EPCA with variable coefficients, Song and Liu [26] studied the asymptotic stability of the analytic and numerical solutions. The oscillations of $\theta$-methods and Runge-Kutta methods were investigated in [27, 28] for EPCA of retarded type, respectively. However, as far as we know, very few results were obtained on combining the stability with the oscillations of the numerical solution in the same article except for [29]. Different from [29], the novel idea of our paper is that we will study both stability and oscillations of the numerical solution in the Euler-Maclaurin method for (1), and their relationships are analyzed quantitatively.

Euler-Maclaurin method is an important tool of numerical analysis which was discovered independently and almost simultaneously by Euler and Maclaurin in the first half of 18th century. Rota [30] called Euler-Maclaurin “one of the most remarkable formulas of mathematics.” After that, it shows us how to trade a finite sum for an integral. It works much like Taylor’s formula. The equation involves an infinite series that may be truncated at any point, leaving an error term that can be bounded.

It is well known that the trapezoidal rule can be derived from the Euler-Maclaurin formula. See, for example, Munro’s paper [31]. In [32], the author indicated how the Newton-Cotes quadrature formulas and various other quadrature formulas can be developed from special cases of periodic Euler-Maclaurin formula. With respect to the numerical analysis of delay differential equations (DDEs), few results on the Euler-Maclaurin method were obtained except for [33]. In our present paper, we will apply this method to (1) and investigate numerical stability and oscillations.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some necessary notations and results are given. In Section 3, we propose Euler-Maclaurin method to solve (1), and the asymptotical stability of numerical solution is discussed. In Section 4, we investigate the oscillations of the numerical solution and prove that the oscillations of the analytic solution are inherited by the Euler-Maclaurin method. In Section 5, we establish some relationships between stability and oscillations, and several numerical experiments are shown in Section 6. Finally, concluding remarks are given in Section 7.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will focus our attention on some preliminary results which will be used in the further analysis.

**Proposition 1** (see [16]). A solution of (1) on $[0, \infty)$ is a function $u(t)$ which satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $u(t)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$;

(ii) the derivative $u'(t)$ exists at each point $t \in [0, \infty)$, with the possible exception of the points [t] $\in [0, \infty)$, where one-sided derivatives exist;

(iii) equation (1) is satisfied on each interval $[n, n + 1) \subset [0, \infty)$ with integral end points.

**Theorem 2** (see [16]). Equation (1) has a unique solution on $[0, \infty)$:

$$u(t) = m_0([t])b_0^{[t]}u_0,$$

where $[t]$ is the fractional part of $t ([t] = t - [t])$ and

$$b_0 = m_0(1), \quad m_0(t) = e^{at} + \left(e^{at} - 1\right)\frac{1}{a}b.$$

**Theorem 3** (see [16]). The solution of (1) is asymptotically stable $u(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ for all $u_0$, if and only if

$$-\frac{a(e^a + 1)}{e^a - 1} < b < -a, \quad a \neq 0,$$

$$-2 < b < 0, \quad a = 0.$$

**Definition 4.** A non-trivial solution of (1) is said to be oscillatory if there exists a sequence $\{k, k\} \subset [0, \infty)$ such as $k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ and $u(t_k)u(t_{k+1}) < 0$; otherwise it is called non-oscillatory. We say (1) is oscillatory if all the non-trivial solutions of (1) are oscillatory; we say (1) is non-oscillatory if all the non-trivial solutions of (1) are non-oscillatory.

**Theorem 5** (see [16]). Every solution of (1) is non-oscillatory if and only if

$$b > \frac{ae^a}{1 - e^a}.$$  

**Remark 6.** By Definition 4, we can see that if a solution $u(t)$ of (1) is non-oscillatory and continuous, then it must be eventually positive or eventually negative. That is, there exists a $T_0 \in R$ such that $u(t) > 0$ or $u(t) < 0$ for $t \geq T_0$.

3. Numerical Stability

3.1. Bernoulli’s Number and Bernoulli’s Polynomial. It is well known that

$$\frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j \frac{z^j}{j!}, \quad |z| < 2\pi,$$

$$\frac{ze^{xz}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j(x) \frac{z^j}{j!}, \quad |z| < 2\pi,$$

where $B_j$ and $B_j(x), j = 0, 1, \ldots$, are called Bernoulli’s number and Bernoulli’s polynomial, respectively.

**Proposition 7** (see [33]). The Bernoulli’s number $B_j$ satisfies the following properties:

(i) $B_0 = 1$, $B_1 = -1/2$,

(ii) $B_{2j} = (-1)^j(2j)! \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2k\pi)^{-2j}$, $B_{2j+1} = 0, j \geq 1$,

(iii) $(B_{2(2i-1)}(2(2i-1)!)) + (B_{2(2i)}(2(2i)!)) > 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots$,

(iv) $(B_{2(2i-1)}(2(2i+1)!)) + (B_{2(2i)}(2(2i)!)) < 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots$. 
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Proposition 8 (see [33]). The Bernoulli’s polynomial $B_j(x)$ satisfies the following properties:

(i) $B_0(x) = 1$, $B_1(x) = x - 1/2$, $B_k(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} C_j^k B_j x^{k-j}$,
(ii) $B_{2k+1}(1) = B_{2k+1}(0) = B_{2k+1} = 0$,
(iii) $B_{2k}(1) = B_{2k}(0) = B_{2k}$,
(iv) $B_k(x) = (1/(k + 1))B_{k+1}(x)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$

3.2. The Euler-Maclaurin Method and Discretization. Let $q > 0$ be an integer, and assume that the function $f(t)$ is at least $2q + 2$ times continuously differentiable on $[c, d]$. Further assume that $h$ evenly divides $c$ and $d$; then Atkinson’s version of the Euler-Maclaurin formula [34] as follows:

$$\int_c^d f(t) dt = h \sum_{n=c}^{d} f(n) - \frac{h}{2} (f(c) + f(d)) - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{h^{2j} B_{2j}}{(2j)!} \left(D^{2j-1} f(d) - D^{2j-1} f(c)\right),$$

where $B_j$ denotes the $j$th Bernoulli number and $D$ denotes the differentiation operator.

Let $h$ be a given step size; $m > 1$ is a given integer and satisfies $h = 1/m$. The grid points $t_i$ are defined by $t_i = ih (i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$; let $m = km + l$ ($l = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$). Applying formula (8) to (1), we have

$$u_{i+1} = u_i + \frac{h}{2} (u_{i+1} + u_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{B_{2j}h^{2j}}{(2j)!} (u_{i+1} - u_i) + hbu_{km},$$

Lemma 9 (see [35]). Assume that $f(x)$ has $2n + 3rd$ continuous derivative on the interval $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$; then we have

$$\left| \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t) dt - \frac{h}{2} (f(t_{i+1}) + f(t_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{B_{2j}h^{2j}}{(2j)!} \left(f^{(2j-1)}(t_{i+1}) - f^{(2j-1)}(t_i)\right) \right| = O(h^{2n+3}).$$

According to Theorem 2.2 in [33], we obtain the following theorem for convergence.

Theorem 10. For any given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Euler-Maclaurin method is of order $2n + 2$.

Equation (9) can be written as

$$u_{km+l+1} = \alpha(x) u_{km+l} + \frac{b}{a} (\alpha(x) - 1) u_{km}, \quad \text{when } a \neq 0,$$
$$u_{km+l+1} = u_{km+l} + hbu_{km}, \quad \text{when } a = 0,$$

where

$$x = ha, \quad \alpha(x) = 1 + \frac{x}{\Phi(x)},$$
$$\Phi(x) = 1 - \frac{x}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{B_{2j}x^{2j}}{(2j)!}.$$ It is not difficult to see that (II) is equivalent to

$$u_{(k+1)m} = \left(\alpha(x)^m + \frac{b}{a} (\alpha(x)^m - 1)\right) u_{km}, \quad \text{when } a \neq 0,$$
$$u_{(k+1)m} = (1 + b) u_{km}, \quad \text{when } a = 0,$$

$$u_{km+l} = \left(\alpha(x)^l + \frac{b}{a} (\alpha(x)^l - 1)\right) u_{km}, \quad \text{when } a \neq 0,$$
$$u_{km+l} = (1 + hbl) u_{km}, \quad \text{when } a = 0,$$

where $0 \leq l \leq m - 1$.

3.3. Stability Analysis

Definition 11. Process (9) for (1) is called asymptotically stable at $(a, b)$ for all sufficiently small $h$ if and only if there exists a constant $h_0$, such that for any given $u_0$, relation (15) defines $u_i$ that satisfies $u_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$ whenever $0 < h < h_0$.

Definition 12. The set, denoted by $S$, of all points $(a, b)$ at which process (9) for (1) is asymptotically stable, for all sufficiently small $h$, is called the asymptotical stability region.

Lemma 13 (see [33]). If $|x| \leq 1$, then $\varphi(x) \geq 1/2$ for $x > 0$ and $\varphi(x) \geq 1$ for $x \leq 0$.

By relation (15), we can easily prove the following lemma.

Lemma 14. For any $k$ and $0 \leq l \leq m - 1$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of $k$ and $l$ such that

$$|u_{km+l}| \leq C |u_{km}|.$$ (16)

So we have the following corollary.

Corollary 15. $u_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$ if and only if $u_{km} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.

According to Definition 11, Corollary 15, and relation (14), the following theorem is obtained.

Theorem 16. The numerical solution of (1) is asymptotically stable if and only if

$$-a \frac{(\alpha(x)^m + 1)}{\alpha(x)^m - 1} < b < -a, \quad \text{when } a \neq 0,$$
$$-2 < b < 0, \quad \text{when } a = 0.$$ (17)
For convenience, we divide $S$ into two parts $S = S_* \cup S_0$, where
$$
S_* = \{(a, b) \in S : a \neq 0 \},
$$
$$
S_0 = \{(a, b) \in S \setminus S_* : a = 0 \},
$$
Therefore, by Definition 12 and Theorem 16, we have
$$
S_* = \left\{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : - \frac{a (\alpha(x)^m + 1)}{\alpha(x)^m - 1} < b < -a, \ a \neq 0 \right\},
$$
$$
S_0 = \{(0, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -2 < b < 0 \}.
$$
In view of (19) and (20), we know that $H_* \subseteq S_*$ and $H_0 \subseteq S_0$.

In the following, we assume $M > |a|$, which implies that $|x| < 1$ for the stepsize $h = 1/m$ with $m \geq M$. The following lemma will be useful to determine the stability and oscillatory conditions.

**Lemma 17 (see [33]).** If $|x| \leq 1$, then
$$
\Phi(x) \leq \frac{x}{e^x - 1} \tag{21}
$$
when $n$ is even and
$$
\Phi(x) \geq \frac{x}{e^x - 1} \tag{22}
$$
when $n$ is odd.

Then the first main result for stability is as follows.

**Theorem 18.** For the Euler-Maclaurin method, $H_* \subseteq S_*$ if and only if $n$ is odd.

**Proof.** In view of (19) and (20), we know that $H_* \subseteq S_*$ if and only if
$$
- \frac{a (\alpha(x)^m + 1)}{\alpha(x)^m - 1} \leq - \frac{a (e^a + 1)}{e^a - 1},
$$
that is,
$$
\frac{a}{\alpha(x)^m - 1} \geq \frac{a}{e^a - 1},
$$
which is equivalent to
$$
\alpha(x) \leq e^x, \ a > 0,
$$
$$
\alpha(x) \geq e^x, \ a < 0.
$$
In view of $\alpha(x) = 1 + (x/\Phi(x))$, thus (25) leads to
$$
\Phi(x) \geq \frac{x}{e^x - 1}. \tag{26}
$$
As a consequence of Lemma 17, the proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Theorem 19.** For all the Euler-Maclaurin method, we have $H_0 = S_0$.

4. **Oscillations Analysis**

Similar to Definition 4, we can easily get the definitions of oscillations and nonoscillations of numerical solution; for the sake of simplicity, we omit them. Then the relationships of the oscillations between the integer nodes and any nodes are as follows.

**Theorem 20.** $\{u_i\}$ and $\{u_{km}\}$ are given by (15) and (14), respectively; then $\{u_i\}$ is non-oscillatory if and only if $\{u_{km}\}$ is non-oscillatory.

**Proof.** The necessity is easy to prove, so we only consider the sufficiency. If $\{u_{km}\}$ is non-oscillatory, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\{u_{km}\}$ is an eventually negative solution of (14); that is, there exists a $K_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u_{km} < 0$ for $k > K_0$. We will prove $u_{km+l} < 0$ for all $k > K_0 + 1$ and $l = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1$.

If $a < 0$, then $0 < \alpha(x) < 1$ and $\alpha(x)^m \leq \alpha(x)^l$; hence
$$
u_{km+l} = \left( \alpha(x)^l \frac{b}{a} \right) u_{km} \leq \left( \alpha(x)^m \frac{b}{a} \right) u_{km} = u_{km+l} < 0.
$$
If $a > 0$, then $\alpha(x) > 1$ and $\alpha(x)^{-m} \leq \alpha(x)^{-l}$; thus
$$
\alpha(x)^{-l} u_{km+l} = \left( 1 + \frac{b}{a} (1 - \alpha(x)^{-l}) \right) u_{km} \leq \left( 1 + \frac{b}{a} (1 - \alpha(x)^{-m}) \right) u_{km} = \alpha(x)^{-m} u_{km+m+l} < 0.
$$
Therefore $u_{km+l} < 0$. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

From Theorem 20, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 21.** $\{u_i\}$ and $\{u_{km}\}$ are given by (15) and (14), respectively; then $\{u_i\}$ is oscillatory if and only if $\{u_{km}\}$ is oscillatory.

**Theorem 22.** Equation (14) is oscillatory if and only if
$$
b < \frac{aa(x)^m}{1 - \alpha(x)^m}, \quad \text{when} \ a \neq 0,
$$
$$
b < -1, \quad \text{when} \ a = 0.
$$
Proof. Equation (14) is oscillatory if and only if the corresponding characteristic equation has no positive roots, that is,
\[ \alpha(x)^m + \frac{b}{a} (\alpha(x)^m - 1) < 0, \quad \text{when } a \neq 0, \]
\[ 1 + b < 0, \quad \text{when } a = 0, \]
which is equivalent to
\[ b < \frac{a\alpha(x)^m}{1 - \alpha(x)^m}, \quad \text{when } a \neq 0, \]
\[ b < -1, \quad \text{when } a = 0, \]
thus (29) is obtained. The proof is finished.

Let
\[ \Pi = \frac{ae^a}{1 - e^a}, \quad \Pi(m) = \frac{a\alpha(x)^m}{1 - \alpha(x)^m}, \]
we have the following lemma.

Lemma 23. (I) \( \Pi(m) \to \Pi \) as \( h \to 0 \);
(II) \( \Pi \geq \Pi(m) \) if either of the following conditions is satisfied:
   (i) \( a > 0 \) and \( e^x \geq \alpha(x) \),
   (ii) \( a < 0 \) and \( e^x \leq \alpha(x) \);
(III) \( \Pi < \Pi(m) \) if either of the following conditions is satisfied:
   (i) \( a > 0 \) and \( e^x < \alpha(x) \),
   (ii) \( a < 0 \) and \( e^x > \alpha(x) \).

Proof. (II) If \( a > 0 \) and \( e^x \geq \alpha(x) \), then \( e^a \geq \alpha(x)^m \), which is equivalent to
\[ \frac{1}{1 - e^a} \geq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha(x)^m}, \]
that is,
\[ \frac{e^a}{1 - e^a} \geq \frac{\alpha(x)^m}{1 - \alpha(x)^m}, \]
so we have \( \Pi \geq \Pi(m) \). Similarly, we can prove the other cases.

Definition 24. We say the Euler-Maclaurin method preserves the oscillations of (1) if (1) oscillates, then there is an \( h_0 > 0 \), such that (15) oscillates for \( h < h_0 \). Similarly, we say the Euler-Maclaurin method preserves the nonoscillations of (1) if (1) nonoscillates then there is an \( h_0 > 0 \), such that (15) nonoscillates for \( h < h_0 \).

By Theorems 5, 20, and 22 and Corollary 21, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 25. (i) The Euler-Maclaurin method preserves the oscillations of (1) if and only if \( \Pi < \Pi(m) \).
(ii) The Euler-Maclaurin method preserves the nonoscillations of (1) if and only if \( \Pi \geq \Pi(m) \).

Applying Theorem 25 and Lemmas 17 and 23, we can obtain the following main theorems for oscillations.

Theorem 26. The Euler-Maclaurin method preserves the oscillations of (1) if \( n \) is even.

Theorem 27. The Euler-Maclaurin method preserves the nonoscillations of (1) if \( n \) is odd.

5. Relationships between Stability and Oscillations

Let
\[ \Pi = -\frac{a(e^a + 1)}{e^a - 1}, \quad \Pi(m) = -\frac{a(\alpha(x)^m + 1)}{\alpha(x)^m - 1}. \]

By Theorems 3, 5, 16, and 22, we can get the following other main theorems.

Theorem 28. When \( a \neq 0 \), the analytic solution of (1) is
   (i) oscillatory and unstable if \( b \in (-\infty, \Pi) \),
   (ii) oscillatory and asymptotically stable if \( b \in (\Pi, \Pi(m)) \),
   (iii) non-oscillatory and asymptotically stable if \( b \in (\Pi(m), -a) \),
   (iv) non-oscillatory and unstable if \( b \in (-a, 0) \).

Theorem 29. When \( a \neq 0 \), the numerical solution of (1) is
   (i) oscillatory and unstable if \( b \in (-\infty, \Pi(m)) \),
   (ii) oscillatory and asymptotically stable if \( b \in (\Pi(m), \Pi(m)) \),
   (iii) non-oscillatory and asymptotically stable if \( b \in (\Pi(m), -a) \),
   (iv) non-oscillatory and unstable if \( b \in (-a, 0) \).

Theorem 30. When \( a = 0 \), the analytic solution and the numerical solution of (1) both are
   (i) oscillatory and unstable if \( b \in (-\infty, -2) \),
   (ii) oscillatory and asymptotically stable if \( b \in (-2, -1) \),
   (iii) non-oscillatory and asymptotically stable if \( b \in (-1, 0) \).

Remark 31. In Theorem 30, we can see that the solution of (1) is not non-oscillatory and unstable at the same time. Otherwise, if the solution of (1) is both non-oscillatory and unstable, we can get \( b \in (0, +\infty) \); it is inconsistent with \( b < 0 \).
6. Numerical Experiments

In this section, we will use the following four equations to demonstrate the main theorems

\[ u'(t) = u(t) - 1.5u([t]), \quad u(0) = 1, \quad (37) \]
\[ u'(t) = -2u(t) - u([t]), \quad u(0) = 1, \quad (38) \]
\[ u'(t) = 3u(t) - 3.2u([t]), \quad u(0) = 1, \quad (39) \]
\[ u'(t) = 0.8u(t) - 0.1u([t]), \quad u(0) = 1. \quad (40) \]

For (37) and (38), it is not difficult to see that (1, -1.5), (-2, -1) \( \in H \) by straightforward computing. Let the stepsize \( h = 1/m; \) we shall use the Euler-Maclaurin method with \( n = 2, \) the \( \theta \)-methods with \( \theta = 0.5, \) and the 2-Radau IA method to get the numerical solution at \( t = 10. \) On the other hand, the theoretical solutions are \( u(10) = 3.0750 \times 10^{-9} \) and \( u(10) = 5.3398 \times 10^{-6} \) for (37) and (38), respectively. In Tables 1 and 2, we have listed the absolute errors (AE), the relative errors (RE) at \( t = 10, \) and the ratio of the errors of the case \( m = 20 \) over that of \( m = 40. \) We can see from these tables that the Euler-Maclaurin method with \( n = 2 \) is of order 6; that is, the method preserves its order of convergence. Furthermore, the errors of the \( \theta \)-methods and the 2-Radau IA method are both larger than that of the Euler-Maclaurin method. Therefore, compared with the two mentioned numerical methods, the Euler-Maclaurin method has higher accuracy.

In Figures 1 and 3, we draw the numerical solution with \( m = 20 \) for (37) and (38), respectively. It is easy to see that the numerical solution is asymptotically stable. In Figure 2, we draw the stability region for (37); it can be seen that the analytical stability region is contained in the numerical stability region, which is in accordance with Theorem 18.

For (39) and (40), the analytic solution of (39) is oscillatory, and the analytic solution of (40) is non-oscillatory according to Theorem 5. From Figures 4 and 5, we can see that the numerical solution of (39) is oscillatory and the numerical solution of (40) is non-oscillatory, which are in accordance with Theorems 26 and 27.

In Figure 4, let \( m = 40; \) it is easy to calculate that \( \Pi \approx -3.1572, \bar{\Pi} \approx -3.3144, \Pi(m) \approx -3.1572, \) and \( \Pi(m) \approx -3.3144. \) Obviously, \( b = -3.2 \in (\bar{\Pi}, \Pi) \) and \( b = -3.2 \in (\Pi(m), \Pi(m)). \) Therefore, the analytic solution and the numerical solution of (39) are both oscillatory and asymptotically stable according to Theorems 28 and 29.
are in agreement with Figure 4. For (37), (38), and (40), we can verify them in the same way (see Figures 1, 3, and 5).

From Figures 1–5, we can see that the numerical results coincide with our theoretical analysis.

7. Conclusions

In this paper, the Euler-Maclaurin method is presented for solving an important EPCA. Asymptotical stability and oscillations of numerical solution are considered, respectively. Furthermore, the relationships between stability and oscillations are also revealed. Results of numerical examples have shown that the Euler-Maclaurin method has good convergence and stability, so it is applicable for the study of EPCA. That is, the Euler-Maclaurin method is an alternative numerical method for DDEs except for the classical $\theta$-methods and Runge-Kutta methods. We will consider the nonlinear and multidimensional problems in the future work.
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