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We consider the following Cauchy problem:

\[-i u_t = \Delta u - V(x)u + f(x, |u|^2)u + (W(x) * |u|^2)u, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t > 0, u(x, 0) = u_0(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\]

where \(V(x)\) and \(W(x)\) are real-valued potentials and \(V(x) \geq 0\) and \(W(x)\) is even, \(f(x, |u|^2)\) is measurable in \(x\) and continuous in \(|u|^2\), and \(u_0(x)\) is a complex-valued function of \(x\). We obtain some sufficient conditions and establish two sharp thresholds for the blowup and global existence of the solution to the problem.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following Cauchy problem:

\[-iu_t = \Delta u - V(x)u + f(x, |u|^2)u + (W(x) * |u|^2)u, u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in \Sigma, x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\]

where \(V(x)\) and \(W(x)\) are real-valued potentials, \(V(x) \geq 0\) and \(W(x)\) is even, \(f(x, |u|^2)\) is measurable in \(x\) and continuous in \(|u|^2\),

\[
(W(x) * |u|^2)u(x) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(x - y) |u(y)|^2 dy\right)u(x),
\]

\(u_0(x)\) is a complex-valued function of \(x\), and \(\Sigma\) is the Hilbert space:

\[
\Sigma = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u|^2 dx < +\infty \right\}.
\]

1. Model (1) appears in the theory of Bose-Einstein condensation, nonlinear optics and theory of water waves (see [1, 2]).

For convenience, denote \(1/(N - 2)^+ = +\infty\) when \(N = 1, 2\) and \((N - 2)^+ = N - 2\) when \(N \geq 3\). We also give some assumptions on \(V(x), f(x, s),\) and \(W(x)\) as follows.

\[
(V1)\ \ V(x) \geq 0 \text{ and } V(x) \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for } r \geq 1, \ r > N/2.
\]

\[
(V2)\ \ V(x) \geq 0, V(x) \in S_1^c, \text{ and } |D^a V| \text{ is bounded for all } |a| \geq 2. \text{ Here } S_1^c \text{ is the complementary set of } S_1 = \{V(x) \text{ satisfies } (V1)\}.
\]

\[
(f1) f(x, s) : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable in } x \text{ and continuous in } |u|^2 \text{ with } f(x, 0) = 0.
\]
Assume that, for every $k > 0$, there exists $L(k) < +\infty$ such that
\[ |f(x, s_1) - f(x, s_2)| \leq L(k)|s_1 - s_2| \quad \forall 0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < k. \]
Here
\[ L(k) \in C([0, \infty)) \quad \text{if} \ N = 1, \]
\[ L(k) \leq C(1 + k^\alpha) \quad \text{with} \ N \leq \alpha < \frac{2}{(N - 2)^\gamma}, \quad \text{if} \ N \geq 2. \]  
\[ (W1) \text{ } W(x) \text{ is even and } W(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for some } q \geq 1, q > N/4. \]

First, we consider the local well-posedness of (1). We have a proposition as follows.

**Proposition 1** (local existence result). Assume that (f1) and (W1) are true, $V(x)$ satisfies (V1) or (V2), and $u_0 \in \Sigma$. Then there exists a unique solution $u$ of (1) on a maximal time interval $[0, T_{\max})$ such that $u \in C(\Sigma; [0, T_{\max}))$ and either $T_{\max} = +\infty$ or else
\[ T_{\max} < +\infty, \quad \lim_{t \to T_{\max}} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_\Sigma = +\infty. \]

**Definition 2.** If $u \in C(\Sigma; [0, T))$ with $T = \infty$, we say that the solution $u$ of (1) exists globally. If $u \in C(\Sigma; [0, T))$ with $T < +\infty$ and $\lim_{t \to T} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_\Sigma = +\infty$, we say that the solution $u$ of (1) blows up in finite time.

This paper is directly motivated by [1, 3–5]. Since Cazenave established some results on blowup and global existence of the solutions to (1) with (V1), (f1), and (W1) in [1], we are interested in the problems such as "What are the results about the blowup and global existence of the solutions to (1) with (V2), (f1), and (W1)?" On the other hand, since Gan et al. had established some sharp thresholds for global existence and blowup of the solution to the related problems to (1) (see [3–5] and the references therein), it is a natural way to consider the sharp threshold for global existence and blowup of the solution to (1).

About the topic of global existence and blowup in finite time, there are many results on the special cases of (1). We will recall some results on the following Cauchy problem:
\[ -i u_t = \Delta u + f(|u|^2) u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ t > 0, \]
\[ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \]

In [6], Glassey established some blowup results for (8). In [7], Berestycki and Cazenave established the sharp threshold for blowup of (8) with supercritical nonlinearity by considering a constrained variational problem. In [8], Weinstein presented a relationship between the sharp criterion for the global solution of (8) and the best constant in the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. In [9], Cazenave and Weissler established the local existence and uniqueness of the solution to (8) with $f(|u|^2)u = |u|^{4/3}u$. Very recently, Tao et al. in [10] studied the Cauchy problem (8) with $f(|u|^2)u = \mu |u|^p u + \nu |u|^p u$, where $\mu$ and $\nu$ are real numbers, $0 < p_1 < p_2 < 4/(N - 2)$ with $N \geq 3$, and established the results on local and global well-posedness, asymptotic behavior (scattering), and finite time blowup under some assumptions. Other sharp thresholds were established by Chen et al. in [11, 12]. The following Cauchy problem
\[ -i u_t = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u - |x|^2 u + K(x)|u|^p u \]
\[ + Q(x)|u|^q u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ t > 0, \]
\[ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \]
is also a special case of (1), where $0 < p < q < 4/(N - 2)$ with $N \geq 3$. In [2], Oh obtained the local well-posedness and global existence results of (9) under some conditions. In [3, 5], Gan et al. and Zhang, respectively, established the sharp thresholds for the global existence and blowup of the solutions to (9) under some conditions. In [4], Gan et al. dealt with
\[ -i u_t = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + a|x|^p u + E_1(|u|^2)u, \]
\[ x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ t > 0, \]
\[ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \]
with $E_1(\xi)$ a singular integral operator, where $0 < p < 4/(N - 2)$ with $N \geq 3$. They got the sharp threshold for global existence and blowup of the solution to (10) and the instability of the wave solutions. Very recently, Miao et al. also obtained some results on the blowup and global existence of the solution to a Hartree equation (see [13–15]).

2. Our Main Results

Now we will introduce some notations. Denote
\[ F(x, |u|^2) = \int_0^{|u|^2} f(x, s) \, ds, \]
\[ G(|u|^2) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (W(x) + |u|^2)|u|^2 \, dx, \]
\[ h(u) = -V(x)u + f(x, |u|^2)u + (W(x) + |u|^2)u, \]
\[ H(u) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, |u|^2) \, dx \]
\[ \quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (W(x) + |u|^2)|u|^2 \, dx, \]
mass ($L^2$ norm)

$$ M(\mathbf{u}) := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\mathbf{u}(x,t)|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad (14) $$

energy

$$ E(\mathbf{u}) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \nabla \mathbf{u} \right|^2 + V(x) |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx $$

$$ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x,|\mathbf{u}|^2) \, dx $$

$$ - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (W(x) * |\mathbf{u}|^2) |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx. \quad (15) $$

In [1], Cazenave obtained some sufficient conditions on blowup and global existence of the solution to (1) with (V1), (f1), and (W1). The following two theorems can be looked at as the parallel results to Corollary 6.1.2 and Theorem 6.5.4 of [1], respectively.

**Theorem 3** (global existence). Assume that $u_0 \in \Sigma$, (V2) and (f1) are true, and

$$ W^+(x) \in L^q \left( \mathbb{R}^N \right) + L^\infty \left( \mathbb{R}^N \right) \quad (16) $$

for some $q \geq 1$, $q \geq N/2$ (and $q > 1$ if $N = 2$). Here $W^+ = \text{max}(W(x), 0)$. Suppose further that there exist constants $c_1$ and $c_2$ such that

$$ F(x,|\mathbf{u}|^2) \leq c_1 |\mathbf{u}|^2 + c_2 |\mathbf{u}|^{2p+2} \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < p < 2/N. $$

Then the solution of (1) exists globally. That is,

$$ \|u(\cdot,t)\|_E < +\infty \quad \forall 0 < t < +\infty. \quad (17) $$

**Theorem 4** (blowup in finite time). Assume that $u_0 \in \Sigma$ and $|x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, (V2), (f1), and (W1) are true. Suppose further that

$$ (N + 2) F(x,|\mathbf{u}|^2) - N|\mathbf{u}|^2 f(x,|\mathbf{u}|^2) \leq 0, \quad (18) $$

$$ 2V(x) + (x \cdot \nabla V(x)) \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e.,} \quad (19) $$

$$ 2W(x) + (x \cdot \nabla W(x)) \leq 0 \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (20) $$

If (1) $E(u_0) < 0$ or (2) $E(u_0) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla u_0)|\nabla|dx < 0$, then the solution of (1) will blow up in finite time. That is, there exists $T_{max} < \infty$ such that

$$ \lim_{t \to T_{max}} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_E = \infty. \quad (21) $$

Denote

$$ Q(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla V(x)) |u|^2 \, dx $$

$$ + N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ F(x,|\mathbf{u}|^2) - |\mathbf{u}|^2 f(x,|\mathbf{u}|^2) \right] \, dx \quad (22) $$

$$ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( (x \cdot \nabla W(x)) + |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) |u|^2 \, dx. $$

We will establish the first type of sharp threshold as follows.

**Theorem 5** (sharp threshold I). Assume that $V(x) \equiv 0$ and $W(x) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $N/4 < q < N/2$. Suppose further that

$$ f(x,0) = 0 \quad \text{and there exist constants} \quad c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0 \quad \text{such that} $$

$$ 1F(x,|\mathbf{u}|^2) \leq |\mathbf{u}|^2 f(x,|\mathbf{u}|^2) - F(x,|\mathbf{u}|^2) $$

$$ \leq c_1 |\mathbf{u}|^{2p+2} + c_2 |\mathbf{u}|^{2p+2}, $$

$$ N|\nabla W(x) + (x \cdot \nabla W(x))| \leq 0 \leq c_3 W(x) + (x \cdot \nabla W(x)). \quad (24) $$

Let $\omega$ be a positive constant satisfying

$$ d_1 := \inf \left\{ \omega : \omega \|u_0\|_E^2 + E(u_0) > 0 \right\}, \quad (25) $$

where $Q(u_0)$ is defined by (22). Suppose that $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies

$$ \omega \|u_0\|_E^2 + E(u_0) < d_1. \quad (26) $$

Then

(1) if $Q(u_0) > 0$, the solution of (1) exists globally;

(2) if $Q(u_0) < 0$, $|x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and

$$ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla u_0)|\nabla|dx < 0, $$

the solution of (1) blows up in finite time.

**Remark 6.** Theorem 5 is only suitable for (1) with $V(x) \equiv 0$. To establish the sharp threshold for (1) with $V(x) \neq 0$, we will construct a type of cross-constrained variational problem and establish some cross-invariant manifolds. First, we introduce some functionals as follows:

$$ I_\omega(u) = \omega \|u\|_E^2 + E(u), \quad (27) $$

$$ S_\omega(u) = 2\omega \|u\|_E^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |\mathbf{u}|^2 - f(x,|\mathbf{u}|^2) |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) \, dx \quad (28) $$

$$ - \left( W(x) + |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx. $$

Denote the Nehari manifold

$$ \mathcal{M}_1 := \{ u \in \Sigma \setminus \{0\}, S_\omega(u) = 0 \} \quad (29) $$

and cross-manifold

$$ \mathcal{M}_2 := \{ u \in \Sigma \setminus \{0\}, S_\omega(u) < 0, Q(u) = 0 \}. \quad (30) $$

Define

$$ d_{\mathcal{N}} := \inf_{\mathcal{N}} I_\omega(u), \quad (31) $$

$$ d_{\mathcal{M}} := \inf_{\mathcal{M}_2} I_\omega(u), \quad (32) $$

$$ d_{II} := \min(d_{\mathcal{N}}, d_{\mathcal{M}}). \quad (33) $$

In Section 5, we will prove that $d_{II}$ is always positive. Therefore, it is reasonable to define the following cross-manifold:

$$ \mathcal{K} := \{ u \in \Sigma \setminus \{0\} : I_\omega(u) < d_{II}, S_\omega(u) < 0, Q(u) < 0 \}. \quad (34) $$

We give the second type of sharp threshold as follows.
Theorem 7 (sharp threshold II). Assume that (f1), (W1), and (23). Suppose that 
\[ W(x) \geq 0, \quad NIV(x) + (x \cdot \nabla V(x)) \leq 0 \tag{35} \]
and there exists a positive constant \( c \) such that 
\[ NIV(x) + (x \cdot \nabla V(x)) \geq cV(x) \geq 0 \tag{36} \]
with the same \( l \) in (23). Assume further that the function \( f(x,|u|^2) \) satisfies \( f(x,0) = 0 \) and 
\[ f(x,|u|^2) \leq f(x,k^2|u|^2), \quad f(x,k^2|u|^2) \leq f(x,|u|^2), \tag{37} \]
\[ F \left( x, k^2|u|^2 \right) - k^2|u|^2 f \left( x, |u|^2 \right) \leq k^2 \left[ F \left( x, |u|^2 \right) - |u|^2 f \left( x, |u|^2 \right) \right] \tag{38} \]
for \( k > 1 \). Here \( f' \) is the partial derivative of \( f(x,s) \) with respect to \( s \) at the point \((x,z)\). If \( u_0 \in \Sigma \) and \( |x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( I(x,u_0) = \omega |u_0|^2 + E(u_0) < d_H \), then the solution of (1) blows up in finite time if and only if \( u_0 \in \mathcal{K} \).

Remark 8. (1) \( f(x,|u|^2) \leq f(x,k^2|u|^2) \) implies that \( k^2F(x,|u|^2) \leq F(x,k^2|u|^2) \) for \( k > 1 \).

(2) The blowup of solution to (1) will benefit from the role of the potential \( V \) if \( V(x) \geq 0 \). In some cases, the blowup of the solution to (1) can be delayed or prevented by the role of potential (see [16] and the references therein).

In the sequel, we use \( C \) and \( c \) to denote various finite constants, their exact values may vary from line to line.

First, we will give the proof of Proposition 1.

Proof of Proposition 1. If (V1) is true, then there exist \( V_1(x) \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( r \geq 1, r > N/2 \), and \( V_2(x) \in L^\infty (\mathbb{R}^N) \) such that 
\[ V(x) = V_1(x) + V_2(x). \tag{39} \]
Noticing that \( 0 < 2r/(r - 1) < 2N/(N - 2) \), using H"older's and Sobolev's inequalities, we have 
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|u|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x)|u|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2(x)|u|^2 \, dx \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x)|u|^r \, dx \right)^{1/r} \times \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2r/(r - 1)} \, dx \right)^{(r - 1)/r} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 \, dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 \, dx. \tag{40} \]
for any \( u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Consequently, we have 
\[ \|u\|_{H^1} \leq \|u\|_{L^2} \leq C\|u\|_{H^1}. \tag{41} \]

By the results of Theorem 3.3.1 in [1], we have the local well-posedness result of (1) in \( \Sigma \).

If (V2), (f1), and (W1) are true, similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [2], we can establish the local well-posedness result of (1) in \( \Sigma \). Roughly, we only need to replace \( |u|^{p+1}u \) by \( f(x,|u|^2)u + (W(x) + |u|^2)u \) in the proof, and we can obtain similar results under the assumptions of (V2), (f1), and (W1).

Noticing that \( \mathfrak{h}(u)\nabla u = 0 \) and \( h(u) = H^1(u) \), following the method of [6] and the discussion in Chapter 3 of [1], one can obtain the conservation of mass and energy. We give the following proposition without proof.

Proposition 9. Assume that \( u(x,t) \) is a solution of (1). Then 
\[ M(u) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \]
\[ = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} = M(u_0), \tag{42} \]
\[ E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \{ |\nabla u|^2 + V(x)|u|^2 - F(x,|u|^2) \} \, dx \]
\[ - G(|u|^2) = E(u_0) \]
for any \( 0 \leq t < T_{\max}. \)

We will recall some results on blowup and global existence of the solution to (1) with (V1), (f1), and (W1).

Theorem A (Corollary 6.12 of [1]). Assume that (V1), (f1), and (16). Suppose that there exist \( A \geq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq p < 2/N \) such that 
\[ F(|u|^2) \leq A|u|^2 \left( 1 + |u|^{2p} \right). \tag{43} \]
Then the maximal strong \( H^1 \)-solution of (1) is global and \( \sup \|u(t)\|_{H^1} : t \in \mathbb{R} \) < \( \infty \) for every \( u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

Theorem B (Theorem 6.54 of [1]). Assume that (V1), (f1), (W1), and (18)–(20). If \( u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \), \( |x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \), and \( E(u_0) < 0 \), then the \( H^1 \)-solution of (1) will blow up in finite time.

Let \( J(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u|^2 \, dx \). After some elementary computations, we obtain 
\[ J'(t) = 4\mathfrak{h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla u) \bar{u} \, dx, \quad J''(t) = 4Q(u). \tag{44} \]

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 10. Assume that \( u(x,t) \) is a solution of (1) with \( u_0 \in \Sigma \) and \( |x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Then the solution to (1) will blow up in finite time if either

(1) there exists a constant \( c < 0 \) such that \( J''(t) = 4Q(u) \leq c < 0 \)
(2) \( J''(t) = 4Q(u) \leq 0 \) and \( J'(0) = \mathfrak{h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla u_0) \bar{u}_0 \, dx < 0 \).
Proof. Since \( u_0 \in \Sigma \) and \( |\mathbf{x}| u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \), we have
\[
|J'(0)| < 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0| d\mathbf{x}
\]
(45)
\[
\leq 8 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla u_0|^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2 \right) d\mathbf{x} < +\infty.
\]
(1) If \( J''(t) \leq c < 0 \), integrating it from 0 to \( t \), we get \( J'(t) < ct + J'(0) \). Since \( c < 0 \), we know that there exists a \( t_0 \geq \max(0, J'(0)/-c) \) such that \( J'(t) < J'(t_0) < 0 \) for \( t > t_0 \).

On the other hand, we have
\[
0 \leq J(t) = J(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t J'(s) ds < J(t_0) + J'(t_0) (t-t_0),
\]
which implies that there exists a \( T_{\text{max}} < +\infty \) satisfying
\[
\lim_{t \to T_{\text{max}}} J(t) = 0.
\]
Using the inequality
\[
\|g\|_2^2 \leq \frac{2}{N} \|\nabla g\|_2 \|xg\|_2 \quad \text{if} \quad g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad xg \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)
\]
and noticing that \( \|u(\cdot, t)\|_2 = \|u_0\|_2 \), we have
\[
\lim_{t \to T_{\text{max}}} \|\nabla u\|_2 = +\infty.
\]
Consequently,
\[
\lim_{t \to T_{\text{max}}} \|u\|_2 = +\infty.
\]
(2) Similar to (46), we can get
\[
0 \leq J(t) \leq J(0) + J'(0) t,
\]
which implies that the solution will blow up in a finite time \( T_{\text{max}} \leq J(0)/-J'(0) \). \hfill \Box

3. The Sufficient Conditions on Global Existence and Blowup in Finite Time

In this section, we will prove Theorems 3 and 4, which give some sufficient conditions on global existence and blowup of the solution to (1).

We would like to give some examples of \( V(x) \), \( f(x, |u|^2) \), and \( W(x) \). It is easy to verify that they satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.

**Example 11.** Consider that \( V(x) = |\mathbf{x}|^2 \), \( W(x) = e^{-|\mathbf{x}|^2} \), and \( f(x, |u|^2) = b|u|^2 \) with a real constant and \( 0 < p < 2/N \).

**Example 12.** Consider that \( V(x) = |\mathbf{x}|^2/|\mathbf{x}|^2, W(x) = 1 \), \( f(x, |u|^2) = b|u|^2 \) with a real constant and \( 0 < p < 2/N \).
Since $\|u\|_2 = \|u_0\|_2$, from (56), we can obtain
\[ 4E(u_0) + C\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|u_0\|_{L^4}^4 \]
\[ \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \]
\[ \times \left( 1 - c\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right\}^{(pN/2) - 1} \right). \]
(57)
Since $p < 2/N$ means that $(pN/2) - 1 < 0$, (57) implies that $\|u\|_2$ is always controlled by $4E(u_0) + C\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|u_0\|_{L^4}^4$ with $p > 2/N$. That is, the solution of (1) exists globally.
\[ \square \]

We would like to give some examples of $V(x)$, $W(x)$, and $f(x, |u|^2)$. It is easy to verify that they satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.

Example 13. Consider that $V(x) = |x|^2$, $W(x) = |x|^{-2}$, and $f(x, |u|^2) = b|u|^{2p}$ with $b > 0$ and $p > 2/N$ with $N \geq 3$.

Example 14. Consider that $V(x) = |x|^2$, $W(x) = |x|^{-2}$, and $f(x, |u|^2) = b|u|^{2p} \ln(1 + |u|^2)$ with $b > 0$ and $p \geq 2/N$ with $N \geq 3$.

Proof of Theorem 4. Set
\[ y(t) = f'(t) = 4\mathfrak{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla u) \varpi dx. \]
(58)
Using (18)–(20), we have
\[ y'(t) = 8 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla V(x)) |u|^2 dx \]
\[ + 4N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ F(x, |u|^2) - |u|^2 F(x, |u|^2) \right] dx \]
\[ + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ (x \cdot \nabla W(x)) * |u|^2 \right\} |u|^2 dx \]
\[ = 16E(u) + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ -2V(x) - (x \cdot \nabla V(x)) \right] |u|^2 dx \]
\[ + \left[ (N + 2) F(x, |u|^2) \right. \]
\[ \left. - N|u|^2 F(x, |u|^2) \right] dx \]
\[ + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ 2W(x) + (x \cdot \nabla W(x)) \right\} * |u|^2 dx \]
\[ \leq 16E(u) = 16E(u_0) < 0. \]
(59)
From (58) and (59), we obtain
\[ \|xu(x, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|xu_0\|_{L^2}^2 \]
\[ + 4\mathfrak{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varpi_0 (x \cdot \nabla u_0) dx + 8t^2 E(u_0). \]
(60)
Since $\|xu(x, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \geq 0$, whether (1) or (2), (60) will be absurd for $t > 0$ large enough. Therefore, the solution of (1) will blow up in finite time.

4. The Sharp Threshold for Global Existence and Blowup of the Solution to (1) with $V(x) \equiv 0$ and $W \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $N/4 < q < N/2$

In this section, we will establish the sharp threshold for global existence and blowup of the solution to (1) with $V(x) \equiv 0$ and $W \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $N/4 < q < N/2$.

Before giving the proof of Theorem 5, we would like to give some examples of $f(x, |u|^2)$ and $W(x)$. It is easy to verify that they satisfy the conditions of Theorem 5.

Example 15. Consider that $W(x) \equiv 0$, $f(x, |u|^2) = c|u|^{2q_1} + d|u|^{2q_2}$ with $c < 0$, $d > 0$ and $q_2 > 2/N$, $q_2 > q_1 > 0$.

Example 16. Consider that $W(x) \equiv 0$, $f(x, |u|^2) = b|u|^{2p} \ln(1 + |u|^2)$ with $b > 0$ and $p > 2/N$.

Example 17. Let $f(x, |u|^2)$ be one of those in Examples 15 and 16. Let
\[ W(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x|^N}, & |x| \leq 1, \\ \varphi(x), & 1 \leq |x| \leq 2, \\ \frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}}, & |x| \geq 2, \end{cases} \]
(61)
where $2 < NI < N/q < K$ and $\varphi(x)$ satisfies
\[ Nl \varphi(x) + (x \cdot \nabla \varphi) \leq 0 \leq c_1 \varphi(x) + (x \cdot \nabla \varphi) \]
(62)
when $1 \leq |x| \leq 2$ and makes $W(x)$ smooth. Obviously, $W \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof of Theorem 5. We will proceed in four steps.

Step 1. We will prove $d_1 > 0, u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ and $Q(u) = 0$ mean that
\[ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ |u|^2 f(x, |u|^2) - F(x, |u|^2) \right] dx \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( x \cdot \nabla W(x) \right) * |u|^2 dx \]
\[ \leq N (l + 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ c_1 |u|^{2p_1+2} + c_2 |u|^{2p_2+2} \right] dx \]
\[ + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( W(x) * |u|^2 \right) |u|^2 dx \]
\[ \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2p_1+2}}^2 + C\|u\|_{L^{2p_2+2}}^2 \]
\[ + C\|W\|_{L^q} \|u\|_{L^{2q/(2q-1)}}^2. \]
(63)
Using Gagliardo-Nirenberg’s and Hölder’s inequalities, we can get
\[ 2 \leq C\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{Np}{2}}\left(\|u\|_{L^2} \right)^{\frac{Np}{2}+1-Np/2} \right) + C\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{Np}{2}}\left(\|u\|_{L^2} \right)^{\frac{Np}{2}+1-Np/2} \right) + C\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{Np}{2}}\left(\|u\|_{L^2} \right)^{\frac{Np}{2}+1-Np/2} \right) \leq C \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} + \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}+1} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \right) \right)^{1/2} \leq C \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} + \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}+1} \right)^{1/2} \leq C \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{1/2} < 1 \]
which means that
\[ \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \infty \; ; \]
that is, the solution exists globally.

**Step 2.** Denote
\[ K_+ = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}, Q(u) > 0, \omega\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + E(u) < d_1 \right\} \]
\[ K_- = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}, Q(u) < 0, \omega\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + E(u) < d_1 \right\} \]  
We will prove that \( K_+ \) and \( K_- \) are invariant sets of (1) with \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) and \( W \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( N/4 < q < N/2 \). That is, we need to show that \( u(\cdot, t) \in K \) for all \( t \in (0, T_{\text{max}}) \) if \( u_0 \in K_+ \).

Since \( \|u\|_{L^2} \) and \( E(u) \) are conservation quantities for (1), we have
\[ u(\cdot, t) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}, \omega\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + E(u(\cdot, t)) < d_1 \]
for all \( t \in (0, T_{\text{max}}) \) if \( u_0 \in K_+ \). We need to prove that \( Q(u(\cdot, t)) > 0 \). Otherwise, assume that there exists a \( t_1 \in (0, T_{\text{max}}) \) satisfying \( Q(u(\cdot, t_1)) = 0 \) by the continuity. Note that (71) implies
\[ \omega\|u(\cdot, t_1)\|_{L^2}^2 + E(u(\cdot, t_1)) < d_1. \]
However, the inequality above and \( Q(u(\cdot, t_1)) = 0 \) are contradictions to the definition of \( d_1 \). Therefore, \( Q(u(\cdot, t)) > 0 \).

**Step 3.** Assume that \( Q(u_\infty) > 0 \) and \( \omega\|u_\infty\|_{L^2}^2 + E(u_\infty) < d_1 \). By the results of Step 2, we have \( Q(u(\cdot, t)) > 0 \) and \( \omega\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + E(u(\cdot, t)) < d_1 \). That is,
\[ -2\|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 - 2N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -2N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, |u|^2) dx \]
Using (67), we can obtain
\[ \omega\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + E(u) = \omega\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 dx \]
\[ \geq \omega\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \]
\[ \geq \min \left\{ \omega, \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \]
\[ \geq C > 0 \]
from (65). Hence
\[ d_1 > 0. \]
Step 4. Assume that \( Q(u_0) < 0 \) and \( \omega \|u_0\|^2 + E(u_0) < d_\Gamma \). By the results of Step 2, we obtain \( Q(u(t)) < 0 \) and \( \omega \|u(t)\|^2 + E(u(t)) < d_\Gamma \). Hence we get
\[
J''(t) = 4Q(u) < 0, \quad J'(0) = 4 \mathfrak{S} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla u_0) \bar{u}_0 dx < 0. \tag{76}
\]

By the results of Proposition 10, the solution will blow up in finite time.

As a corollary of Theorem 5, we obtain the sharp threshold for global existence and blowup of the solution of (8) as follows.

**Corollary 18.** Assume that \( f(x,0) = 0 \) and (23). Let \( \omega \) be a positive constant satisfying
\[
d'_1 := \inf_{\{u \in \Sigma; I_B(u) = 0\}} (\omega \|u\|^2 + E(u)) > 0. \tag{77}
\]

Here
\[
Q_1(u) := 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ F\left(x, |u|^2\right) - |u|^2 f\left(x, |u|^2\right) \right] dx. \tag{78}
\]

Suppose that \( u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \) satisfies
\[
\omega \|u_0\|^2 + E(u_0) < d'_1. \tag{79}
\]

Then
\begin{enumerate}
\item if \( Q_1(u_0) > 0 \), the solution of (8) exists globally;
\item if \( Q_1(u_0) < 0 \), \( |x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \), and \( \mathfrak{S} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla u_0) \bar{u}_0 dx < 0 \), the solution of (8) blows up in finite time.
\end{enumerate}

**Remark 19.** In Theorem 1.5 of [10], Tao et al. proved the following.

Assume that \( u(x,t) \) is a solution of (8) with \( f(x,|u|^2)u = \mu |u|^{p_1}u + v|u|^{p_2}u \), where \( \mu > 0 \), \( v > 0, 4/N \leq p_1 < p_2 \leq 4/(N-2) \) with \( N \geq 3 \), \( \mathfrak{S} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla u_0) \bar{u}_0 dx < 0 \), \( |x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \), and \( E(u_0) < 0 \). Then blowup occurs.

Corollary 18 covers the result above under some conditions. In fact, if \( f(x,|u|^2)u = \mu |u|^{p_1}u + v|u|^{p_2}u \), then
\[
Q_1(u) = 4E(u) - \frac{(Np_1 - 4) \mu}{(p_1 + 2)} \|u\|_{p_1+2}^{p_1+2} - \frac{(Np_2 - 4) v}{(p_2 + 2)} \|u\|_{p_2+2}^{p_2+2} \leq E(u), \tag{80}
\]
hence \( E(u_0) < 0 \) implies that \( Q_1(u_0) < 0 \). That is, our blowup condition is weaker than theirs. On the other hand, our conclusion is still true if \( 0 < E(u_0) < d'_1 - \omega \|u_0\|^2 \) with \( Q_1(u_0) < 0 \), \( \mathfrak{S} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla u_0) \bar{u}_0 dx < 0 \), and \( |x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \). In other words, our result is stronger than theirs if \( \omega \|u_0\|^2 + E(u_0) < d'_1 \) with \( Q_1(u_0) < 0 \), \( \mathfrak{S} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla u_0) \bar{u}_0 dx < 0 \), and \( |x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

5. Sharp Threshold for the Blowup and Global Existence of the Solution to (1)

Theorem 7 is inspired by [5], but it extends the results to more general case. We need subtle estimates and more sophisticated analysis in the proof.

First, we would like to give some examples of \( V(x) \), \( f(x,|u|^2) \), and \( W(x) \). It is easy to verify that they satisfy the conditions of Theorem 7.

**Example 20.** Consider that \( V(x) = |x|^2 \), \( W(x) = a|x|^{-K} \) with \( 2 < Nl < K < N/q < 4 \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( f(x,|u|^2) = b|u|^{2p_1 + c|u|^{2p_2}} \) with \( a \geq 0, b > 0, c > 0, p_2 > p_1 > 2/N \).

**Example 21.** Consider that \( V(x) = |x|^2 \), \( W(x) = a|x|^{-K} \) with \( 2 < Nl < K < N/q < 4 \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( f(x,|u|^2) = c|u|^{2p_1} + d|u|^{2p_2} \) with \( a \geq 0, b > 0, c > 0, p_2 > 2/N \).

**Example 22.** Consider that \( V(x) = |x|^2/(1 + |x|^2) \), \( W(x) = a|x|^{-K} \) with \( 2 < Nl < K < N/q < 4 \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( f(x,|u|^2) = b|u|^{2p_1} \ln(1 + |u|^2) \) with \( a \geq 0, b > 0, p_2 > 2/N \).

5.1. Some Invariant Manifolds. In this subsection, we will prove that \( d_s, d_{ab}, d_H > 0 \) and construct some invariant manifolds.

**Proposition 23.** Assume that the conditions of Theorem 7 hold. Then \( d_s > 0 \).

**Proof.** Assume that \( u \in \Sigma \setminus \{0\} \) satisfying \( S_u(u) = 0 \). Using Gagliardo-Nirenberg’s and Young’s inequalities, we have
\[
2\omega \|u\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ |\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |u|^2 \right] dx
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 f(x, |u|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ W_1(x) * |u|^2 \right] |u|^2 dx
\leq \frac{l + 1}{l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ c_1 |u|^{2p_1+2} + c_2 |u|^{2p_2+2} \right] dx + \|W_1\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{4N/(4N-1)}^4
+ \|W_2\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{2p_1+4}^4 + \|W_3\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{2p_2+4}^4
\leq C(\|V u_0\|_{L^4}^{Np_1/2} (\|u_0\|_{L^4}^{2p_1-1-(Np_1/2)})
+ C(\|V u_0\|_{L^4}^{Np_2/2} (\|u_0\|_{L^4}^{2p_2-1-(Np_2/2)})
+ C(\|W_1\|_{L^\infty} \|u_0\|_{L^4}^{2p_1+4})
+ C(\|W_2\|_{L^\infty} \|V u_0\|_{L^4}^{2p_1+4} \|u_0\|_{L^4}^{4N/(4N-1)})
+ C(\|W_3\|_{L^\infty} \|V u_0\|_{L^4}^{2p_2+4} \|u_0\|_{L^4}^{4N/(4N-1)}).
\]
Using Hölder’s inequality, from (81), we can obtain
\[
2\omega \|u\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ |\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |u|^2 \right] dx
\]
\[
\leq C \left( 2\omega \|u\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ |\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |u|^2 \right] dx \right)^{p+1}
\]
\[
+ C \left( 2\omega \|u\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ |\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |u|^2 \right] dx \right)^{p+1}
\]
\[
+ C \left( 2\omega \|u\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ |\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |u|^2 \right] dx \right)^2.
\]  \hspace{2cm} (82)

Equation (82) implies that
\[
2\omega \|u\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ |\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |u|^2 \right] dx \geq C > 0 \tag{83}
\]
for some positive constant C.

On the other hand, if \( S_\omega(u) = 0 \), we get
\[
\omega \|u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |u|^2 \right) dx
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, |u|^2) |u|^2 dx
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (W(x) + |u|^2) |u|^2 dx
\]
\[
\geq \min (l + 1, 2) \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, |u|^2) dx
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (W(x) + |u|^2) |u|^2 dx. \tag{84}
\]

From (84), we obtain
\[
I_\omega (u) = \omega \|u\|_2^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ |\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |u|^2 - F(x, |u|^2) \right] dx
\]
\[
- G \left( |u|^2 \right)
\]
\[
\geq \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{l}{l + 1}, \frac{1}{4} \right)
\]
\[
\times \left( 2\omega \|u\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ |\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |u|^2 \right] dx \right)
\]
\[
\geq C > 0.
\]  \hspace{2cm} (85)

Consequently,
\[
d_\omega = \inf \omega I_\omega (u) > C > 0. \tag{86}
\]

Now, we will give some properties of \( I_\omega(u) \), \( S_\omega(u) \), and \( Q(u) \). We have a proposition as follows.

**Proposition 24.** Assume that \( Q(u) \) and \( S_\omega(u) \) are defined by (22) and (28). Then one has the following.

(i) There at least exists a \( w^* \in \Sigma \setminus \{0\} \) such that
\[
S_\omega (w^*) = 0, \quad Q (w^*) = 0. \tag{87}
\]

(ii) There at least exists a \( u^* \in \Sigma \setminus \{0\} \) such that
\[
S_\omega (u^*) < 0, \quad Q (u^*) = 0. \tag{88}
\]

**Proof.** (i) Noticing the assumptions on \( V(x) \), \( W(x) \), and \( f(x, |u|^2) \), similar to the proof of Theorem 1.7 in [17], it is easy to prove that there exists a \( w^* \in \Sigma \setminus \{0\} \) satisfying
\[
2\omega w^* + V(x) w^* - \Delta w^* = f(x, |w^*|^2) w^*
\]
\[
+ \left( W(x) + |w^*|^2 \right) w^* \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{89}
\]

Multiplying (89) by \( w^* \) and integrating over \( \mathbb{R}^N \) by part, we can get \( S_\omega (w^*) = 0 \).

Multiplying (89) by \( (x \cdot \nabla w^*) \) and integrating over \( \mathbb{R}^N \) by part, we obtain Pohozaev’s identity:
\[
N\omega \|w^*\|_2^2 + \frac{N - 2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w^*|^2 dx
\]
\[
+ \frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |w^*|^2 dx
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (W(x) + |w^*|^2) |w^*|^2 dx
\]
\[
= \frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, |w^*|^2) dx
\]
\[
+ \frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla W(x)) |w^*|^2 dx
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \{ (x \cdot \nabla W(x)) + |w^*|^2 \} |w^*|^2 dx. \tag{90}
\]

\( \square \) From \( S_\omega (w^*) = 0 \) and (90), we can get \( Q(w^*) = 0 \).
(ii) Letting \( v_{k,\lambda}(x) = kw^*(\lambda x) \) for \( k > 0 \) and \( \lambda > 0 \), we can obtain

\[
S_\omega(v_{k,\lambda}) = 2\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w^*(\lambda x)|^2 dx \\
+ k^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w^*(\lambda x)|^2 dx \\
+ k^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |w^*(\lambda x)|^2 dx \\
- k^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w^*(\lambda x)|^2 f\left(x, k^2 |w^*(\lambda x)|^2\right) dx \\
- k^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (W(x) * |w^*(\lambda x)|^2) |w^*(\lambda x)|^2 dx ,
\]

(91)

\[
Q(v_{k,\lambda}) = 2k^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w^*(\lambda x)|^2 dx \\
- k^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla V(x)) |w^*(\lambda x)|^2 dx \\
- N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[k^2 |w^*(\lambda x)|^2 f\left(x, k^2 |w^*(\lambda x)|^2\right)\right] dx \\
- F\left(x, k^2 |w^*(\lambda x)|^2\right) dx \\
+ \frac{k^4}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left((x \cdot \nabla W(x)) * |w^*(\lambda x)|^2\right) \\
\times |w^*(\lambda x)|^2 dx .
\]

(92)

Looking at \( S_\omega(v_{k,\lambda}) \) and \( Q(v_{k,\lambda}) \) as the functions of \((k, \lambda)\), setting \( g(k, \lambda) = S_\omega(v_{k,\lambda}) \) and \( \eta(k, \lambda) = Q(v_{k,\lambda}) \), we get that \( g(1,1) = 0 \) and \( \eta(1,1) = 0 \). We want to prove that there exists a pair of \((k, \lambda)\) such that \( g(k, \lambda) = S_\omega(v_{k,\lambda}) < 0 \) and \( \eta(k, \lambda) = Q(v_{k,\lambda}) = 0 \). Since \( \eta(1,1) = 0 \), we know that the image of \( \eta(k, \lambda) \) and the plane \( \eta = 0 \) intersect in the space of \((k, \lambda, \eta)\) and form a curve \( \eta(k, \lambda) = 0 \). Hence there exist many positive real number pairs \((k, \lambda)\) relying on \( w^* \) such that \( Q(v_{k,\lambda}) = 0 \) near \((1,1)\) with \( k > 1 \). On the other hand, under the assumptions of \( V(x) \) and \( W(x) \), it is easy to see that \( g(k, 1) < 0 \) for any \( k > 1 \). By the continuity, we can choose that a pair of \((k, \lambda)\) near \((1,1)\) with \( k > 1 \) satisfies both \( Q(v_{k,\lambda}) = 0 \) and \( S_\omega(v_{k,\lambda}) < 0 \). Letting \( u^* = v_{k,\lambda} \) for this \((k, \lambda)\), we get that \( S_\omega(u^*) < 0 \) and \( Q(u^*) = 0 \).

Proposition 24 means that \( \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M} \) is not empty and \( d, \mathcal{M} \) is well defined. Moreover, we have the following.

**Proposition 25.** Assume that the conditions of Theorem 7 hold. Then \( d, \mathcal{M} > 0 \).
Using (23), (35), (36), (94), and (96), we can get
\[
I_\omega(u) = \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\nabla u]^2 + V(x) |u|^2 - F(x, |u|^2) \, dx
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (W(x) + |u|^2) |u|^2 \, dx
\]
\[
\geq \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 \, dx + \frac{NI - 2}{2NI} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2NI} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [NI V(x) + (x \cdot \nabla V(x))] |u|^2 \, dx
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{4NI} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( [NI W(x) + (x \cdot \nabla W(x))] * |u|^2 \right) \times |u|^2 \, dx
\]
\[
\geq C \left( 2\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\nabla u]^2 + V(x) |u|^2 \, dx \right)
\]
\[
\geq C > 0.
\]
(97)

Consequently,
\[
d_{\mathcal{H}} = \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{H}} I_\omega(\gamma) > C > 0.
\]
(98)

Since \( f(x, |w^*|^2) < f(x, \rho^2 |w^*|^2) \) and \( \rho^2 F(x, |w^*|^2) < F(x, \rho^2 |w^*|^2) \) for \( \rho > 1 \) and from (38), we can obtain
\[
S_\omega(w_\rho) < \rho^2 S_\omega(w^*) = 0, \quad Q(w_\rho) < \rho^2 Q(w^*) = 0
\]
(104)

for any \( \rho > 1 \). Noticing \( d_{iH} > 0 \), we also can choose \( \rho > 1 \) closing to \( 1 \) enough such that
\[
I_\omega(w_\rho) < \rho^2 I_\omega(w^*) < d_{iH}.
\]
(105)

Equations (104) and (105) mean that \( w_\rho \in \mathcal{H} \). That is, \( \mathcal{H} \) is not empty.

Similar to (104), we can obtain
\[
S_\omega(w_\rho) > \rho^2 S_\omega(w^*) = 0
\]
(106)

for any \( 0 < \rho < 1 \). Noticing \( d_{iH} > 0 \), we also can choose \( 0 < \rho < 1 \) closing to \( 1 \) enough such that \( I_\omega(w_\rho) < d_{iH} \) by continuity, which implies that \( w_\rho \in \mathcal{H}_+ \). That is, \( \mathcal{H}_+ \) is not empty.
For \( w^* \in \Sigma \) satisfying \( S_\omega (w^*) < 0 \) and \( Q(w^*) = 0 \), let \( w_\sigma = \sigma w^* \) for \( \sigma > 0 \), we have

\[
Q (w_\sigma) = \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( 2|\nabla w^*|^2 - (x \cdot \nabla V(x)) |w^*|^2 \right) dx
- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} N \left[ \sigma^2 |w^*|^2 f (x, \sigma^2 |w^*|^2) \right] dx
- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ F (x, \sigma^2 |w^*|^2) \right] dx
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( (x \cdot \nabla W(x)) * |w^*|^2 \right) |w^*|^2 dx,
\]

\[
S_\omega (w_\sigma) = \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \{2\omega |w^*|^2 + |\nabla w^*|^2 + V(x) |w^*|^2 \} dx
- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sigma^2 |w^*|^2 f (x, \sigma^2 |w^*|^2) dx
- \sigma^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( W(x) * |w^*|^2 \right) |w^*|^2 dx
\]

\[
I_\omega (w_\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ \frac{2}{3} |w^*|^2 + |\nabla w^*|^2 + V(x) |w^*|^2 \right\} dx
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, \sigma^2 |w^*|^2) dx
- \frac{1}{4} \sigma^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( W(x) * |w^*|^2 \right) |w^*|^2 dx.
\]

(107)

Letting \( \omega_\sigma = \sigma w^* \), we have

\[
Q (w_\sigma) = \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( 2|\nabla w^*|^2 - (x \cdot \nabla V(x)) |w^*|^2 \right) dx
- \left( N |w^*|^4 f_s' (x, \sigma^2 |w^*|^2) \right) dx
+ \sigma^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( (x \cdot \nabla W(x)) * |w^*|^2 \right) |w^*|^2 dx
> \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( 2|\nabla w^*|^2 - (x \cdot \nabla V(x)) |w^*|^2 \right) dx
- \left( N |w^*|^4 f_s' (x, |w^*|^2) \right) dx
+ \sigma^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( (x \cdot \nabla W(x)) * |w^*|^2 \right) |w^*|^2 dx
\]

(110)

for \( 0 < \sigma < 1 \). By continuity, we can choose such \( \sigma \) closing to 1 enough such that \( S_\omega (w_\sigma) < 0 \) and \( I_\omega (w_\sigma) < d_H \). That is to say, \( w_\sigma \in \mathcal{K}_\sigma \) and \( \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}' \) is not empty.

(ii) In order to prove that \( \mathcal{K} \) is the invariant manifold of (1), we need to show that, if \( u_0 \in \mathcal{K} \), then solution \( u(x,t) \) of (1) satisfies \( u(x,t) \in \mathcal{K} \) for any \( t \in [0,T) \).

Assume that \( u(x,t) \) is a solution of (1) with \( u_0 \in \mathcal{K} \). Then we can obtain

\[
I_\omega (u(\cdot,t)) = E(u(\cdot,t)) + \omega \|u(\cdot,t)\|^2_2
\]

(111)

for \( t \in [0,T) \). Next we prove that \( S_\omega (u(\cdot,t)) < 0 \) for \( t \in [0,T) \).

Otherwise, by continuity, there exists a \( t_0 \in (0,T) \) such that \( S_\omega (u(\cdot,t_0)) = 0 \) because of \( S_\omega (u_0) < 0 \). Since \( \|u(\cdot,t)\|^2 = \|u_0\|^2 \) and \( u_0 \in \Sigma \setminus \{0\} \), it is easy to see that \( u(\cdot,t_0) \in \Sigma \setminus \{0\} \). By the definitions of \( d_\sigma \) and \( d_H \), we know that \( I_\omega (u(\cdot,t_0)) \geq d_\sigma \geq d_H \), which is a contradiction to \( I_\omega (u(\cdot,t)) < d_H \) for \( t \in [0,T) \).

Hence \( S_\omega (u(\cdot,t)) < 0 \) for all \( t \in [0,T) \).

Now we only need to prove that \( Q(u(\cdot,t)) < 0 \) for \( t \in [0,T) \). Otherwise, since \( Q(u_0) < 0 \), there exists a \( t_1 \in (0,T) \) such that \( Q(u(\cdot,t_1)) = 0 \) by continuity. \( S_\omega (u(\cdot,t_1)) < 0 \) means that \( u(\cdot,t_1) \in \mathcal{M}. \) By the definitions of \( d_\sigma \) and \( d_H \), we obtain \( I_\omega (u(\cdot,t_1)) \geq d_\sigma \geq d_H \), which is a contradiction to \( I_\omega (u(\cdot,t)) < d_H \) for \( t \in [0,T) \).

Hence \( Q(u(\cdot,t)) < 0 \) for all \( t \in [0,T) \).

By the discussions above, we know that \( u(x,t) \in \mathcal{K} \) for any \( t \in [0,T) \) if \( u_0 \in \mathcal{K} \), which means that \( \mathcal{K} \) is the invariant manifold of (1).

Similarly, we can prove that \( \mathcal{K}_\sigma \) and \( \mathcal{R}_\sigma \) are also invariant manifolds of (1).

\[ \square \]

Remark 27. By the definitions of \( d_{II}, d_s, d_H, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}_\sigma, \text{and} \mathcal{R}_\sigma \), it is easy to see that

\[ \{ u \in \Sigma \setminus \{0\} : I_\omega (u) < d_H \} = \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{K}_\sigma \cup \mathcal{R}_\sigma. \]

(112)
5.2. Proof of Theorem 7. Proof of Theorem 7 depends on the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 28.** Assume that the conditions of Theorem 7 hold. Then the solutions of (1) with \( u_0 \in \mathcal{X} \) will blow up in finite time.

**Proof.** Since \( u_0 \in \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{X} \) is the invariant manifold of (1), we have \( Q(u(x, t)) < 0, S_u(u(x, t)) < 0, \) and \( L_\omega (u(x, t)) < d_H \).

Under the conditions of Theorem 7, we have \( J'(t) = 4Q(u) < 0 \) and \( J'(0) < 0 \). By the results of Proposition 10, the solution \( u(x, t) \) will blow up in finite time. The conclusion of this lemma is true. \( \square \)

On the other hand, we have a parallel result on global existence.

**Lemma 29.** Assume that the conditions of Theorem 7 hold. If \( u_0 \in \mathcal{X}_+ \) or \( u_0 \in \mathcal{X}_- \), then the solutions of (1) exist globally.

**Proof.** Case 1. Assume that \( u(x, t) \) is a solution of (1) with \( u_0 \in \mathcal{X}_+ \). Since \( \mathcal{X}_+ \) is an invariant manifold of (1), we know that \( u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{X}_+ \), which means that \( L_\omega (u(t, \cdot)) < d_H \) and \( Q(u(\cdot, t)) > 0 \). \( Q(u(\cdot, t)) > 0 \) and (23) imply that

\[
2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x \cdot \nabla W(x)) |u|^2 dx 
\geq NI \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, |u|^2) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \{(x \cdot \nabla W(x)) + |u|^2 \} |u|^2 dx.
\]

By the definition of \( L_\omega (u) \) and using (13), we have

\[
d_H > L_\omega (u(\cdot, t)) = \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |u|^2 dx 
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, |u|^2) dx 
- \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (W(x) + |u|^2) |u|^2 dx 
\geq \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + \frac{NI - 2}{2NI} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx 
+ \frac{NIV(x) + (x \cdot \nabla V(x))}{2NI} |u|^2 dx 
- \frac{1}{4NI} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ |W(x) + (x \cdot \nabla W(x))| + |u|^2 \right\} |u|^2 dx
\geq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) |u|^2 dx \right). \tag{114}
\]

Equation (114) means that \( u(x, t) \) exists globally.

**Case 2.** Assume that \( u(x, t) \) is a solution of (1) with \( u_0 \in \mathcal{X}_- \). Since \( \mathcal{X}_- \) is also an invariant manifold of (1), we know that \( u(x, t) \in \mathcal{X}_- \), which means that \( L_\omega (u(t, \cdot)) < d_H \) and \( S_u(u(\cdot, t)) > 0 \). Since \( S_u(u) > 0 \), we can get

\[
\omega \|u\|^2_2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |u|^2) dx 
\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, |u|^2) |u|^2 dx 
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (W(x) + |u|^2) |u|^2 dx 
\geq \min(I + 1, 2) \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, |u|^2) dx 
+ \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (W(x) + |u|^2) |u|^2 dx \right).
\]

From (115), we can obtain

\[
L_\omega (u) = \omega \|u\|^2_2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |u|^2 
- F(x, |u|^2)) dx - G(|u|^2) 
\geq \min \left( \frac{l}{(I + 1)}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \times \omega \|u\|^2_2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x) |u|^2) dx.
\]

Equation (116) implies that the solution \( u(x, t) \) exists globally. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 7.** By the results of Lemmas 28 and 29, we know that Theorem 7 is right. \( \square \)

As a corollary of Theorem 7, we obtain a sharp threshold for the blowup in finite time and global existence of the solution of (9) as follows.

**Corollary 30.** Assume that \( f(x, |u|^2) \equiv 0, V(x) \equiv 0, W(x) > 0 \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \), \( W(x) \) is even, and \( W(x) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with some \( q > N/4 \). Suppose further that there exists \( l \) satisfying \( 2 < Nl \) and

\[
NIV(x) + (x \cdot \nabla W(x)) \leq 0. \tag{117}
\]

If \( u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), |x|u_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N), \) and \( L_\omega (u_0) = \omega \|u_0\|^2_2 + E(u_0) < d_H, \) then the solution of (9) blows up in finite time if and only if \( u_0 \in \mathcal{X} \).

**Remark 31.** A typical example is

\[
-\Delta u + (|x|^{-K} \ast |u|^2) u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad t > 0, \tag{118}
\]
which is also a special case of (1) with \( V(x) \equiv 0 \), \( f(x, |u|^2) \equiv 0 \), and \( W(x) = |x|^\frac{2}{N} \) with \( N/2 < N/q < 4 \). Letting \( W(x) = W_1(x) + W_2(x) \) with

\[
W_1(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & |x| \leq 1, \\
|x|^\frac{2}{N} - K, & |x| > 1,
\end{cases}
\]

\( (119) \)

\[
W_2(x) = \begin{cases} 
|x|^\frac{2}{N} - K, & |x| \leq 1, \\
0, & |x| > 1,
\end{cases}
\]

\( (120) \)

we can see that \( W_1(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) and \( W_2(x) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with some \( N/4 < q < N/2 \). Corollary 30 gives the sharp threshold for blowup and global existence of the solution to (118).
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