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We investigate the following typical form of a certain class of quadratic functional equations:

\[ f(ax+by)+f(ax-by)+c[f(x+y)+f(x-y)-2f(x)-2f(y)] = 2a^2f(x)+2b^2f(y). \]

Furthermore, we provide a systematic program to prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for the class of functional equations via the stability for the typical form.

1. Introduction

In 1964, Ulam [1] proposed the following stability problem:

“let \( G_1 \) be a group and \( G_2 \) a metric group with the metric \( d \). Given a constant \( \delta > 0 \), does there exist a constant \( c > 0 \) such that if a mapping \( f : G_1 \to G_2 \) satisfies \( d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < c \) for all \( x, y \in G_1 \), then there exists a unique homomorphism \( h : G_1 \to G_2 \) with \( d(f(x), h(x)) < \delta \) for all \( x \in G_1 \) ?”


\[ \| f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y) \| \leq c (\| x \|^p + \| y \|^p) \] (1)

for some \( c \geq 0 \) and \( p \) with \( p < 1 \) and for all \( x, y \in X \), where \( f : X \to Y \) is a function between Banach spaces. The paper of Rassias [4] has provided a lot of influence in the development of what we call the generalization of Hyers-Ulam stability or the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Gâvruta [5] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias approach.

The functional equation

\[ f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \] (2)

is called a quadratic functional equation and a solution of a quadratic functional equation is called quadratic. A generalized Hyers-Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [6] for mappings \( f : X \to Y \), where \( X \) is a normed space and \( Y \) is a Banach space. Cholewa [7] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain \( X \) is replaced by an Abelian group. Czerwik [8] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for the quadratic functional equation, and Park [9] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation in Banach modules over a \( C^* \)-algebra. Also, the stability problems of functional equations related to quadratic functions can be found in many papers (e.g., [10,11], etc.).

Rassias [12] investigated the following Euler-Lagrange functional equation:

\[ f(ax+by) + f(bx-ay) = 2(a^2+b^2) [ f(x) + f(y) ], \] (3)
\[ f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) + c\left[ f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\right] \]
\[ = 2a^2 f(x) + 2b^2 f(y) \quad (4) \]
for fixed integers \(a, b\) with \(b \neq a, -a, -3a\), and
\[ f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) = 2a^2 f(x) + 2b^2 f(y) \]
\[ (5) \]
for fixed integers \(a, b\) with \(a^2 \neq b^2\) and \(ab \neq 0\).

In this paper, we consider the sum of two functional equations (2) and (5), that is,
\[ f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) + c\left[ f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\right] \]
\[ = 2a^2 f(x) + 2b^2 f(y) \]
\[ (6) \]
for fixed nonzero real numbers \(a, b, c\) with \(a \neq \pm 1\) and \(a^2 \neq b^2\), and prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for it.

As applications of theorems in Sections 2 and 3, we have a systematic program to prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for functional inequalities which can be deformed into the following functional inequality:
\[ \| f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) - 2a^2 f(x) - 2b^2 f(y) \| + c\left[ f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\right] \leq \phi(x, y). \]
\[ (7) \]

Throughout this paper, assume that \(X\) is a normed space and \(Y\) is a Banach space.

2. Solutions of (6)

In this section, we investigate solutions of (6). In Corollary 5, it can be concluded that any solution of (6) is quadratic if \(a\) is a rational number. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let \(f : X \to Y\) be a mapping with \(f(0) = 0\). Suppose that \(f\) satisfies (6); then the following equation holds:
\[ \left( c + \frac{c^2}{a^2} \right)\left[ f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) \right] \]
\[ - 2\left( b^2 + 2c + \frac{c^2}{a^2} \right)\left[ f(x + y) + f(x - y) \right] \]
\[ + \left( 4b^2 + 5c + \frac{b^2c}{a^2} + \frac{2c^2}{a^2} \right) f(y) \]
\[ + \left( c - \frac{b^2c}{a^2} \right) f(-y) + 4\left( b^2 + 2c + \frac{c^2}{a^2} \right) f(x) \]
\[ - 2\left( c + \frac{c^2}{a^2} \right) f(2x) = 0 \]
\[ (8) \]
for all \(x, y \in X\).

Proof. Letting \(y = 0\) in (6), we have
\[ f(ax) = a^2 f(x) \]
\[ (9) \]
for all \(x \in X\). Setting \(x = 0\) and \(y = x\) in (6), we have
\[ f(bx) + f(-bx) + c\left[ f(-x) + f(x) \right] = 2b^2 f(x) \]
\[ (10) \]
for all \(x \in X\). Letting \(x = -x\) in (10) and adding the two equations, we have
\[ f(bx) + f(-bx) = b^2 \left[ f(x) + f(-x) \right] \]
\[ (11) \]
for all \(x \in X\).

Replacing \(y\) by \(x + y\) in (6), we have
\[ f(ax + b(x + y)) + f(ax - b(x + y)) + c\left[ f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) \right] \]
\[ = 2\left( a^2 + c \right) f(x) + 2\left( b^2 + c \right) f(x + y) \]
\[ (12) \]
for all \(x, y \in X\), and letting \(y = -y\) in (12), we have
\[ f(ax + b(x - y)) + f(ax - b(x - y)) + c\left[ f(2x - y) + f(2x + y) \right] \]
\[ = 2\left( a^2 + c \right) f(x) + 2\left( b^2 + c \right) f(x - y) \]
\[ (13) \]
for all \(x, y \in X\).

Replacing \(x\) and \(y\) by \((b/a)x + y\) and \(x\) in (6), respectively, we have
\[ f(ax + b(x + y)) + f(ax - b(x - y)) + c\left[ f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) \right] \]
\[ = 2\left( a^2 + c \right) f(x) + 2\left( b^2 + c \right) f(x + y) \]
\[ (14) \]
for all \(x, y \in X\). By (12), (13), (14), and (15), we have
\[ c\left[ f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) + f(x) + f(-y) \right] \]
\[ = -\frac{b^2c}{a^2} f(y) + f(-y) \]
\[ - \frac{c}{a^2} \left[ f(2ax + by) + f(2ax - by) \right] \]
\[ = 4\left( a^2 - b^2 \right) f(x) + 2\left( b^2 + c \right) \left[ f(x + y) + f(x - y) \right] \]
\[ - 2\left( 1 + \frac{c}{a^2} \right) \left[ f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) \right] \]
\[ (16) \]
for all \( x, y \in X \). By (6) and (16), we have
\[
c [ f (2x + y) + f (2x - y) + f (y) + f (-y)]
- \frac{b^2 c}{a^2} [ f (y) + f (-y)]
+ \frac{c^2}{a^2} [ f (2x + y) + f (2x - y) - 2f (2x) - 2f (y)]
- 2a^2 f (2x) - 2b^2 f (y)
= 4(2a^2 - b^2) [ f (x + y) + f (x - y) - 2f (x) - 2f (y)]
+ 2c (1 + \frac{c}{a^2}) [ f (x + y) + f (x - y) - 2f (x) - 2f (y)]
\]
(17)
for all \( x, y \in X \). Now, just simplifying this equation, we can get the result.

Next three theorems deal with (6) for the different cases.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a mapping with \( f(0) = 0 \). Suppose that \( f \) satisfies (6). If \( c \neq -b^2 \) and \( c^2 \neq a^2 b^2 \), then \( f \) is quadratic.

**Proof.** By (10) and (11) in the proof of Lemma 1, we have
\[
(b^2 + c) f (x) = (b^2 + c) f (-x)
\]
(18)
for all \( x \in X \). Since \( b^2 + c \neq 0 \), we have
\[
f (-x) = f (x)
\]
(19)
for all \( x \in X \). By (10) and (19), we have
\[
f (bx) = b^2 f (x)
\]
(20)
for all \( x \in X \). Replacing \( x \) and \( y \) by \( bx \) and \( ay \) in (6), respectively, by (20), we have
\[
a^2 b^2 [ f (x + y) + f (x - y)]
+ c [ f (bx + ay) + f (bx - ay) - 2b^2 f (x) - 2a^2 f (y)]
= 2a^2 b^2 [ f (x) + f (y)]
\]
(21)
for all \( x, y \in X \). Replacing \( x \) and \( y \) by \( y \) and \( x \) in (6), respectively, by (19), we have
\[
f (bx + ay) + f (bx - ay)
+ c [ f (x + y) + f (x - y) - 2f (x) - 2f (y)]
= 2a^2 f (y) + 2b^2 f (x)
\]
(22)
for all \( x, y \in X \). By (21) and (22), we have
\[
(\text{See text for equation, e.g., Eq. (23) below.)}
\]
(23)
for all \( x, y \in X \). Since \( a^2 b^2 - c^2 \neq 0 \), then
\[
f (x + y) + f (x - y) = 2f (x) + 2f (y)
\]
(24)
for all \( x, y \in X \). Hence, \( f \) is quadratic.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a mapping with \( f(0) = 0 \). Suppose that \( f \) satisfies (6) and \( a \) is a rational number. If \( c^2 = a^2 b^2 \), then \( f \) is quadratic.

**Proof.** Since \( b^2 + c = b(b \pm a) \neq 0 \), by the first few lines in the proof of Theorem 2, \( f \) is even. Hence, in this case, we can easily check that (8) can be reduced to
\[
b (b \pm a) [ f (2x + y) + f (2x - y)]
= b (b \pm a) [4f (x + y) + 4f (x - y) - 6f (y) + 8f (x) - 2f (2x)]
\]
(25)
for all \( x, y \in X \). By (15), a function \( f \) satisfying (26) is quartic-quadratic. But in our case, \( f \) also satisfies (26) and since \( f(ax) = a^2 f(x) \), \( f \) is quadratic.

**Theorem 4.** Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a mapping with \( f(0) = 0 \). Suppose that \( f \) satisfies (6) and \( a \) is a rational number. If \( c = -b^2 \), then \( f \) is quadratic.

**Proof.** Suppose that \( c = -b^2 \). By (8), we have
\[
\frac{b^2}{a^2} (b^2 - a^2) [ f (2x + y) + f (2x - y)]
= \frac{b^2}{a^2} (b^2 - a^2) [2f (x + y) + 2f (x - y) - 4f (x) + 2f (2x) - f (y) - f (-y)]
\]
(27)
for all \( x, y \in X \). By (15), \( f \) is quadratic-cubic and since \( f(ax) = a^2 f(x) \), \( f \) is quadratic.

Combining Theorems 2, 3, and 4 we can get the following corollary as the conclusion of this section.

**Corollary 5.** Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a mapping with \( f(0) = 0 \). Suppose that \( f \) satisfies (6) and \( a \) is a rational number. Then \( f \) is quadratic.
3. The Generalized Hyers-Ulam Stability for (6)

In this section, we will prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for (6).

Theorem 6. Let \( \phi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) be a function such that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{-2n} \phi(a^n x, a^n y) < \infty \quad (28)
\]

for all \( x, y \in X \). Let \( f : X \rightarrow Y \) be a mapping such that

\[
\left\| f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) - 2a^2 f(x) - 2b^2 f(y) \right\| + c \left\| f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) \right\| \\
\leq \phi(x, y)
\]

(29)

for a fixed rational number \( a \) and fixed nonzero real numbers \( b, c \) with \( a \neq 1, 0 \) and \( a \neq b^2 \). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping \( Q : X \rightarrow Y \) satisfying (6) and

\[
\left\| Q(x) - f(x) - f(0) \right\| \leq 1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{-2(n+1)} \phi(a^n x, 0) + \phi(0, 0) \quad (30)
\]

for all \( x \in X \).

Proof. Let \( g(x) = f(x) - f(0) \). Then \( g(0) = 0 \) and

\[
\left\| g(ax + by) + g(ax - by) - 2a^2 g(x) - 2b^2 g(y) \right\| + c \left\| g(x + y) + g(x - y) - 2g(x) - 2g(y) \right\| \\
\leq \phi(x, y) + \phi(0, 0)
\]

(31)

for all \( x, y \in X \). Setting \( y = 0 \) in (31), we have

\[
\left\| a^2 g(x) - g(ax) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \phi_0(x, 0)
\]

(32)

for all \( x \in X \), where \( \phi_0(x, y) = \phi(x, y) + \phi(0, 0) \). Replacing \( x \) by \( a^n x \) in (32) and dividing (32) by \( a^{2(n+1)} \), we have

\[
\left\| a^{-2n} g(a^n x) - a^{-2(n+1)} g(a^{n+1} x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} a^{-2(n+1)} \phi_0(a^n x, 0)
\]

(33)

for all \( x \in X \) and all nonnegative integers \( n \). For \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \) with \( m < n \),

\[
\left\| a^{-2m} g(a^m x) - a^{-2n} g(a^n x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} a^{-2(k+1)} \phi_0(a^k x, 0)
\]

(34)

for all \( x \in X \). Since (28) holds for \( y = 0 \), \( \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} a^{-2k} \phi_0(a^k x, 0) \) goes to 0 as \( m \rightarrow \infty \). So \( a^{-2n} g(a^n x) \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( Y \), and since \( Y \) is a Banach space, there exists a mapping \( Q : X \rightarrow Y \) such that

\[
Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{-2n} g(a^n x)
\]

(35)

for all \( x \in X \) and

\[
\left\| Q(x) - g(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{-2(n+1)} \phi_0(a^n x, 0)
\]

(36)

for all \( x \in X \). Replacing \( x \) and \( y \) by \( a^n x \) and \( a^n y \) in (31), respectively, and dividing (31) by \( a^{2n} \), we have

\[
\left\| a^{-2n} g(a^n (ax + by)) + a^{-2n} g(a^n (ax - by)) - c \left[ a^{-2n} g(a^n (x + y)) + a^{-2n} g(a^n (x - y)) \right] \\
- 2 \cdot a^{-2n} g(a^n x) - 2 \cdot a^{-2n} g(a^n y) \right\| \\
\leq a^{-2n} \phi_0(a^n x, a^n y)
\]

(37)

for all \( x, y \in X \) and letting \( n \rightarrow \infty \) in the above inequality, we can show that \( Q \) satisfies (6). By Corollary 5, \( Q \) is quadratic.

Now, we show the uniqueness of the quadratic mapping \( Q \). Suppose that \( Q_0 \) is a quadratic mapping satisfying (6) and (30). Then we have

\[
\left\| Q(x) - Q_0(x) \right\| = a^{-2k} \left\| Q(a^k x) - Q_0(a^k x) \right\| \\
\leq a^{-2k} \left\| Q(a^k x) - g(a^k x) \right\| \\
+ a^{-2k} \left\| Q_0(a^k x) - g(a^k x) \right\| \\
\leq a^{-2k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{-2(n+1)} \phi_0(a^{nk} x, 0)
\]

(38)

for all \( x \in X \) and for all positive integers \( k \). Hence, letting \( k \rightarrow \infty \) in the above inequality by (28) the tail part \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{-2(n+1)} \phi_0(a^{nk} x, 0) \) goes to 0. So we have

\[
Q(x) = Q_0(x)
\]

(39)

for all \( x \in X \).

We remark that if \( f(0) = 0 \) in Theorem 6, inequality (30) can be replaced by

\[
\left\| Q(x) - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{-2(n+1)} \phi(a^n x, 0).
\]

(40)

Related with Theorem 6, we can also have the following theorem. And the proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.
Theorem 7. Let $\phi: X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{2n} \phi(a^{-n}x, a^{-n}y) < \infty \]  
(41)
for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that
\[ \left\| f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) - 2a^2 f(x) - 2b^2 f(y) + c \left[ f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) \right] \right\| \leq \phi(x, y) \]  
(42)
for a fixed rational number $a$ and fixed nonzero real numbers $b, c$ with $a \neq 1, 0, -1$ and $a^2 \neq b^2$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that
\[ \left\| Q(x) - f(x) - f(0) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{2(n+1)} \left[ \phi(a^{-n}x, 0) + \phi(0, 0) \right] \]  
(43)
for all $x \in X$.

For the stability problem of quadratic functional equations, we can show that many quadratic functional equations turn out to be types of (6) or to be deformed into the type of (6). For example, Gordji and Khodaei [13] investigated the following functional equation:
\[ f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) \]  
(44)
\[ +b(a + b)f(x + y) + \frac{b(a + b)}{2} f(x - y) \]  
(45)
Indeed, the functional equation (44) can be written as
\[ f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) = 2a^2 f(x) + b^2 f(y). \]  
(46)
where $c = -b(a + b)/2$. Hence the functional equations (44) and (45) are special cases of the functional equation (6).

As another example, Jun et al. [16] investigated the following functional equation:
\[ f(ax + y) + af(x - y) = (a + 1) f(y) + a(a + 1) f(x), \]  
(47)
where $a$ is an integer with $a \neq -1, 0$. Suppose that $f$ satisfies (47). Then clearly, $f$ is even, and hence the functional equation (47) can be deformed into
\[ f(ax + y) + f(ax - y) \]  
(48)
\[ + a \left[ f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) \right] \]  
\[ = 2a^2 f(x) + 2f(y) \]
for all $x, y \in X$. That is, we can transform (47) into the type of (6).

As an example of $\phi(x, y)$ in Theorems 6 and 7, we can take $\phi(x, y) = e(||x||^p ||y||^p + ||x||^2 + ||y||^2)$ which appeared in [17]. Then we can formulate the following corollary.

Corollary 8. Let $p$ be a real number with $p \neq 1$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that
\[ \left\| f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) - 2a^2 f(x) - 2b^2 f(y) + c \left[ f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) \right] \right\| \leq \epsilon \left( ||x||^p ||y||^p + ||x||^2 + ||y||^2 \right) \]  
(49)
for a fixed rational number $a$ and fixed nonzero real numbers $b, c$ with $a \neq 1, 0, -1$ and $a^2 \neq b^2$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that
\[ \left\| Q(x) - f(x) - f(0) \right\| \leq \epsilon \left[ 1 - a^{2(1-p)} \right] \]  
(50)
\[ \left( p > 1, |a| > 1, \text{ or } p < 1, |a| < 1 \right) \]
\[ \left( p < 1, |a| < 1, \text{ or } p > 1, |a| > 1 \right) \]  
(51)
for all $x \in X$.

We remark that the functional equation (6) is not stable for $p = 1$ in Corollary 8. The following example, which is a special case of the example in [18], shows that (6) is not stable for $p = 1$ especially in the case of $a = 2, b = 1, c = -1$.

We give a proof for the reader's convenience.

Example 9. Let $t: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping defined by
\[ t(x) = \begin{cases} x^2, & \text{if } |x| < 1, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \]  
(52)
and define a mapping $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by
\[ f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t \left( \frac{2^n x}{4^n} \right). \]  
(53)
We will show that $f$ satisfies the functional inequality
\[ \left\| f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) - 8f(x) - 2f(y) \right\| \leq \frac{640}{3} (|x| + |y|^2) \]  
(54)
\[ \left\| f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) \right\| \leq \frac{640}{3} (|x| + |y|^2) \]  
(55)
for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, but there do not exist a quadratic mapping $Q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a positive constant $K$ such that
\[ \left\| Q(x) - f(x) \right\| \leq K ||x||^2 \]  
(56)
for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
Note that \(|f(x)| \leq 4/3\) for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}\). For any mapping \(g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\), let
\[
Dg(x, y) = g(2x + y) + g(2x - y) - 8g(x) - 2g(y) - [g(x + y) + g(x - y) - 2g(x) - 2g(y)]
\]
(55)
for all \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}\).

First, suppose that \(1/16 \leq |x||y| + |x|^2 + |y|^2\). Then \(|Df(x, y)| \leq (640/3)(|x||y| + |x|^2 + |y|^2)\) for all \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}\).

Now suppose that \(1/16 > |x||y| + |x|^2 + |y|^2\). Then there is a positive integer \(m\) such that
\[
\frac{1}{2^{2m+3}} \leq |x||y| + |x|^2 + |y|^2 < \frac{1}{2^{2m+2}},
\]
(56)
and so
\[
2^m |x| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad 2^m |y| < \frac{1}{2}.
\]
(57)
Hence, we have
\[
\{2^{m-1}(2x \pm y), 2^{m-1}(x \pm y), 2^{m-1}x, 2^{m-1}y\} \subseteq (-1, 1).
\]
(58)
Hence for any \(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1\),
\[
Dt(2^n x, 2^n y) = 0,
\]
(59)
and so
\[
Df(x, y) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n} Dt(2^n x, 2^n y)
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n} Dt(2^n x, 2^n y)
\]
\[
\leq \frac{40}{3 \times 2^{2n+2}} \leq \frac{320}{3} \left( |x||y| + |x|^2 + |y|^2 \right).
\]
Thus \(f\) satisfies (53).

Suppose that there exist a quadratic mapping \(Q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) and a positive constant \(K\) with (54). Since \(|f(x)| \leq 4/3\),
\[
-Kx^2 - \frac{4}{3} \leq Q(x) \leq Kx^2 + \frac{4}{3}
\]
(61)
for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}\), and since \(Q\) is quadratic,
\[
-Kx^2 - \frac{4}{3n^2} \leq Q(x) \leq Kx^2 + \frac{4}{3n^2}
\]
(62)
for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}\) and all natural numbers \(n\). Hence, we have
\[
|Q(x)| \leq Kx^2
\]
(63)
for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}\) and so, by (54), we have
\[
|f(x)| \leq 2Kx^2
\]
(64)
for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}\).

Take a positive integer \(l\) such that \(l > 2K\), and pick \(x \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(2^{-l} |x| < 1\). Then
\[
f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n} > \sum_{n=0}^{l-1} \frac{1}{4^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{l-1} x^2 = lx^2 > 2Kx^2,
\]
(65)
which contradicts (64).

4. Deforming Inequalities into the Type of (29)

It turns out that lots of functional inequalities can be deformed into inequality (29). So we can regard inequality (29) as a typical form of a certain class of functional inequalities. In this point of view, we have a following systematic program to prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of certain functional inequalities.

Step 1. Deform a given inequality into the type of (29) and get a modified bound function.

Step 2. Apply Theorem 6 for the modified bound function.

It should be remarked that if a functional inequality can be deformed into the type of (29), then a solution of the original functional equation is quadratic. And, it can be easily checked that the resulting unique quadratic mapping \(Q\) in Step 2 also satisfies the original functional equation. So we don’t need to worry anything about the given functional equation in our program. In this section, we illustrate just two of them.

First, we consider the following functional equation:
\[
f(ax + y) + (a - 1) f(x - y) - f(x + y) = (a + 2)(a - 1)f(x) + (a - 1)f(y)
\]
(66)
for some rational number \(a\) with \(a \neq 1, -1, 0\).

**Theorem 10.** Let \(\phi : X^2 \to [0, \infty)\) be a function with (28). Let \(f : X \to Y\) be a mapping satisfying \(f(0) = 0\) and
\[
\|f(ax + y) + (a - 1) f(x - y) - f(x + y)\| 
\]
\[
= (a + 2)(a - 1)f(x) + (a - 1)f(y)
\]
(67)
for some rational number \(a\) with \(a \neq 1, -1, 0\). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping \(Q : X \to Y\) such that \(Q\) satisfies (66) and
\[
\|Q(x) - f(x)\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{-2(n+1)} \left( \phi (a^n x, 0) + \frac{1}{2} \phi (0, 0) \right)
\]
(68)
for all \(x \in X\).

**Proof.** Setting \(y = -y\) in (67), we have
\[
\|f(ax - y) + (a - 1) f(x + y) - f(x - y)\|
\]
\[
- (a + 2)(a - 1)f(x) - (a - 1)f(-y)\| \leq \phi (x, -y),
\]
(69)
and by (67) and (69), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\| f(ax + y) + f(ax - y) - 2ax^2 f(x) - 2 f(y) \\
+ (a - 2) [ f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2 f(x) - 2 f(y) ] \\
+ (a - 1) [ f(y) - f(-y) ] \| \\
\leq \phi(x, y) + \phi(x, -y)
\end{align*}
\]
(70)
for all \(x, y \in X\). Letting \(x = 0\) in (67), we have
\[
\| (a - 1) [ f(y) - f(-y) ] \| \leq \phi(0, y)
\]
(71)
for all \(y \in X\). Hence, by (70) and (71), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\| f(ax + y) + f(ax - y) - 2ax^2 f(x) - 2 f(y) \\
+ (a - 2) [ f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2 f(x) - 2 f(y) ] \\
+ (a - 1) [ f(y) - f(-y) ] \| \\
\leq \Phi(x, y)
\end{align*}
\]
(72)
for all \(x, y \in X\), where \(\Phi(x, y) = \phi(x, y) + \phi(x, -y) + \phi(0, y)\).
By Theorem 6, we get the result.

Remark II. It would be interesting to see how Theorem 10 works well for a simple case of \(\phi\). Take \(\phi(x, y) = \epsilon > 0\). Then the original inequality in Theorem 10 is
\[
\| f(ax + y) + f(ax - y) - f(x + y) - (a - 1) f(x) - (a - 1) f(y) \| \leq \epsilon.
\]
(73)
After the deforming process, inequality (73) turns into the following new inequality which is standard in our sense:
\[
\begin{align*}
\| f(ax + y) + f(ax - y) - 2ax^2 f(x) - 2 f(y) \\
+ (a - 2) [ f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2 f(x) - 2 f(y) ] \\
\| \leq 3\epsilon.
\end{align*}
\]
(74)
With \(f(0) = 0\), apply Theorem 6 or Theorem 7 (\(\phi(x, y) = 3\epsilon\) in the theorems) to inequality (74); we get the following conclusion.
There exists a unique quadratic mapping \(Q : X \to Y\) such that \(Q\) satisfies (66) and
\[
\| Q(x) - f(x) \| \leq \frac{3\epsilon}{2|a^2 - 1|}.
\]
(75)
Now, consider the following functional equation:
\[
\begin{align*}
f(3x + 2y) + 2f(3x - 2y) - 6f(x - y) \\
= 21 f(x) + 6 f(y).
\end{align*}
\]
(76)
Theorem 12. Let \(\phi : X^2 \to [0, \infty)\) be a function with (28) for \(a = 3\). Let \(f : X \to Y\) be a mapping satisfying \(f(0) = 0\) and
\[
\begin{align*}
\| f(3x + 2y) + 2f(3x - 2y) - 6f(x - y) \\
- 21 f(x) - 6 f(y) \| \leq \phi(x, y).
\end{align*}
\]
(77)
Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping \(Q : X \to Y\) such that \(Q\) satisfies (76) and
\[
\| Q(x) - f(x) \| \leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^{-2(n+1)} [ \phi(3^n x, 0) + 6 \phi(0, 0) ]
\]
(78)
for all \(x \in X\).
Proof. Setting \(x = 0\) in (77), we have
\[
\| f(2y) + 2 f(-2y) - 6 [ f(y) + f(-y) ] \| \leq \phi(0, y)
\]
(79)
for all \(y \in X\). Letting \(y = -y\) in (79), we have
\[
\| 2 f(2y) + f(-2y) - 6 [ f(y) + 6 f(-y) ] \| \leq \phi(0, -y)
\]
(80)
for all \(y \in X\). By (79) and (80), we have
\[
\| f(2y) - f(-2y) \| \leq \phi(0, y) + \phi(0, -y)
\]
(81)
for all \(y \in X\). Hence by (81), we have
\[
\| f(y) - f(-y) \| \leq \phi \left(0, \frac{y}{2}\right) + \phi \left(0, -\frac{y}{2}\right)
\]
(82)
for all \(y \in X\).
Letting \(y = -y\) in (77), we get
\[
\| f(3x - 2y) + 2f(3x + 2y) - 6f(x + y) \\
- 21 f(x) - 6 f(-y) \| \leq \phi(x, -y),
\]
(83)
and by (77) and (83), we have
\[
\| 3 [ f(3x - 2y) + f(3x + 2y) - 18 f(x) - 8 f(y) ] \\
- 6 [ f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2 f(x) - 2 f(y) ] \\
+ 6 [ f(y) - f(-y) ] \| \\
\leq \phi(x, y) + \phi(x, -y)
\]
(84)
for all \(x, y \in X\), and so by (82), we have
\[
\| [ f(3x - 2y) + f(3x + 2y) - 18 f(x) - 8 f(y) ] \\
- 2 [ f(x + y) + f(x - y) - 2 f(x) - 2 f(y) ] \| \\
\leq \Phi(x, y)
\]
(85)
for all \(x, y \in X\), where \(\Phi(x, y) = (1/3) [ \phi(x, y) + \phi(x, -y) ] + 2[\phi(0, y/2) + \phi(0, -y/2)]\). So by Theorem 6, we get the result.
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