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1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space and let $A : D(A) \subseteq X \to X$ be a closed densely defined operator and $\eta > 0$. Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{align*}
&u_{tt} + 2\eta A^{1/2}u_t + Au = f(t, u, u_t), \quad t > 0, \\
&u(0) = u_0 \in X^{1/2}, \quad u_t(0) = v_0 \in X,
\end{align*}$$

where $X^{1/2}$ is the fractional power space associated with $A$ as in [1]. Equations like (1) appear in the literature under the name of strongly damped wave equations. An example of mathematical model represented in form (1) is the wave equation with structural damping (see [2–5]). The strongly damped wave equations has been investigated in several contexts by many authors in the last years, for example, existence [6, 7], global classical solution [6, 8], long-time asymptotic behavior [9–11], attractor [2, 12–16], well-posedness [17], decay estimates [18], blowup [8, 19, 20], controllability [19], bootstrapping, and regularity [21]. Another important aspect of the qualitative study of the solutions of strongly damped wave equations is their asymptotic periodicity. In recent years, the study of periodicity and its various extensions for evolution equations has attracted a great deal of attention of many mathematicians (see [22–32] and references therein).

To the best of our knowledge, the study of the existence of asymptotically almost-periodic solutions for strongly damped wave equations of type (1) is a topic not yet considered in the literature.

Problem (1) can be written as a first order in time Cauchy problem in $Y^0 := X^{1/2} \times X$:

$$\begin{align*}
&\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{A}_{(1/2)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = F \left( t, \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad t > 0, \\
&\begin{bmatrix} u(0) \\ v(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \end{bmatrix},
\end{align*}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{(1/2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ A & 2\eta A^{1/2} \end{bmatrix} : D(\mathcal{A}_{(1/2)}) \subseteq X^{1/2} \times X \to X^{1/2} \times X$$

is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_{(1/2)} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi \\ A\varphi + 2\eta A^{1/2}\psi \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\begin{bmatrix} \varphi \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} \in D(\mathcal{A}_{(1/2)}) = X^{1} \times X^{1/2},$

$$F \left( t, \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ f(t, u, v) \end{bmatrix}. $$

Definition 1. The pair $(\eta, A)$ is said to be an admissible pair if there exist $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $M > 0$ such that

$$\| (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \frac{M}{1 + |\lambda|},$$

where $\mathcal{L}(X)$ denotes the space of bounded linear operators on $X$. This work deals with the existence and uniqueness of asymptotically almost-periodic mild solutions for a class of strongly damped semilinear wave equations.
for all \( \lambda \) in the sector \( \Sigma_\varphi = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \varphi \leq \| \arg \lambda \| \leq \pi \} \cup \{0\} \) and \( \pi/2 > \varphi/2 + \arg(\eta + \sqrt{\eta^2 - 1}) \).

If \((\eta, A)\) is an admissible pair, by [21, Proposition 2.1] \( \mathcal{A}_{(1/2)} \) is a closed operator with \( 0 \in \rho(\mathcal{A}_{(1/2)}) \). Indeed, \( \mathcal{A}_{(1/2)} \) has the inverse expressed in the matrix form as

\[
\mathcal{A}_{(1/2)}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\eta A^{-1/2} & A^{-1} \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(X^{1/2} \times X).
\]

Moreover, the operator \( \mathcal{A}_{(1/2)} \) has compact resolvent whenever \( A \) has compact resolvent. By [21, Theorem 2.3] the operator \( \mathcal{A}_{(1/2)} \) is sectorial in \( X^{1/2} \times X \). The semigroup \( \{e^{-\mathcal{A}_{(1/2)} t} : t \geq 0\} \) generated by \( -\mathcal{A}_{(1/2)} \) in \( X^{1/2} \times X \) is exponentially decaying analytic. That is, there are constants \( C > 0 \) and \( K \) so that

\[
\| e^{-\mathcal{A}_{(1/2)} t} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X^{1/2} \times X)} \leq Ke^{-Ct}, \quad t \geq 0.
\]

Throughout this paper, we always assume that \((\eta, A)\) is an admissible pair.

This paper has four sections. In the next section, we consider some definitions, technical aspects, and basic properties related to asymptotically almost-periodic functions. In the third section, we obtain general results on the existence of asymptotically almost-periodic (mild) solutions to the problem (1). The main abstract results are Theorems 12, 16, 17, and 19. Finally, in the fourth section we consider several applications. In particular, we consider the following class of partial differential equations:

\[
\begin{aligned}
&u_{tt} + \eta b(t) u_t + \Delta^2 u - \delta \Delta u_t = \mu a(t) (h(u) \nabla \cdot u + g(u) \Delta u), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \geq 0 \\
&u = \Delta u = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\
&u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

in a bounded smooth domain \( \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N \) and where \( h \) and \( g \) satisfy certain growth conditions. We prove that if \( a \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}), b \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}) \), and \( |\mu| + |\nu| \) is small enough, then the previous problem has an asymptotically almost periodic mild solution. The same type of conclusion is derived for the wide class

\[
\begin{aligned}
&u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u - \delta \Delta u_t = a(t) \left\| \nabla \cdot u(t, x) \right\|^{\beta} \Phi_0, \\
&x \in \Omega, \quad t \geq 0, \\
&u = \Delta u = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\
&u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \Phi_0 \in L^p(\Omega) \) and \( p > N/2 \).

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some concepts and properties needed to develop the following sections. Let \( X \) be a reflexive Banach space. For an interval \( I \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{C}_b(I, X) \) denotes the space formed by the bounded continuous functions from \( I \) into \( X \), endowed with the norm of uniform convergence. When \( X = \mathbb{R} \), we denote \( \mathcal{C}_b(I) \) instead of \( \mathcal{C}_b(I, \mathbb{R}) \). The notation \( \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^+, X) \) stands for the subspace of \( \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^+, X) \) consisting of functions that vanish at infinity. We denote by \( \mathcal{L}(X) \) the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators defined on \( X \). For \( r > 0 \), the notation \( B_r(X) \) stands for the closed ball \( \{ x \in X : \| x \| \leq r \} \). For a linear operator \( A \) with domain \( \mathcal{D}(A) \) and range \( \mathcal{R}(A) \) in \( X \), we represent by \( \sigma(A) \) (resp., \( \rho(A) \)) the spectrum (resp., the resolvent set) of \( A \). For \( \lambda \in \rho(A) \), we denote by \( R(\lambda, A) = (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \) the resolvent operator of \( A \). When \( A \) is closed, we denote by \( |\mathcal{D}(A)| \) the domain of \( A \) endowed with the graph norm \( \| x \|_A = \| x \| + \| Ax \| \).

Next, we present a brief summary of the main properties of asymptotically almost-periodic functions.

**Definition 2** (see [33]). A continuous function \( f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X \) is called almost-periodic if for each \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists \( l(\epsilon) > 0 \) such that for every interval of length \( l(\epsilon) \) it contains a number \( \tau \) with the property that \( \| f(t + \tau) - f(t) \| \leq \epsilon \) for each \( t \in \mathbb{R} \).

The previous number \( \tau \) is called an \( \epsilon \)-translation number for \( f \). We denote by \( \mathcal{A}(X) \) the space formed by the almost periodic functions \( f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow X \). We note that each almost-periodic function is bounded and uniformly continuous. It is well known that the range \( \mathcal{A}(f) = \{ f(t) : t \in \mathbb{R} \} \) of an almost periodic function \( f \) is relatively compact. The space \( \mathcal{A}(X) \) is a Banach space endowed with the norm of uniform convergence.

Let \( Y \) be a Banach space. We have the following concept of parameter-dependent almost-periodic function.

**Definition 3.** A continuous function \( f : \mathbb{R} \times Y \rightarrow X \) is called almost-periodic in \( t \) uniformly for \( y \) in compact subsets of \( Y \) if for every compact subset \( K \) of \( Y \) and each \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists \( l(\epsilon) > 0 \) such that every interval \( I \) of length \( l(\epsilon) \) contains a number \( \tau \) with the property that \( \| f(t + \tau, y) - f(t, y) \| \leq \epsilon \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}, y \in K \).

Henceforth, we abbreviate the terminology by calling almost-periodic from \( \mathbb{R} \times Y \) into \( X \) to those functions that are almost-periodic in \( t \) uniformly for \( y \) in compact subsets of \( Y \), and we denote by \( \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R} \times Y, X) \) the set formed by the almost-periodic functions from \( \mathbb{R} \times Y \) into \( X \).

The proof of the following result is similar to the proof of [22, Lemma 2.12] and therefore omitted.

**Lemma 4.** If \( h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X^{1/2} \times X \) is an almost-periodic function and

\[
u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{(1/2)}(t-s)} h(s) \, ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
\]

then \( u \) is almost-periodic.

It is well known that the study of composition of two functions with special properties is important and basic for deep investigations. The following result has been established in [34].
Lemma 5. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times Y \to X$ be an almost-periodic function and let $u : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ be an almost-periodic function. Then the function $\mathbb{R} \to X$, $t \to f(t, u(t))$ is almost-periodic.

We will need the following definition.

Definition 6. A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to X$ is called asymptotically almost-periodic if there are two functions $g \in AP(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^+, X)$ such that

$$ f(t) = g(t) + \varphi(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (11) $$

The function $g$ is called the almost-periodic part of $f$. We denote by $AAP(\mathbb{R}^+ \times Y, X)$ the set consisting of all asymptotically almost-periodic functions from $\mathbb{R}^+ \times Y$ into $X$.

Definition 7. A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times Y \to X$ is called asymptotically almost-periodic if there are two functions $g \in AP(\mathbb{R} \times Y, X)$ and $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^+ \times Y, X)$ such that

$$ f(t, y) = g(t, y) + \varphi(t, y), \quad y \in Y, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (12) $$

We denote by $AAP(\mathbb{R}^+ \times Y, X)$ the set consisting of all asymptotically almost-periodic functions from $\mathbb{R}^+ \times Y$ into $X$.

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. We have the following concept of function uniformly continuous on compact sets.

Definition 8. A continuous function $f : I \times Y \to X$ is called uniformly continuous on compact sets if for all compact set $K \subseteq Y$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta_{\varepsilon, K} > 0$ such that $\|f(t, y_1) - f(t, y_2)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $t \in I$ and $y_1, y_2 \in K$ with $\|y_1 - y_2\| \leq \delta_{\varepsilon, K}$.

Lemma 9 (see [22]). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times Y \to X$ be an asymptotically almost-periodic and uniformly continuous on compact sets function. Let $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to Y$ be an asymptotically almost-periodic function. Then the function $\mathbb{R}^+ \to X$, $t \to f(t, u(t))$ is asymptotically almost-periodic.

The proof of the following result is similar to the proof of [22, Lemma 2.13]. Therefore, we will omit it.

Lemma 10. If $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \to X^{1/2} \times X$ is an asymptotically almost-periodic function and

$$ u(t) = \int_0^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)}h(s) \, ds, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (13) $$

Then, $u$ is asymptotically almost-periodic.

3. Asymptotically Almost-Periodic Mild Solutions

We recall the following definition that will be essential for us.

Definition 11. Let $[\bar{u}^t_{\alpha}]$ be in $X^{1/2} \times X$. We say that $[\bar{u}^t_{\alpha}] : \mathbb{R}^+ \to X^{1/2} \times X$ is a mild solution to (2) (or to (1)) if it satisfies the Cauchy integral formula:

$$ \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} = e^{-\delta(t-s)} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} F(s, \begin{bmatrix} u(s) \\ v(s) \end{bmatrix}) \, ds, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (14) $$

Theorem 12. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times X^{1/2} \times X \to X$ be an asymptotically almost-periodic function and assume that there exists a locally integrable function $L_f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$ \|f(t, u_1, v_1) - f(t, u_2, v_2)\|_X \leq L_f(t) \left[ \|u_1 - u_2\|_{X^{1/2}} + \|v_1 - v_2\|_X \right], \quad (15) $$

for all $[\bar{u}^t_{\alpha}] \in X^{1/2} \times X$, $i = 1, 2$ and each $t \geq 0$. If

$$ K \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_0^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} L_f(s) \, ds < 1, \quad (16) $$

where $K$ and $C$ are given in (7). Then, (2) has a unique asymptotically almost-periodic mild solution.

Proof. We define the map $\mathfrak{F}$ on the space $AAP(X^{1/2} \times X)$ by the expression

$$ \mathfrak{F} \left( \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} \right) = e^{-\delta(t-s)} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} F(s, \begin{bmatrix} u(s) \\ v(s) \end{bmatrix}) \, ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (17) $$

where

$$ \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{ap}(t) \\ v_{ap}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_u(t) \\ \varphi_v(t) \end{bmatrix} \quad (18) $$

is an asymptotically almost-periodic function; that is,

$$ \begin{bmatrix} u_{ap}(t) \\ v_{ap}(t) \end{bmatrix} \in AP \left( X^{1/2} \times X \right), \quad \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_u(t) \\ \varphi_v(t) \end{bmatrix} \in C_0 \left( \mathbb{R}^+, X^{1/2} \times X \right). \quad (19) $$

Since $f \in AAP(\mathbb{R}^+ \times X^{1/2} \times X, X)$; then there are two functions $\Phi \in AP(\mathbb{R}^+ \times X^{1/2} \times X, X)$ and $\Psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^+ \times X^{1/2} \times X, X)$ so that $f = \Phi + \Psi$.

We set for $[\bar{a}^t_{\alpha}] \in X^{1/2} \times X$

$$ \mathfrak{F} \left( s, \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi(s, a, b) \\ \Psi(s, a, b) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (20) $$
For \( t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), we have the following decomposition:

\[
G \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \right) (t) = \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \left( \Phi \left( s, \begin{bmatrix} u(s) \\ v(s) \end{bmatrix} \right) - \Phi \left( s, \begin{bmatrix} u_{ap}(s) \\ v_{ap}(s) \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) ds \\
+ \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \Phi \left( s, \begin{bmatrix} u_{ap}(s) \\ v_{ap}(s) \end{bmatrix} \right) ds \\
- \int_0^t 0 e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \Phi \left( s, \begin{bmatrix} u_{ap}(s) \\ v_{ap}(s) \end{bmatrix} \right) ds \\
+ e^{-\alpha(t-T)} \left[ u_0 \\
v_0 \right] + \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \Phi \left( s, \begin{bmatrix} u(s) \\ v(s) \end{bmatrix} \right) ds \\
:= G(t) + H(t),
\]

where

\[
G(t) = \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \Phi \left( s, \begin{bmatrix} u_{ap}(s) \\ v_{ap}(s) \end{bmatrix} \right) ds
\]

and \( H(t) \) denotes the remained terms of the previous decomposition.

Next, we estimate the first term of (21). For \( \varepsilon > 0 \), we choose \( T > 0 \) big enough so that

\[
\| \phi_u(s) \|_{X^{1/2}} + \| \phi_v(s) \|_X \leq \varepsilon,
\]

for all \( s \geq T \), and \( \| \Psi(s,z) \|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \leq \varepsilon \) for all \( s \geq T \) and all \( z \in B \cup B_{ap} \). We have the following estimates:

\[
\| \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \left( \Phi \left( s, \begin{bmatrix} u(s) \\ v(s) \end{bmatrix} \right) - \Psi \left( s, \begin{bmatrix} u_{ap}(s) \\ v_{ap}(s) \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) ds \|_{X^{1/2} \times X}
\]

\[
\leq K \left( \int_0^T e^{-\alpha(T-t)} L_f(s) (\| \phi_u(s) \|_{X^{1/2}} + \| \phi_v(s) \|_X) ds \\
+ K \left( \int_T^T e^{-\alpha(T-t)} L_f(s) (\| \phi_u(s) \|_{X^{1/2}} + \| \phi_v(s) \|_X) ds \\
+ K \left( \int_0^T e^{-\alpha(T-t)} ds \right) \times \left( \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+, z \in B} \| \Psi(s,z) \|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \right) \right.
\]

\[
+ \left. K e^{-\alpha(T-t)} \left( \int_0^T e^{\alpha(T-t)} L_f(s) ds \right) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^*} \| \phi_u(\xi) \|_{X^{1/2}} \right) \leq eK
\]

\[
+ K e^{CT} e^{-Ct}
\]
From (23) to (29), we deduce that \( \lim_{t \to -\infty} H(t) = 0 \); that is, \( H \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^+, X^{1/2} \times X) \).

Since \( \bar{G} \in AP(R^+ \times X^{1/2} \times X, X^{1/2} \times X) \) and \( \left[ u_{ap} \right] \in AP(X^{1/2} \times X) \), we get from Lemma 5 that

\[
\bar{G} \left( \cdot, \left[ u_{ap} (\cdot) \right] \right) \in AP \left( X^{1/2} \times X \right).
\]

Now, by Lemma 4, we obtain that \( \mathcal{G} \in AP(X^{1/2} \times X) \), and hence \( \mathcal{G} \) is well defined. It suffices to show that the operator \( \mathcal{G} \) has a unique fixed point in \( AP(X^{1/2} \times X) \).

For this, we consider that \( \left[ u_1 \right], \left[ u_2 \right] \in AP(X^{1/2} \times X) \).

We can deduce that

\[
\left\| \mathcal{G} \left[ u_1 \right] - \mathcal{G} \left[ u_2 \right] \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} 
\]

\[
\leq K \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} \left[ \| f(s, u_1(s), v_1(s)) - f(s, u_2(s), v_2(s)) \|_{X^{1/2}} \right] ds
\]

\[
\leq K \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} L f(s) \left[ \| u_1(s) - u_2(s) \|_{X^{1/2}} + \| v_1(s) - v_2(s) \|_{X^{1/2}} \right] ds
\]

\[
\leq K \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} L f(s) \left[ \left\| \begin{array}{c} u_1 \vspace{1mm} \\
 v_1 \end{array} \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} - \left\| \begin{array}{c} u_2 \\
v_2 \end{array} \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \right] ds,
\]

by the contraction principle, \( \mathcal{G} \) has a unique fixed point in \( AP(X^{1/2} \times X) \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Remark 13. We wish to emphasize that condition (15) is optimal in the sense that the function \( L_f(\cdot) \) is locally integrable. This is the largest possible class of Lipschitz constant \( L_f(\cdot) \) for which the conclusion of Theorem 12 holds true. However, this condition in \( L_f(\cdot) \) makes our analysis much more harder, because to prove Theorem 12 we cannot use the standard composition lemma for asymptotically almost-periodic functions (see Lemma 9). To overcome this difficulty we need to use a suitable decomposition for the natural operator associated with the mild solution (see (14) and (21)). In contrast, we note that in the more restrictive case of \( L_f(\cdot) \) to be an integrable bounded function we can use Lemma 9 directly.

**Corollary 14.** Let \( f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times X^{1/2} \times X \to X \) be an asymptotically almost-periodic function that satisfies the Lipschitz condition (15) with \( L_f(\cdot) \equiv L \). If

\[
K L \frac{C}{C} < 1,
\]

then problem (2) has a unique asymptotically almost-periodic mild solution.

**Remark 15.** Let \( f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times X^{1/2} \times X \to X \) be an asymptotically almost-periodic function that satisfies the Lipschitz condition (15). We can avoid the condition (32) by using the fixed-point iteration method. Indeed, we consider two cases.

**Case 1.** \( L_f(\cdot) \equiv L \). We consider the following space

\[
AAP^0 \left( X^{1/2} \times X \right)
\]

\[
:= \left\{ \left[ u \right] : u(0) = 0, v(0) = 0 \right\}
\]

endowed with the norm of the uniform convergence. We define the map \( \Gamma \) on the space \( AAP^0(X^{1/2} \times X) \) by

\[
\Gamma \left( \left[ u \right] \right) (t) = \int_0^t e^{-i(t) (t-s)} \left[ u_0 \right] + \left[ v_0 \right] ds.
\]

Combining Lemmas 9 and 10, we know that \( \Gamma \) is a continuous function from \( AAP^0(X^{1/2} \times X) \) into \( AAP^0(X^{1/2} \times X) \). Moreover, for \( \left[ u_i \right] \in AAP^0(X^{1/2} \times X) \), \( i = 1, 2 \) we have

\[
\left\| \Gamma \left( \left[ u_1 \right] \right) - \Gamma \left( \left[ u_2 \right] \right) \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} 
\]

\[
\leq K L \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} \left[ \left\| u_1(s) - u_2(s) \right\|_{X^{1/2}} + \left\| v_1(s) - v_2(s) \right\|_{X^{1/2}} \right] ds.
\]

With the notation \( \Phi(s) = K L e^{-C s} \) and

\[
\alpha (s) = \sup_{0 \leq \xi \leq s} \left[ \left\| u_1(\xi) - u_2(\xi) \right\|_{X^{1/2}} + \left\| v_1(\xi) - v_2(\xi) \right\|_{X} \right],
\]

the previous estimate yields

\[
\left\| \Gamma \left( \left[ u_1 \right] \right) - \Gamma \left( \left[ u_2 \right] \right) \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \leq (\Phi(\alpha))(t), \quad t \geq 0.
\]

Since \( \Phi \ast \alpha \) is a nondecreasing function, proceeding inductively, we can show that

\[
\left\| \Gamma^n \left( \left[ u_1 \right] \right) - \Gamma^n \left( \left[ u_2 \right] \right) \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \leq (\Phi^n \ast \alpha)(t),
\]

\[
t \geq 0,
\]

where \( \Phi^n \) denotes the \( n \)-fold convolution of \( \Phi \) with itself.
On the other hand, the map $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^+) \to \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^+)$, given by
\[
(\mathcal{S}\alpha)(t) = \int_0^t \Phi(t-s)\alpha(s)\,ds, \quad t \geq 0,
\]
is a bounded linear map. Moreover, it follows from \cite[Theorem 2.3.5]{36} that $\sigma(\mathcal{S}) = \{0\}$, which implies that $\|\mathcal{S}\alpha\| = \|\Phi\alpha\|_1 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This shows that $\Gamma^n$ is a contraction for $n$ sufficiently large. As a consequence $\Gamma$ has a fixed point $[\alpha]$ in $\text{AAP}^0(X^{1/2} \times X)$.

We note that the function
\[
\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{pmatrix} + e^{-\varepsilon t/n} F \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
is an asymptotically almost-periodic mild solution to problem (2).

Case 2. $L_f(\cdot)$ in (15) is an integrable bounded function. By Lemmas 9 and 10 the space $\text{AAP}(X^{1/2} \times X)$ is invariant under $\mathcal{F}$ (see (17)). The fixed point iteration method and the following estimate
\[
\left\| \mathcal{F}^n \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} - \mathcal{F}^n \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_\infty \leq \frac{K \| L_f \|_1}{n!} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_\infty
\]
are responsible for the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ has a unique fixed point in $\text{AAP}(X^{1/2} \times X)$. This concludes the discussion of Remark 15.

We have the following results of the existence of local type.

**Theorem 16.** Let $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times X^{1/2} \times X \to X$ be an asymptotically almost-periodic function that satisfies the Lipschitz condition
\[
\| f(t, u_1, v_1) - f(t, u_2, v_2) \|_X \leq L_f(r) \left( \| u_1 - u_2 \|_{X^{1/2}} + \| v_1 - v_2 \|_X \right),
\]
for each $t \geq 0$ and $[\alpha]$ $\in X^{1/2} \times X$ such that $\| u \|_{X^{1/2}} + \| v \|_X \leq r$, $i = 1, 2$ where $L_f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is nondecreasing continuous function such that $L_f(0) = 0$ and $f(t, 0, 0) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Then, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for each $[\alpha]$ $\in X^{1/2} \times X$ satisfying $\| u \|_{X^{1/2}} + \| v \|_X \leq \varepsilon$, there is a unique asymptotically almost-periodic mild solution of problem (2).

**Proof.** We choose $\lambda, r > 0$ small enough such that $\lambda < 1$ and $K(\lambda + (1/C)L_f(r)) < 1$. Assume that $\| u_0 \|_{X^{1/2}} + \| v_0 \|_X \leq \lambda r$.

We consider the space
\[
\text{AAP}_r = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in \text{AAP}(X^{1/2} \times X) : u(0) = u_0, \ v(0) = v_0, \quad \| u(t) \|_{X^{1/2}} + \| v(t) \|_X \leq r, \quad t \geq 0 \right\},
\]
edgedowed with the norm of the uniform convergence. We consider the map $\mathcal{F}$ given by (17) on $\text{AAP}_r$. By Lemma 9
\[
\mathcal{F}(\text{AAP}_r) \subseteq \text{AAP}_r \quad \text{(44)}
\]
We next prove that $\mathcal{F}(\text{AAP}_r) \subseteq \text{AAP}_r$.

In fact, if $[\alpha]$ $\in \text{AAP}_r$, we have
\[
\| \mathcal{F} \left( \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right) (t) \|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \leq K e^{C(t-s)} \left( \| u_0 \|_{X^{1/2}} + \| v_0 \|_X \right) \times \| u(s) \|_{X^{1/2}} + \| v(s) \|_X ds
\]
which permit us to infer that $\mathcal{F}(\text{AAP}_r) \subseteq \text{AAP}_r$.

On the other hand, for $[\alpha]$ $\in X^{1/2} \times X$, $i = 1, 2$, we have that
\[
\left\| \mathcal{F} \left( \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \right)(t) - \mathcal{F} \left( \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)(t) \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \leq K \left( \frac{1}{C} L_f(r) \left\| \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_\infty \right)
\]
which shows that $\mathcal{F}$ is a contraction from $\text{AAP}_r$ into itself. Therefore, the assertion holds for $\varepsilon = \lambda r$.

**Theorem 17.** Let $f \in \text{AAP}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times X^{1/2} \times X)$ be a function that satisfies the local Lipschitz condition (42) with $L_f(\cdot)$ a nondecreasing function. Assume that there is a constant $r > 0$ such that
\[
\frac{K}{C} \left( L_f(r + C_a) + \frac{1}{r} \left( L_f(C_a) C_a + \sup_{t \geq 0} \| f(t, 0, 0) \| \right) \right) < 1,
\]
where $C_a = K[\| u_0 \|_{X^{1/2}} + \| v_0 \|_X]$, $K$, and $C$ are the constant given in (7). Then, there is an asymptotically almost-periodic mild solution of problem (2).

**Proof.** We define the map $\Gamma$ on the closed ball
\[
\mathcal{B}_r = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in \text{AAP}^0(X^{1/2} \times X) : \| u(t) \|_{X^{1/2}} + \| v(t) \|_X \leq r, \quad t \geq 0 \right\},
\]
by means of the expression (34).
Abstract and Applied Analysis

If \([\frac{u}{v}] \in \mathcal{R}_r\), we have the estimate
\[
\begin{align*}
\|\Gamma\left(\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}\right)(t)\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} & \leq \|\Gamma\left(\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}\right)(t) - \Gamma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)(t)\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \\
& + \|\Gamma\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)(t)\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \\
& \leq KL_f (r + C_\theta) \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} \\
& \times \left(\|u(s)\|_{X^{1/2}} + \|v(s)\|_{X}\right) ds \\
& + K \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} \\
& \times \left\|F\left(s, e^{-\mathcal{A}1/2} s \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \end{bmatrix}\right)\right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} ds \\
& \leq \frac{K}{C} L_f (r + C_\theta) r \\
& + \frac{K}{C} L_f (C_\theta) C_\theta + \sup_{t \geq 0} \|f(t, 0, 0)\| \\
& \leq \frac{K}{C} L_f (r + C_\theta) \\
& + \frac{1}{r} \left( L_f (C_\theta) C_\theta + \sup_{t \geq 0} \|f(t, 0, 0)\| \right) r \\
& \leq r.
\end{align*}
\]
Moreover, for \([\frac{u_i}{v_i}] \in \mathcal{R}_r, i = 1, 2\), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\|\Gamma\left(\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{bmatrix}\right)(t) - & \Gamma\left(\begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix}\right)(t)\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \\
& \leq KL_f (r + C_\theta) \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} \\
& \times \left(\|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|_{X^{1/2}} + \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\|_{X}\right) ds \\
& \leq KL_f (r + C_\theta) \left\|\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix}\right\|_\infty.
\end{align*}
\]  
Using (50), we get that \(\Gamma\) is a contraction on \(\mathcal{R}_r\).

In many concrete situations, the operator \(\mathcal{A}\) has compact resolvent, which in turn implies that the semigroup \(e^{-\mathcal{A}1/2}\) is compact for \(t > 0\). To exploit this property of compactness, we need to introduce some preliminaries.

Let \(Y\) be an arbitrary Banach space and let \(h : [0, \infty) \to [1, \infty)\) be a nondecreasing continuous function such that \(h(t) \to \infty\) when \(t \to \infty\). In next, we denote by \(C_h(Y)\) the space
\[
C_h(Y) = \left\{ u \in C([0, \infty), Y) : \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\|u(t)\|_Y}{h(t)} = 0 \right\}
\]
edowed with the norm
\[
\|u\|_h = \sup_{t \geq 0} \frac{\|u(t)\|_Y}{h(t)}.
\]
For reference purposes, we state the following property.

**Lemma 18** (see [26]). A set \(\mathcal{K} \subseteq C_h(Y)\) is relatively compact in \(C_h(Y)\) if the following conditions are fulfilled:

(C1) For all \(b > 0\), the set \(\mathcal{K}_b = \{u|_{(0,b)} : u \in \mathcal{K}\}\) is relatively compact in \(C([0, b], Y)\).

(C2) \(\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\|u(t)\|_Y}{h(t)} = 0\) uniformly for \(u \in \mathcal{K}\).

To establish our next result we introduce the following condition.

(W) There is a continuous nondecreasing function \(W_f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+\) such that
\[
\|f(t, u, v)\|_X \leq W_f \left( \|u\|_{X^{1/2}} + \|v\|_X \right),
\]
for all \(t \geq 0\) and all \([\frac{u}{v}] \in X^{1/2} \times X\).

We next denote
\[
\beta(L) = \sup_{t \geq 0} \frac{K}{h(t)} \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} W_f \left(Lh(s)\right) ds.
\]
We have the following result.

**Theorem 19.** Assume that the operator \(\mathcal{A}\) in (1) has compact resolvent. Suppose, in addition, that the following conditions are fulfilled:

(a) The function \(f \in \text{AAP}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times X^{1/2} \times X, X)\) is uniformly continuous on compact sets and satisfies the condition (W).

(b) For each \(a \geq 0\), \(r > 0\), and \(0 \leq \xi \leq a\),
\[
\left(e^{-\mathcal{A}1/2 (a+\xi - s)} - e^{-\mathcal{A}1/2 (a+\xi - t)}\right) F\left(\xi, \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}\right) \to 0
\]
as \(s \to \infty\) uniformly for all \([\frac{u}{v}]\) so that \(\|u\|_{X^{1/2}} + \|v\|_X \leq r\).

(c) For each \(L > 0\),
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{h(t)} \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} W_f \left(Lh(s)\right) ds = 0.
\]

(d) For each \(\epsilon > 0\), there is \(\delta > 0\) such that for every \([\frac{u}{v}] \in C_h(X^{1/2} \times X), i = 1, 2\) with
\[
\frac{1}{h(t)} \left(\|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_{X^{1/2}} + \|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\|_X\right) \leq \delta,
\]
\(t \geq 0\)
implies that
\[
\int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} \|f(s, u_1(s), v_1(s)) - f(s, u_2(s), v_2(s))\|_X ds \leq \varepsilon,
\]
for each \( t \geq 0 \).

(e) \( \lim \inf_{t \to -\infty} \beta(\xi)/\xi < 1 \).

Then, problem (2) has an asymptotically almost-periodic mild solution.

Proof. Let \( C^0_h(X^{1/2} \times X) \) be the space consisting of the functions \( [u(\cdot)/v(\cdot)] \) in \( C_h(X^{1/2} \times X) \) such that \( u(0) = 0, v(0) = 0 \). It is clear that \( C^0_h(X^{1/2} \times X) \) is a closed subspace of \( C_h(X^{1/2} \times X) \). We define the operator \( \Gamma \) on \( C^0_h(X^{1/2} \times X) \) by (34). It follows from conditions (a) and (c) that
\[
\frac{1}{h(t)} \left\| \Gamma \left( \begin{bmatrix} u^i \\ v^i \end{bmatrix} \right)(t) \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \leq \frac{K}{h(t)} \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} W_f(Lh(s)) ds \to 0, \quad t \to \infty,
\]
where
\[
L = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \right\|_h + K \left( \left\| u_0 \right\|_{X^{1/2}} + \left\| v_0 \right\|_X \right).
\]
Thus, we have that \( \Gamma : C^0_h(X^{1/2} \times X) \to C^0_h(X^{1/2} \times X) \).

We divide the rest of the proof into several steps.

(i) The map \( \Gamma \) is continuous. For each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is \( \delta > 0 \) such that for \( \left[ \begin{bmatrix} u^i \\ v^i \end{bmatrix} \right] \in C^0_h(X^{1/2} \times X), \ i = 1, 2 \) with
\[
\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u_1 - u_2 \\ v_1 - v_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_h \leq \delta
\]
implies that
\[
\frac{1}{h(t)} \left\| \Gamma \left( \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{bmatrix} \right)(t) - \Gamma \left( \begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \right)(t) \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \leq \frac{1}{h(t)} \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon,
\]
which shows the assertion.

(ii) The map \( \Gamma \) is completely continuous. We take \( r > 0 \) and we set \( V = \Gamma(B_r(C^0_h(X^{1/2} \times X))) \).

For \( t \geq 0 \), we set
\[
V(t) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \in V \right\}.
\]

We first show that \( V(t) \) is a relatively compact set in \( X^{1/2} \times X \) for each \( t \geq 0 \). It follows from the mean value theorem that \( V(t) \in \operatorname{cl}(\mathcal{F}_0) \), where \( c(\mathcal{F}_0) \) denotes the convex hull of
\[
\mathcal{F}_0 = \left\{ e^{-d(t-s)} F \left( \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \right) : 0 \leq s \leq t, \left\| u \right\|_{X^{1/2}} + \left\| v \right\|_X \leq \rho \right\},
\]
where \( \rho = h(t)(r + K(\left\| u_0 \right\|_{X^{1/2}} + \left\| v_0 \right\|_X)) \), and \( K \) is a constant given in (7).

Since
\[
\sup \left\{ \left\| F \left( \begin{bmatrix} s \\ \nu \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} : 0 \leq s \leq t, \left\| u \right\|_{X^{1/2}} + \left\| v \right\|_X \leq \rho \right\} \leq W_f(\rho),
\]
and taking into account that \( e^{-d(t-s)} \) is compact for \( t > 0 \), we infer that \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) is a relatively compact set in \( X^{1/2} \times X \) and consequently \( V(t) \) is also relatively compact. Let now \( b > 0 \) fixed and \( V_0 \) the set formed by the functions \( \left[ \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \right] \in V \) restricted to the interval \([0, b]\).

We affirm that the set \( V_0 \) is equicontinuous.

In fact, if
\[
\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \Gamma \left( \begin{bmatrix} \bar{u} \\ \bar{v} \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \bar{u} \\ \bar{v} \end{bmatrix} \in B_r(C^0_h(X^{1/2} \times X)),
\]
for \( s \geq 0 \), we obtain the following estimate:
\[
\left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(t+s) - u(t) \\ v(t+s) - v(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \leq K \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} W_f(Lh(s)) ds \left( \begin{bmatrix} \bar{u} \\ \bar{v} \end{bmatrix} \right) + e^{-d(t-s)} F \left( \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \bar{u} \\ \bar{v} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} d\xi,
\]
where \( L = r + K(\left\| u_0 \right\|_{X^{1/2}} + \left\| v_0 \right\|_X) \). It is immediate that the first term on the right hand side converges to zero when \( s \to 0 \) and, using condition (b) we obtain the second term on the right-hand side also converges to zero when \( s \to 0 \) and the convergence is independent of the function \( \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{u} \\ \bar{v} \end{bmatrix} \right] \).

We now show that
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{h(t)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} = 0,
\]
independent of \( \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{u} \\ \bar{v} \end{bmatrix} \right] \in B_r(C^0_h(X^{1/2} \times X)) \). This assertion is a direct consequence of the following estimate and the condition (c):
\[
\frac{1}{h(t)} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \leq K \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} W_f(Lh(s)) ds.
\]
Combining these assertions with Lemma 18, we get that \( V \) is a relatively compact set in \( C_0^0(X^{1/2} \times X) \). Since \( r \) was chosen arbitrary, this proves that \( 
abla \) is completely continuous.

(iii) There is \( r_0 > 0 \) such that \( \Gamma(B_{r_0}(C_0^0(X^{1/2} \times X))) \subseteq B_{r_0}(C_0^0(X^{1/2} \times X)) \). In fact, if we assume that the assertion is false; then for all \( r > 0 \) we can choose \( \left[ \frac{u'}{v'} \right] \in B_{r_0}(C_0^0(X^{1/2} \times X)) \) such that

\[
\frac{1}{h(t)} \| \Gamma \left( \left[ \frac{u'}{v'} \right] \right)(t) \|_{X^{1/2} \times X} > r
\]

for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). Then

\[
1 \leq \left( 1 + \frac{K(\|u_0\|_{X^{1/2}} + \|v_0\|_{X})}{r} \right) \times \frac{\beta(r + K(\|u_0\|_{X^{1/2}} + \|v_0\|_{X}))}{r + K(\|u_0\|_{X^{1/2}} + \|v_0\|_{X})},
\]

\[
1 \leq \liminf_{\xi \to \infty} \frac{\beta(\xi)}{\xi},
\]

which contradicts condition (e) and establishes the assertion.

(iv) If \( \left[ \frac{u'}{v'} \right] \in AA\!P^0(X^{1/2} \times X) \), then the function \( \mathbb{R}^+ \to X^{1/2} \times X \) given by

\[
t \longrightarrow \left[ \frac{u(t)}{v(t)} \right] + e^{-\frac{\varphi(t)}{\gamma(t)}} \left[ \frac{u_0}{v_0} \right]
\]

is in AA\!P(X^{1/2} \times X). Since \( f \in AA\!P(\mathbb{R}^+ \times X^{1/2} \times X, X) \) is uniformly continuous on compact sets, we have from Lemma 9 that

\[
t \longrightarrow F \left( t, \left[ \frac{u(t)}{v(t)} \right] + e^{-\frac{\varphi(t)}{\gamma(t)}} \left[ \frac{u_0}{v_0} \right] \right) \in AA\!P(X^{1/2} \times X).
\]

Applying Lemma 10, we obtain that \( \Gamma(AA\!P^0(X^{1/2} \times X)) \subseteq AA\!P^0(X^{1/2} \times X) \). Consequently, combining with (iii) we infer that

\[
\Gamma \left( B_{r_0}(C_0^0(X^{1/2} \times X)) \cap AA\!P^0(X^{1/2} \times X)^h \right) \subseteq B_{r_0}(C_0^0(X^{1/2} \times X)) \cap AA\!P^0(X^{1/2} \times X)^h,
\]

where \( \overline{\mathcal{S}}^h \) denotes the closure of \( \mathcal{S} \) in \( C_0(X^{1/2} \times X) \). Using Schauder fixed point theorem, we deduce that \( \Gamma \) has a fixed point \( \left[ \frac{u}{v} \right] \in B_{r_0}(C_0^0(X^{1/2} \times X)) \cap AA\!P^0(X^{1/2} \times X)^h \).

(v) Finally, we show that \( \left[ \frac{u}{v} \right] \in AA\!P^0(X^{1/2} \times X) \). Let \( \left( \left[ \frac{u_n}{v_n} \right] \right)_n \) be a sequence in \( B_{r_0}(C_0^0(X^{1/2} \times X)) \cap AA\!P^0(X^{1/2} \times X) \) that converges to \( \left[ \frac{u}{v} \right] \) for the topology in \( C_0(X^{1/2} \times X) \). For \( \varepsilon > 0 \), let \( \delta > 0 \) be the constant in condition (d); there is \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) so that

\[
\frac{1}{h(t)} \left\| \Gamma \left( \left[ \frac{u_n(t)}{v_n(t)} \right] \right)(t) \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \leq \delta,
\]

for all \( t \geq 0 \) and all \( n \geq n_0 \). Therefore, for \( n \geq n_0 \)

\[
\sup_{t \geq 0} \left\| \Gamma \left( \left[ \frac{u_n(t)}{v_n(t)} \right] \right)(t) - \Gamma \left( \left[ \frac{u}{v} \right] \right)(t) \right\|_{X^{1/2} \times X} \leq \varepsilon.
\]

Hence

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \left[ \frac{u_n}{v_n} \right] - \left[ \frac{u}{v} \right] \right\|_{\infty} = 0.
\]

Since \( \left[ \frac{u}{v} \right] \in AA\!P^0(X^{1/2} \times X) \) we get that \( \left[ \frac{u}{v} \right] \in AA\!P^0(X^{1/2} \times X) \) and completes the proof.

Remark 20. Note that in Theorem 19 we do not need to assume that the operator \( A \) in (1) has compact resolvent if the following condition holds.

(f) For all \( a \geq 0 \) and \( r > 0 \) the set \( \{ f(t,\varphi_1,\varphi_2) : 0 \leq t \leq a, ||\varphi_1||_{X^{1/2}} + ||\varphi_2||_X \leq r \} \) is relatively compact in \( X \).

4. Applications

Suppose that \( h, g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), a : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a bounded continuous function, \( \nu, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \delta > 0 \) and \( \rho_1, \rho_2 \in (1, +\infty) \). In a bounded smooth domain \( \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N \), we consider the following partial differential equation:

\[
u \partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u - \delta \Delta u = \mu(t) (h(u) \nabla u + g(u) Du) \]

\( x \in \Omega, \quad t \geq 0, \quad u = \Delta u = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t > 0, \quad u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(0,x) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega,
\]

where \( h \) and \( g \) satisfy the following growth conditions:

\[|h(s_1) - h(s_2)| \leq C_h |s_1 - s_2| \left( 1 + |s_1|^{\rho_1-1} + |s_2|^{\rho_1-1} \right), \]

\[|g(s_1) - g(s_2)| \leq C_g |s_1 - s_2| \left( 1 + |s_1|^{\rho_2-1} + |s_2|^{\rho_2-1} \right), \]

\( s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \delta > 0 \),

where \( C_h \) and \( C_g \) are positive constants. Here, we describe the asymptotically almost-periodic behavior of solutions of problem (79) in the \( L^p \)-setting. To model this problem in the abstract form (1) we set that \( \eta = \delta/2, \rho > N/2 \), the operator \( A \) is defined in \( L^p(\Omega) \) by \( Au = \Delta_D u \) (\( \Delta_D \) is the Dirichlet Laplacian in \( \Omega \)) on the domain

\[D \left( \Delta_D^2 \right) = \{ \phi \in H^4_p(\Omega) : \phi = \Delta \phi = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \}, \]

where
where $H^p_0(\Omega) = W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is the standard Sobolev space (see [37]). With this specification, problem (79) will fall into the abstract formulation (1). Since $A^{1/2} = -\Delta_D$, we can choose the angle $\psi$ for the sector

$$\Sigma_{\psi/2} = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{\psi}{2} \leq |\arg \lambda| \leq \pi \text{ with } \psi \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right\} \quad (82)$$

as small as needed and therefor (see [21, Example 4.3]) $(\eta, A)$ will be an admissible pair for any $\eta > 0$. From [21, Section 3] we get that

$$[L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2} = \left\{ \phi \in H^2_p(\Omega) : \phi = \Delta \phi = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \right\} \quad (83)$$

We define $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times [L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2} \times L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ by

$$f(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \mu a(t) \left( h^\varphi(\varphi_1) \nabla \cdot \varphi_1 + g^\varphi(\varphi_1) \Delta \varphi_1 \right) - \nu b(t) \varphi_2, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \varphi_1 \in [L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2}, \quad \varphi_2 \in L^p(\Omega), \quad (84)$$

where $\Theta^\varphi$ is the Nemytski operator associated with $\Theta$, and $\nabla \varphi$ represents the divergence of $\varphi$. For $\varphi_1 \in [L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2}$ and $\varphi_2 \in L^p(\Omega)$. Using Minkowskis’s inequality and Sobolev embedding, we have the estimate

$$\| f(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \| \mu \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left( \| h^\varphi(\varphi_1) \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \| g^\varphi(\varphi_1) \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \right) \| \varphi_1 \|_{H^p(\Omega)} + \| \nu \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| \varphi_2 \|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \quad (85)$$

Whence $f$ is well defined. We claim that $f$ satisfies (42) with

$$L_f(r) = \overline{C}(\| \mu \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + |\nu| \| b \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \left( 1 + 2r + 3(r^p + r^{p^2}) \right). \quad (86)$$

Indeed, it is an easy consequence of the following estimates (here $\overline{C}$ will stand for some positive constant independent of $\bar{\varphi}_1$ and $\bar{\varphi}_2$ with $\bar{\varphi}_1, \bar{\varphi}_2 \in [L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2}$).

$$\| (h^\varphi(\bar{\varphi}_1) - h^\varphi(\bar{\varphi}_2)) \nabla \cdot \bar{\varphi}_1 \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \overline{C} \| \bar{\varphi}_1 - \bar{\varphi}_2 \|_{H^p(\Omega)} \times \left( 1 + \| \bar{\varphi}_1 \|_{H^p(\Omega)} + \| \bar{\varphi}_2 \|_{H^p(\Omega)} \right) \| \bar{\varphi}_1 \|_{H^p(\Omega)} \quad (87)$$

$$\| f(\bar{\varphi}_1) - f(\bar{\varphi}_2) \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \overline{C} \left( 1 + \| \bar{\varphi}_1 \|_{H^p(\Omega)} + \| \bar{\varphi}_2 \|_{H^p(\Omega)} \right) \| \bar{\varphi}_1 - \bar{\varphi}_2 \|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \quad (88)$$

$$\| g^\varphi(\bar{\varphi}_1) \Delta (\bar{\varphi}_1 - \bar{\varphi}_2) \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \overline{C} \| \bar{\varphi}_1 - \bar{\varphi}_2 \|_{H^p(\Omega)} \quad (89)$$

Let $K$ be a compact subset of $[L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2} \times L^p(\Omega)$. For $\varphi_1 \in [L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2}$ and $\varphi_2 \in L^p(\Omega)$, we set that

$$f_{ap}(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \mu a(t) \left( h^\varphi(\varphi_1) \nabla \cdot \varphi_1 + g^\varphi(\varphi_1) \Delta \varphi_1 \right) + \nu b(t) \varphi_2, \quad (90)$$

$$M_K = \sup \left\{ \left\{ \left. \Phi_f(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \right|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right\} : \varphi_1 \in K \right\}. \quad (91)$$

We have the following estimates:

$$\| \Phi_f(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq |\eta| \| b \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} M_K, \quad (92)$$

$$\| f_{ap}(t + \tau, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) - f_{ap}(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq |\mu| \| a(t + \tau - a(t) \mid \| b \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \times \left( \| h^\varphi(\varphi_1) \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \| g^\varphi(\varphi_1) \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \right) \| \varphi_1 \|_{H^p(\Omega)} \leq \overline{C} \| \mu \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \| a(t + \tau - a(t) \mid \| b \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \times \left( 1 + \| \varphi_1 \|_{H^p(\Omega)} + \| \varphi_1 \|_{H^p(\Omega)} + \| \varphi_1 \|_{H^p(\Omega)} \right) \| \varphi_1 \|_{H^p(\Omega)} \leq \overline{C}(K) \| a(t + \tau - a(t) \mid, \quad (93)$$

where $\overline{C}(K)$ is a constant depending on $K$.
Hence,
\[ f \in A\mathcal{A}P \left( \mathbb{R}^+ \times [L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2} \times L^p(\Omega), L^p(\Omega) \right). \tag{93} \]
Applying Theorem 17, we have the following result.

**Proposition 21.** Under the previous conditions, if \( a \in A\mathcal{A}P(\mathbb{R}) \), \( b \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}) \), and \( |a| + |b| \) is small enough, then problem (79) has an asymptotically almost-periodic mild solution.

**Example 22.** We consider the following partial differential equation
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_t + \frac{v}{t + 1} u_t + \Delta u^2 - \delta \Delta u_t &= \mu \left( \cos t + \cos \sqrt{2}t \right) |u|^{p-1} (u \nabla \cdot u + u \Delta u), \\
  x &\in \Omega, \quad t \geq 0, \\
  u = \Delta u = 0, &\quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\
  u(0, x) = u_0(x), &\quad u_t(0, x) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega.
\end{align*}
\tag{94}
\]
If \( |\mu| + |v| \) is small enough by Proposition 21, problem (94) has an asymptotically almost-periodic mild solution. In fact, take \( h(u) = g(u) = u |u|^{p-1} \), \( a(t) = \cos t + \cos \sqrt{2}t \), and \( b(t) = 1/(t + 1) \).

The next application is a modification of problem (79). Let \( K \) be a fixed nonnegative integer and let \( c : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) and \( b : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R} \) be two bounded continuous functions. Suppose that \( f \in A\mathcal{A}P(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \) satisfies the following growth condition:
\[
\begin{align*}
  &\left| f(t, x, u, w) - f(t, x, \tilde{u}, \tilde{w}) \right| \\
  &\leq c(t) \left[ |w - \tilde{w}| + |u - \tilde{u}| \left( 1 + |u|^k + |\tilde{u}|^k \right) \right],
\end{align*}
\tag{95}
\]
for each \( t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, u, \tilde{u}, w, \tilde{w} \in \mathbb{R} \). We consider the following partial differential equation
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_{tt} + b(t) u_t + \Delta^2 u - \delta \Delta u_t &= f(t, x, u, \nabla \cdot u), \\
  x &\in \Omega, \quad t \geq 0, \\
  u = \Delta u = 0, &\quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\
  u(0, x) = u_0(x), &\quad u_t(0, x) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega.
\end{align*}
\tag{96}
\]
We model (96) in the abstract form (1) in a similar way to problem (79). That is we set \( \eta = \delta/2, p > N \) (hence \( H^2_p(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^1(\Omega) \) which will be useful to get some a priori estimates) and we consider the operator \( A \) as defined in (81) and (82). Suppose that
\[
\begin{align*}
  \sup_{t \geq 0} \left( \int_{\Omega} |f(t, x, 0, 0)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.
\end{align*}
\tag{97}
\]
We define \( \bar{F} : \mathbb{R}^+ \times [L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2} \times L^p(\Omega) \to L^p(\Omega) \) by
\[
\begin{align*}
  \bar{F}(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x) &= f(t, x, \varphi_1(x), \nabla \cdot \varphi_1(x)) - b(t) \varphi_2(x).
\end{align*}
\tag{98}
\]
We observe that \( \bar{F} \) is well defined. In fact, we get the following estimates:
\[
\begin{align*}
  &\left( \int_{\Omega} \left| f(t, x, \varphi_1(x), \nabla \cdot \varphi_1(x)) \right|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
  &\leq c(t) \left( \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \cdot \varphi_1(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} ight)^{1/p} \\
  &\quad + \left( \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_1(x)|^p \left( 1 + |\varphi_1(x)|^k \right)^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
  &\quad + \left( \int_{\Omega} |f(t, x, 0, 0)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
  &\leq \|c\|_{\infty} \left( \left\| \nabla \cdot \varphi_1 \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \left( 1 + \|\varphi_1\|_{L^{2p}(\Omega)} \right) \|\varphi_1\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right) \\
  &\quad + \left( \int_{\Omega} |f(t, x, 0, 0)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p}. \tag{99}
\end{align*}
\]
On the other hand, for \( \varphi_1, \tilde{\varphi}_1 \in [L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2} \) and \( \varphi_2, \tilde{\varphi}_2 \in L^p(\Omega) \) we have the following estimate:
\[
\begin{align*}
  &\left\| \bar{F}(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) - \bar{F}(t, \tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\
  &\leq 4 \max \left\{ \|c\|_{\infty}, \|b\|_{\infty} \right\} \left( 1 + \|\varphi_1\|_{L^{2p}(\Omega)} + \|\tilde{\varphi}_1\|_{L^{2p}(\Omega)} \right) \\
  &\times \left( \|\varphi_1 - \tilde{\varphi}_1\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\varphi_2 - \tilde{\varphi}_2\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right), \tag{100}
\end{align*}
\]
which means that \( \bar{F} \) satisfies (42) with \( L_F(r) = 4 \max\{\|c\|_{\infty}, \|b\|_{\infty}\} (1 + 2r^p) \).

Since \( f \in A\mathcal{A}P(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \), there are two functions
\[
\begin{align*}
  f_{ap} \in A\mathcal{A}P \left( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \right), \\
  \varphi_f \in C_0 \left( \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \right),
\end{align*}
\tag{101}
\]
so that \( f = f_{ap} + \varphi_f \). Let \( K \) be a compact subset of \([L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2} \times L^p(\Omega) \). For \( \varphi_1 \in [L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2} \) and \( \varphi_2 \in L^p(\Omega) \), we set
\[
\begin{align*}
  \bar{F}_{ap}(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x) &= f_{ap}(t, x, \varphi_1(x), \nabla \cdot \varphi_1(x)), \\
  \bar{G}_{ap}(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x) &= f_{ap}(t, x, \varphi_1(x), \nabla \cdot \varphi_1(x)) - b(t) \varphi_2(x). \tag{102}
\end{align*}
\]
If \( (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in K \), then
\[
\begin{align*}
  \left\| \bar{G}_{ap}(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\
  &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| f_{ap}(t, x, \varphi_1(x), \nabla \cdot \varphi_1(x)) \right|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
  &\quad + |b(t)| M_K, \tag{103}
\end{align*}
\]
where \( M_K \) is given by (88). We note that using Sobolev
embedding we have that there is a positive constant \( \bar{\varepsilon} > 0 \) depending only on \( K \) so that

\[
\sup_{x \in \Omega} \varphi_1(x) \leq \bar{\varepsilon}, \quad \sup_{x \in \Omega} |V \cdot \varphi_1(x)| \leq \bar{\varepsilon}.
\]  

(104)

Taking into account the fact that \( Y = \Omega \times B_c(\mathbb{R}^2) \) is a compact subset of \( \mathbb{R}^{N+2} \), (4.29) and (103). It follows that

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{\varphi \in \Phi_p} \Phi_p(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for} \quad (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in K.
\]

Hence,

\[
\Phi_p(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = 0
\]

As an immediate consequence of Definition 3 and using the fact that \( (47) \) is fulfilled. Now applying Theorem 17 we conclude the proof.

\[
\Phi(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = 0
\]

Proposition 23. Under the previous conditions, if \( \beta \) is a bounded continuous function and \( \beta \) be in \( (0,1) \) and \( \delta > 0 \). In a bounded smooth domain \( \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N \), we consider the following partial differential equation:

\[
u_t + \Delta^2 u - \delta \Delta u_t = a(t) \left( \int_{\Omega} V \cdot u(t, x) \, dx \right)^\beta \Phi_0,
\]

\[
x \in \Omega, \quad t \geq 0,
\]

(108)

\[
u = \Delta u = 0, \quad |\partial \Omega| > 0,
\]

(109)

\[
u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega,
\]

where \( \Phi_0 \in L^p(\Omega), \quad p > N/2 \). We model (108) in the abstract form (1); that is, we set \( \eta = \delta/2 \) and we consider the operator \( A \) as in (81) and (82). We define \( f : \mathbb{R}^\times \times L^p(\Omega) \to L^p(\Omega) \) by

\[
f(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = a(t) \left( \int_{\Omega} V \cdot \varphi_1(\xi) \, d\xi \right)^\beta \Phi_0(x).
\]

(109)

To establish our next result, we assume the following conditions.

(H1) Let \( a(\cdot) = a_{ap}(\cdot) + \varphi_0(\cdot) \) be in \( AAP(\mathbb{R}^+), \) with \( a_{ap}(\cdot) \in AP(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( \varphi_0(\cdot) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^+). \)

(H2) There is a continuous nondecreasing function \( h : [0, \infty) \to [1, \infty) \) such that \( h(t) \to \infty \) as \( t \to \infty \) and

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{h(t)} \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} h(s) \beta ds = 0,
\]

(110)

Proposition 24. Under the previous conditions, problem (108) has an asymptotically almost-periodic mild solution.

Proof. Let \( q > 0 \) be given so that \( (1/q) + (1/p) = 1 \). We can infer the following estimate:

\[
\| f(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \| a \|_\infty \| \Phi_0 \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \| \varphi_1 \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \| \varphi_2 \|_{L^p(\Omega)}.
\]

(111)

Hence, we can define \( W_f \) in \( (W) \) by \( W_f(\xi) = \| a \|_\infty \| \Phi_0 \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \| \varphi_1 \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \| \varphi_2 \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \beta \xi \). Let \( K \) be a compact subset of \( L^p(\Omega) \) and let \( M_K \) be as in (88). We set

\[
f_{ap}(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x) = a_{ap}(t) \left( \int_{\Omega} V \cdot \varphi_1(\xi) \, d\xi \right)^\beta \Phi_0(x),
\]

(112)

\[
\Phi^\#(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x) = \varphi_a(t) \left( \int_{\Omega} V \cdot \varphi_1(\xi) \, d\xi \right)^\beta \Phi_0(x),
\]

(113)

We have the following estimates:

\[
\| f_{ap}(t + \tau, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) - f_{ap}(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \| a \|_\infty \| \Phi_0 \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \| \varphi_1 \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \| \varphi_2 \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \beta \tau,
\]

(114)

\[
\| \Phi^\#(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \| a \|_\infty \| \Phi_0 \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \| \varphi_1 \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \| \varphi_2 \|_{L^p(\Omega)} \beta \tau,
\]

(115)

It follows from (113) and (114) that \( f \in AAP(\mathbb{R}^+ \times [L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2} \times L^p(\Omega), L^p(\Omega)) \). In addition, from the estimate (115), we obtain that \( f \) is uniformly continuous on compact sets.
Abstract and Applied Analysis

From (H2), for \([u_i] \in C_h([L^p(\Omega)]^{1/2} \times L^p(\Omega)), i = 1, 2\), we can infer that

\[
\frac{1}{h(t)} \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} W_f(Lh(s)) \, ds
\]

\[
= \|a\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|\Phi_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \Omega^{1/2} L^\beta \left( \frac{1}{h(t)} \int_0^t e^{-C(t-s)} h(s)^\beta \, ds \right) \to 0, \quad t \to \infty,
\]

(116)

therefore conditions (c) and (d) of Theorem 19 are satisfied.

Hence,

\[
\int_\Omega \left| \bar{f}(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x + \varphi) - \bar{f}(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x) \right|^p \, dx \leq \left[ r^\beta \|a\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \Omega^{\beta/q} \right]^p \times \int_\Omega \left| \Phi_0(x + \rho) - \Phi_0(x) \right|^p \, dx \leq \epsilon,
\]

\[
\int_{\Omega \setminus G} \left| f(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x) \right|^p \, dx \leq \left[ r^\beta \|a\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \Omega^{\beta/q} \right]^p \times \int_{\Omega \setminus G} \left| \Phi_0(x) \right|^p \, dx \leq \epsilon.
\]

(120)

From [38, Theorem 2.21] the desired assertion follows. Note finally that using Remark 20 we have that (108) has an asymptotically almost periodic mild solution. This ends the proof.
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