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A class of even order damped differential equations with distributed deviating arguments are investigated. Several new criteria that ensure the oscillation of solutions are obtained. To demonstrate the validity of the results obtained, two examples are given.

1. Introduction and Lemmas

Oscillatory behavior of solutions for different types of second-order differential equations with damping has been widely discussed by using different techniques. Here, we particularly refer the reader to the papers [1–9] and the references quoted therein. However, very little is known for the case of higher order damped functional differential equations with deviating arguments, especially the case with distributed deviating arguments. In this paper, we deal with the following class of even order functional differential equations with damping:

\[ x^{(n)}(t) + p(t)x^{(n-1)}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i(t, \xi) f(x[g_1(t, \xi)], \ldots, x[g_m(t, \xi)]) d\mu(\xi) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 \geq 0, \]  

where \( x^{(n)}(t) \) denotes the \( n \)-th derivative of \( x(t) \), \( p(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+) \) is a positive function, \( q_i(t, \xi) \in C([t_0, \infty) \times [\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R}_+) \), \( f(\cdot) \) is a continuous function with the same sign as its argument, \( g_i(t, \xi) \in C([t_0, \infty), [\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R}_+) \), and \( \mu(\xi) \) is a nondecreasing measure.

In the sequel, it will be always assumed that solutions of (1) exist for any \( t_0 \geq 0 \). A solution \( x(t) \) of (1) is called eventually positive solution (or negative solution) if there exists a sufficiently large positive number \( t_1 \geq t_0 \) such that \( x(t) > 0 \) (or \( x(t) < 0 \)) for all \( t \geq t_1 \). A nontrivial solution \( x(t) \) of (1) is called oscillatory if it has arbitrary large zeros; otherwise it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (1) is called oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

Remark 1. Since the integral of (1) is a Stieltjes one, it includes the following equations:

\[ x^{(n)}(t) + p(t)x^{(n-1)}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i(t, \xi) f(x[g_1(t, \xi)], \ldots, x[g_m(t, \xi)]) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 \geq 0, \]  

where \( q_i(t, \xi) \) is not identically zero on any \([T, \infty) \times [\alpha, \beta] \) for \( T \geq t_0 \), and

\( f(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m) \in C(\mathbb{R}_m, \mathbb{R}) \) has the same sign as \( u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m \) when \( u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m \) have the same sign, \( g_i(t, \xi) \in C([t_0, \infty) \times [\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R}_+) \), and \( \mu(\xi) \in ([\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R}) \) is nondecreasing, and the integral of (1) is a Stieltjes one.

Our aim is to get the criteria for the oscillatory solutions of (1).

Throughout this paper, we assume that the following conditions hold:

\( (H_1) \) \( n \) is an even positive integer;

\( (H_2) \) \( p(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+) \), \( q(t, \xi) \in C([t_0, \infty) \times [\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R}_+) \) is not identically zero on any \([T, \infty) \times [\alpha, \beta] \) for \( T \geq t_0 \), and

\( (H_3) \) \( f(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m) \in C(\mathbb{R}_m, \mathbb{R}) \) has the same sign as \( u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m \) when \( u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m \) have the same sign.

The following lemmas will be useful to the proof of the main results to be presented in this paper.
Lemma 2 (see [10]). Let \( u(t) \) be a positive and \( n \) times differentiable function on \( R_+ \). If \( u^{(n)}(t) \) is of constant sign and not identically zero on any ray \([t_1, +\infty)\) for \( t_1 > 0 \), then there exists a \( t_0 \geq t_1 \) and an integer \( 0 \leq l < n \), with \( n = l + 1 \) for \( u(0)u^{(n)}(t) \) even for \( u(t)u^{(n)}(t) \geq 0 \) or \( n + 1 \) odd for \( u(t)u^{(n)}(t) < 0 \); and for \( t \geq t_0 \):
\[
(15)
\]
\[
(1) x(t) > 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l \nu \]
\[
(2) x(t) > 0, \quad l \leq k \leq n.
\]

Lemma 3 (see [11]). Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 2 are satisfied, and
\[
(16)
\]
then there exists a constant \( \theta \in (0,1) \) such that for sufficiently large \( t \), there exists a constant \( M_0 > 0 \) satisfying
\[
M_0 \left( \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \geq \frac{1}{\theta} \left( \frac{1}{\theta} \right) + \frac{2}{\theta} \left( \frac{1}{\theta} \right)
\]
We say that a function \( H = H(t,s) \) belongs to a function class \( \Phi \), denoted by \( H \in \Phi \), if \( H \in C(D, R^+) \), where \( D = \{(t,s) : -\infty < s < t < \infty \} \), satisfies
\[
(i) H(t,t) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 \quad \text{and} \quad H(t,s) > 0, \quad t > s \geq t_0;
\]
(ii) partial derivatives \( \partial H/\partial t \) and \( \partial H/\partial s \) exist and,
\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = h_1(t,s) \sqrt{H(t,s)},
\]
\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial s} = h_2(t,s) \sqrt{H(t,s)},
\]
where \( h_1, h_2 \in L_{loc}(D, R) \).

2. Oscillation Results for \( f(u_1, \ldots, u_m) \) with Monotonicity

Throughout this section, we assume that the following conditions hold.

(A1) There exist functions \( \sigma_i(t) \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0,0)), \) such that \( \sigma_i(t) = \min \{t, \inf_{[\xi, \beta]} g_i(t, \xi) \} \) and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \sigma_i(t) = 0, \) and \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \).

(A2) \( \partial u_i/u_j \) exists, and \( f_i^j(u_1, \ldots, u_m) \) exists, and \( f_i^j(u_1, \ldots, u_m) \geq \lambda_i > 0 \) for \( u_i \neq 0 \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), where \( \lambda_i > 0 \) are some constants, and \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

Lemma 4. Let \( x(t) \) be an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, there exists a sufficiently large \( T_0 \geq t_0 \), such that for all \( t \geq T_0 \):
\[
(17)
\]
\[
(18)
\]
Proof. From the assumption, there exists a sufficiently large \( t_1 \geq t_0 \), such that \( x(t) > 0 \) for \( t \geq t_1 \). Further from (A1), there exists \( t_2 \geq t_1 \) such that for all \( t \geq t_2 \):
\[
(19)
\]
\[
(20)
\]
Hence, for all \( t \geq t_2 \):
\[
(21)
\]
and from (H2), we have for all \( t \geq t_2 \) and \( \xi \in [\alpha, \beta] \):
\[
(22)
\]
Let
\[
(23)
\]
then it is easy to know that
\[
(24)
\]
which implies that \( w(t) \) is nonincreasing on \([t_2, +\infty)\).

Now, we claim that \( x^{(n-1)}(t) \geq 0 \), \( t \geq t_2 \). Otherwise, there exists \( t_3 \geq t_2 \) such that \( x^{(n-1)}(t_3) < 0 \). Therefore,
\[
(25)
\]
\[
(26)
\]
Using (H2), we see that \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x^{(n-2)}(t) = -\infty \). Ulteriorly, we can prove \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = -\infty \), which contradicts \( x(t) > 0, t \geq t_1 \).

Furthermore, from (1), for all \( t \geq t_2 \), we have
\[
(27)
\]
Thus, from Lemma 2, there exist \( t_0 \geq t_2 \) and an odd number \( l < n \), such that for \( t \geq T_0 \), we have
\[
(28)
\]
By choosing \( k = 1 \) and \( n-1 \), we have \( x'(t) > 0 \) and \( x^{(n-1)}(t) > 0 \) for \( t \geq T_0 \). The proof is completed.
Lemma 5. Let \( x(t) \) be an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, there exists a sufficiently large \( T_0 \geq t_0 \), such that for any interval \([c, b) \subset (T_0, \infty)\), if let
\[
y(t) = \frac{\rho(t)x^{(n-1)}(t)}{f(x[\sigma_1(t)/2], \ldots, x[\sigma_m(t)/2])}, \quad t \in [c, b),
\]
(16)
where \( \rho(t) \in C'([T_0, \infty)), (0, \infty) \), then for any \( H \in \Phi \),
\[
\int_c^b H(b, s) \rho(s) \left( \int_0^b q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \right) ds \leq H(b, c) y(c) + \frac{1}{2} \int_c^b \rho(s) \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i M_\alpha a_i^{n-2}(s) \sigma'_i(s) \right) ds
\]
(17)
Proof. From (1) and (16), we have that for \( t \in [c, b) \),
\[
y'(t) = \frac{\rho(t)x^{(n)}(t)}{f(x[\sigma_1(t)/2], \ldots, x[\sigma_m(t)/2])}
\]
\[
- \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} y(t)
\]
\[
- \frac{x}{f(x[\sigma_1(t)/2], \ldots, x[\sigma_m(t)/2])}
\]
\[
\times \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(s) \left( x[\sigma_i(t)/2], \ldots, x[\sigma_i(t)/2] \right) \right)
\]
\[
- \frac{x}{f(x[\sigma_1(t)/2], \ldots, x[\sigma_m(t)/2])}
\]
\[
\times x' \left( \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \frac{\sigma_i(t)}{2} \right] \sigma'_i(t) \right] \right)
\]
(18)
From Lemma 4, there exists a sufficiently large \( T_0 \geq t_0 \) such that \( x'(t) > 0 \) and \( x^{(n)}(t) \leq 0 \) for \( t \geq T_0 \). Further from (A_i), for all \( t \geq T_0 \)
\[
\sigma_i(t) \leq t, \quad \sigma_i(t, \xi) \geq \frac{\sigma_i(t)}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m; \quad \xi \in [\alpha, \beta].
\]
Hence, for all \( t \geq T_0 \), we have
\[
x \left( [g_i(t, \xi)] \right) \geq \frac{\sigma_i(t)}{2}, \quad \sigma_i(t, \xi) \geq \frac{\sigma_i(t)}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m; \quad \xi \in [\alpha, \beta].
\]
In view of (20) and (A_2), for all \( t \geq T_0 \)
\[
f \left( x \left( [g_i(t, \xi)] \right) \right) \geq f \left( \frac{\sigma_i(t)}{2}, \ldots, x \left[ \sigma_i(t)/2 \right] \right), \quad \xi \in [\alpha, \beta].
\]
Thus, for all \( t \geq T_0 \)
\[
\int_0^\beta q(t, \xi)f \left( x \left( [g_i(t, \xi)] \right) \right) d\mu(\xi)
\]
\[
f \left( x \left[ \sigma_1(t)/2 \right], \ldots, x \left[ \sigma_m(t)/2 \right] \right)
\]
\[
\geq \int_0^\beta q(t, \xi) d\mu(\xi).
\]
Therefore, from (18)–(22) and Lemma 3, we obtain
\[
y'(t) \leq -\rho(t) \int_0^\beta q(t, \xi) d\mu(\xi) + \left( \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)} - \rho(t) \right) y(t)
\]
\[
- \frac{x^{(n)}(t)}{f(x[\sigma_1(t)/2], \ldots, x[\sigma_m(t)/2])}
\]
\[
\times \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i M_\alpha a_i^{n-2}(t) \sigma'_i(t) \right) y(t)
\]
\[
- \rho^{-1}(t) \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i M_\alpha a_i^{n-2}(t) \sigma'_i(t) \right) y^2(t)
\]
(23)
for all \( t \geq T_0 \).
Multiplying (23) by \( H(t, s) \), then integrating it with respect to \( s \) from \( c \) to \( t \) for \( t \in [c, b) \) and using (i) and (ii), we get that
\[
\int_c^t H(t, s) \rho(s) \left( \int_0^\beta q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \right) ds
\]
\[
\leq - \int_c^t H(t, s) y'(s) ds
\]
\[
+ \int_c^t H(t, s) \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - \rho(s) \right) y(s) ds
\]
\[
- \int_c^t H(t, s) \rho^{-1}(s) \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i M_\alpha a_i^{n-2}(s) \sigma'_i(s) \right) y^2(s) ds
\]
\[ H(t, c) y(c) + \int_c^t \frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s} y(s) ds \]
\[ + \int_c^t H(t, s) \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - p(s) \right) y(s) ds \]
\[ - \int_c^t H(t, s) \rho^{-1}(s) \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i M \sigma_i^{-n-2}(s) \sigma_i'(s) \right) y^2(s) ds \]
\[ = H(t, c) y(c) - \int_c^t \sqrt{H(t, s)} \left[ h_2(t, s) - \sqrt{H(t, s)} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - p(s) \right) \right] y(s) ds \]
\[ \leq H(t, c) y(c) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \int_c^t \frac{\rho(s)}{\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i M \sigma_i^{-n-2}(s) \sigma_i'(s)} \left[ h_2(t, s) - \sqrt{H(t, s)} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - p(s) \right) \right]^2 ds. \]
\[ \leq -H(c, t) y(c) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{c}^{t} \frac{\rho(s)}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i M_\theta \sigma^{-2}_i(s) \sigma_i'(s)} \times \left[ h_1(s, t) + \sqrt{H(s, t)} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - \rho(s) \right) \right]^2 ds. \] 

(26)

Letting \( t \to a^+ \) in the above, we obtain (25). The proof is completed. \( \square \)

The following theorem is an immediate result from Lemmas 5 and 6.

**Theorem 7.** Assume that for each \( T \geq t_0 \) there exist \( H \in \Phi \), \( \rho \in C'((t_0, \infty), (0, \infty)) \) and \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \), such that \( T \leq a < c < b \) and

\[
\frac{1}{H(c, a)} \int_{a}^{c} H(s, a) \rho(s) \left( \int_{a}^{s} q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \right) ds \\
+ \frac{1}{H(b, c)} \int_{c}^{b} H(b, s) \rho(s) \left( \int_{a}^{s} q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \right) ds > \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \int_{a}^{c} \rho(s) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i M_\theta \sigma^{-2}_i(s) \sigma_i'(s) \\
\times \left[ h_1(s, a) + \sqrt{H(s, a)} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - \rho(s) \right) \right]^2 ds \\
+ \frac{1}{H(b, c)} \int_{c}^{b} \rho(s) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i M_\theta \sigma^{-2}_i(s) \sigma_i'(s) \\
\times \left[ h_2(b, s) - \sqrt{H(b, s)} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - \rho(s) \right) \right]^2 ds \right\}. \] 

(27)

Then (1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Suppose that (1) has a nonoscillatory solution \( x(t) \). Without loss of generality, we assume that \( x(t) \) is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then from Lemmas 5 and 6, there exists a sufficiently large \( T_0 \geq t_0 \), such that for any \( (a, b) \subset [T_0, \infty) \), and for any \( c \in (a, b) \), \( H \in \Phi \) and \( \rho \in C'([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty)), \) (17) and (25) hold. By dividing (17) and (25) by \( H(b, c) \) and \( H(c, a) \), respectively, and then adding them, we have

\[
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{H(c, a)} \int_{a}^{c} \rho(s) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i M_\theta \sigma^{-2}_i(s) \sigma_i'(s) \\
\times \left[ h_1(s, a) + \sqrt{H(s, a)} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - \rho(s) \right) \right]^2 ds \\
+ \frac{1}{H(b, c)} \int_{c}^{b} \rho(s) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i M_\theta \sigma^{-2}_i(s) \sigma_i'(s) \\
\times \left[ h_2(b, s) - \sqrt{H(b, s)} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - \rho(s) \right) \right]^2 ds \right\}. \] 

(28)

which contradicts the assumption (27) and completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Theorem 8.** Assume that for some \( H \in \Phi \), \( \rho \in C'((t_0, \infty), (0, \infty)) \) and for each \( r \geq t_0 \),

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t}^{r} \left\{ H(s, r) \rho(s) \left( \int_{a}^{s} q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \right) - \frac{\rho(s)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i M_\theta \sigma^{-2}_i(s) \sigma_i'(s) \\
\times \left[ h_1(s, r) + \sqrt{H(s, r)} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - \rho(s) \right) \right]^2 ds \right\} > 0, \] 

(29)

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t}^{r} \left\{ H(t, s) \rho(s) \left( \int_{a}^{s} q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \right) - \frac{\rho(s)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i M_\theta \sigma^{-2}_i(s) \sigma_i'(s) \\
\times \left[ h_2(t, s) - \sqrt{H(t, s)} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - \rho(s) \right) \right]^2 ds \right\} > 0. \] 

(30)

Then (1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** For any \( T \geq t_0 \), let \( a = T \). In (29), we choose \( r = a \). Then there exists \( c > a \) such that

\[
\int_{a}^{c} \left[ H(s, a) \rho(s) \left( \int_{a}^{s} q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \right) \right] ds > 0. \] 

(31)

Then (1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** For any \( T \geq t_0 \), let \( a = T \). In (29), we choose \( r = a \). Then there exists \( c > a \) such that

\[
\int_{a}^{c} \left[ H(s, a) \rho(s) \left( \int_{a}^{s} q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \right) \right] ds > 0. \] 

(32)

Then (1) is oscillatory.
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− \frac{\rho(s)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i M_0 \sigma_i^{n-2}(s) \sigma_i'(s) \\
× \left[ h_1(s, a) + \sqrt{H(s, a)} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - p(s) \right) \right] ds > 0.

(31)

In (30), we choose \( r = c \), then there exists \( b > c \) such that

\int_c^b \frac{H(b, s) \rho(s)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i M_0 \sigma_i^{n-2}(s) \sigma_i'(s) \\
× \left[ h_2(b, s) - \sqrt{H(b, s)} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - p(s) \right) \right] ds > 0.

(32)

By dividing (31) and (32) by \( H(c, a) \) and \( H(b, c) \), respectively, and then adding them, we obtain (27). The conclusion thus comes from Theorem 7. The proof is completed.

For the case of \( H := H(t - s) \in \Phi \), we have that \( h_1(t - s) = h_2(t - s) \) and thus denote them by \( h(t - s) \). The subclass of \( \Phi \) containing such \( H(t - s) \) is denoted by \( \Phi_0 \).

Applying Theorem 7 to \( \Phi_0 \), and choosing \( \rho = 1 \), we obtain the following.

**Theorem 9.** Assume that for each \( T \geq t_0 \) there exist \( H \in \Phi_0 \) and \( a, c \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( T \leq a < c \) and

\[
\int_a^c H(s - a) \left( \int_a^b \left[ q(s, \xi) + q(2c - s, \xi) \right] d\mu(\xi) \right) ds \\
\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_a^c \frac{\left( h(s - a) - p(s) \sqrt{H(s - a)} \right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i M_0 \sigma_i^{n-2}(s) \sigma_i'(s)} \\
+ \frac{\left( h(s - a) + p(2c - s) \sqrt{H(s - a)} \right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i M_0 \sigma_i^{n-2}(2c - s) \sigma_i'(2c - s)} ds.
\]

(33)

Then (1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Let \( b = 2c - a \). Then \( H(b - c) = H(c - a) = H((b - a)/2) \), and for any \( \varphi \in L[a, b] \), we have

\[
\int_a^b \varphi(s) ds = \int_a^c \varphi(2c - s) ds.
\]

(34)

Hence

\[
\int_a^c H(s - a) \left( \int_a^b \left[ q(s, \xi) \right] d\mu(\xi) \right) ds \\
= \int_a^c H(s - a) \left( \int_a^b \left[ q(2c - s, \xi) \right] d\mu(\xi) \right) ds,
\]

\[
\int_a^c \left[ h(s - a) + p(s) \sqrt{H(s - a)} \right]^2 ds \\
\leq \int_a^c \left[ h(s - a) - p(s) \sqrt{H(s - a)} \right]^2 ds.
\]

(35)

Thus (33) holds and implies that (27) holds for \( H \in \Phi_0, \rho = 1 \) and therefore (1) is oscillatory by Theorem 7. The proof is completed.

From the above oscillation criteria, we can obtain different sufficient conditions for oscillation of (1) by different choices of \( H(t, s) \) and \( \rho(s) \). For example, let

\[
H(t, s) = (t - s)^\lambda, \quad t \geq s \geq t_0,
\]

(36)

where \( \lambda > 1 \) is a constant. Then, \( H \in \Phi_0 \) and \( h(t - s) = \lambda(t - s)^{\lambda/(\lambda - 1)} \). From Theorem 8, we have the following result.

**Corollary 10.** If there exists a function \( \rho \in C((t_0, \infty), (0, \infty)) \) and a constant \( \lambda > 1 \) such that for each \( r \geq t_0 \),

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t^{\lambda - 1}} \times \int_t^r (s - r)^\lambda \rho(s) \\
× \left\{ \int_a^c q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \\
- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i M_0 \sigma_i^{n-2}(s) \sigma_i'(s) \\
× \left[ \lambda(s - r) + \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} - p(s) \right) \right]^2 \right\} ds > 0,
\]

(37)

Then (1) is oscillatory.

3. Oscillation Results for \( f(u_1, \ldots, u_m) \) without Monotonicity

Throughout this section we assume that the following conditions hold:
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(A'$_1$) there exists a function $\sigma(t) \in C’([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ such that $\sigma(t) = \min\{t, \min_{\xi \leq \tau} \{\inf_{g \in [\alpha, \beta]} g_i(t, \xi)\}\}$, $\lim_{t \to \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty, \sigma’(t) > 0$.

(A'$_2$) there exists a constant $y > 0$ and $t_0 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ such that for sufficiently large $|u_i|$ ($i \neq k_0$)

$$\liminf_{|u| \to \infty} \left| \sum_{i \neq k_0} f_i(u_1, \ldots, u_m) \right| \geq y > 0.$$ (38)

**Lemma 11.** Let $x(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, there exists a sufficiently large $T_0 \geq t_0$ such that for $t \geq T_0$,

$$\sigma(t) = \min\{t, \min_{\xi \leq \tau} \{\inf_{g \in [\alpha, \beta]} g_i(t, \xi)\}\},$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty, \sigma’(t) > 0.$$ (48)

The following theorem is an immediate result from Lemmas 12 and 13.

Then, there exists a sufficiently large $T_0 \geq t_0$ such that for any $c, b \in [T_0, \infty)$, if let

$$u(t) = \frac{\rho(t) x^{(n-1)}(t)}{x]\left[\sigma(t)/2\right]} - u(t) + \frac{x’}{2x}\left[\sigma(t)/2\right]$$ (40)

where $\rho(t) \in C’([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$, then for any $H \in \Phi$,

$$\int_c^b \gamma H(b, s) \rho(s) \left(\int_c^\beta q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi)\right) ds \leq H(b, c) u(c)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_c^b \left(\frac{\rho(s)}{\sigma’(s)} - \rho’(s)\right) u(t) - \frac{x’}{2x} \left[\sigma(t)/2\right]$$ (41)

$$\times \left[h_1(b, s) - \sqrt{H(b, s)} \left(\frac{\rho’(s)}{\rho(s)} - \rho’(s)\right)\right]^2.$$ (42)

**Lemma 12.** Let $x(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of (1). Then, there exists a sufficiently large $T_0 \geq t_0$ such that for any interval $[c, b] \subset T_0, \infty)$, if let

$$u(t) = \frac{\rho(t) x^{(n-1)}(t)}{x}\left[\sigma(t)/2\right]$$

$$- u(t) + \frac{x’}{2x}\left[\sigma(t)/2\right]$$

$$\times \left[h_1(b, s) - \sqrt{H(b, s)} \left(\frac{\rho’(s)}{\rho(s)} - \rho’(s)\right)\right]^2.$$ (41)

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4, thus we omit the details here.

**Proof.** From (1) and (40) we have that for $t \in [c, b)$

$$u’(t) = \rho(t) x^{(n)}(t) + \rho’(t) x^{(n-1)}(t) - u(t) + \frac{x’}{2x}\left[\sigma(t)/2\right]$$ (40)

$$\times \left[h_1(b, s) - \sqrt{H(b, s)} \left(\frac{\rho’(s)}{\rho(s)} - \rho’(s)\right)\right]^2.$$ (42)

From Lemma 11, there exists a sufficiently large $T_0 \geq t_0$ such that for all $t \geq T_0$ (39) hold and further from (A’$_1$)

$$\frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \leq \sigma(t) \leq t, \quad g_i(t, \xi) \geq \sigma(t) \geq \frac{\sigma(t)}{2},$$

$$i = 1, 2, \ldots, m; \xi \in [\alpha, \beta].$$ (43)

Hence, we have for all $t \geq T_0$,

$$\int_{t_0}^t \left[\int_{c(t)}^{c(t)} g_i(t, \xi) d\mu(\xi)\right] ds \leq \int_{c(t)}^{c(t)} H(c, a) u(c)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{c(t)}^{c(t)} \left[h_1(c, s) + \sqrt{H(c, s)} \left(\frac{\rho’(s)}{\rho(s)} - \rho’(s)\right)\right]^2.$$ (48)

The following theorem is an immediate result from Lemmas 12 and 13.
Theorem 14. Assume that for each $T \geq t_0$ there exist $H \in \Phi$, $\rho \in C^r([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ and $a, b, c \in R$ such that $T \leq a < c < b$ and
\[
\frac{1}{H(c, a)} \int_a^c \gamma H(s, a) \rho(s) \left( \int_a^\beta q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \right) ds + \frac{1}{H(b, c)} \int_c^b \gamma H(b, s) \rho(s) \left( \int_a^\beta q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \right) ds > \frac{1}{2M} \theta \left\{ \frac{1}{H(c, a)} \int_a^c \rho(s) \frac{1}{\sigma^{n-2}(s) \sigma'(s)} \left[ h_1(s, a) + \sqrt{H(s, a)} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} \right) - p(s) \right]^2 ds + \frac{1}{H(b, c)} \int_b^c \rho(s) \frac{1}{\sigma^{n-2}(s) \sigma'(s)} \left[ h_2(b, s) - \sqrt{H(b, s)} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} \right) - p(s) \right]^2 ds \right\}.
\]

Then (1) is oscillatory.

Theorem 15. Assume that for some $H \in \Phi$ and $\rho \in C^r([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$, and for each $r \geq t_0$, and $\lambda > 1$ such that for each $r \geq t_0$, the following two inequalities hold
\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t^{\lambda - 1}} \int_r^t y(s - r) \rho(s) \left( \int_a^\beta q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) - 1 - \frac{M}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} \right) \right)^2 ds > 0,
\]

Then (1) is oscillatory.

Corollary 17. If there exists a function $\rho \in C^r([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ and a constant $\lambda > 1$ such that for each $r \geq t_0$, the following two inequalities hold
\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t^{\lambda - 1}} \int_r^t y(t - s) \rho(s) \left( \int_a^\beta q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) - 1 - \frac{M}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} \right) \right)^2 ds > 0.
\]

Then (1) is oscillatory.

4. Examples

In this section we demonstrate the applications of our oscillation criteria through two examples. We will see that the equations in the examples are oscillatory based on the results in Sections 2 and 3.

Example 1. Consider the following nonlinear damped differential equation:
\[
\frac{d^4 x}{dt^4} + \frac{2t}{\exp(t^2)} \frac{d^3 x}{dt^3} + \int_0^1 e^{2t \xi} \left[ x(t + \xi) + x \left( 3t + \xi^2 \right) + x^3 \left( t + \xi + \xi^2 \right) \right] d\xi = 0,
\]
where \( t \geq 1 \), \( p(t) = (2t/\exp(t^2)) \), \( q(t, \xi) = e^{2t+\xi} \), \( f(u_1, u_2) = u_1 + u_2 + u_1^3 + u_2^5 \), \( g_1(t, \xi) = t + \xi \), \( g_2(t, \xi) = 3t + \xi^2 \), \( \mu(\xi) = \xi \).

It is clear that for \( t_1 \geq 1 \)

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^{t} \exp \left( -\int_{t_1}^{t} p(\tau) d\tau \right) ds = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^{t} \exp \left( -\int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{2r}{\exp(r^2)} dr \right) ds = \infty,
\]

\[
\sigma_1(t) = t, \quad \sigma_2(t) = t, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_1} = 1 + 3u_1^2 \geq 1 = \lambda_1, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_2} = 1 + 5u_2^4 \geq 1 = \lambda_2.
\]

Applying Corollary 10 with \( \lambda = 2 \) and \( \rho(s) = s^3 \), we have through a straightforward computation that

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t^{\lambda-1}} \int_{t}^{t} (s-r)^3 \rho(s)
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} M_{\sigma^i} \frac{\sigma_i^2(s)}{s} \right\}
\]

\[
\times \left[ \frac{\lambda}{s-r} + \left( \frac{\partial' \rho(s)}{\partial \rho(s)} - \frac{\partial \rho(s)}{\rho(s)} \right) \right]^2 ds
\]

\[
= \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t^{\lambda-1}} \int_{0}^{t} t^{\lambda-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_1} \left( 2 - \exp(-u_1^2) \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_2} \left( 2 - \exp(-u_2^2) \right) \frac{d\xi}{\rho(s)}
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{2t+\xi} d\xi - \frac{1}{4M_0 s^2} \left[ \frac{5s-3t}{s(s-r)} \right]^2 \right\} ds = \infty.
\]

Therefore (37) hold and we conclude by Corollary 10 that (55) is oscillatory.

**Example 2.** Consider the following nonlinear damped differential equation:

\[
x^{(4)}(t) + \exp(-t)x^{(3)}(t) + \int_{\pi/2}^{0} t^2 \sin^2 \xi 1 + \sin^2 \xi x(t + \sin \xi) - \exp(-x^2(t + \cos \xi)) d\xi = 0,
\]

\( t \geq 1 \),

where \( p(t) = 1/e^t \), \( q(t, \xi) = t^2 \sin^2 \xi/(1 + \sin^2 \xi) \), \( f(u_1, u_2) = u_2/\left(2 - \exp(-u_1^2)\right)\), \( g_1(t, \xi) = t + \cos \xi \), \( g_2(t, \xi) = t + \sin \xi \), \( \mu(\xi) = \xi \).

In this example,

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_1} = -2u_1 u_2 \exp(-u_1^2) \frac{(2 - \exp(-u_1^2))}{(2 - \exp(-u_1^2))^2}.
\]

Clearly, Corollary 10 does not apply to (58). However, with \( \lambda = 2 \) and \( \rho(t) = 1/e^t \), (58) by Corollary 17. Noting that

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t^{\lambda-1}} \int_{t_1}^{t} \exp \left( -\int_{t_1}^{t} p(\tau) d\tau \right) ds
\]

\[
= \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t^{\lambda-1}} \int_{t_1}^{t} \exp \left( -\int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{e^t} \right) ds = \infty,
\]

for \( t_1 \geq 1 \) and \( \sigma(t) = t \), we have

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t^{\lambda-1}} \int_{t_1}^{t} \gamma(s-r)^3 \rho(s)
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) - \frac{1}{2M_0 s^2} \left[ \frac{5s-3t}{s(s-r)} \right]^2 \right\}
\]

\[
\times \left[ \frac{\lambda}{s-r} + \left( \frac{\partial' \rho(s)}{\partial \rho(s)} - \frac{\partial \rho(s)}{\rho(s)} \right) \right]^2 ds
\]
\[ \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{r}^{t} \frac{(s-r)^2}{2} \times \left\{ \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{s^2 \sin^2 \xi}{1 + \sin^2 \xi} d\xi \right. \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2M_0 s^2} \left[ \frac{2}{s-r} - \frac{1}{e^2} \right]^2 \right\} ds \]

\[ = \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{r}^{t} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \ln 2s^2 (s-r)^2 \right. \]

\[ - \frac{(2e^s - s + r)^2}{4M_0 s^2 e^{2s}} \right\} ds = \infty, \]

\[ \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t^{1-\lambda}} \int_{r}^{t} \gamma'(t-s)^{\lambda} \rho'(s) \]

\[ \times \left\{ \int_{a}^{b} q(s, \xi) d\mu(\xi) \right. \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2M_0 \sigma'^2} (s) \sigma'(s) \]

\[ \times \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{t-s} - \left( \frac{p'(s)}{p(s)} - p(s) \right) \right\}^2 ds = \infty, \]

\[ (61) \]

therefore (53) and (54) hold and we conclude by Corollary 10 that (58) is oscillatory.
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