Research Article

Positive Solutions for Resonant and Nonresonant Nonlinear Third-Order Multipoint Boundary Value Problems

Liu Yang, Chunfang Shen, and Dapeng Xie

Department of Mathematics, Hefei Normal University, Hefei, Anhui 230061, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Liu Yang; yliu722@163.com

Received 5 December 2012; Accepted 24 January 2013

Academic Editor: Chuanzhi Bai

Copyright © 2013 Liu Yang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Positivesolutions for a kind of third-order multipoint boundary value problem under the nonresonant conditions and the resonant conditions are considered. In the nonresonant case, by using the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem, the existence of at least three positive solutions is obtained. In the resonant case, by using the Leggett-Williams norm-type theorem due to O’Regan and Zima, the existence result of at least one positive solution is established. It is remarkable to point out that it is the first time that the positive solution is considered for the third-order boundary value problem at resonance. Some examples are given to demonstrate the main results of the paper.

1. Introduction

We consider the existence of positive solutions for third-order m-point boundary value problem:

\[ x'''(t) + f(t, x(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [0, 1], \]
\[ x''(0) = 0, \quad x'(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i x(\xi_i), \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( 0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_{m-2} < 1, \quad 0 \leq \beta_i \leq 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m-2, \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \leq 1, \) and \( f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, \infty), R). \)

If condition \( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i = 1 \) holds, the problem is called resonant boundary value problem or boundary value problem at resonance. Otherwise, the associated problem is called nonresonant boundary value problem. Here, the condition \( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i = 1 \) is denoted by (1.2).

Third-order differential equations arise in a variety of different areas of applied mathematics and physics, as the deflection of a curved beam having a constant or varying cross section, three-layer beam, and so on [1]. In recent years, the existence of positive solutions for nonresonant two-point or three-point boundary value problems (Bvp for short) for nonlinear third-order ordinary differential equations has been studied by several authors. For example, Anderson [2] established the existence of at least three positive solutions to problem

\[ -x'''(t) + f(x(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \]
\[ x(0) = x'( \tau_2) = x''(1) = 0, \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( f : R \to [0, +\infty) \) is continuous and \( 1/2 \leq \tau_2 < 1. \)

By using the well-known Guo-Krasnosel’skii fixed point theorem [3], Palamides and Smyrlis [4] proved that there exists at least one positive solution for third-order three-point problem:

\[ x'''(t) + a(t) f(t, x(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \]
\[ x''(\eta) = 0, \quad x (0) = x (1) = 0, \quad \eta \in (0, 1). \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

For more existence results of positive solutions for boundary value problems of third-order ordinary differential equations, one can see [5–12] and references therein.

For resonant problem of second-order or higher-order differential equations, many existence results of solutions...
have been established, see [13–25]. In [25], the authors considered the problem
\[
x'''(t) = f\left(t, x, x', x''\right) + e(t), \quad t \in (0, 1),
\]
\[
x'(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \beta x(\eta), \quad x(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i x(\xi_i).
\]
(4)
By using the Mawhin continuation theorem, the existence results of solutions are established under the resonant condition \( \beta = 1 \), \( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i = 1 \), \( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \xi_i^2 = 0 \) and \( \beta = 1/\eta \), \( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i / \xi_i^2 = 1 \), \( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i \xi_i^2 = 0 \), respectively.

It is well known that the problem of existence for positive solution to nonlinear BVP is very difficult when the resonant case is considered. Only few works gave the approach in this area for first- and second-order differential equations [26–31]. To our best knowledge, no paper deal with the existence result of positive solution for resonant third-order boundary value problems. Motivated by the approach in [27–29], we study the existence of positive solution for problem (1) under the nonresonant condition \( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i < 1 \) and resonant condition \( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i = 1 \), respectively. By using the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem and its generalization [28, 30], we establish the existence results of positive solutions. The results obtained in this paper are interesting because

(1) the results obtained in the nonresonant case are more general than those established before;

(2) it is the first time that the positive solution is considered for third-order BVP at resonance.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Some definitions and lemmas are given in Section 2. In Section 3, we consider the nonresonant case for problem (1). In Section 4, we discuss the existence of positive solution for problem (1) with resonant condition (1.2). Finally, in Section 5, we give some examples to illustrate the main results of the paper.

2. Background Definitions and Lemmas

For the convenience of the reader, we present here the necessary definitions and two fixed point theorems.

Let \( X, Y \) be real Banach spaces. A nonempty convex closed set \( C \subset X \) is said to be a cone provided that

(1) \( ax \in C \), for all \( x \in C, a \geq 0 \),

(2) \( x, -x \in C \) implies \( x = 0 \).

Definition 1. The map \( \psi \) is said to be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on \( C \) provided that \( \psi : C \to \mathbb{R} \) is continuous and

\[
\psi\left(tx + (1-t)y\right) \geq t \psi\left(x\right) + (1-t) \psi\left(y\right),
\]
for all \( x, y \in C \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \).

Definition 2. Let \( 0 < a < b \) be given and let \( \psi \) be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on the cone \( C \). Define the convex sets \( C_{\psi} \) and \( C(a, b) \) by

\[
C_{\psi} = \{ x \in C | \|x\| < r \},
\]
\[
C(a, b) = \{ x \in C | a \leq \psi\left(x\right), \|x\| \leq b \}.
\]
(6)

Lemma 3 (the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem [32]). Let \( T : \overline{C} \to \overline{C} \) be a completely continuous operator and let \( \psi \) be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on \( C \) such that \( \psi(x) \leq \|x\| \) for all \( x \in C \). Suppose that there exist \( 0 < a < b < d \leq c \) such that

(1) \( \{ x \in C(a, b) | \psi(x) > a \} \not= \emptyset \) and \( \psi(Tx) > b \), for \( x \in C(a, b) \),

(2) \( \|Tx\| < a \) for \( \|x\| < a \),

(3) \( \psi(Tx) > b \) for \( x \in C(b, c) \) with \( \|Tx\| > d \).

Then, \( T \) has at least three fixed points \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \) such that

\[
\|x_1\| < a, \quad b < \psi\left(x_2\right), \quad \|x_3\| > a, \quad \psi\left(x_3\right) < b.
\]
(7)

Lemma 4 (the Leggett-Williams norm-type theorem [27]). Let \( C \) be a cone in \( X \), and let \( \Omega_1, \Omega_2 \) be open bounded subsets of \( X \) with \( \overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2, C \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \not= \emptyset \). Assume that \( L : \text{dom} \ C \subset X \to Y \) is a Fredholm operator of index zero and

(1) \( QN : X \to Y \) is continuous and bounded, \( K_p(I - Q)N : X \to X \) is compact on every bounded subset of \( X \),

(2) \( Lx \not= \lambda Nx \) for all \( x \in C \cap \partial \Omega_2 \cap \text{dom} \ L \) and \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \),

(3) \( \gamma \) maps subsets of \( \overline{\Omega}_2 \) into bounded subsets of \( C \),

(4) \( d_B([I - (P + QN)\gamma])_{|\ker L} + \text{Ker} L \cap \Omega_2) \not= \emptyset \), where \( d_B \) stands for the Brouwer degree,

(5) \( \gamma \) there exists \( u_0 \in C \setminus \{0\} \) such that \( \|x\| \leq \sigma(u_0)\|\psi x\| \) for \( x \in C(u_0) \cap \partial \Omega_1 \), where \( C(u_0) = \{ x \in C : \mu x \leq u \} \) for some \( \mu > 0 \) and \( \sigma(u_0) \) such that \( \|x + u_0\| \geq \sigma(u_0)\|x\| \) for every \( x \in C \).
Abstract and Applied Analysis

(C6) \((P + JQN)γ(∂Ω₂) \subset C\),
(C7) \(Ψ_i(Ω₂ \setminus Ω₁) \subset C\),

then the equation \(Lx = Nx\) has a solution in the set \(C \cap (Ω₂ \setminus Ω₁)\).

3. Positive Solution for the Nonresonant Problem

In this section, we suppose that \(f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))\) and \(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} β_i < 1\). We begin with some preliminary results. Consider the problem

\[x''(t) + y(t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, 1],\]  
\[x''(0) = 0, \quad x'(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} β_i x(ξ_i).\]  

Lemma 5. Denote \(ξ_0 = 0, ξ_{m-1} = 1, β_0 = β_{m-1} = 0\), then for \(y(t) \in C[0, 1]\), problems (10) and (11) have the unique solution

\[x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) \int_0^s y(τ) dτ ds,\]  

where

\[G(t, s) = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} β_k} \times \begin{cases} 
(1-s) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} β_k (s-ξ_k), & t \leq s, \\
(1-t) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} β_k (t-s) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} β_k (t-ξ_k), & t \geq s,
\end{cases}\]  

for \(ξ_{i-1} < s < ξ_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1\).

Proof. Integrating both sides of (10) and considering the boundary condition \(x''(0) = 0\), we have

\[-x''(t) = \int_0^t y(σ) dσ.\]  

Let \(G(t, s)\) be the Green function of problem

\[-x''(t) = 0,\]  
\[x'(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} β_i x(ξ_i).\]  

From (15), we can suppose that

\[G(t, s) = \begin{cases} 
A + Bs & t \leq s, \quad ξ_{i-1} < s < ξ_i, \\
C + Dt & t \geq s, \quad ξ_{i-1} < s < ξ_i,
\end{cases}\]  

For the definition and properties of the Green function together with (16), we have

\[A + Bs = C + Ds,\]  
\[B - D = 1,\]  
\[B = 0,\]  

\[C + D = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} β_k (A + Bξ_k) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} β_k (C + Dξ_k).\]  

Hence,

\[A = \frac{1 - s + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} β_k (s - ξ_k)}{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} β_k},\]  
\[C = \frac{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} β_k s - \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} β_k (t - ξ_k)}{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} β_k},\]  
\[B = 0, \quad D = -1.\]

Thus,

\[G(t, s) = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} β_k} \times \begin{cases} 
(1-s) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} β_k (s-ξ_k), & t \leq s, \\
(1-t) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} β_k (t-s) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} β_k (t-ξ_k), & t \geq s,
\end{cases}\]  

for \(ξ_{i-1} < s < ξ_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1\).

Considering (14) together, we obtain that problems (10) and (11) have the unique solution

\[x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) \int_0^s y(τ) dτ ds.\]  

Lemma 6. The function \(G(t, s)\) established in Lemma 5 satisfies that \(G(t, s) \geq 0, t, s \in [0, 1]\).

Proof. For \(ξ_{i-1} \leq s \leq ξ_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1\) and \(t \leq s\),

\[(1-s) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} β_k (s - ξ_k) \geq \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} β_k \geq 0.\]

For \(ξ_{i-1} \leq s \leq ξ_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m-1\) and \(t \geq s\),

\[(1-t) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} β_k (t-s) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} β_k (t-ξ_k) \geq \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} β_k (1-s) + \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} β_k (1-ξ_k) \geq 0.\]

These ensures that \(G(t, s) \geq 0, t, s \in [0, 1]\).
Lemma 7. If \( y \in C[0, 1] \) and \( y \geq 0 \), then the unique solution \( x \) of problems (10) and (11) satisfy
\[
\min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x(t) \geq \gamma \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x(t), \tag{24}
\]
where \( \gamma = (\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i (1 - \xi_i)) / (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i) > 0 \) is a constant.

Proof. For \( x'''(t) = -x(t), t \in [0, 1] \), we get that \( x'''(t) \) is decreasing on \([0, 1]\). Then, the condition \( x'''(0) = 0 \) ensures that \( x(t) \leq 0, t \in (0, 1) \). This together with \( x'(0) = 0 \) is concave and decreasing on \([0, 1]\). Thus,
\[
\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x(t) = x(0), \quad \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x(t) = x(1). \tag{25}
\]
From the concavity of \( x(t) \), we have
\[
\xi_i (x(1) - x(0)) \leq x(\xi_i) - x(0). \tag{26}
\]
Multiplying both sides with \( \beta_i \) and considering \( x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i x(\xi_i) \), we have
\[
\left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \xi_i \right) x(1) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i (1 - \xi_i) x(0). \tag{27}
\]
This completes the proof of Lemma 7. \( \square \)

Let Banach space \( E = C[0, 1] \) be endowed with the maximum norm. We define the cone \( C \subset E \) by
\[
C = \left\{ x \in E \mid x(t) \geq 0, x'''(0) = 0, x'(0) = 0, \right. \left. x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i x(\xi_i), x(t) \text{ is concave on } [0, 1] \right\}. \tag{28}
\]
Define the nonnegative continuous concave functional \( \psi : C \to [0, \infty) \) by
\[
\psi(x) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x(t), \quad x \in C. \tag{29}
\]
Define the constants \( \sigma, \delta \) by
\[
\sigma = \frac{1}{\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 G(t, s) \, ds}, \quad \delta = \int_0^1 sG(1, s) \, ds. \tag{30}
\]

Theorem 8. Suppose that there exist constants \( 0 < a < b < b/\gamma \leq c \) such that
\[
(A1) \quad f(t, x) < \sigma a, (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times [0, a],
\]
\[
(A2) \quad f(t, x) > b/\delta, (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times [b, (b/\gamma)],
\]
\[
(A3) \quad f(t, x) < \omega c, (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times [0, c],
\]
then problem (1) has at least three positive solutions \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \) satisfying
\[
\|x_1\| \leq a, \quad b < \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x_2, \tag{31}
\]
\[
\|x_3\| > a \quad \text{with} \quad \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x_3 < b. \tag{31}
\]

Proof. We define operator \( T : C \to E \) by
\[
Tx(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) \int_0^t f(\tau, x(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds. \tag{32}
\]
It is easy to check that \( T : C \to C \) and is completely continuous.

Next, the conditions of Lemma 3 are checked. If \( x \in \overline{C}, \) then \( \|x\| \leq c, \) and condition (A3) implies that
\[
f(t, x) \leq \sigma c, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,
\]
\[
\|T(x)\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 G(t, s) \int_0^t f(\tau, x(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 sG(t, s) \, ds \times \sigma c \leq c.
\]

Thus, \( T : \overline{C} \to \overline{C} \). In the same way, we see that \( T : \overline{C}_a \to \overline{C}_a \). Hence, condition (H2) of Lemma 3 is satisfied.

The fact that constant function \( x(t) = (b/\gamma) \in \{C(\psi, b,(b/\gamma)) \mid \psi(x) > b\} \) implies that \( |C(\psi, b,(b/\gamma))| \psi(x) > b\) \( \neq \emptyset \). If \( x \in C(\psi, b,(b/\gamma)) \), from the assumption (A2), \( f(t, x) \geq b/\delta \). Thus,
\[
\psi(Tx) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 G(t, s) \int_0^t f(\tau, x(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds
\]
\[
\geq \int_0^1 sG(1, s) \, ds \times \frac{b}{\delta} \geq b,
\]
which ensures that condition (H1) of Lemma 3 is satisfied. Finally, we show that condition (H3) of Lemma 3 also holds. Suppose that \( x \in C(\psi, b, c) \) with \( \|Tx\| > b/\gamma \),
\[
\psi(Tx) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 G(t, s) \int_0^t f(\tau, x(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds \geq \int_0^1 G(t, s) \, ds \times \frac{b}{\gamma} = b. \tag{35}
\]
So, condition (H3) of Lemma 3 is satisfied. Thus, an application of Lemma 3 implies that the nonresonant third-order boundary value problem (1) has at least three positive solutions \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \) satisfying (31). \( \square \)

4. Positive Solution for Resonant Problem

In this section, the condition \( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i = 1 \) is considered. Obviously, problem (1) is at resonance under this condition. The norm-type Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem will be used to establish the existence results of positive solution. We define the Banach spaces \( X = Y = C[0, 1] \) endowed with the maximum norm.

Define linear operator \( L : \text{dom} L \subset X \to Y, \) \( Lx = -x'''(t), t \in [0, 1] \), where
\[
\text{dom} L = \left\{ x \in X \mid x''' \in C[0, 1], x'''(0) = 0, \right. \left. x'(0) = 0, x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i x(\xi_i) \right\}. \tag{36}
\]
and $N : X \to Y$ with
\[
(Nx)(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{37}
\]
It is obvious that $\text{Ker} \, L = \{ x \in \text{dom} \, L : f(t, x(t)) = 0 \}$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Denote the function $G(s), s \in [0, 1]$ as follows:
\[
G(s) = (1 - s)^2 - \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} \beta_i (\xi_i - s)^2, \quad \xi_{k-1} \leq s \leq \xi_k, \tag{38}
\]
k = 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1.
Note that $G(s) \geq 0, s \in [0, 1]$.

Denote the function $U(t, s)$ and positive number $\kappa$ as follows:
\[
U(t, s) = \begin{cases}
-\frac{1}{6}s^3 + \frac{1}{2}s^2 - \frac{1}{2}s + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{4r^3 + 23}{24}\int_0^t G(s) \, ds, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\
-\frac{1}{6}s^3 - \frac{1}{2}s^2 + st - \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{4r^3 + 23}{24}\int_0^s G(s) \, ds, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1,
\end{cases}
\]
\[
\kappa := \min \left\{ 1, \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \frac{\int_0^t G(r) \, dr}{G(s)}, \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \frac{1}{U(t, s)} \right\}. \tag{39}
\]

**Theorem 9.** Assume that there exists positive constant $R \in (0, \infty)$ such that $f : [0, 1] \times [0, R] \to (-\infty, +\infty)$ is continuous and satisfies the following conditions:

1. $(S1)$ $f(t, x) \geq -\kappa x$, for $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times [0, R]$,
2. $(S2)$ $f(t, x) < 0$ for $[t, x] \in [0, 1] \times [(1 - \sqrt{2}R), R]$,
3. $(S3)$ there exists $R \in (0, R), t_0 \in [0, 1], a \in (0, 1], M_1 \in M \in (0, 1)$ and continuous functions $g : [0, 1] \to [0, +\infty)$, $h : [0, r] \to [0, +\infty)$ such that $f(t, x) \geq g(t)h(x)$, $[r, x] \in [0, 1] \times (0, r]$ and $h(x)/x^a$ is nonincreasing on $(0, r]$ with
\[
\beta \geq \frac{1}{r^a} \int_0^1 U(t_0, s) g(s) \, ds \geq \frac{1}{M^a}, \tag{40}
\]
then problem (1) with resonant condition (1.2) has at least one positive solution.

**Proof.** Firstly, we claim that
\[
\text{Im} \, L = \left\{ y \in Y \mid \int_0^1 G(s) \, y(s) \, ds = 0 \right\}. \tag{41}
\]
In fact, for each $y \in \{ y \in Y \mid \int_0^1 G(s) \, y(s) \, ds = 0 \}$, we take
\[
x(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (t - s)^2 y(s) \, ds. \tag{42}
\]
It is easy to check that $-x'''(t) = y(t), x''(0) = 0, x'(0) = 0$, and $x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \beta_i x(\xi_i)$, which means $x(t) \in \text{dom} \, L$. Thus,
\[
\left\{ y \in Y \mid \int_0^1 G(s) \, y(s) \, ds = 0 \right\} \subset \text{Im} \, L. \tag{43}
\]
On the other hand, for each $y(t) \in \text{Im} \, L$, there exists $x(t) \in \text{dom} \, L$,
\[
-x'''(t) = y(t), \quad x''(0) = 0, \quad x'(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i x(\xi_i). \tag{44}
\]
Integrating both sides on $[0, t]$,
\[
x(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (t - s)^2 y(s) \, ds + \frac{1}{2} x''(0) t^2 + x'(0) t + x(0). \tag{45}
\]
Considering condition $x''(0) = 0, x'(0) = 0, x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i x(\xi_i)$, and $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \beta_i = 1$, we conclude that
\[
\int_0^1 (1 - s)^2 y(s) \, ds - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s)^2 y(s) \, ds = 0, \tag{46}
\]
which equivalent to the conclusion that $\int_0^1 G(s) y(s) \, ds = 0$. So, we have
\[
\text{Im} \, L = \left\{ y \in Y \mid \int_0^1 G(s) \, y(s) \, ds = 0 \right\}. \tag{47}
\]
Thus,
\[
\text{Im} \, L = \left\{ y \in Y \mid \int_0^1 G(s) \, y(s) \, ds = 0 \right\}. \tag{48}
\]
Clearly, dim $\text{Ker} \, L = 1$ and $\text{Im} \, L$ are closed. Next, we see that $Y = Y_1 \oplus \text{Im} \, L$, where
\[
Y_1 = \left\{ y_1 \mid \int_0^1 G(s) y_1(s) \, ds, y_1 \in Y \right\}. \tag{49}
\]
In fact, for each $y(t) \in Y$, we have
\[
\int_0^1 G(s) \left| y(s) - y_1 \right| \, ds = 0. \tag{50}
\]
This shows that $y - y_1 \in \text{Im} \, L$. Since $Y_1 \cap \text{Im} \, L = \{ 0 \}$, we have $Y = Y_1 \oplus \text{Im} \, L$. Thus, $L$ is a Fredholm operator with index zero.

Then, define the projections $P : X \to X, Q : Y \to Y$ by
\[
P x = \int_0^1 x(s) \, ds, \tag{51}
\]
\[
Q y = \int_0^1 G(s) \, y(s) \, ds. \tag{52}
\]
Clearly, \( \text{Im} \ P = \text{Ker} \ L \), \( \text{Ker} \ Q = \text{Im} \ L \) and \( \text{Ker} \ P = \{ x \in X : \int_0^1 x(s) \, ds = 0 \} \). Note that for \( y \in \text{Im} \ L \), the inverse \( K_P \) of \( L_P \) is given by
\[
(K_P)(y) = \int_0^1 k(t,s) \, y(s) \, ds,
\]
where
\[
k(t,s) = \begin{cases}
\frac{-1}{6}s^3 + \frac{1}{2}s^2 - \frac{1}{2}s + \frac{1}{6}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \\ \frac{-1}{6}s^3 - \frac{1}{2}s + st - \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{6}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1.
\end{cases}
\]
In fact, it is easy to check that
\[
L(K_P)(y) = \left( - \int_0^1 k(t,s) \, y(s) \, ds \right)''' = y(t),
\]
\[
K_P(L)(x) = \int_0^t \left( \frac{-1}{6}s^3 - \frac{1}{2}s + st - \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{6} \right) (-x'''(s)) \, ds
\]
\[
+ \int_t^1 \left( \frac{-1}{6}s^3 + \frac{1}{2}s^2 - \frac{1}{2}s + \frac{1}{6} \right) (-x'''(s)) \, ds
\]
\[
= x(t).
\]
(52)
(53)
(54)
(55)

Considering that \( f \) can be extended continuously on \( [0,1] \times (-\infty, +\infty) \); condition (C1) of Lemma 4 is fulfilled.

Define the cone of nonnegative functions \( C \) and subsets of \( X \Omega_1, \Omega_2 \) by
\[
C = \{ x \in X : x(t) \geq 0, t \in [0,1] \},
\]
\[
\Omega_1 = \{ x \in X : r > |x(t)| > M \|x\|, t \in [0,1] \},
\]
\[
\Omega_2 = \{ x \in X : |x(t)| < R, t \in [0,1] \}.
\]
Clearly, \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \) are bounded and open sets, furthermore
\[
\overline{\Omega}_1 = \{ x \in X : r \geq |x(t)| \geq M \|x\|, t \in [0,1] \} \subset \Omega_2.
\]
(56)
(57)

Let the isomorphism \( J = I \) and \( (y \chi)(t) = |x(t)| \) for \( x \in X \). Then, it is easy to check that \( y \) is a retraction and maps subsets of \( \overline{\Omega}_2 \) into bounded subsets of \( C \), which means that condition (C3) of Lemma 4 is satisfied.

Next, we confirm that (C2) of Lemma 4 holds. For this purpose, suppose that there exists \( x_0 \in C \cap \partial \Omega_2 \cap \text{dom} \ L \) and \( \lambda_0 \in (0,1) \) such that \( Lx_0 = \lambda_0 N x_0 \). Then,
\[
-x_0'''(t) = \lambda_0 f(t,x_0),
\]
for all \( t \in [0,1] \). Thus,
\[
x_0''(t) = -\lambda_0 \int_0^t f(s,x_0(s)) \, ds,
\]
\[
x_0'(t) = -\lambda_0 \int_0^t (t-s) f(s,x_0(s)) \, ds.
\]
(58)
(59)

Let \( x_0(t_0) = \|x_0\| = R \). We verify that \( t_0 \neq 0 \) and \( t_0 \neq 1 \). The step is divided into three cases:

(1) we show that \( t_0 \neq 1 \). Suppose, on the contrary, that \( x_0(t) \) achieves maximum value \( R \) only at \( t = 1 \). Then, \( x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i x_0(\xi_i) \) in combination with \( \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_i = 1 \) yields that \( \max_{x \in \Omega_2} x_0(\xi_i) \geq R \), which is a contradiction,

(2) we show that \( t_0 \neq 0 \). Suppose, on the contrary, that \( x_0(t) \) achieves maximum value \( R \) at \( t = 0 \). Then,
\[
x_0'''(0) = -\lambda_0 f(0,R) > 0
\]
which together with the condition \( x'''(0) = x'(0) = 0 \) yield that \( x(t) \) is increasing near the point \( t = 0 \). This contradicts to the fact that \( x_0(t) \) achieves maximum value \( R \) at \( t = 0 \).

Thus, there exists \( t_0 \in (0,1) \) such that \( x_0(t_0) = R = x_0''(t_0) \). We may choose \( \eta < t_0 \) nearest to \( t_0 \) with \( x_0''(\eta) = 0 \). From the mean value theory, we claim that there exists \( \xi \in (\eta,t_0) \) such that
\[
x_0''(\xi) = x_0(t_0) - x_0'(\xi)(t_0 - \eta).
\]
Here,
\[
x_0'(\xi) = -\lambda_0 \int_0^{\xi} (\xi - s) f(s,x_0(s)) \, ds
\]
\[
\leq \lambda_0 k \int_0^{\xi} (\xi - s) x_0(s) \, ds
\]
\[
\leq \lambda_0 k R \int_0^{\xi} (\xi - s) \, ds = \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 \lambda_0 k R.
\]
Thus,
\[
x_0''(\xi) = x_0(t_0) - x_0'(\xi)(t_0 - \eta)
\]
\[
\geq R - \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 \lambda_0 k (t_0 - \eta) R
\]
\[
\geq \left( 1 - \frac{k}{2} \right) R.
\]
Then,
\[
0 \geq x_0''(t_0) - x_0''(\eta) = -\lambda_0 \int_\eta^{t_0} f(s,x_0(s)) \, ds,
\]
(60)
which contradict to condition (S2). Thus, (C2) holds.

Remark 10. The sign of third-order derivative of a function \( y(t) \) at point \( t_0 \) cannot be confirmed even if \( t_0 \) is a maximal value of \( y(t) \). Thus, the method in [29] is not applicable directly to problem (1). In our opinion, it is the key that the conditions (S2) in this paper are stronger than that in [29].

For \( x \in \text{Ker} \ L \cap \Omega_2 \), define
\[
H(x,\lambda) = x - \lambda |x| - \frac{\lambda}{\int_0^1 G(s) \, ds} \int_0^1 G(s) f(s,|x|) \, ds,
\]
(65)
where \( x = c \in \text{Ker} L \cap \Omega_2 \) and \( \lambda \in [0, 1] \). Suppose that \( H(x, \lambda) = 0 \). In view of (S1), we obtain

\[
c = \lambda |c| + \frac{\lambda}{\int_0^1 G(s) ds} \int_0^1 G(s) f(s, |c|) ds \\
\geq \lambda |c| - \frac{\lambda}{\int_0^1 G(s) ds} \int_0^1 G(s) \kappa |c| ds \\
= \lambda |c| (1 - \kappa) \geq 0.
\]

Hence, \( H(x, \lambda) = 0 \) implies \( c \geq 0 \). Furthermore, if \( H(R, \lambda) = 0 \), we get

\[
0 \leq R (1 - \lambda) \int_0^1 G(s) ds = \lambda \int_0^1 G(s) f(s, R) ds,
\]

contradicting to (S2). Thus, \( H(x, \lambda) \neq 0 \) for \( x \in \partial \Omega_2 \) and \( \lambda \in [0, 1] \). Therefore,

\[
d_B(H(x, 0), \text{Ker} L \cap \Omega_2, 0) = d_B(H(x, 1), \text{Ker} L \cap \Omega_2, 0) = d_B(I, \text{Ker} L \cap \Omega_2, 0) = 1.
\]

This ensures

\[
d_B([I - (P + JQN)]y)_{\text{Ker} L \cap \Omega_2, 0} = d_B(H(x, 1), \text{Ker} L \cap \Omega_2, 0) \neq 0.
\]

Let \( x \in \overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1 \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \). From condition (S1), we see

\[
(\Psi x)(t) = \int_0^1 |x(t)| dt + \frac{1}{\int_0^1 G(s) ds} \int_0^1 G(s) f(s, |x(s)|) ds \\
+ \int_0^1 k(t, s) \left[ f(s, |x(s)|) - \frac{1}{\int_0^1 G(s) ds} \int_0^1 G(r) f(r, |x(r)|) dr \right] ds \\
\geq \int_0^1 |x(t)| dt + \int_0^1 U(t, s) f(s, |x(s)|) ds \\
\geq \int_0^1 |x(s)| ds - \kappa \int_0^1 U(t, s) |x(s)| ds \\
= \int_0^1 (1 - \kappa U(t, s)) |x(s)| ds \geq 0.
\]

Hence, \( \Psi x \) is positive, and \( \sigma(u_0) = 1 \). Therefore, \( (\Psi x)(t_0) = \int_0^1 x(s) ds + \int_0^1 U(t_0, s) f(s, x(s)) ds \)

\[
\geq M \|x\| + \int_0^1 U(t_0, s) g(s) h(x(s)) ds \\
\geq M \|x\| + \int_0^1 U(t_0, s) g(s) h(x(s)) x^a(s) ds \\
\geq M \|x\| + \frac{h(r)}{r^a} \int_0^1 U(t_0, s) g(s) M^a \|x\|^a ds \\
\geq M \|x\| + (1 - M) \|x\| = \|x\|.
\]

So, \( \|x\| \leq \sigma(u_0) \|\Psi x\| \), for all \( x \in C(u_0) \cap \partial \Omega_1 \), which means (C5) of Lemma 4 holds.

Thus, by Lemma 4, we confirm that the equation \( Lx = Nx \) has a solution \( x \in C \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1) \), which implies that nonlinear third-order multipoint boundary value problem (1) with resonance condition (1.2) has at least one positive solution.

5. Examples

In this section, we give two examples to illustrate the main results of the paper. First, we consider the nonresonant four-point boundary value problem

\[
x''''(t) + f(t, x) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1),
\]

\[
x''(0) = 0, \quad x'(0) = 0, \quad x'(1) = \frac{1}{2} x \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) + \frac{1}{3} x \left( \frac{2}{3} \right),
\]

\[
x''(1) = \frac{1}{2} x \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) + \frac{1}{3} x \left( \frac{2}{3} \right).
\]
where

\[
 f(t, x) = \begin{cases} 
 \frac{1}{100}e^t + \frac{x^3}{\pi}, & 0 < x < 6, \\
 \frac{1}{100}e^t + \frac{216}{\pi}, & x \geq 6. 
\end{cases}
\] (76)

Here, \( \beta_1 = 1/2, \beta_2 = 1/3, \xi_1 = 1/3, \xi_2 = 2/3, \) and

\[
 G(t, s) = \begin{cases} 
 -s + \frac{11}{3}, & t \leq s, 0 \leq s \leq 1/3, \\
 t + \frac{11}{3}, & t \geq s, 0 \leq s \leq 1/3, \\
 -4s + \frac{14}{3}, & t \leq s, \frac{1}{3} \leq s \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\
 -3s - 2t + \frac{14}{3}, & t \geq s, \frac{1}{3} \leq s \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\
 6 - 6s, & t \leq s, \frac{2}{3} \leq s \leq 1, \\
 6 - t - 5s, & t \geq s, \frac{2}{3} \leq s \leq 1.
\end{cases}
\] (77)

By a simple computation, we can get that

\[
 m = \frac{143}{162}, \quad \delta = \frac{89}{162}, \quad \gamma = \frac{8}{11}. \] (78)

We choose \( a = 1, b = 4, \) and \( c = 162. \) It is easy to check that

(1) \( f(t, x) < 143/162, \) \( [t, x] \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \)

(2) \( f(t, x) > 648/89, \) \( [t, x] \in [0, 1] \times [41/11, 2], \)

(3) \( f(t, x) < 143, \) \( [t, x] \in [0, 1] \times [0, 162]. \)

Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 8 are satisfied. This ensures that problem (75) has at least three positive solutions \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \) satisfying

\[
\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x_1 \leq 1, \quad \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x_2 > 4, \\
\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x_3 > 1, \quad \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x_3 < 4. \] (79)

Next, we consider the resonant third-order four-point boundary value problem

\[
x'''(t) + \left( -\frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{5}{16} \right) \left( x^2 - 4x + \frac{11}{5} \right) \sqrt{x^2 - 6x + 10} = 0, \quad t \in [0, 1],
\]

\[
x''(0) = 0, \quad x'(0) = 0, \quad x(0) = x \left( \frac{2}{3} \right),
\] (80)

where \( \beta = 1, \xi = 2/3 \) and

\[
 f(t, x) = \left( -\frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{5}{16} \right) \times \left( x^2 - 4x + \frac{11}{5} \right) \sqrt{x^2 - 6x + 10}. \] (81)

Here,

\[
 G(s) = \begin{cases} 
 \frac{5}{9} - \frac{2s}{3}, & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\
 (1-s)^2, & \frac{2}{3} \leq s \leq 1. 
\end{cases}
\] (82)

By a simple computation, we have

\[
 \int_0^1 G(s) \, ds = \frac{19}{81}, \quad \kappa = \frac{19}{45}, \quad \int_0^1 U(0, s) \, ds = 1. \] (83)

Choose \( R = 1, r = 1/4, t_0 = 0, a = 1, \) and \( M = 1/2. \)

We take

\[
 g(t) = -\frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{5}{16}, \quad t \in [0, 1], \\
 h(x) = \sqrt{x^2 - 6x + 10}, \quad x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{4}\right]. \] (84)

Then,

\[
 7/48 \leq g(t) \leq 53/144 < 19/45, \quad t \in [0, 1], \\
 x^2 - 4x + \frac{11}{5} \geq -x, \quad x \in [0, 1]. \] (85)

It is easy to check that

(1) \( f(t, x) > -(19/45)x, \) for all \( (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \)

(2) \( f(t, x) < 0, \) for all \( (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times [71/90, 1], \)

(3) \( f(t, x) \geq (101/80)(-((1/2)t^2 + (1/3)t + (5/16))\sqrt{x^2 - 6x + 10} \geq g(t)h(x), \) \( [t, x] \in [0, 1] \times (0, 1/4] \)

and \( h(x)/x = \sqrt{x^2 - 6x + 10}/x \) is nonincreasing on \( (0, 1/4] \) with

\[
 \frac{h(r)}{r^a} \int_0^1 U(0, s) \, ds > \frac{7\sqrt{137}}{48} > 1 = \frac{1-M}{M^a}. \] (86)

Then, all conditions of Theorem 9 are satisfied. This ensures that the resonant problem has at least one solution, positive on \( [0, 1]. \)

Remark 11. The established existence results of positive solutions for third-order boundary value problems in \([2, 3, 5–12],\) for examples, are not applicable to the problem (75) or (5.2).
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