Research Article

Energy Solution to the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger Equations

Hyungjin Huh

Department of Mathematics, Chung-Ang University, Seoul 156-756, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Hyungjin Huh; huh@cau.ac.kr

Received 19 November 2012; Accepted 17 January 2013

Academic Editor: Graziano Crasta

Copyright © 2013 Hyungjin Huh. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We prove that the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system, under the condition of a Coulomb gauge, has a unique local-in-time solution in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The Coulomb gauge provides elliptic features for gauge fields A_0, A_j . The Koch- and Tzvetkov-type Strichartz estimate is applied with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and Wentz's inequalities.

1. Introduction

We study herein the initial value problem of the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger (CSS) equations

$$\begin{aligned} iD_0\phi + D_j D_j \phi &= -\lambda |\phi|^2 \phi, \\ \partial_0 A_1 - \partial_1 A_0 &= -\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_2 \phi), \\ \partial_0 A_2 - \partial_2 A_0 &= \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_1 \phi), \\ \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} |\phi|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where i denotes the imaginary unit; $\partial_0 = \partial/\partial t$, $\partial_1 = \partial/\partial x_1$, and $\partial_2 = \partial/\partial x_2$ for $(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+2}$; $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the complex scalar field; $A_\mu : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the gauge field; $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + iA_\mu$ is the covariant derivative for $\mu = 0, 1, 2$, and $\lambda > 0$ is a coupling constant representing the strength of interaction potential. The summation convention used involves summing over repeated indices and Latin indices are used to denote 1, 2.

The CSS system of equations was proposed in [1, 2] to deal with the electromagnetic phenomena in planar domains, such as the fractional quantum Hall effect or high-temperature superconductivity. We refer the reader to [3, 4] for more information on the physical nature of these phenomena.

The CSS system exhibits conservation of mass

$$M(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(t, x)|^2 dx = M(0), \quad (2)$$

and the conservation of total energy

$$E(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |D_j \phi(t, x)|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} |\phi(t, x)|^4 dx = E(0). \quad (3)$$

Note that the terms $|F|^2 = (1/2)F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ are missing in (3) when compared to the Maxwell-Schrödinger equations studied in [5].

To figure out the optimal regularity for the CSS system, we observe that the CSS system is invariant under scaling:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^a(t, x) &= a\phi(a^2 t, ax), & A_j^a(t, x) &= aA_j(a^2 t, ax), \\ A_0^a(t, x) &= a^2 A_0(a^2 t, ax). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Therefore, the scaled critical Sobolev exponent is $s_c = 0$ for ϕ . In view of (2) we may say that the initial value problem of the CSS system is mass critical.

The CSS system is invariant under the following gauge transformations:

$$\phi \longrightarrow \phi e^{i\chi}, \quad A_\mu \longrightarrow A_\mu - \partial_\mu \chi, \quad (5)$$

where $\chi : \mathbb{R}^{2+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. Therefore, a solution to the CSS system is formed by a class of gauge

equivalent pairs (ϕ, A_μ) . In this work, we fix the gauge by imposing the *Coulomb gauge* condition of $\partial_j A_j = 0$, under which the Cauchy problem of the CSS system may be reformulated as follows:

$$i\partial_t \phi - A_0 \phi + \Delta \phi + 2iA_j \partial_j \phi - A_j^2 \phi = -\lambda |\phi|^2 \phi, \quad (6)$$

$$\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1 = -1/2 |\phi|^2, \quad \partial_1 A_1 + \partial_2 A_2 = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\Delta A_0 = \text{Im}(Q_{12}(\bar{\phi}, \phi)) + \partial_1(A_2 |\phi|^2) - \partial_2(A_1 |\phi|^2), \quad (8)$$

where the initial data $\phi(0, x) = \phi_0(x)$. For the formulation of (6)–(8) we refer the reader to Section 3.

The initial value problem of the CSS system was investigated in [6, 7]. It was shown in [6] that the Cauchy problem is locally well posed in $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and that there exists at least one global solution, $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C_\omega(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))$, provided that the initial data are made sufficiently small in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by finding regularized equations. They also showed, by deriving a virial identity, that solutions blow up in finite time under certain conditions. Explicit blow-up solutions were constructed in [8] through the use of a pseudo-conformal transformation. The existence of a standing wave solution to the CSS system has also been proved in [9, 10].

The adiabatic approximation of the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system with a topological boundary condition was studied in [11], which provides a rigorous description of slow vortex dynamics in the near self-dual limit.

Taking the conservation of energy (3) into account, it seems natural to consider the Cauchy problem of the CSS system with initial data $\phi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Our purpose here is to supplement the original result of [6] by showing that there is a unique local-in-time solution in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We follow a rather direct means of constructing the H^1 solution and prove the uniqueness. We adapt the idea discussed in [12, 13] where a low regularity solution of the modified Schrödinger map (MSM) was studied. In fact, the CSS and MSM systems have several similarities except for the defining equation for A_0 . In the MSM, A_0 can be written roughly as $R_j R_k(u^2) + |u|^2$, where $R_j = \partial_j(-\Delta)^{-1/2}$ denotes the Riesz transform. The local existence of a solution to the MSM was proved in [12] for the initial data in $H^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $s_1 > 1/2$, and similarly, the uniqueness was proved in [14] for $H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $s_2 > 3/4$. To show the existence and uniqueness of the H^1 solution to the CSS system, the estimate of the gauge field, A_0 , is important for situations in which special structures of nonlinear terms in the defining equation for A_0 are used. The following describes our main results.

Theorem 1. *Let initial data ϕ_0 belong to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, there exists a local-in-time solution, ϕ , to (6)–(8) that satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &\in L^\infty([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)), \\ J^\delta \phi &\in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $0 < \delta < 1/2$, $2 < \delta q$, $1/p + 1/q = 1/2$ and $J = (1 - \Delta)^{1/2}$.

Theorem 2. *Let ϕ and ψ be solutions to (6)–(8) on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ in the distribution sense with the same initial data to that outlined vide supra. Moreover, one assumes that*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi, \psi &\in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)), \\ \|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^1} &\leq M, \quad \|\psi\|_{L_T^\infty H^1} \leq M, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for some constant $M > 0$. One then has $\|(\phi - \psi)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

We present some preliminaries in Section 2. Theorems 1 and 2 are proved in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. We conclude the current section by providing a few notations. We denote space time derivatives by $\partial = (\partial_0, \partial_1, \partial_2)$ and ∇ is used for spacial derivatives. We use the standard Sobolev spaces $W^{s,p}$, with the norm $\|f\|_{W^{s,p}} = \|J^s f\|_{L^p}$ and $\dot{W}^{s,p}$ with the norm $\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}} = \|\nabla|^s f\|_{L^p}$, where $J = (1 - \Delta)^{1/2}$ and $|\nabla| = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$. The space H^s denotes $W^{s,2}$. We define the space time norm as $\|f\|_{L_T^p L^q} = (\int_0^T \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)}^p dt)^{1/p}$. We use c, C to denote various constants. Because we are interested in local solutions, we may assume that $T \leq 1$. Thus, we replace the smooth function of $T, C(T)$ with C . We also use the convention of writing $A \lesssim B$ as shorthand for $A \leq CB$.

2. Preliminaries

We collect here a few lemmas used for the proof of Theorems 1 and 2. The following lemma is reminiscent of Wente's inequality (see [15, 16]).

Lemma 3. *Let f and g be two functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and let u be the solution of*

$$\Delta u = \partial_1 f \partial_2 g - \partial_2 f \partial_1 g \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (11)$$

where u is small at infinity. Then, $u \in C(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (12)$$

The following energy estimate in [17, 18] is used for estimating a solution to the magnetic Schrödinger equation.

Lemma 4. *Let u be a solution of*

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u + 2i \operatorname{div}(au) = F, \quad (13)$$

where $a = (a_1(t, x), a_2(t, x))$ and a_j are real-valued functions. Then, for $s \geq 0$ there exists an absolute constant $C_s > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} &\leq \|u(0, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\ &+ C_s \int_0^t (\|\nabla a\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla a\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|F\|_{\dot{H}^s}) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

wherein one means the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^s$ when $s > 0$ and simply L^2 when $s = 0$.

The following type of Strichartz estimate was used in [19, 20] for the study of the Benjamin-Ono equation. We refer to [12] for the counterpart to the Schrödinger equation.

Lemma 5. *Let $T \leq 1$ and v be a solution to the equation*

$$i\partial_t v + \Delta v = F_1 + F_2, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (15)$$

Then, for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, one has

$$\|J^\delta v\|_{L_T^p L^q} \lesssim \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H^{\delta+1/2+\varepsilon}} + \|F_1\|_{L_T^2 H^{\delta-1/2}} + \|F_2\|_{L_T^1 H^\delta}, \quad (16)$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1/2$ and $2 \leq q < \infty$.

We use the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality with the specific constant [21], especially for the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 6. *For $2 \leq q < \infty$, one has*

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq (4\pi)^{(2-q)/2q} \left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{1/2} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{2/q} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1-2/q}. \quad (17)$$

3. The Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1 is proved in this section. Because the local well-posedness for smooth data is already known in [6], we simply present an *a priori* estimate for the solution to (6)–(8). Let us first explain (8). To derive it, note the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D_\alpha \phi} D_\beta \phi - \overline{D_\beta \phi} D_\alpha \phi &= Q_{\alpha\beta}(\overline{\phi}, \phi) \\ &\quad - i(A_\alpha \partial_\beta(|\phi|^2) - A_\beta \partial_\alpha(|\phi|^2)), \\ D_\alpha D_\beta \phi - D_\beta D_\alpha \phi &= iF_{\alpha\beta} \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $Q_{\alpha\beta}(\overline{\phi}, \phi) = \partial_\alpha \overline{\phi} \partial_\beta \phi - \partial_\beta \overline{\phi} \partial_\alpha \phi$ and $F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha$. Note that the second-order terms $\partial_{\alpha\beta} \phi$ are cancelled out. Combined with the above algebra, the equation for A_0 comes from the second and third equations in (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A_0 &= \partial_1 \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\phi} D_2 \phi) - \partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\phi} D_1 \phi) \\ &= \operatorname{Im}(Q_{12}(\overline{\phi}, \phi)) + \partial_1(A_2 |\phi|^2) - \partial_2(A_1 |\phi|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

We then have the formulation (6)–(8) in which ϕ is the only dynamical variable and A_1 , A_2 , and A_0 are determined through (7) and (8).

The constraint equation $\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1 = -1/2|\phi|^2$ and the Coulomb gauge condition $\partial_1 A_1 + \partial_2 A_2 = 0$ provide an elliptic feature of $A = (A_1, A_2)$; that is, the components A_j can be determined from ϕ by solving the elliptic equations

$$\Delta A_1 = \partial_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} |\phi|^2 \right), \quad \Delta A_2 = -\partial_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} |\phi|^2 \right). \quad (20)$$

Taking into account that the Coulomb gauge condition in Maxwell dynamics deduces a wave equation, the previous

observation was used in [6]. Using (20), we have the following representation of $A = (A_1, A_2)$:

$$A_1 = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{x_2}{|x|^2} * |\phi|^2 \right), \quad A_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{x_1}{|x|^2} * |\phi|^2 \right). \quad (21)$$

3.1. Estimates for A and A_0 . We are now ready to estimate several quantities of A, A_0 . Making use of (20) and the representation (21), we obtain the following estimates for A .

Proposition 7. *Let $s \geq 0$ and $0 < 2/q < \delta < 1$. One also assumes that $2 \leq p < \infty$ if $s > 0$ or $2 < p < \infty$ if $s = 0$. Then, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla A\|_{\dot{H}^s} &\lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi\|_{\dot{H}^s}, \\ \|\nabla A\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|J^\delta \phi\|_{L^q}, \\ \|A\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^2} \|J^\delta \phi\|_{L^q}, \\ \|\nabla|^s A\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^p} \|\phi\|_{\dot{H}^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Proof. The above can be checked by applying Calderon-Zygmund and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities. We refer to [2, Section 2] for the details. $\square$

To estimate A_0 , the special algebraic structure Q_{12} and divergence form of the nonlinear terms in (19) are used.

Proposition 8. *Let A_0 be the solution of (19). Then, one has*

$$\|A_0\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla A_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim (1 + \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (23)$$

Proof. Decompose $A_0 = A'_0 + A''_0$ as follows:

$$\Delta A'_0 = \operatorname{Im}(Q_{12}(\overline{\phi}, \phi)), \quad (24)$$

$$\Delta A''_0 = \partial_1(A_2 |\phi|^2) - \partial_2(A_1 |\phi|^2). \quad (25)$$

We first estimate the quantity $\|A_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}$. Applying Lemma 3 to (24), we deduce that

$$\|A'_0\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (26)$$

To estimate $\|A''_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ we use the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality with small $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq C_\varepsilon \|\Delta u\|_{L^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^\alpha \|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{1-\alpha}, \\ &\text{with } \alpha = (1 + \varepsilon) / (1 + 5\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Applying Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev's inequality to (25) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|A''_0\|_{L^4} &\lesssim \|A|\phi|^2\|_{L^{4/3}} \lesssim \|A\|_{L^4} \|\phi\|_{L^4}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_{L^4}^3 \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^2}^{5/2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^{3/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where Proposition 7 and Lemma 6 are used. We can also derive the following from (25):

$$\|\Delta A_0''\|_{L^{1+\epsilon}} \leq \underbrace{\|\nabla A \cdot |\phi|^2\|_{L^{1+\epsilon}}}_{(i)} + \underbrace{\|A \nabla(|\phi|^2)\|_{L^{1+\epsilon}}}_{(ii)}. \quad (29)$$

The first term can be estimated as follows:

$$(i) \leq \|\nabla A\|_{L^2} \|\phi^2\|_{L^{(2+2\epsilon)/(1-\epsilon)}} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^2}^{2/(1+\epsilon)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^{(2+4\epsilon)/(1+\epsilon)}, \quad (30)$$

where $\|\phi\|_{L^{(4+4\epsilon)/(1-\epsilon)}} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^2}^{(1-\epsilon)/(2+2\epsilon)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^{(1+3\epsilon)/(2+2\epsilon)}$ is used. The second term can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) &\leq \|A\|_{L^4} \|\phi\|_{L^{(4+4\epsilon)/(1-3\epsilon)}} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_{L^4} \|\phi\|_{L^2}^{(1-3\epsilon)/(2+2\epsilon)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^{(3+7\epsilon)/(2+2\epsilon)} \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{L^2}^{2/(1+\epsilon)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^{(2+4\epsilon)/(1+\epsilon)}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $\|\phi\|_{L^{(4+4\epsilon)/(1-3\epsilon)}} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^2}^{(1-3\epsilon)/(2+2\epsilon)} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^{(1+5\epsilon)/(2+2\epsilon)}$ is used. Therefore, we obtain with $\epsilon = 1/11$, that is, $\alpha = 3/4$,

$$\|A_0''\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (32)$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\|A_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2 (1 + \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (33)$$

On the other hand, Lemma 3 shows that

$$\|\nabla A_0'\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (34)$$

We also have from (25) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla A_0''\|_{L^2} &\leq \|A|\phi|^2\|_{L^2} \leq \|A\|_{L^4} \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_{L^4} \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|\nabla A_0\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2 (1 + \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (36)$$

□

3.2. The Energy Solution to (CSS). We now prove Theorem 1. Let us define

$$X(T) = \|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^1} + \|J^\delta \phi\|_{L_T^p L^q}, \quad (37)$$

where $0 < \delta < 1/2$, $2 < \delta q$, and $1/p + 1/q = 1/2$. We derive the following estimate:

$$X \leq \|\phi_0\|_{H^1} + T^{1/6} \|\phi_0\|_{L^2} (1 + \|\phi_0\|_{L^2}^2) (X^2 + X^4), \quad (38)$$

from which Theorem 1 is proved by standard argument; see [2, Section 3].

To control $\|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^1}$, we apply Lemma 4 to the solution of (6)–(8).

Proposition 9. Let ϕ be a solution to (6)–(8). Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty L^2} &= \|\phi_0\|_{L^2}, \\ \|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^1} &\leq \|\phi_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} \\ &\quad + (1 + \|\phi_0\|_{L^2}^2) T^{(p-3)/p} \left(\|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^1}^3 + \|J^\delta \phi\|_{L_T^p L^q}^3 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where $2 < \delta q$ and $3 < p < \infty$.

Proof. From the conservation of mass, we derive the first estimate. We apply Lemma 4 to (6) with $F = A_0 \phi + A_j^2 \phi - \lambda |\phi|^2 \phi$ and $s = 1$. Combined with Proposition 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla A\|_{\dot{H}^1} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|\phi\|_{W^{\delta,q}}^2 \|\phi\|_{\dot{H}^1}, \\ \|\nabla A\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi\|_{\dot{H}^1} &\leq \|\phi\|_{W^{\delta,q}}^2 \|\phi\|_{\dot{H}^1}, \\ \|A^2 \phi\|_{\dot{H}^1} &\leq \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 (\|\phi\|_{W^{\delta,q}}^3 + \|\phi\|_{\dot{H}^1}^3), \\ \| |\phi|^2 \phi \|_{\dot{H}^1} &\leq \|\phi\|_{W^{\delta,q}}^2 \|\phi\|_{\dot{H}^1}, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $2 < \delta q$. We are then left to estimate $\|A_0 \phi\|_{\dot{H}^1}$. By Proposition 8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_0 \phi\|_{\dot{H}^1} &\leq \|A_0\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi\|_{\dot{H}^1} + \|A_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq (1 + \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2) (\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^3 + \|J^\delta \phi\|_{L^q}^3). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Combining (40) and (41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^1} &\leq \|\phi_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} + \int_0^T (1 + \|\phi_0\|_{L^2}^2) (\|\phi\|_{\dot{H}^1}^3 + \|J^\delta \phi\|_{L^q}^3) \\ &\leq \|\phi_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} + (1 + \|\phi_0\|_{L^2}^2) T^{(p-3)/p} \\ &\quad \times (\|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{H}^1}^3 + \|J^\delta \phi\|_{L_T^p L^q}^3), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $3 < p < \infty$ and $T < 1$. □

To estimate $\|J^\delta \phi\|_{L_T^p L^q}$, we apply Lemma 5 to the solution of (6)–(8).

Proposition 10. Let ϕ be a solution to (6)–(8). Then, one has

$$\|J^\delta \phi\|_{L_T^p L^q} \leq \|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^1} + T^{1/6} \|\phi_0\|_{L^2} (1 + \|\phi_0\|_{L^2}^2) (X^2 + X^4), \quad (43)$$

where $2 < \delta q$, $3 < p < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1/2$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 5 with $F_1 = A_0 \phi - 2iA_j \partial_j \phi$ and $F_2 = A^2 \phi - \lambda |\phi|^2 \phi$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|J^\delta \phi\|_{L_T^p L^q} &\leq \|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^1} + \|A_0 \phi\|_{L_T^2 H^{\delta-1/2}} \\ &\quad + \|A \cdot \nabla \phi\|_{L_T^2 H^{\delta-1/2}} + \|A^2 \phi\|_{L_T^1 H^\delta} + \| |\phi|^2 \phi \|_{L_T^1 H^\delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where $\delta = 1/2 - \varepsilon$, $3 < p < \infty$ and $2 < \delta q$. Considering Proposition 8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A_0 \phi\|_{L_T^2 H^{\delta-1/2}} \\ & \leq \|A_0\|_{L_T^\infty L^\infty} \|\phi\|_{L_T^2 L^2} \leq T^{1/2} \|\phi_0\|_{L^2} (1 + \|\phi_0\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The other terms can be treated, as mentioned in Section 1, by similar arguments to those in [2, Section 3]. Applying Proposition 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A \cdot \nabla \phi\|_{L_T^2 H^{\delta-1/2}} & \leq \|A\|_{L_T^2 L^\infty} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_T^\infty L^2} \\ & \leq \|\phi_0\|_{L^2} T^{(p-2)/2p} \|J^\delta \phi\|_{L_T^p L^q} \|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^1}, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^2 \phi\|_{L_T^1 H^\delta} & \leq \|A^2\|_{L_T^1 L^4} \|J^\delta \phi\|_{L_T^\infty L^4} \\ & \quad + \|A^2\|_{L_T^2 W^{\delta, 2+\varepsilon}} \|\phi\|_{L_T^2 L^{(4+2\varepsilon)/\varepsilon}} \\ & \leq T \|\phi_0\|_{L^2}^{3/2} \|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^1}^{5/2} \\ & \quad + T^{1/4} \|\phi_0\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^1}^2 \|J^\delta \phi\|_{L_T^p L^q}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^3\|_{L_T^1 H^\delta} & \leq \|J^\delta \phi\|_{L_T^\infty L^2} \|\phi\|_{L_T^2 L^\infty}^2 \\ & \leq T^{(p-2)/p} \|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^1} \|J^\delta \phi\|_{L_T^p L^q}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Plugging estimates (45)–(48) into (44) with $p > 3$, we obtain

$$\|J^\delta \phi\|_{L_T^p L^q} \leq \|\phi\|_{L_T^\infty H^1} + T^{1/6} \|\phi_0\|_{L^2} (1 + \|\phi_0\|_{L^2}^2) (X^2 + X^4). \quad (49)$$

□

We finally obtain the estimate (38) by combining Propositions 9 and 10, which proves Theorem 1.

4. The Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we prove the uniqueness of the solution to (6). The basic rationale is borrowed from [12, 22].

Let (ϕ, A_0, A) and (ψ, B_0, B) be solutions of (6)–(8) with the same initial data. If we set $\omega = \phi - \psi$, then the equation for ω is

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t \omega + \Delta \omega & = A_0 \omega + (A_0 - B_0) \psi - 2iA \cdot \nabla \omega - 2i(A - B) \cdot \nabla \psi \\ & \quad + A^2 \omega + (A^2 - B^2) \psi - \lambda |\phi|^2 \omega - \lambda (|\phi|^2 - |\psi|^2) \psi. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

We will derive

$$\partial_t \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim q^{1/2} M^2 \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{2-4/q} + q M^{2+4/q} (1 + M^2) \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{2-4/q}, \quad (51)$$

where M is a constant in Theorem 2 and $q > 2$. Then we have

$$\partial_t \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{4/q} \lesssim \frac{1}{q} (q^{1/2} M^2 + q M^{2+4/q} (1 + M^2)). \quad (52)$$

Considering $\|\omega(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = 0$ and $2 < q$, we obtain

$$\|\omega\|_{L^2} \lesssim (T(M^2 + M^{4+4/q}))^{q/4}. \quad (53)$$

Letting $q \rightarrow \infty$, for the time interval satisfying $T(M^2 + M^{4+4/q}) \leq 1/2$, we conclude that $\|\omega(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$, which thus proves Theorem 2.

In the remainder of this section, we derive inequality (51). Multiplying $\bar{\omega}$ to both sides of (50) and integrating the imaginary part of $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 & = \int \underbrace{2(A_0 - B_0) \operatorname{Im}(\psi \bar{\omega})}_{(I)} - \underbrace{2A_j \partial_j |\omega|^2}_{(II)} \\ & \quad - \underbrace{4(A_j - B_j) \operatorname{Re}(\partial_j \psi \bar{\omega})}_{(III)} dx \\ & \quad + \int \underbrace{2(A^2 - B^2) \operatorname{Im}(\psi \bar{\omega})}_{(IV)} \\ & \quad - \underbrace{2\lambda(|\phi|^2 - |\psi|^2) \operatorname{Im}(\psi \bar{\omega})}_{(V)} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

The integrals (II)–(V), that is, those not containing A_0 , can be controlled by applying similar arguments to those described in [2, Section 4]. Integral (II) can be estimated, considering $\partial_j A_j = 0$, by

$$\int -A_j \partial_j |\omega|^2 dx = \int \partial_j A_j |\omega|^2 dx = 0, \quad (55)$$

$$(III), (IV), (V) \lesssim q M^{2+4/q} (1 + M^2) \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{2-4/q}$$

for which we omit the proof.

We simply present how to control integral (I), for which we have

$$\left| \int (A_0 - B_0) \operatorname{Im}(\psi \bar{\omega}) dx \right| \lesssim \|A_0 - B_0\|_{L^a} \|\psi\|_{L^b} \|\omega\|_{L^c}, \quad (56)$$

where $1/a + 1/b + 1/c = 1$, $2 \leq a, b, c$. Applying Lemma 6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{L^b} & \lesssim b^{1/2} \|\psi\|_{L^2}^{2/b} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{1-2/b} \lesssim b^{1/2} M^{1-2/b}, \\ \|\omega\|_{L^c} & \lesssim c^{1/2} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{2/c} \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^{1-2/c} \lesssim c^{1/2} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{2/c} M^{1-2/c}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

To control $\|A_0 - B_0\|_{L^a}$, we consider the equation for $A_0 - B_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(A_0 - B_0) & = \partial_1 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_2 \phi) - \partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_1 \phi) - \partial_1 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\psi} \partial_2 \psi) \\ & \quad + \partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\psi} \partial_1 \psi) + \partial_1 (A_2 |\phi|^2) - \partial_2 (A_1 |\phi|^2) \\ & \quad - \partial_1 (B_2 |\psi|^2) + \partial_2 (B_1 |\psi|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Decomposing A_0 and B_0 as (24) and (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(A'_0 - B'_0) &= \partial_1 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_2 \omega) - \partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\psi} \partial_1 \omega) \\ &\quad + \partial_1 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\omega} \partial_2 \psi) - \partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\omega} \partial_1 \phi), \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(A''_0 - B''_0) &= \partial_1 (A_2 (|\phi|^2 - |\psi|^2)) - \partial_2 (A_1 (|\phi|^2 - |\psi|^2)) \\ &\quad + \partial_1 ((A_2 - B_2) |\psi|^2) - \partial_2 ((A_1 - B_1) |\phi|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Taking into account

$$\partial_1 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_2 \omega) = \partial_1 (\partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} \omega) - \operatorname{Im}(\omega \partial_2 \bar{\phi})), \quad (61)$$

$$\partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\psi} \partial_1 \omega) = \partial_2 (\partial_1 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\psi} \omega) - \operatorname{Im}(\omega \partial_1 \bar{\psi})),$$

we can rewrite the equation for $A'_0 - B'_0$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(A'_0 - B'_0) &= \partial_1 (\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\omega} \partial_2 \psi) - \operatorname{Im}(\omega \partial_2 \bar{\phi})) \\ &\quad + \partial_2 (\operatorname{Im}(\omega \partial_1 \bar{\psi}) - \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\omega} \partial_2 \phi)), \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where $\partial_1 \partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} \omega) - \partial_2 \partial_1 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\psi} \omega) = \partial_1 \partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\omega} \omega) = 0$ should be noted. Using the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A'_0 - B'_0\|_{L^a} &\leq \| |x|^{-1} * (\omega \nabla \psi) \|_{L^a} \\ &\leq \|\omega \nabla \psi\|_{L^r} \leq \|\omega\|_{L^s} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where $1/a = 1/r - 1/2$ and $1/r = 1/s + 1/2$, from which we deduce $a = s$. Then, we have

$$\|\omega\|_{L^a} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \leq a^{1/2} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{2/a} \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^{1-2/a} M \leq a^{1/2} M^{2-2/a} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{2/a}. \quad (64)$$

The term $A''_0 - B''_0$ can be bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|A''_0 - B''_0\|_{L^a} &\leq \underbrace{\| |x|^{-1} * (|A| (|\phi|^2 - |\psi|^2)) \|_{L^a}}_{(1)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\| |x|^{-1} * (|A - B| (|\phi|^2 + |\psi|^2)) \|_{L^a}}_{(2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Since $||\phi|^2 - |\psi|^2| \leq (|\phi| + |\psi|)|\omega|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &\leq \| |A| (|\phi| + |\psi|) \|_{L^2} \|\omega\|_{L^a} \\ &\leq \|A\|_{L^6} (\|\phi\|_{L^3} + \|\psi\|_{L^3}) \|\omega\|_{L^a} \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|\phi\|_{L^3}^2 (\|\phi\|_{L^3} + \|\psi\|_{L^3}) \|\omega\|_{L^a} \\ &\leq a^{1/2} M^{2-2/a} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{2/a}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Since $|A_j - B_j| \leq |x|^{-1} * ((|\phi| + |\psi|)|\omega|)$, we may check

$$\begin{aligned} (2) &\leq \|A_j - B_j\|_{L^a} (\|\phi^2\|_{L^2} + \|\psi^2\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq (\|\phi\|_{L^2} + \|\psi\|_{L^2}) \|\omega\|_{L^a} (\|\phi\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\psi\|_{L^4}^2) \\ &\leq a^{1/2} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{2/a} \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^{1-2/a} (\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq a^{1/2} M^{2-2/a} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{2/a}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Then, we have

$$\|A_0 - B_0\|_{L^a} \leq a^{1/2} M^{2-2/a} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{2/a}. \quad (69)$$

Combining estimates (57) and (69), and denoting $b = q/2$, we obtain

$$\|A_0 - B_0\|_{L^a} \|\psi\|_{L^b} \|\omega\|_{L^c} \leq (aqc)^{1/2} M^2 \|\omega\|_{L^2}^{2-4/q}, \quad (70)$$

where $1/a + 2/q + 1/c = 1$. We then obtain (51) by combining (55) and (70).

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2011-0015866), and was also partially supported by the TJ Park Junior Faculty Fellowship.

References

- [1] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, "Classical and quantal nonrelativistic Chern-Simons theory," *Physical Review D*, vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 3500–3513, 1990.
- [2] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, "Self-dual Chern-Simons solitons," *Progress of Theoretical Physics. Supplement*, no. 107, pp. 1–40, 1992.
- [3] G. Dunne, *Self-Dual Chern-Simons Theories*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1995.
- [4] P. A. Horvathy and P. Zhang, "Vortices in (abelian) Chern-Simons gauge theory," *Physics Reports*, vol. 481, no. 5-6, pp. 83–142, 2009.
- [5] K. Nakamitsu and M. Tsutsumi, "The Cauchy problem for the coupled Maxwell-Schrödinger equations," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 211–216, 1986.
- [6] L. Bergé, A. de Bouard, and J.-C. Saut, "Blowing up time-dependent solutions of the planar, Chern-Simons gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation," *Nonlinearity*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 235–253, 1995.
- [7] S. Demoulini, "Global existence for a nonlinear Schroedinger-Chern-Simons system on a surface," *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Analyse Non Linéaire*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 207–225, 2007.
- [8] H. Huh, "Blow-up solutions of the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equations," *Nonlinearity*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 967–974, 2009.
- [9] J. Byeon, H. Huh, and J. Seok, "Standing waves of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with the gauge field," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 263, no. 6, pp. 1575–1608, 2012.
- [10] H. Huh, "Standing waves of the Schrödinger equation coupled with the Chern-Simons gauge field," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 53, no. 6, p. 063702, 8, 2012.
- [11] S. Demoulini and D. Stuart, "Adiabatic limit and the slow motion of vortices in a Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 290, no. 2, pp. 597–632, 2009.
- [12] J. Kato, "Existence and uniqueness of the solution to the modified Schrödinger map," *Mathematical Research Letters*, vol. 12, no. 2-3, pp. 171–186, 2005.
- [13] C. E. Kenig and A. R. Nahmod, "The Cauchy problem for the hyperbolic-elliptic Ishimori system and Schrödinger maps," *Nonlinearity*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 1987–2009, 2005.

- [14] J. Kato and H. Koch, "Uniqueness of the modified Schrödinger map in $H^{3/4+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2)$," *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 32, no. 1–3, pp. 415–429, 2007.
- [15] H. Brezis and J.-M. Coron, "Multiple solutions of H -systems and Rellich's conjecture," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 149–187, 1984.
- [16] H. C. Wente, "An existence theorem for surfaces of constant mean curvature," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 26, pp. 318–344, 1969.
- [17] A. Nahmod, A. Stefanov, and K. Uhlenbeck, "On Schrödinger maps," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 114–151, 2003.
- [18] A. Nahmod, A. Stefanov, and K. Uhlenbeck, "Erratum: on Schrödinger maps," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 57, no. 6, pp. 833–839, 2004.
- [19] C. E. Kenig and K. D. Koenig, "On the local well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono and modified Benjamin-Ono equations," *Mathematical Research Letters*, vol. 10, no. 5–6, pp. 879–895, 2003.
- [20] H. Koch and N. Tzvetkov, "On the local well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$," *International Mathematics Research Notices*, no. 26, pp. 1449–1464, 2003.
- [21] T. Ogawa, "A proof of Trudinger's inequality and its application to nonlinear Schrödinger equations," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 765–769, 1990.
- [22] M. V. Vladimirov, "On the solvability of a mixed problem for a nonlinear equation of Schrödinger type," *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, vol. 275, no. 4, pp. 780–783, 1984.