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Several new existence theorems on positive, negative, and sign-changing solutions for the following fourth-order beam equation are obtained:

\[ u^{(4)} = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \]
\[ u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0, \]

where \( f \in C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}_1, \mathbb{R}_1) \). In particular, an infinitely many sign changing solution theorem is established. The method of the invariant set of decreasing flow is employed to discuss this problem.

1. Introduction and Main Results

It is well known that the following fourth-order two-point boundary value problem (BVP):

\[ u^{(4)} = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \]
\[ u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0, \]

(1)

describes the deformation of an elastic beam both of whose ends are simply supported at 0 and 1. Owing to the importance of high-order differential equations both in theory and practice, much attention has been paid to such problems by a number of authors, see [1–15] and references therein.

Among these literatures, some of the authors used to deal with the existence of positive solutions by employing the cone expansion and compression fixed point theorem of norm type [1, 2, 5, 6], the five functionals fixed point theorem [10], and the abstract fixed point index theory [3, 4].

The main assumptions imposed on the nonlinear term \( f \) included that it is superlinear or sublinear in \( u \), and its growth on some intervals is restricted by suitable functions, or it is asymptotically linear in 0 and \( \infty \).

Various methods have been applied to these problems in recent years. In [7], by using the strongly monotone operator principle and the critical point theory, Li et al. established some sufficient conditions for \( f \) to guarantee that the problem has a unique solution, at least one nonzero solution, or infinitely many solutions. In [8], some new existence theorems on multiple positive, negative, and sign-changing solutions of BVP (1) were established by combining the critical point theory and the method of sub- and supersolution.

In [11], the authors obtained a three-solution theorem and an infinitely-many-solution theorem by applying the Morse theory, in which they removed a condition in [7]. In [13, 15], Yang and Zhang got some infinitely many mountain pass solutions theorems for the problems with parameters by the mountain pass theorem in order interval, in which they imposed conditions on \( f \) to guarantee that the equation has infinitely many pairs sub- and supersolutions.

Besides, a few papers are concerned with the existence and multiplicity of the sign-changing solutions for kinds of fourth-order boundary value problems [8, 9, 12] recently. One reason is from the following fact. Consider the linear eigenvalue problem

\[ u^{(4)} = \lambda u, \quad t \in [0, 1], \]
\[ u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0. \]

(2)
It is known that \( \pi^4 < (2\pi)^4 < \cdots < (m\pi)^4 < \cdots \) with corresponding eigenfunctions
\[
\sin \pi t, \sin 2\pi t, \ldots, \sin m\pi t, \ldots
\]
(4)

Obviously, the first eigenfunction \( \sin \pi t > 0 \) for all \( t \in (0, 1) \) and other eigenfunctions \( \sin m\pi t \) \( (m = 2, 3, \ldots) \) are all sign-changing functions on \([0, 1]\). This fact suggests that BVP (1) regarded as a nonlinear perturbation of (2) should have more sign-changing solutions than positive and negative solutions. Another reason is that sign-changing solutions should have more complicated properties, such as the times of changing sign. Thus, sign-changing solutions are interesting challenges in mathematics. In a word, the study on sign-changing solutions is the natural extension and deepening of the previous research on positive solutions. In [12], using the fixed point index and the critical group, Li et al. obtained that the fourth-order Neumann problem has at least one positive solution and two sign-changing solutions under certain conditions. In [9], Li proved that the fourth-order problem in which \( f \) contains the bending moment term \( u'' \) has multiple sign-changing solutions by the fixed point index theory in cones and an antisymmetrical extension method of solution.

In the present paper, motivated by the above results, we investigate the positive, negative, and sign-changing solutions for BVP (1) as well. By applying the method of the invariant set of decreasing flow, we establish several multiple solutions theorems. Furthermore, an infinitely many sign-changing-solution theorem is obtained. The comparisons with the results in the literatures are stated in the remarks below our main theorems. And we present four simple examples to which our theorems can be applied, respectively.

For convenience, we list our conditions as follows:

\( (H_1) \) \( f \in C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^1, \mathbb{R}^1) \),
\( (H_2) \) \( \limsup_{u \to 0} |f(t, u)|/u < \pi^4 \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \) uniformly,
\( (H_3) \) there exist \( \mu \in (0, 1/2) \) and \( M > 0 \) such that
\[
F(t, u) \doteq \int_0^u f(t, v) \, dv \leq \mu uf(t, u) \quad \forall |u| \geq M, \ t \in [0, 1];
\]
(5)
\( (H_4) \) \( f(t, 0) = 0 \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \),
\( (H_5) \) \( f(t, u) \) is odd in \( u \), that is, \( f(t, -u) = -f(t, u) \) for all \( (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^1 \).

The following three conditions are a little weaker than \((H_2)\) and \((H_3)\), respectively:

\( (H_2') \) \( \limsup_{u \to 0} (f(t, u)/u) < \pi^4 \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \) uniformly,
\( (H_3') \) \( \limsup_{u \to 0} (f(t, u)/u) < \pi^4 \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \) uniformly,
\( (H_3^\prime) \) there exist \( \mu \in (0, 1/2) \) and \( M > 0 \) such that
\[
F(t, u) \doteq \int_0^u f(t, v) \, dv \leq \mu uf(t, u) \quad \forall u \geq M, \ t \in [0, 1].
\]
(7)

Now, the main results can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that \((H_1), (H_2'), \text{ and } (H_3')\) hold. In addition, \( f(t, u) \geq 0 \) for all \( u \in [0, +\infty) \). Then, BVP (1) has at least a positive solution in \( C^4[0, 1] \).

**Remark 2.** We will apply the method of the invariant set of decreasing flow to prove this theorem in Section 3. When dealing with BVP (1) by the cone expansion and compression theorems [16, 17], we usually assume that
\[
\limsup_{u \to 0^+} f(t, u)/u = 0, \quad \limsup_{u \to +\infty} f(t, u)/u = +\infty.
\]
(8)
The first equation is stronger than \((H_2')\), while the latter is weaker than \((H_3)\). So, both of the two methods have their own characteristics.

**Example 3.** Let
\[
f(t, u) = \begin{cases} au + b(t) \ln(1 + u) \arctan u + c|u|^\gamma u, & (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty), \\ 0, & (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times (-\infty, 0), \end{cases}
\]
(9)
where \( a \in [0, \pi^4], b \in C([0, 1], [0, +\infty)) \) and \( c, \gamma > 0 \). It is easy to check that all conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. So, BVP (1) with the nonlinear term (9) has at least a positive solution.

**Theorem 4.** Assume that \((H_1), (H_2'), \text{ and } (H_3)\) hold. In addition, \( f(t, u)u \geq 0 \) for all \( u \in \mathbb{R}^1 \). Then, BVP (1) has at least a positive solution and a negative solution in \( C^4[0, 1] \).

**Remark 5.** In [7], using the mountain pass lemma, Li et al. obtained that BVP (1) has at least one nonzero solution in \( C^4[0, 1] \) under the assumptions that \((H_1), (H_2'), \text{ and } (H_3)\) hold [7, Theorem 3.3]. By adding a condition \( f(t, u)u \geq 0 \), we get two solutions, one positive and the other negative. So, Theorem 4 can be seen as a complement of [7, Theorem 3.3].

**Example 6.** Let
\[
f(t, u) = a \arctan u + b(t) u |e^u - 1| + c|u|^\gamma u
\]
for \( (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^1 \),
where \(a \in [0, \pi^4), b \in C([0,1], [0, +\infty)) \) and \(c, \gamma > 0\). It is easy to verify that all conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied. So, BVP (I) with the nonlinear term (10) has at least two solutions, one positive and the other negative. Reference [7, Theorem 3.3] can only guarantee a nonzero solution for this example.

**Theorem 7.** Assume that \((H_1)-(H_5)\) hold. Then, BVP (I) has at least a positive solution, a negative solution, and a sign-changing solution in \(C^4[0,1]\).

**Remark 8.** Theorem 7 can be regarded as an improvement of [8, Corollary 18] though we add a growth condition \((H_5)\). Firstly, the nonlinear term \(f\) here only needs to be continuous while \(f\) is locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to \(u\) and strictly increasing in \([0,1]\). Secondly, as is known, when the method of the invariant set of decreasing flow is applied to differential equations, the main difficulty is that the cone has an empty interior in the function space we work in, such as the positive cone in \(L^2[0,1]\). Generally, one needs the \(E\)-regular operator or the bootstrap argument [8]. In our proof of this theorem, from the idea of [18, 19], we construct open sets in \([8]\) directly instead of introducing \(C_0[0,1] \rightarrow L^2[0,1]\) as in [8].

**Example 9.** Let

\[
f(t,u) = au + b(t) \arctan u + cu^4
\]

for \((t,u) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^1,
\]

where \(a \in [0, \pi^4], b \in C[0,1] \) and \(c > 0\). It is easy to verify that all conditions of Theorem 7 are satisfied. So, Theorem 7 ensures that BVP (I) with the nonlinear term (11) has at least a positive solution, a negative solution, and a sign-changing solution. Since neither \(f(t,u)\) nor \(f(t,u) + mu\) is strictly increasing, Corollary 18 in [8] cannot be applied to this example.

**Theorem 10.** Assume that \((H_1)-(H_5), \ (H_6), \) and \((H_6)\) hold. Then, BVP (I) has infinitely many sign-changing solutions in \(C^4[0,1]\).

**Remark 11.** Using a symmetric mountain pass lemma [20, Theorem 9.12] due to Rabinowitz, Li et al. obtained an infinitely many solutions for BVP (I) [7, Theorem 3.4]. In [11], we obtained a similar conclusion [11, Theorem 1.3] after removing condition of [7, Theorem 3.4] and strengthening the differentiability of \(f\). Yang and Zhang [13, 15] established some infinitely many mountain pass solutions theorems for the fourth-order boundary value problems with parameters by the mountain pass theorem in order interval, in which they supposed that \(f\) is strictly increasing in \(u\), and the problem has infinitely many pairs of sub- and supersolutions, such as the following [15, condition \((H_3)\)].

There exist sequences \(\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_i\} \subset C_0[0,1]\) satisfying

\[
0 < \alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \cdots < \alpha_i < \beta_i < \alpha_{i+1} < \beta_{i+1} < \cdots
\]

and \(\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\} (i = 1,2,\ldots)\) is a pair of strict subsolution and supersolution of BVP. . .

This condition seems somewhat strong. Actually, it is not easy to impose conditions on the nonlinear term \(f\) to guarantee that [15, condition \((H_3)\)] holds. Besides, in [7, 11, 13, 15], though the authors have obtained the existence of infinitely many solutions, they have not given the signs of them. In fact, to our knowledge, none of the infinitely-many-sign-changing-solution theorem for BVP (I) has been found in the literatures so far. In contrast to [7, Theorem 3.4] and [11, Theorem 1.3], by adding a growth condition \((H_5)\), Theorem 10 gets more information for those infinite solutions; that is, they all change their signs in the interval \([0,1]\). Compared with the theorems in [13, 15], our conditions are more natural and easier to verify.

**Example 12.** Let

\[
f(t,u) = a \tan u + b(t) \arctan u \ln (1 + u^2) + c |u|^{\gamma}u
\]

for \((t,u) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^1,
\]

where \(a \in [0, \pi^4], b(t) \in C[0,1] \) and \(c, \gamma > 0\). It is easy to verify that all conditions of Theorem 10 are satisfied. So, Theorem 10 ensures that BVP (I) with the nonlinear term (13) has infinitely many sign-changing solutions. Theorem 3.4 in [7] and Theorem 1.3 in [11] can also guarantee that the problem has infinitely many solutions but cannot get their signs.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some facts on the method of the invariant set of descending flow and prove two useful abstract theorems. The main results are proved in Section 3.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we firstly outline some basic concepts on the method of the invariant set of descending flow. Secondly, four theorems which will be used in the proofs of our main results are listed. Among them, two are our new results, and the other two are due to [19]. Please refer to [21, 22] for more details about the method of the invariant set of descending flow.

Let \(X\) be a real Banach space, \(J\) a \(C^1\) functional defined on \(X\), \(J'(u)\) the gradient operator of \(J\) at \(u \in X\), and \(W\) a pseudogradient vector field for \(J\). Let

\[
Cr(J) = \{u \in X : J'(u) = \emptyset\}, \quad X_0 = X \setminus Cr(J).
\]

For \(u_0 \in X_0\), consider the following initial problem in \(X_0:\)

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \varphi(t) = -W(\varphi(t)), \quad t \geq 0,
\]

\[
\varphi(0) = u_0.
\]

By the theory of ordinary differential equations in Banach space, \((15)\) has a unique solution in \(X_0\), denoted by \(\varphi(t,u_0)\), with the right maximal interval of existence \([0, \eta(u_0))\). Note that \(\eta(u_0)\) may be either a positive number or +\(\infty\). It is easy to see that \(J(\varphi(t,u_0))\) is monotonically decreasing on \([0, \eta(u_0))\), so \(\varphi(t,u_0)\) is called a descending flow curve.
Definition 13 (see [21]). A nonempty subset $M$ of $E$ is called an invariant set of descending flow of $J$ if
\[
\{ \varphi \left( t, u_0 \right) : 0 \leq t < \eta \left( u_0 \right) \} \subset M
\]
for all $u_0 \in M \setminus \text{Cr} (J)$.

Definition 14 (see [21]). Let $M$ and $D$ be invariant sets of descending flow of $J, D \subset M$. Denote
\[
C_M \left( D \right) = \left\{ u_0 : u_0 \notin D \text{ or } u_0 \in M \setminus D \right\} \text{ and there exists } 0 < t' < \eta (u_0)
\]
such that $\varphi \left( t', u_0 \right) \in D \right\}.
\]
If $D = C_M (D)$, then $D$ is called a complete invariant set of descending flow relative to $M$.

For a subset $M$ of $X$, $J$ is called satisfying PS condition on $M$ if any sequence $\{ u_n \}$ in $M$ such that $J (u_n)$ is bounded and $J' (u_n) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ possesses a convergent subsequence.

Following this, we use $\partial B$, and $\text{int}_B$ and $\text{cl}_B$ denote the boundary, the interior, and the closure of the set $B$ in set $A$, respectively.

Theorem 15 (see [21]). Assume that $M$ is closed and connected and is an invariant set of descending flow for $J$, and $D$ is an open subset of $M$ and an invariant set of descending flow for $J$ as well. If $C_M (D) \neq M$, $\inf_{u \in \partial \text{int}_D} J(u) > -\infty$, and $J$ satisfies PS condition on $M \setminus D$, then
\[
\inf_{u \in \partial \text{int}_D} J(u) > \inf_{u \in \partial \text{int}_D} J(u) > -\infty,
\]
\[
\inf_{u \in \partial \text{int}_D} J(u) \text{ is a critical value of } J, \text{ and there exists at least one point on } \partial \text{int}_D (D) \text{ corresponding to this value.}
\]

Next, we list four theorems, of which two are our new results, and two are due to [19].

Assume that $H$ is a real Hilbert space, $P$ is a positive cone in $H$, and the partial order on $H$ is given by $P$. $J$ is a $C^1$ functional on $H$, and $J'(u)$ can be expressed in the form of $J'(u) = u - Au$.

Theorem 16. Suppose that $J$ satisfies PS condition on $P$ and $A : P \to P$. $D$ is an open convex subset of $P$ and $A(\partial D) \subset D$. If there exists $u_0 \in P \setminus D$ such that $\inf_{u \in \partial D} J(u) > J(u_0)$, then $J$ has at least a positive critical point.

Proof. According to [21, Lemma 2.5], since $A : P \to P$ and $A(\partial D) \subset D$, one can construct a pseudogradients vector field $W$ for $J$ such that $P$ and $D$ are invariant sets of descending flow for $J$ determined by $W$. We only need to show $C_D (\partial D) \neq P$. In fact, $u_0 \notin C_D (\partial D)$, then there exists $0 < t < \eta (u_0)$ such that $\varphi \left( t, u_0 \right) \in D$. Then, we can find $0 < t < t'$ with $\varphi \left( t, u_0 \right) \in \partial D$. Thus, $J(u_0) = J(\varphi (t, u_0))$, which contradicts the fact that $J(u_0) < \inf_{u \in \partial D} J(u)$. Theorem 15 implies the conclusion. The proof is completed.

Remark 17. In contrast to the cone expansion and compression theorems, the operator $A$ needs not to be completely continuous, and $D$ needs not to be bounded as well. But $A$ is a gradient operator, and $J$ satisfies PS condition. These facts indicate that both methods have their own characteristics.

By symmetry, we can easily obtain the following theorem, and we omit its proof.

Theorem 18. In addition to all the conditions of Theorem 16, suppose that $J$ satisfies PS condition on $-P$, and $A : -P \to -P$, $D_1$ is an open convex subset of $-P$ and $A(\partial D_1) \subset D_1$. If there exists $u_1 \in -P \setminus D_1$ such that $\inf_{u \in \partial D} J(u) > J(u_1)$, then $J$ has at least two critical points, one is positive, and the other is negative.

The following two theorems are due to [19]. For ease of use in Section 3, here we write their special cases. See [19] for more general results.

Let $\partial_x^+ \partial_x^-$ be two closed convex subsets of $H$. We need the following assumptions:

- $(A_1)$ $\emptyset = \text{int}_{H^n} \partial_x^+ \cap \text{int}_{H^n} \partial_x^- \neq \emptyset$,
- $(A_2)$ $A(\partial_x^+) \subset \text{int}_{H^n} \partial_x^+$,
- $(A_3)$ there exists a path $h : [0, 1] \to H$ such that $h(0) \in (\text{int}_{H^n} \partial_x^+) \setminus \partial_x^+$, $h(1) \in (\text{int}_{H^n} \partial_x^+) \setminus \partial_x^-$ and $\max J(h(s)) < \alpha_0 = \inf_{u \in \partial_x^+ \cap \partial_x^-} J(u)$,
- $(A_4)$ there exist a number $\alpha_1$ a sequence $\{ H_n \}$ of subspaces of $H$, and a sequence $\{ n \}$ of positive numbers satisfying $\dim H_n \geq n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sup_{u \in H_n} J(u) \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_0$,

where $B_n = \{ u \in H_n : \| u \| \leq R_n \}$.

Remark 19. According to [21, Lemma 2.5], we deduce from $(A_1)$ and $(A_2)$ that $\partial_x$ and $\partial_x^\pm$ are the invariant sets of decreasing flow.

Theorem 20. Assume that $(A_1)$–$(A_3)$ hold, and $J$ satisfies PS condition on $H$. Then, $J$ has a critical point in each of the four mutually disjoint sets: $\partial_{H^n} C_H (\emptyset) \setminus (\partial_x^+ \cup \partial_x^-)$, $\partial_{H^n} C_H (\emptyset) \cap \text{int}_{H^n} \partial_x^+$, $\partial_{H^n} C_H (\emptyset) \cap \text{int}_{H^n} \partial_x^-$, and $\emptyset$.

Theorem 21. Assume that $(A_1)$, $(A_2)$, and $(A_3)$ hold, and $J$ is an even functional and satisfies PS condition on $H$. Then, $J$ has a sequence of solutions $\{ u_n \}$ in $M = \partial_{H^n} C_H (\emptyset) \setminus (C_H (\text{int}_{H^n} \partial_x^+) \cup C_H (\text{int}_{H^n} \partial_x^-))$ such that $J(u_n) \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$.

3. Proof of the Main Results

In this section, we will employ the abstract theorems in Section 2 to prove Theorems 1–10.

Let $E = C[0, 1]$ denote the usual real Banach space with the norm $\| u \|_E = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} | u(t) |$ for all $u \in C[0, 1]$. By $H =$
\[ L^2[0,1] \text{ we denote the usual real Hilbert space with the norm } \|u\| = \left(\int_0^1 |u(t)|^2 \, dt\right)^{1/2} \text{ for } u \in H. \]

Let
\[ P = \{ u \in H : u(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0,1] \}, \]

and then \( P \) is a cone in \( H \) and has an empty interior in \( H \).

Define a functional \( J : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) by
\[ J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \int_0^1 F(t, Ku(t)) \, dt, \quad u \in H, \]

where \( F(t, u) = \int_0^u \int_0^s G(t, v) \, dv \, ds \) and
\[ G(t, s) = \begin{cases} s(1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t(1-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases} \]

Then, it is easy to see that \( J \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R}) \) with derivatives given by
\[ J'(u) = u - KfKu \cong u - Au, \quad \forall u \in H, \]

where \((fu)(t) = f(t, u(t))\) is a Nemytskii operator.

**Remark 22.** The following results are known. See [7] for details.

(i) \( G : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1] \) is nonnegative continuous and 
\[ \max_{(t,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]} G(t, s) = 1/4. \]

(ii) \( f : E \rightarrow E \) is bounded and continuous.

(iii) \( K \) is linear completely continuous as an operator both from \( E \rightarrow E \) and \( H \rightarrow H \). Moreover, \( \|K\|_{L(E,H)} = 1/\pi^2 \), where \( \mathscr{L}(H,H) \) denotes the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from \( H \) to \( H \).

(iv) \( K^2 = K \circ K : H \rightarrow H \) is linear, compact, and symmetric, and the norm \( \|K^2\|_{L(E,H)} = 1/\pi^2 \). We omit the subscript \( L(H,H) \) in the subscript.

(v) \( A = KfK : H \rightarrow H \) is a completely continuous operator.

(vi) According to [7, Lemma 3.1], BVP (1) has a nontrivial solution in \( C^2[0,1] \) if and only if the functional \( J \) has a nontrivial critical point in \( H \) (i.e., \( A \) has a nontrivial fixed point in \( H \)). More precisely, if \( u \in C^4[0,1] \) is a solution of (1), then \( v = Ku \) is a critical point of \( J \); on the other hand, if \( v \in H \) is a critical point of \( J \), then \( K v \) is a solution of (1) in \( C^2[0,1] \). Moreover, since \( K \) is a positive operator, \( K \) has the same sign as \( v \); that is, if \( v \in H \) is a positive/negative/sign-changing critical point of \( J \), then \( K v \) is a positive/negative/sign-changing solution of (1) in \( C^4[0,1] \), respectively.

Before proving main results, we first give several lemmas.

**Lemma 23.** Let \( \nu = 1/\mu \).

(i) Assume that \( (H_1) \) and \( (H_2) \) hold. Then, \( J \) satisfies PS condition on \( P \), and there exist three positive numbers \( C_1, C_2, \) and \( C_3 \) such that
\[ F(t, u) \leq \mu f(t, u) + C_1 \quad \forall (t, u) \in [0,1] \times [0, +\infty), \]

(ii) Assume that \( (H_1) \) and \( (H_2) \) hold. Then, \( J \) satisfies PS condition on \( H \), and there exist three positive numbers \( C_1, C_2, \) and \( C_3 \) such that
\[ F(t, u) \leq \mu f(t, u) + C_1 \quad \forall (t, u) \in [0,1] \times [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \]

\[ F(t, u) \geq C_2|u|^\gamma - C_3 \quad \forall (t, u) \in [0,1] \times [0, +\infty). \]

**Proof.** Since the proof of (i) is analogous to that of (ii), we only need to prove (ii).

\( J \) is the special case of \( J_1 \) defined in (53) as \( m = 0 \) and \( K_1 = K \). See the proof of Lemma 24 for the fact that \( J \) satisfies PS condition.

Since \( \forall f(t, u) - uf(t, u) \) is continuous on \([0,1] \times [-M, M] \), there exists \( C_1 > 0 \) such that

\[ F(t, u) \leq \mu f(t, u) + C_1 \quad \forall t \in [0,1], u \in [-M, M]. \]

So, by \( (H_3) \), we obtain

\[ F(t, u) \leq \mu f(t, u) + C_1 \quad \forall t \in [0,1], u \in \mathbb{R}^1. \]

According to \( (H_3) \), for all \( t \in [0,1] \) and \( u \geq M \), we have

\[ \left( \frac{F(t, u)}{u^\gamma} \right)' = \frac{uf(t, u) - \nu f(t, u)}{u^{\gamma+1}} \geq 0. \]

Hence,

\[ \frac{F(t, u)}{u^\gamma} \geq \frac{F(M, u)}{M^{\gamma}} \geq M^{-\gamma} \min_{t \in [0,1]} F(t, M) = C' > 0 \]

for all \( t \in [0,1] \) and \( u \geq M \). This implies that \( F(t, u) \geq C' |u|^\gamma \) for all \( t \in [0,1] \) and \( u \geq M \). Similarly, we can prove that there is a constant \( C'' > 0 \) such that \( F(t, u) \geq C'' |u|^\gamma \) for all \( t \in [0,1] \) and \( u \leq -M \). Since \( F(t, u) - C_2 |u|^\gamma \) is continuous on \([0,1] \times [-M, M] \), there exists \( C_3 > 0 \) such that \( F(t, u) - C_2 |u|^\gamma > -C_3 \) on \([0,1] \times [-M, M] \). Thus, we have

\[ F(t, u) \geq C_2|u|^\gamma - C_3 \quad \forall (t, u) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \]

where \( C_2 = \min(C', C'') \).

**Proof of Theorem 1.** From \( (H_1') \), we have \( A : P \rightarrow P \).

By \( (H_2') \), there exists a sufficiently small number \( r \) such that

\[ f(t, u) \leq \pi u, \quad \forall (t, u) \in [0,1] \times [0, r], \]

\[ f(t, u) \leq \pi u, \quad \forall (t, u) \in [0,1] \times (0, r). \]

Define \( D = \{ u \in P : \|u\| < r \} \) as an open convex subset of \( P \) then

\[ \partial_P D = \{ u \in P : \|u\| = r \}. \]
For given \( u \in H \), it follows from (i) of Remark 22 that
\[
[Ku(t)] = \left\| \int_0^1 G(t, s) u(s) \, ds \right\|
\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 |u(s)| \, ds
\leq \frac{1}{4} \left( \int_0^1 |u(s)|^2 \, ds \right)^{1/2}
\leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|, \quad t \in [0, 1].
\]
This implies that
\[
\|Ku\|_C \leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|, \quad u \in H.
\] (39)

Thus,
\[
\|Ku\|_C \leq \frac{1}{4} \|u\| = \frac{1}{4} R, \quad \forall u \in \partial_p D.
\] (40)

Thereafter, for \( u \in \partial_p D \), we have from (35) that
\[
(Au)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(t, (Ku)(s)) \, ds
\leq \int_0^1 G(t, s) \pi^4 (Ku)(s) \, ds
= \pi^4 (K^2 u)(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].
\] (41)

Then, by (41), (36), and (iii) of Remark 22, we have
\[
\|Au\| < \pi^4 \|K^2 u\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \forall u \in \partial_p D,
\] (42)

namely, \( A(\partial_p D) \subset D \).

Since \( E \hookrightarrow H \), \( f : E \to E \) is a bounded continuous operator and \( K \) is bounded linear operator, there exists \( M' > 0 \) such that
\[
\|fKu\| \leq M' \|u\| = M' R, \quad \forall u \in \partial_p D.
\] (43)

Thus, by (26), Hölder’s inequality, and (43), we have
\[
J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \int_0^1 F(t, (Ku)(t)) \, dt
\geq \frac{1}{2} r^2 - \mu \int_0^1 f(t, (Ku)(t)) (Ku)(t) \, dt - C_1
\geq \frac{1}{2} r^2 - \mu \|f(Ku)\| \|Ku\| - C_1
\geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\mu M'}{\pi^2} \right) r^2 - C_1
= \text{constant}, \quad \forall u \in \partial_p D.
\]

Choose \( R > 0 \). Let \( u_R(t) = R \sin \pi t, \quad t \in [0, 1] \). Obviously, \( u_R \in P \). Since \( \nu > 2 \), \( L^1[0, 1] \hookrightarrow H \), that is, there exists \( C_4 > 0 \) such that
\[
\|u\| \leq C_4 \|u\|_{L^1[0, 1]}.
\] (45)

Consequently, we have from (27) that
\[
J(u_R) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_R\|^2 - \int_0^1 F(t, (Ku_R)(t)) \, dt
\leq \frac{1}{2} r^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 \left[ C_2 |(Ku_R)(t)| \right]^\nu - C_3 \, dt
\leq \frac{1}{2} r^2 - C_2 \|Ku_R\|^\nu + C_3
\leq \frac{1}{2} r^2 - C_4 \nu \pi^{-2\nu} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^\nu R^\nu + C_2.
\]

Since \( \nu > 2 \), we have
\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} J(u_R) = -\infty.
\] (47)

Combining (47) and (44), we obtain that there exists \( u_1 \in P \setminus D \) such that
\[
\inf_{u \in \partial_p \cup D} J(u) > J(u).
\] (48)

Now, all the conditions of Theorem 16 are satisfied. Therefore, Theorem 16 ensures that BVP (1) has at least a positive solution. The proof is completed.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** By the symmetry of \( P \) and \( -P \), it is easy to verify that all the conditions of Theorem 18 are satisfied. There is a solution and a negative solution. This completes the proof. □

In order to prove Theorem 7 and Theorem 10, we need to construct convex subset \( \mathcal{D} \) of \( H \) and an operator \( A \) satisfying the assumptions \( (A_1) \) and \( (A_2) \). We begin by transforming BVP (1) into the following equivalent boundary value problem:
\[
u^{(4)} + mu = f_1(t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1],
\]
\[
u(0) = u(1) = u''(0) = u''(1) = 0,
\]
where \( m > 0 \) and \( f_1(t, u) = f(t, u) + mu \) for all \( (t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^1 \). Let \( G_1(t, s) \) be Green’s function for the linear boundary value problem
\[
-u'' + mu = 0, \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0,
\]
which is explicitly given by
\[
G_1(t, s) = \begin{cases} (\omega \sin \omega)^{-1} \cdot \sin \omega \cdot \sin \omega (1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ (\omega \sin \omega)^{-1} \cdot \sin \omega t \cdot \sin \omega (1-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases}
\] (51)
where \( \omega = \sqrt{m} \), \( \sinh x = (e^x - e^{-x})/2 \) is the hyperbolic sine function. It is easy to verify that \( G_1(t, s) > 0 \) for all \( t, s \in [0, 1] \). Define operators \( K_1, f_1 : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1] \), respectively, by

\[
K_1 u(t) = \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) u(s) \, ds, \quad t \in [0, 1],
\]

\[
\forall u \in C[0, 1], \quad K_1^2 = K_1 \circ K_1,
\]

\[
f_1 u(t) = f_1(t, u(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad \forall u \in C[0, 1].
\]

Obviously, \( K_1 \) and \( f_1 \) have the same properties of \( K \) and \( f \) as in Remark 22, respectively. Besides, \( \|K_1\| = 1/(\pi^2 + m) \) and \( \|K_1^2\| = 1/(\pi^2 + m) \).

Define a functional \( J_1 : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 \) by

\[
J_1(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \int_0^1 F_1(t, K_1 u(t)) \, dt, \quad u \in H,
\]

where \( F_1(t, u) = \int_0^u f_1(t, v) \, dv \). Then, it is easy to see that \( J_1 \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R}^1) \) with derivatives given by \( J_1'(u) = u - K_1 f_1 K_1 u \) for all \( u \in H \). Set

\[
A_1 = K_1 f_1 K_1.
\]

Then, according to [7, Lemma 3.1], BVP (49) (i.e., BVP (1)) has a nontrivial solution in \( C^1[0, 1] \) if and only if the functional \( J_1 \) has a nontrivial critical point in \( H \) (i.e., \( A_1 \) has a nontrivial fixed point in \( H \)). Similarly, since \( K_1 \) is a positive operator, if \( v \in H \) is a positive/negative/sign-changing critical point of \( J_1 \), then \( K_1 v \) is a positive/negative/sign-changing solution of BVP (1) in \( C[0, 1] \), respectively.

**Lemma 24.** Assume that (\( H_1 \)) and (\( H_2 \)) hold. Then, the functional \( J_1 \) satisfies PS condition on \( H \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \{u_n\} \subset H \), and there exists \( M_1 > 0 \) such that \( \|J_1(u_n)\| \leq M_1 \) and

\[
J_1'(u_n) = u_n - A_1 u_n \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.
\]

Notice that

\[
J_1'(u_n), u_n = (u_n - K_1 f_1 K_1 u_n, u_n)
\]

\[
= \|u_n\|^2 - \int_0^1 f_1(t, K_1 u_n(t)) K_1 u_n(t) \, dt
\]

and \( \|K_1\|^2 = 1/(\pi^2 + m)^2 \). It follows from (28) and the definition of \( J_1 \) that

\[
M_1 \geq \int_0^1 f_1(t, K_1 u_n(t)) K_1 u_n(t) \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|^2 - \int_0^1 (F(t, K_1 u_n(t)) + m/2(K_1 u_n(t))^2) \, dt
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|^2 - \mu \int_0^1 f_1(t, K_1 u_n(t)) K_1 u_n(t) \, dt
\]

\[
- m/2 \int_0^1 (K_1 u_n(t))^2 \, dt - C_1
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|^2 - \mu \int_0^1 f_1(t, K_1 u_n(t)) K_1 u_n(t) \, dt
\]

\[
- \left( \frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) m \|K_1 u_n\|^2 - C_1
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|^2 - \mu \int_0^1 f_1(t, K_1 u_n(t)) K_1 u_n(t) \, dt
\]

\[
- \left( \frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) m \|K_1 u_n\|^2 - C_1
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) \|u_n\|^2 - \mu \|J_1'(u_n)\| - C_1, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Since \( J_1'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \) as \( n \rightarrow \infty \), there exists \( N_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
M_1 \geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) \left( 1 - \frac{m}{(\pi^2 + m)^2} \right) \|u_n\|^2
\]

\[
- \mu \|J_1'(u_n)\| - C_1, \quad n > N_0.
\]

This implies that \( \{u_n\} \subset H \) is bounded. Since \( A_1 : H \rightarrow H \) is completely continuous, we have from (55) that \( \{u_n\} \) has a convergent subsequence in \( H \). Thus, \( J_1 \) satisfies PS condition on \( H \). \( \blacksquare \)

**Lemma 25.** Assume that (\( H_1 \))–(\( H_3 \)) hold. Then, there exist \( m > 0 \) and \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) such that

\[
A_1(\mathbb{D}^b_\epsilon) \subset \text{int}(\mathbb{D}^b_\epsilon) \quad \text{for } \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0),
\]

where \( A_1 \) is as defined in (54) and

\[
\mathbb{D}^b_\epsilon = \{u \in H : \text{dist}(u, \pm P) \leq \epsilon \}.
\]

**Proof.** As a consequence of (\( H_1 \))–(\( H_3 \)) and (\( H_4 \)), there exists \( m > 0 \) such that

\[
uf(t, u) + mu^2 > 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^1 \text{ with } u \neq 0.
\]
By (H2) and (H3), there exist \( \delta > 0 \) and \( c_1 > 0 \) such that
\[
|f(t,u) + mu| \leq (\pi^4 + m - \delta) |u| + c_1 |u|^{q-1} \tag{62}
\]
\( \forall (t,u) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^1 \).

Next, we show that there exists \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) such that
\[
\text{dist}(A_1,u,P) < \text{dist}(u,P) \quad \text{as } 0 < \text{dist}(u,P) \leq \epsilon_0, \tag{63}
\]
\[
\text{dist}(A_1u,-P) < \text{dist}(u,-P) \quad \text{as } 0 < \text{dist}(u,-P) \leq \epsilon_0. \tag{64}
\]
For any \( u \in H \), define its positive part and negative part as follows:
\[
u^+(t) = \max \{u(t),0\}, \quad \nu^-(t) = \min \{u(t),0\}. \tag{65}
\]
Obviously, \( u = \nu^+ + \nu^- \) for all \( u \in H \). From (61), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
f_1(t,u) &= f(t,u) + mu > 0 \quad \forall u > 0, \quad (f)\tag{66} \\
f_1(t,u) &= f(t,u) + mu < 0 \quad \forall u < 0.
\end{align*}
\]
This implies that
\[
(f_1(t,u))^- = (f(t,u) + mu)^- = f(t,u^-) + mu^- \tag{67}
\]
for all \( u \in H \). Since \( K_1 \) is a positive operator, \( (K_1u)^- = K_1u^- \).
So, we have from (67) that
\[
(A_1u)^- = (K_1f_1K_1u)^- = K_1(f_1K_1u)^- = K_1f_1(K_1u)^- = K_1f_1K_1u^- \tag{68}
\]
for all \( u \in H \). For any \( u \in H \), it follows that
\[
|K_1u^-(t)| = \int_0^1 G_1(t,s) u^-(s) \, ds \leq \max_{(t,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]} G_1(t,s) \int_0^1 |u^-(s)| \, ds \tag{69}
\]
\[
\leq \max_{(t,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]} G_1(t,s) \left( \int_0^1 |u^-(s)|^2 \, ds \right)^{1/2}
\leq \max_{(t,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]} G_1(t,s) \|u^-\|, \quad t \in [0,1].
\]
Thereafter, for \( t \in [0,1] \), we have
\[
K_1 \left| [K_1u^-(t)]^{q-1} \right| \leq K_1 \left[ \max_{(t,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]} G_1(t,s) \|u^-\| \right]^{q-1} = c_2 \|u^-\|^{q-1}, \tag{70}
\]
where \( c_2 = (\max_{(t,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,s) \, ds)^q > 0. \)

Consider \( u \in H \) and set \( v = A_1u \). Then, by (68), (62), and (70), we obtain
\[
\|v^-\|^2 = \int_0^1 \| (K_1f_1K_1u) \|^2 \, dt
\]
\[
= \int_0^1 |K_1 (f(t,K_1u^-) + mK_1u^-) |^2 \, dt
\leq \int_0^1 |K_1 \left( \left( \pi^4 + m - \delta \right) |K_1u^-| + c_1 |K_1u^-|^{q-1} \right) |^2 \, dt
\leq \int_0^1 \left[ \left( \pi^4 + m - \delta \right) |K_1^2u^-| + c_1 c_2 \|u^-\|^{q-1} \right] \, dt
\leq \int_0^1 \left[ \left( \pi^4 + m - \delta \right) |K_1^2u^-| + c_1 c_2 \|u\|^{q-1} \right] \, dt.
\]
Therefore, we obtain that
\[
\|v^-\| \leq \| \left( \pi^4 + m - \delta \right) |K_1^2u^-| + c_1 c_2 \|u\|^{q-1} \|
\leq \left( \pi^4 + m - \delta \right) \|K_1^2u^-\| + c_1 c_2 \|u\|^{q-1} \tag{72}
\]
\[
\leq \left( 1 - \delta \left( \pi^4 + m \right)^{-1} \right) \|u^-\| + c_1 c_2 \|u\|^{q-1}.\tag{74}
\]
Since \( v = v^+ + v^- \) and \( v^+ \in P \),
\[
\text{dist}(v,P) \leq \|v - v^-\| = \|v^-\|. \tag{73}
\]
Thus, it follows from (72) that
\[
\text{dist}(v,P) \leq \left( 1 - \delta \left( \pi^4 + m \right)^{-1} \right) \|u^-\| + c_1 c_2 \|u^\|^{q-1}. \tag{74}
\]
For any \( w \in P \), we have
\[
\|u^-\| \leq \|u - w\|. \tag{75}
\]
In fact, let \( I_1 = \{t \in [0,1] : u(t) > 0\} = \{t \in [0,1] : u^-(t) = 0\} \),
\( I_2 = [0,1] \setminus I_1 \). Then, \( u^-(t) = u(t) < 0 \) for all \( t \in I_2 \), So,
\[
u(t) - w(t) \leq u(t) = u^-(t) < 0 \tag{76}
\]
for all \( t \in I_2 \), and then
\[
|u(t) - w(t)| \geq |u^- (t)| \tag{77}
\]
for all \( w \in P \) and \( t \in I_2 \). Thus
\[
\|u - w\|^2 \geq \int_{I_2} |u^-|^2 \, dt \geq \int_{I_2} |u^-|^2 \, dt = \|u^-\|^2. \tag{78}
\]
Combining (74) and (75), we deduce that
\[
\text{dist}(v,P) \leq \left( 1 - \delta \left( \pi^4 + m \right)^{-1} \right) \|u - w\| + c_1 c_2 \|u - w\|^{q-1}. \tag{79}
\]
for all \( w \in P \). Consequently,

\[
\text{dist}(v, P) \leq \left(1 - \delta \left(\pi^4 + m \right)^{-1} \right) \text{dist}(u, P) + c_1c_2(\text{dist}(u, P))^{\gamma-1}
\]

\[
< \text{dist}(u, P) + c_1c_2(\text{dist}(u, P))^{\gamma-1}. \tag{80}
\]

Since \( q > 2 \), there exists \( \varepsilon_0 > 0 \) such that

\[
\text{dist}(v, P) < \text{dist}(u, P) \quad \text{as } 0 < \text{dist}(u, P) \leq \varepsilon_0. \tag{81}
\]

Similarly, we can find \( \varepsilon_0 > 0 \) small enough such that (64) holds. Up to now, these constants \( m > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon_0 > 0 \) are as required. The proof is completed. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 7.** We only need to verify that all the conditions of Theorem 20 hold. From Lemmas 25 and 24, \( J_1 \) satisfies PS condition on \( H \), and it is easy to see that \( A_1 \) and \( \mathcal{D}^+ \) satisfy (A1) and (A2). Next, we show that (A4) holds.

It follows from (29), (45), and a direct computation that

\[
J_1 (h_R (s)) = \frac{1}{2} \| h_R (s) \|^2 - \int_0^1 F_1 \left(t, K_1 h_R (s) \right) dt \\
\leq \frac{1}{4} R^2 - \int_0^1 \left(C_2 |K_1 h_R (s)|^r - C_3 + \frac{1}{2} m(K_1 h_R (s))^2 \right) dt \\
= \frac{1}{4} R^2 - C_2 \| K_1 h_R (s) \|_{L^r[0,1]}^r - \frac{1}{2} m \| K_1 h_R (s) \|^2 + C_3 \\
\leq \frac{1}{4} R^2 - C_2 C_4^{-r} \| K_1 h_R (s) \|^r - \frac{1}{2} m (g(s))^2 R^2 + C_3 \\
= C_3 R^2 - C_4 R^r + C_3, \tag{86}
\]

where

\[
g(s) = \left( \frac{|n^2 + 2 \pi^2 m + \pi^4| \sin^2 \pi \alpha + |n^2 + 8 \pi^2 m + 16 \pi^4| \cos^2 \pi \alpha}{2n^4 + 20 \pi^4 m^3 + 66 \pi^4 m^2 + 80 \pi^4 m + 32 \pi^4} \right)^{1/2},
\]

\[
C_5 = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \min_{s \in [0,1]} (g(s))^2,
\]

\[
C_6 = C_2 C_4^{-r} \min_{s \in [0,1]} (g(s))^r > 0. \tag{87}
\]

Since \( q > 2 \), we have

\[
\lim_{R \to +\infty} \max_{s \in [0,1]} J_1 \left(h_R (s) \right) = -\infty. \tag{88}
\]

Therefore,

\[
\max_{s \in [0,1]} J_1 \left(h_R (s) \right) < 0 = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}_+^P \cap \mathcal{D}_-^P} J_1 (u) \tag{89}
\]

as \( R \) is large enough. Now, all the conditions of Theorem 20 are satisfied, and Theorem 20 ensures that BVP (1) has at least four solutions. According to the construction of \( \mathcal{D}_+^P \) and the locations of these solutions, we can easily see that one is zero, one is positive, one is negative, and one is sign changing. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 10.** From Lemmas 25 and 24, \( J_1 \) satisfies PS condition on \( H \), and it is easy to see that \( A_1 \) and \( \mathcal{D}_+^P \) satisfy (A1) and (A2). From (H6), \( J_1 \) is an even functional. Next, we show that (A3) holds.
Denote that $H_n = \operatorname{span} \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$, where $e_k = \sqrt{2} \sin k\pi t$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. For $u \in H_n$, there exist $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^1$ such that

$$u = a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + \cdots + a_n e_n, \quad r = \|u\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2\right)^{1/2}.$$  \hfill (90)

So, we have from (29) that

$$J_1 (u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \int_0^1 F_1 (t, K_1 u (t)) \, dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} r^2 - \int_0^1 \left( C_2 \|K_1 u\|^\nu - C_3 + \frac{1}{2} m (K_1 u (t) \|^2 \right) \, dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} r^2 - C_3 \|K_1 u\|_{L^2([0,1])}^\nu - \frac{1}{2} m \|K_1 u\|^2 + C_3$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} r^2 - C_3 \|K_1 u\|_{L^2([0,1])}^\nu - \frac{1}{2} m \|K_1 u\|^2 + C_3$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} r^2 - C_3 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \lambda_i \right)^{\nu/2} - \frac{1}{2} m \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \lambda_i \right)^{1/2} + C_3$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} r^2 - C_3 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \lambda_i \right)^{\nu/2} - \frac{1}{2} m \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \lambda_i \right)^{1/2} + C_3$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - m \lambda_n^\nu \right) r^2 - C_3 \lambda_n r^\nu + C_3,$$  \hfill (91)

where $\lambda_i$ denotes the $i$th eigenvalue of $K_1$. Consequently,

$$\lim_{\|u\| \to \infty} J_1 (u) = -\infty, \quad u \in H_n.$$ \hfill (92)

This implies that

$$\sup_{u \in H_n \setminus B_n} J_1 (u) \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_0 = \inf_{u \in \partial H_n \cap \partial \mathcal{M}} J_1 (u),$$  \hfill (93)

where $B_n = \{u \in H_n : \|u\|_{H^1} \leq R_n\}$. Up to now, all the conditions of Theorem 21 are satisfied. So, BVP (1) has infinitely many solutions in

$$\mathcal{M} = \partial H \cap C_{H} (\theta) \setminus \left( C_{H} (\text{int} D^+) \cup C_{H} (\text{int} D^-) \right).$$ \hfill (94)

Obviously, all of them are sign changing. This completes the proof. \hfill \square
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