Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Volume 2013, Article ID 675373, 6 pages
http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/675373

Research Article

Computing Hypercrossed Complex Pairings in Digital Images

Simge Öztunç, Ali Mutlu, and Necdet Bildik

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science and Arts, Celal Bayar University, 45047 Manisa, Turkey

Correspondence should be addressed to Simge Öztunç; simgeoztunc@gmail.com

Received 3 October 2013; Accepted 9 November 2013

Academic Editor: Abdon Atangana

We consider an additive group structure in digital images and introduce the commutator in digital images. Then we calculate the hypercrossed complex pairings which generates a normal subgroup in dimension 2 and in dimension 3 by using 8-*adjacency* and 26-*adjacency*.

1. Introduction

In this paper we denote the set of integers by $\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}^n$ represents the set of lattice points in Euclidean n-dimensional spaces. A finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with an adjacency relation is called a digital image.

Definition 1 (see [1, 2]). Consider the following.

(1) Two points p and q in $\mathbb{Z}$ are 2-adjacent if $|p-q|=1$.

(2) Two points p and q in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ are 8-adjacent if they are distinct and differ by at most 1 in each coordinate.

(3) Two points p and q in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ are 4-adjacent if they are 8-adjacent and differ by exactly one coordinate.

(4) Two points p and q in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ are 26-adjacent if they are distinct and differ by at most 1 in each coordinate.

(5) Two points p and q in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ are 18-adjacent if they are 26-adjacent and differ in at most two coordinates.

(6) Two points p and q in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ are 6-adjacent if they are 18-adjacent and differ by exactly one coordinate.

Definition 2. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a subset of a digital image. A simplicial group $\mathbf{G}$ in digital images consists of a sequence of groups $\mathbf{G}$ and collections of group homomorphisms $d_i : G_n \to G_{n-1}$ and $s_i : G_n \to G_{n+1}$, $0 \le i \le n$, that satisfies the following axioms:

$$\begin{aligned} d_i d_j &= d_{j-1} d_i, \quad i<j, \\ d_i s_j &= s_{j-1} d_i, \quad i<j, \\ d_j s_j &= d_{j+1} s_j = id, \quad i=j \text{ or } i=j+1, \\ d_i s_j &= s_j d_{i-1}, \quad i>j+1, \\ s_i s_j &= s_{j+1} s_i, \quad i \le j. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Definition 3. Given a simplicial group $\mathbf{G}$ with κ-*adjacency*, the Moore complex $(\mathbf{NG}, \partial)$ of $\mathbf{G}$ is the chain complex defined by

$$NG_n = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{Ker} d_i, \tag{2}$$

with $\partial : NG_n \to NG_{n-1}$ induced from d_n by restriction.

The nth homology group of the Moore complex of $\mathbf{G}$ is

$$H_n(\mathbf{NG}, \partial) = \frac{\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} \operatorname{Ker} d_i}{d_{n+1}\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} \operatorname{Ker} d_i\right)}. \tag{3}$$

2. Hypercrossed Complex Pairings in Digital Images

First of all we adapt ideas from Carrasco and Cegarra [3–5] to get the construction in digital images. We define a set $P(n)$

consisting of pairs of elements (α, β) from $S(n)$ with $\alpha \cap \beta = \varnothing$ and $\beta < \alpha$, with respect to lexicographic ordering in $S(n)$ where $\alpha = (i_l, \ldots, i_1)$ and $\beta = (j_m, \ldots, j_1) \in S(n)$.

Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} NG_{n-\#\alpha} \times NG_{n-\#\beta} \arrow[r, "F_{\alpha,\beta}"] \arrow[d, "s_\alpha \times s_\beta"'] & NG_n \arrow[d, "p"] \\ G_n \times G_n \arrow[r, "\mu"] & G_n \end{tikzcd} \tag{4}$$

$$\{F_{\alpha,\beta}: NG_{n-\#\alpha} \times NG_{n-\#\beta} \longrightarrow NG_n : (\alpha, \beta) \in P(n), n \geq 0\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha &= s_{i_l} \cdots s_{i_1} : NG_{n-\#\alpha} \longrightarrow G_n, \\ s_\beta &= s_{j_m} \cdots s_{j_1} : NG_{n-\#\beta} \longrightarrow G_n, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and define $p : G_n \to NG_n$ and $p(x) = p_{n-1} \cdots p_0(x)$ as $p_j(z) = z - s_i d_i z$ and $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$. Since a digital image has the additive group structure, define the commutator as

$$[x, y] = xy - yx. \tag{6}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu : G_n \times G_n \longrightarrow G_n, \\ &F_{\alpha,\beta}(x_\alpha, y_\beta) = p\mu(s_\alpha \times s_\beta)(x_\alpha, y_\beta) \\ &\qquad = p[s_\alpha x_\alpha, s_\beta y_\beta]. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The normal subgroup NG_n of G_n is generated by the elements of the form

$$F_{\alpha,\beta}(x_\alpha, y_\beta), \tag{8}$$

where $x_\alpha \in NG_{n-\#\alpha}$ and $y_\beta \in NG_{n-\#\beta}$.

Theorem 4. *2-dimensional normal subgroup N_2 with 8-adjacency is generated by the elements of the form*

$$[s_0 x_1 - s_1 x_1, s_1 y_1]. \tag{9}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha = (1)$ and $\beta = (0)$ for $n = 2$. For x_1 and $y_1 \in NG_1 = \operatorname{Ker} d_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(0),(1)}(x_1, y_1) &= p_1 p_0 [s_0 x_1, s_1 y_1] \\ &= p_1 \{[s_0 x_1, s_1 y_1] - s_0 d_0 [s_0 x_1, s_1 y_1]\} \\ &= [s_0 x_1 - s_1 x_1, s_1 y_1]. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Thus $F_{(0),(1)}(x_1, y_1) = [s_0 x_1 - s_1 x_1, s_1 y_1]$ and this is the element generating N_2 normal subgroups. □

Proposition 5. *3-dimensional normal subgroup N_3 with 26-adjacency is generated by the elements of the following forms:*

(i) $[s_1 s_0 x_1 - s_0 s_1 x_1, s_2 y_2]$,
(ii) $[s_2 s_0 x_1 - s_2 s_1 x_1, s_1 y_2 - s_2 y_2]$,
(iii) $[s_0 x_2 - s_1 x_2 + s_2 x_2, s_1 s_1 y_1]$,
(iv) $[s_1 x_2 - s_2 x_2, s_2 y_2]$,
(v) $[s_0 x_2, s_2 y_2]$,
(vi) $[s_0 x_2 - s_1 x_2, s_1 y_2] + [s_2 x_2, s_2 y_2]$.

Proof. For $n = 3$ the possible pairings are the following:

(i) $F_{(1,0)(2)}$,
(ii) $F_{(2,0)(1)}$,
(iii) $F_{(0)(2,1)}$,
(iv) $F_{(1)(2)}$,
(v) $F_{(0)(2)}$,
(vi) $F_{(0)(1)}$.

For all $x_1 \in NG_1$ and $y_2 \in NG_2$ the corresponding generators of N_3 are the following with $F_{\alpha,\beta} : NG_1 \times NG_2 \to NG_3$ and for $n = 3$, $p(x) = p_2 p_1 p_0(x)$:

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(1,0)(2)}(x_1, y_2) &= p[s_1 s_0 x_1, s_2 y_2] \\ &= p_2 p_1 p_0 [s_1 s_0 x_1, s_2 y_2] \\ &= [s_1 s_0 x_1 - s_2 s_1 x_1, s_2 y_2], \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(2,0)(1)}(x_1, y_2) &= p[s_2 s_0 x_1, s_1 y_2] \\ &= p_2 p_1 p_0 [s_2 s_0 x_1, s_1 y_2] \\ &= [s_2 s_0 x_1 - s_2 s_1 x_1, s_1 y_2 - s_2 y_2]. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

For all $x_2 \in NG_2$ and $y_1 \in NG_1$ and considering the map $F_{\alpha,\beta} : NG_2 \times NG_1 \to NG_3$, the corresponding generator of N_3 is

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(0)(2,1)}(x_2, y_1) &= p[s_0 x_2, s_2 s_1 y_1] \\ &= p_2 p_1 p_0 [s_0 x_2, s_2 s_1 y_1] \\ &= [s_0 x_2 - s_1 x_2 + s_2 x_2, s_2 s_1 y_1]. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

For all $x_2, y_2 \in NG_2$ and for $F_{\alpha,\beta} : NG_2 \times NG_2 \to NG_3$ the corresponding generators of N_3 are

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(1)(2)}(x_2, y_2) &= p[s_1 x_2, s_2 y_2] \\ &= p_2 p_1 p_0 [s_1 x_2, s_2 y_2] \\ &= [s_1 x_2 - s_2 x_2, s_2 y_2], \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

(v)

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(0)(2)}(x_2, y_2) &= p[s_0 x_2, s_2 y_2] \\ &= p_2 p_1 p_0 [s_0 x_2, s_2 y_2] \\ &= [s_0 x_2, s_2 y_2], \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

(vi)

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(0)(1)}(x_2, y_2) &= p[s_0x_2, s_1y_2] \\ &= p_2p_1p_0[s_0x_2, s_1y_2] \\ &= [s_0x_2 - s_1x_2, s_1y_2] + [s_2x_2, s_2y_2]. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

□

Theorem 6. *Let NG_2 be a 2-dimensional Moore complex of a simplicial group* **G**. *Then $\partial_2(NG_2) = [\operatorname{Ker} d_0, \operatorname{Ker} d_1]$ where ∂_2 is induced from d_2 by restriction.*

Proof. For $n = 2$, assume that $\alpha = (0)$, $\beta = (1)$, and $x_1, y_1 \in NG_1 = \operatorname{Ker} d_0$. Now calculate $d_nF_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Since $F_{(0),(1)}(x_1, y_1) = [s_0x_1 - s_1x_1, s_1y_1]$, from Proposition 5

$$\begin{aligned} d_2F_{(0),(1)}(x_1, y_1) &= \left[d_2s_0x_1 - \underbrace{d_2s_1}_{id}x_1, \underbrace{d_2s_1}_{id}y_1\right] \\ &= [s_0d_1x_1 - x_1, y_1]. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

At first we investigate whether $s_0d_1x_1 - x_1$ is in $\operatorname{Ker} d_0$ or not.

$$\underbrace{d_0s_0}_{id}d_1x_1 - \underbrace{d_0x_1}_{=0} = d_1x_1; \tag{18}$$

therefore $s_0d_1x_1 - x_1 \notin \operatorname{Ker} d_0$.

Secondly we examine whether $s_0d_1x_1 - x_1$ is in $\operatorname{Ker} d_1$ or not.

Since

$$\underbrace{d_1s_0}_{id}d_1x_1 - \underbrace{d_1x_1}_{=0} = d_1x_1 - d_1x_1 = 0, \quad s_0d_1x_1 - x_1 \in \operatorname{Ker} d_1. \tag{19}$$

From the assumption $y_1 \in \operatorname{Ker} d_0$, we get

$$F_{(0),(1)}(x_1, y_1) \in [\operatorname{Ker} d_1, \operatorname{Ker} d_0]. \tag{20}$$

□

Theorem 7. *Let NG_3 be a 3-dimensional Moore complex of a simplicial group* **G** *with 26-adjacency. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3(NG_3) \subseteq\ & [\operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_1, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_1 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_1, \operatorname{Ker} d_0] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1], \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where ∂_3 is induced from d_3 by restriction.

Proof. For $n = 3$ investigate $d_nF_{\alpha,\beta}$ where $x_1 \in NG_1$ and $y_2 \in NG_2 = \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1$.

From Proposition 5 we have $F_{(1,0)(2)}(x_1, y_2) = [s_1s_0x_1 - s_2s_0x_1, s_2y_2]$. Then applying d_3 to $F_{(1,0)(2)}(x_1, y_2)$, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} d_3F_{(1,0)(2)}(x_1, y_2) &= \left[d_3s_1s_0x_1 - \underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}s_0x_1, \underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}y_2\right] \\ &= [s_1d_2s_0x_1 - s_0x_1, y_2] \\ &= [s_1s_0d_1x_1 - s_0x_1, y_2]. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Firstly, examine whether $s_1s_0d_1x_1 - s_0x_1$ is in $\operatorname{Ker} d_0$ or not:

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(s_1s_0d_1x_1 - s_0x_1) &= d_0s_1s_0d_1x_1 - d_0s_0x_1 \\ &= s_0\underbrace{d_0s_0}_{id}d_1x_1 - \underbrace{d_0s_0}_{id}x_1 \\ &= s_0d_1x_1 - x_1. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

So $s_1s_0d_1x_1 - s_0x_1 \notin \operatorname{Ker} d_0$.

Secondly we investigate whether $s_1s_0d_1x_1 - s_0x_1$ is in $\operatorname{Ker} d_1$ or not:

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(s_1s_0d_1x_1 - s_0x_1) &= d_1s_1s_0d_1x_1 - d_1s_0x_1 \\ &= \underbrace{d_1s_1}_{id}s_0d_1x_1 - \underbrace{d_1s_0}_{id}x_1 \\ &= s_0d_1x_1 - x_1. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Therefore $s_1s_0d_1x_1 - s_0x_1 \notin \operatorname{Ker} d_1$.

Finally we check whether $s_1s_0d_1x_1 - s_0x_1$ is in $\operatorname{Ker} d_2$ or not.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(s_1s_0d_1x_1 - s_0x_1) &= \underbrace{d_2s_1}_{id}s_0d_1x_1 - d_2s_0x_1 \\ &= s_0d_1x_1 - s_0d_1x_1 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

therefore $s_1s_0d_1x_1 - s_0x_1 \in \operatorname{Ker} d_2$.

We get

$$F_{(0,1),(2)}(x_1, y_1) \in [\operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1], \tag{26}$$

since $y_2 \in \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1$.

If

$$F_{(2,0)(1)}(x_1, y_2) = [s_2s_0x_1 - s_2s_1x_1, s_1y_2 - s_2y_2], \tag{27}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & d_3F_{(2,0)(1)}(x_1, y_2) \\ &= d_3([s_2s_0x_1 - s_2s_1x_1, s_1y_2 - s_2y_2]) \\ &= \left[\underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}s_0x_1 - \underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}s_1x_1, d_3s_1y_2 - \underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}y_2\right] \\ &= [s_0x_1 - s_1x_1, s_1d_2y_2 - y_2]. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

At first we check whether $s_0x_1 - s_1x_1$ is in $\operatorname{Ker} d_0$, $\operatorname{Ker} d_1$, and $\operatorname{Ker} d_2$ or not.

$$d_0(s_0x_1 - s_1x_1) = \underbrace{d_0s_0}_{id}x_1 - d_0s_1x_1 = x_1 - d_0s_1x_1. \tag{29}$$

Thus $s_0x_1 - s_1x_1 \notin \operatorname{Ker} d_0$.

Next, since

$$d_1(s_0x_1 - s_1x_1) = \underbrace{d_1s_0}_{id}x_1 - \underbrace{d_1s_1}_{id}x_1 = x_1 - x_1 = 0,$$
$$s_0x_1 - s_1x_1 \in \operatorname{Ker} d_1, \tag{30}$$

and, finally,

$$d_2(s_0x_1 - s_1x_1) = d_2s_0x_1 - \underbrace{d_2s_1}_{id}x_1 = s_0d_1x_1 - x_1 \notin \operatorname{Ker} d_2. \tag{31}$$

Now examine whether $s_1d_2y_2 - y_2$ is in $\operatorname{Ker} d_0$, $\operatorname{Ker} d_1$, and $\operatorname{Ker} d_2$ or not:

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(s_1d_2y_2 - y_2) &= d_0s_1d_2y_2 - d_0y_2 \\ &= s_0d_0d_2y_2 - d_0y_2 \\ &= s_0d_1\underbrace{d_0y_2}_{=0} - \underbrace{d_0y_2}_{=0} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Therefore $s_1d_2y_2 - y_2 \in \operatorname{Ker} d_0$. We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &d_1(s_1d_2y_2 - y_2) = \underbrace{d_1s_1}_{id}d_2y_2 - \underbrace{d_1y_2}_{=0} = d_2y_2 \notin \operatorname{Ker} d_1; \\ &d_2(s_1d_2y_2 - y_2) = \underbrace{d_2s_1}_{id}d_2y_2 - d_2y_2 = d_2y_2 - d_2y_2 = 0 \\ &\qquad \Longrightarrow s_1d_2y_2 - y_2 \in \operatorname{Ker} d_2. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

So $F_{(2,0)(1)}(x_1, y_2) = [\operatorname{Ker} d_1, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2]$.

For all $x_2 \in NG_2$ and $y_1 \in NG_1$ if

$$F_{(0)(2,1)}(x_2, y_1) = [s_0x_2 - s_1x_2 + s_2x_2,\ s_1s_1y_1], \tag{34}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} &d_3F_{(0)(2,1)}(x_2, y_1) \\ &\quad = d_3([s_0x_2 - s_1x_2 + s_2x_2, s_2s_1y_1]) \\ &\quad = \left[d_3s_0x_2 - d_3s_1x_2 + \underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}x_2, \underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}s_1y_1\right] \\ &\quad = [s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2, s_1y_1]. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Firstly investigate whether $s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2$ is in $\operatorname{Ker} d_0$, $\operatorname{Ker} d_1$, and $\operatorname{Ker} d_2$ or not:

$$\begin{aligned} &d_0(s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2) \\ &\quad = \underbrace{d_0s_0}_{id}d_2x_2 - d_0s_1d_2x_2 + \underbrace{d_0x_2}_{=0} \\ &\quad = d_2x_2 - s_0d_1\underbrace{d_0x_2}_{=0} = d_2x_2. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Thereby $s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2 \notin \operatorname{Ker} d_0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &d_1(s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2) \\ &\quad = \underbrace{d_1s_0}_{id}d_2x_2 - \underbrace{d_1s_1}_{id}d_2x_2 + \underbrace{d_1x_2}_{=0} \\ &\quad = d_2x_2 - d_2x_2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

For this reason $s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2 \in \operatorname{Ker} d_1$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} &d_2(s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2) \\ &\quad = d_2s_0d_2x_2 - \underbrace{d_2s_1}_{id}d_2x_2 + d_2x_2 \\ &\quad = s_0d_1d_2x_2 - d_2x_2 + d_2x_2 \\ &\quad = s_0d_1\underbrace{d_1x_2}_{=0} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Hence $s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2 \in \operatorname{Ker} d_2$.

Later on we research whether $s_2s_1y_1$ is in $\operatorname{Ker} d_0$, $\operatorname{Ker} d_1$, and $\operatorname{Ker} d_2$ or not.

Since $d_0(s_1y_1) = s_0\underbrace{d_0y_1}_{=0} = 0$, $s_1y_1 \in \operatorname{Ker} d_0$.

Since $d_1(s_1y_1) = \underbrace{d_1s_1}_{id}y_1 = y_1$, $s_1y_1 \notin \operatorname{Ker} d_1$.

Since $d_2(s_1y_1) = \underbrace{d_2s_1}_{id}y_1 = y_1$, $s_1y_1 \notin \operatorname{Ker} d_2$.

Thus $d_3F_{(0)(2,1)}(x_2, y_1) \in [\operatorname{Ker} d_1 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0]$.

For all $x_2, y_2 \in NG_2$ since $F_{(0)(2)}(x_2, y_2) = [s_0x_2, s_2y_2]$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_3F_{(0)(2)}(x_2, y_2) &= d_3([s_0x_2, s_2y_2]) \\ &= \left[d_3s_0x_2, \underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}y_2\right] \\ &= [s_0d_2x_2, y_2]. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

By using properties of the commutator we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2, y_2] \\ &\quad = [s_0d_2x_2 + (x_2 - s_1d_2x_2), y_2] \\ &\quad = [s_0d_2x_2, y_2] + [x_2 - s_1d_2x_2, y_2], \\ &[s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2, y_2] + [y_2, x_2 - s_1d_2x_2] \\ &\quad = [s_0d_2x_2, y_2] \\ &\quad = d_3F_{(0)(2)}(x_2, y_2). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &d_3F_{(0)(2)}(x_2, y_2) \\ &\quad \in [\operatorname{Ker} d_1 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_1 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_0] \\ &\qquad + [\operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2]. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

If $F_{(1)(2)}(x_2, y_2) = [s_1x_2 - s_2x_2, s_2y_2]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_3F_{(1)(2)}(x_2, y_2) &= d_3([s_1x_2 - s_2x_2, s_2y_2]) \\ &= \left[d_3s_1x_2 - \underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}x_2, \underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}y_2\right] \\ &= [s_1d_2x_2 - x_2, y_2]. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Firstly we check whether $s_1d_2x_2 - x_2$ is in $\operatorname{Ker} d_0$, $\operatorname{Ker} d_1$, and $\operatorname{Ker} d_2$ or not:

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(s_1d_2x_2 - x_2) &= d_0s_1d_2x_2 - \underbrace{d_0x_2}_{=0} \\ &= s_0d_0d_2x_2 \\ &= s_0d_1\underbrace{d_0x_2}_{=0} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Therefore $s_1d_2x_2 - x_2 \in \operatorname{Ker} d_0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(s_1d_2x_2 - x_2) &= \underbrace{d_1s_1}_{id}d_2x_2 - \underbrace{d_1x_2}_{=0} \\ &= d_2x_2, \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

$s_1d_2x_2 - x_2 \notin \operatorname{Ker} d_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(s_1d_2x_2 - x_2) &= \underbrace{d_2s_1}_{id}d_2x_2 - d_2x_2 \\ &= d_2x_2 - d_2x_2 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Hence $s_1d_2x_2 - x_2 \in \operatorname{Ker} d_2$.

Because of the case $y_2 \in \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1$,

$$d_3F_{(1)(2)}(x_2, y_2) \in [\operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1]. \tag{46}$$

If $F_{(0)(1)}(x_2, y_2) = [s_0x_2 - s_1x_2, s_1y_2] + [s_2x_2, s_2y_2]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &d_3F_{(0)(1)}(x_2, y_2) \\ &\quad = [d_3s_0x_2 - d_3s_1x_2, s_1y_2] + \left[\underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}x_2, \underbrace{d_3s_2}_{id}y_2\right] \\ &\quad = [s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2, s_1d_2y_2] + [x_2, y_2]. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Consider the following commutator:

$$[s_0d_2x_2 - s_1d_2x_2 + x_2, s_1d_2y_2 - y_2], \tag{48}$$

and code the terms of this commutator such as

$$\begin{aligned} &a = s_0d_2x_2, \qquad b = s_1d_2y_2, \\ &c = s_1d_2x_2, \qquad d = x_2, \qquad e = y_2, \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

in order to simplify the algebraic operations. Thus, by using the properties and definition of the commutator we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &[a - c + d, b - e] = [a - c, b] + [d, e], \\ &(a - c + d)(b - e) - (b - e)(a - c + d) \\ &\quad = ab - cb + db - ae + ce - de \\ &\qquad - \{ba - bc + bd - ea + ec - ed\}. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Consider the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned} ab - cb - ba + bc &= (a - c)b - b(a - c) \\ &= [a - c, b], \\ ce - de - ec + ed &= (c - d)e - e(c - d) \\ &= [c - d, e]. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

And from the remaining terms we get

$$\begin{aligned} db - bd - [d, e] &= db - bd - de + ed \\ &= d(b - e) - (b - e)d \\ &= [d, b - e]. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Consequently for $n = 3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3(NG_3) \subseteq\ & [\operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_1, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_1 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_1, \operatorname{Ker} d_0] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1]. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

□

Corollary 8. *Let NG_3 be a 3-dimensional Moore complex of a simplicial group* **G** *with 26-adjacency. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3(NG_3) \subseteq\ & [\operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_1, Ker d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_1 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_1, \operatorname{Ker} d_0] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1] \\ &+ [\operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1]. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Proof. Otherwise inclusion for the previous theorem is obtained from [4, 5]. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_3(NG_3) = {} & [\operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1] \\ & + [\operatorname{Ker} d_1, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2] \\ & + [\operatorname{Ker} d_1 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0] \\ & + [\operatorname{Ker} d_1, \operatorname{Ker} d_0] \\ & + [\operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1] \\ & + [\operatorname{Ker} d_2, \operatorname{Ker} d_0 \cap \operatorname{Ker} d_1].\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

□

3. Conclusion

In this paper for dimension 2 and dimension 3, we obtained the Moore complex of simplicial groups generated by hypercrossed complex pairings in digital images.

References

[1] L. Boxer, "Digitally continuous functions," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 833–839, 1994.

[2] I. Karaca, L. Boxer, and A. Öztel, "Topological Invariants in digital images," *Journal of Mathematical Science*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 109–140, 2011.

[3] P. Carrasco and A. M. Cegarra, "Group-theoretic algebraic models for homotopy types," *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, vol. 75, no. 3, pp. 195–235, 1991.

[4] A. Mutlu, *Peiffer pairings in the moore complex of a simplicial group [Ph.D. thesis]*, University of Walles, Bangor, UK, 1997.

[5] A. Mutlu and T. Porter, "Application of Peiffer pairings in the Moore complex of a simplicial Group," *Theory and Applications of Categories*, vol. 4, no. 7, pp. 148–173, 1998.