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1. Introduction

It is well known that, in applications, asymptotic stability is more important than stability, because the desirable feature is to know the size of the region of asymptotic stability. However, when we study the asymptotic stability, it is not easy to deal with nonexponential types of stability. Pinto [1] introduced the notion of *h*-stability with the intention of obtaining results about stability for a weakly stable system (at least, weaker than those given exponential stability and uniform Lipschitz stability) under some perturbations and developed the study of exponential stability to a variety of reasonable systems called *h*-systems. Since then, Choi and Ryu [2], Choi et al. [3], and Choi and Koo [4] investigated *h*-stability problem for the nonlinear differential systems respectively, and Choi et al. [5, 6] characterized the *h*-stability in variation for nonlinear difference systems via $n_{\infty}$-similarity and Lyapunov functions and obtained some relative results. For the detailed results of *h*-stability of impulsive dynamic systems on time scale and others systems can be found in [7–10].

At present, the investigation of differential systems with initial time difference has attracted a lot of attention. This is mainly because of the fact that when considering initial value problems, it is impossible not to make errors in the starting time in dealing with real world phenomena, that is, the solutions of the unperturbed differential system may start at some initial time and the solutions of the perturbed systems may start at a different initial time. When we consider such a change of initial time for each solution, we need to deal with the problem of comparing between any two solutions which start at different times. At present, there are two methods to discuss the stability problem with initial time difference: one is the differential inequalities and comparison principle, and the other is the method of variation of parameters. For the pioneering works in this area we can refer to the papers [11, 12]. After that, there are many stability results for various of differential and difference systems; see [13–20]. However, the above results were obtained by using comparison principle and differential inequalities; there are few stability criteria by using the method of variation of parameters; see [21–24].

In this paper, we attempt to extend the notion of *h*-stability to differential systems with initial time difference, namely, initial time difference *h*-stability (ITD*h*S) and then establish some stability criteria for such differential systems by using the method of variation of parameters. The remainder of this paper is organized in the following manner. Some preliminaries are presented in Section 2. The notions of *h*-stability for differential systems with initial time difference are given in this section. In Section 3, several stability criteria are established. Finally, an example is added to illustrate the result obtained.
2. Preliminaries

Let $R^+ = [0, +\infty)$ and $R^n$ denotes the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space with appropriate norm $\| \cdot \|$.

Consider the differential systems:

\[ x' = f(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad t_0 \in R^+, \quad (1) \]

\[ y' = F(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad t_0 \in R^+, \quad (2) \]

and the perturbed differential system of (2):

\[ y' = F(t, y) + R(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad t_0 \in R^+, \quad (3) \]

where $f, F \in C[R^+ \times R^n, R^n]$ are locally Lipschitzian and $f$ has continuous partial derivatives $\partial f/\partial x$ on $R^+ \times R^n$. The above assumptions imply the existence and uniqueness of solutions through $(t_0, x_0)$ and $(t_0, y_0)$. A special case of (3) is where $F(t, y) = f(t, y) + R(t, y)$, which is the perturbation term. Let $\eta = t_0 - t > 0$. Furthermore, suppose that $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ is the given solution with respect to which we shall study stability criteria.

Let us begin by defining the following notions.

**Definition 1.** The solution $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ of the system (2) through $(t_0, x_0)$ is said to be initial time difference $h$-stability (ITDhS) with respect to the solution $x(t, t_0, x_0)$, where $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ is any solution of the system (1), if and only if there exist $c \geq 1$ and a positive bounded continuous function $h$ defined on $R^+$ such that

\[ \left\| x(t, t_0, x_0) - x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0) \right\| 
\leq c \left\| y_0 - x_0 \right\| + |t_0 - t_0| h(t) h^{-1}(t_0) \]

for $t \geq t_0$ and $h^{-1}(t) = 1/h(t)$.

Similarly, we can define initial time difference $h$-stability (ITDhS) with respect to the solution $y(t, t_0, y_0)$ of the system (3) through $(t_0, y_0)$.

We are now in a position to give the Alekseev’s formula, which is an important tool in the subsequent discussion.

**Lemma 2** (see [25]). If $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ is the solution of (2) and exists for $t \geq t_0$, any solution $y(t, t_0, y_0)$ of (3), with $y(t_0) = y_0$, satisfies the integral equation

\[ y(t, t_0, y_0) = x(t, t_0, y_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} \Phi(t, s, y(s, t_0, y_0)) R(s, y(s, t_0, y_0)) \, ds \]

for $t \geq t_0$, where $\Phi(t, t_0, y_0) = \partial x(t, t_0, y_0)/\partial y_0$.

The following lemma will also be needed in our investigations.

**Lemma 3** (see [25]). Assume that $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ is the solution of (1) through $(t_0, x_0)$, which exists for $t \geq t_0$, and then

\[ x(t, t_0, x_0) = \left[ \int_{0}^{t} \Phi(t, t_0, s x_0) \, ds \right] x_0, \]

where $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0) = \partial x(t, t_0, x_0)/\partial x_0$.

3. Stability Criteria

We shall present, in this section, the stability criteria for differential systems with initial time difference.

**Theorem 4.** Let $x(t, \tau_0, y_0)$ and $x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)$ be the solutions of (2) and (1) through $(t_0, y_0)$ and $(t_0, x_0)$, respectively, $t \geq \tau_0$.

Assume that

(i) $v(t, \tau_0, y_0) = x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)$, in which $v_0 = y_0 - x_0$;

(ii) there exists a positive bounded continuously differentiable function $h(t)$ on $R^+$ such that

\[ \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{\left\| v(t, \tau_0, y_0) + (\int f(t, v, \eta)) \delta \right\|}{\delta} \]

\[ \leq h^1(t) h^{-1}(t) \left\| v(t, \tau_0, y_0) \right\|, \]

where $f(t, v, \eta) = f(t, x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0) + v(t, \tau_0, y_0)) - f(t, x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0))$;

(iii) $f$ is locally Lipschitzian in time such that

\[ \left\| f(t, x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)) - f(t, x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)) \right\| \]

\[ \leq L_1(t) \frac{|\eta|}{L_2(\tau_0)}, \]

where $L_2(\tau_0) = \int_{\tau_0}^{\infty} \int h^{-1}(s) \, L_1(s) \, ds$.

Then the solution $x(t, \tau_0, y_0)$ of the system (2) is ITDhS with respect to the solution $x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)$.

**Proof.** Define $z(t) = \left\| v(t, \tau_0, y_0) \right\|$ for $t \geq \tau_0$, and then $z(\tau_0) = \left\| y_0 - x_0 \right\|$. Also,

\[ v'(t, \tau_0, y_0) = x'(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x'(t - \eta, t_0, x_0) \]

\[ = f(t, v(t, \tau_0, y_0) + x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)) - f(t, x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)). \]

Using a Taylor approximation for $v(t, \tau_0, y_0)$ and the conditions (i) and (ii), we arrive at

\[ D_{\tau_0} z(t) \]

\[ = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{\left\| v(t, \tau_0, y_0) + v'(t, \tau_0, y_0) \delta \right\|}{\delta} - \frac{|\eta|}{L_2(\tau_0)}, \]

\[ \leq h^1(t) h^{-1}(t) z(t) + L_1(t) \frac{|\eta|}{L_2(\tau_0)}. \]

And then, from (10), we have

\[ z(t) \leq h(t) h^{-1}(t_0) \]

\[ \times \left( z(t_0) + \frac{|\eta|}{L_2(\tau_0)} \int_{\tau_0}^{\infty} h^{-1}(s) \, h(t_0) L_1(s) \, ds \right). \]
Moreover, using the condition (iii), we obtain
\[ z(t) \leq h(t) h^{-1}(\tau_0) (\|y_0 - x_0\| + |\eta|). \]  
(12)

Then from (12), we get
\[ \|x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)\|
\leq \left( \|y_0 - x_0\| + |\tau_0 - t_0| \right) h(t) h^{-1}(\tau_0). \]
(13)

So by Definition 1 with \( c = 1 \), the solution \( x(t, \tau_0, y_0) \) of (2) is ITDhS with respect to the solution \( x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0) \). This completes the proof.

Remark 5. Set \( h(t) = e^{-\beta t} \), and then we can obtain Theorem 3.4 in [8].

Theorem 6. Let \( y(t, \tau_0, y_0) \) be the solution of (3) through \((\tau_0, y_0)\). Assume that

(i) the solution \( x(t, \tau_0, y_0) \) of (2) is ITDhS with respect to the solution \( x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0) \) for \( t \geq \tau_0 \), where \( x(t, \tau_0, y_0) \) is any solution of (1);

(ii) there exist \( c \geq 1, \alpha > 0 \) and a positive bounded continuous function \( h \) defined on \( R^+ \) such that
\[
\|\Phi(t, s, y(s))\| \leq c h(t) h^{-1}(s),
\]
\[
\|R(s, y(s))\| \leq r(s) |y(s)|,
\]
(14)

provided that \( y(s, \tau_0, y_0) \leq \alpha, r(s) \in C(R^+, R^+) \) and \( \int_{\tau_0}^{+\infty} r(s) ds < +\infty \).

Then the solution \( y(t, \tau_0, y_0) \) of (3) is ITDhS with respect to the solution \( x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0) \).

Proof. Define \( v(t, \tau_0, y_0) = x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0) \) and \( z(t) = \|v(t, \tau_0, y_0)\| \), and then \( z(\tau_0) = \|y_0 - x_0\| \). The condition (i) yields
\[
\|x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)\|
\leq c (\|y_0 - x_0\| + |\tau_0 - t_0|) h(t) h^{-1}(\tau_0).
\]
(15)

By Lemma 2, it follows that
\[
y(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)
= v(t, \tau_0, y_0) + \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \Phi(t, s, y(s)) R(s, y(s)) \, ds.
\]
(16)

Now taking the norms of both sides and using the triangle inequality, we have
\[
\|y(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)\|
\leq z(t) + \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left( \|\Phi(t, s, y(s))\| \|R(s, y(s))\| \right) \, ds.
\]
(17)

From (15), we obtain
\[
\|y(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)\|
\leq c (\|y_0 - x_0\| + |\tau_0 - t_0|) h(t) h^{-1}(\tau_0)
+ \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left( \|\Phi(t, s, y(s))\| \|R(s, y(s))\| \right) \, ds.
\]
(18)

Setting \( M^*(t) = \|y(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)\| \) and using the triangle inequality, we have
\[
M^*(t) \leq c (\|y_0 - x_0\| + |\tau_0 - t_0|) h(t) h^{-1}(\tau_0)
+ \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left( \|\Phi(t, s, y(s))\| \|R(s, y(s))\| \right) \, ds.
\]
(19)

By using Lemma 3 and the condition (ii), we obtain
\[
\|x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)\| \leq \alpha h(t) h^{-1}(\tau_0), \quad \text{for} \|x_0\| \leq \alpha. \quad (20)
\]

Hence,
\[
M^*(t) \leq c \left( \|y_0 - x_0\| + |\tau_0 - t_0| \right) h(t) h^{-1}(\tau_0)
+ \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left( \|\Phi(t, s, y(s))\| \|R(s, y(s))\| \right) \, ds.
\]
(21)

Then we have
\[
N^*(t) \leq c \left( \|y_0 - x_0\| + |\tau_0 - t_0| \right)
+ \int_{\tau_0}^{t} r^*(s) N^*(s) \, ds + c^2 N_1(\tau_0), \quad (22)
\]

where \( r^*(t) = c r(t), N^*(t) = h^{-1}(t) h(\tau_0) M^*(t), \) and \( \int_{\tau_0}^{+\infty} r(s) ds = N_1(\tau_0) \).

By Gronwall's inequality, one gets
\[
M^*(t) \leq c \left( \|y_0 - x_0\| + |\tau_0 - t_0| \right) e^{c^2 N_1(\tau_0)} \cdot h(t) h^{-1}(\tau_0) e^{c N_1(\tau_0)}.
\]
(23)

Moreover, set
\[
c_1 \left( \|y_0 - x_0\| + |\tau_0 - t_0| \right)
= c \left( \|y_0 - x_0\| + |\tau_0 - t_0| \right) e^{c N_1(\tau_0)} \quad (24)
\]

and \( c_1 \geq 1, \) we get
\[
\|y(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)\|
\leq c_1 \left( \|y_0 - x_0\| + |\tau_0 - t_0| \right) h(t) h^{-1}(\tau_0).
\]
(25)

From Definition 1, it follows that the solution of (3) is ITDhS with respect to the solution \( x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0) \). This completes the proof.
4. Example

Now, we shall illustrate Theorem 6 by a simple example. Consider the differential systems

\[ x' = -x, \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad t_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (26) \]

\[ x' = -x, \quad x(t_0) = y_0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad t_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (27) \]

and the perturbed differential system of (27):

\[ y' = -y + \frac{1}{t^2}y, \quad y(t_0) = y_0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad t_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (28) \]

Define \( z(t) = x(t, t_0, y_0) - x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0) \); by direct calculation, we have the solution of (27) given by \( x(t, t_0, y_0) = y_0 e^{-t-t_0} \), which exists for all \( t \geq t_0 \), and \( \Phi(t, t_0, y_0) = \frac{\partial x(t, t_0, y_0)}{\partial y_0} - \Phi(t_0, t_0, y_0) = I, \|z(t)\| \leq \|y_0 - x_0\| + \|x_0 - y_0\| e^{-t-t_0} \), and then the solution of system (27) is ITD\(S \) with respect to the solution \( x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0) \).

Now, let us begin to consider the perturbation term \( F(t, y) = (1/t^2) y \) of (28), and we have \( \|1/(t^2) y\| \leq \|1/(t^2)\| \|y\| \), where \( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} (1/t^2)dt < +\infty \). Then by Theorem 6, we can conclude that the solution \( y(t, t_0, y_0) \) of (28) is ITD\(S \) with respect to the solution \( x(t - \eta, t_0, x_0) \).
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