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1. Introduction

As a typical social phenomenon, rumor has no basis in fact and flies around, especially when major public events happen and people do not have exact information and knowledge about the events; the rumor is dispersed by some people for achieving the specific purpose. It has been described in detail by some pieces of literature [1–6]. In the modern society, the rumor not only has not disappeared but also, with the development of the communication transmission modes, such as internet, telephone, and advanced information technology, spreads more quickly and the scope involved is much broader. Thus, the internet rumors become an important factor that influences the current social harmony and stability in emergencies and all kinds of crisis, and it is becoming the focus of the netizens and governments at all levels.

The classical models to study the spread of rumor were given by Daley and Kendall and Maki and Thomson [7, 8]. Since the dissemination process of rumor is similar to the spreading of infectious disease, epidemic models have usually been applied to investigate the spread of rumors [9–13]. The ignorant, the spreader, and the stifler are equivalent to the susceptible, the infected, and the recovered. Some models are established based on network [14–19]. Some are built on the basis of the random theory [20–23].

With rapid development of today’s society, besides propagation by word of mouth, rumors also can be spread by public homepage, SMS, e-mails, or blogging that provide faster velocity of transmission [9, 24, 25]. The new type of transmission mode has been studied dynamically by [26]. It established an ISRW dynamical system including spreading between individuals and medium-to-individuals to describe the actual pattern of transmission. With regard to the internet rumor, the government should share real information in a timely manner with the public to avoid the public hazard [27]. In 1953, the formula that describes the generation of rumor was proposed by Cross. \[ R = I \times a/c, \] where \( I \) is the importance of events, \( a \) is ambiguity of events, and \( c \) is the critical ability of the public. There are some models to assess the control
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Table 1: Description of parameters in the system (1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A )</td>
<td>( 16000000/365 )</td>
<td>Day(^{-1} )</td>
<td>The birth rate of human ( S(t) ) to ( I(t) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>( 50 \times 0.005/135000000 )</td>
<td>Day(^{-1} )</td>
<td>( S(t) ) to ( I(t) ) transmission rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>( 10^{-10} )</td>
<td>Day(^{-1} )</td>
<td>The natural mortality rate of human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d )</td>
<td>( 1/60/365 )</td>
<td>Day(^{-1} )</td>
<td>( W(t) ) to ( I(t) ) transmission rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta )</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>The rate of being ( S(t) ) after transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m )</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>Day(^{-1} )</td>
<td>The transformation rate of ( S(t) ) into ( R(t) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Day(^{-1} )</td>
<td>The submerged rate of message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda )</td>
<td>( 300 \times 0.0001 )</td>
<td>Day(^{-1} )</td>
<td>The disseminating quantity of messages per spreader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \xi_1 )</td>
<td>( 50 \times 0.0001/135000000 )</td>
<td>Day(^{-1} )</td>
<td>The transformation rate to ( R(t) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \xi_2 )</td>
<td>( 50 \times 0.0001/135000000 )</td>
<td>Day(^{-1} )</td>
<td>( R(t) ) to ( S(t) ) transmission rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I(0) )</td>
<td>1350000000−1000000</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>The initial number of the susceptible individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S(0) )</td>
<td>1000000</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>The initial number of the spreaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R(0) )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>The initial number of the stiflers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W(0) )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>The initial quantity of messages by spreader</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

measures [28, 29]. In order to control the rumor spreading, we can focus on the credibility of the authorities’ media [30–32] and increasing the cognizance ability of the public. Besides, the government should give a certain punishment for the spreader. Therefore, this paper mainly assesses the effect of these measures.

2. A Dynamical System for Rumor Spreading

Without consideration of government measures, the dynamical system we establish will include the following four classes: the susceptible individual (\( I \)), the spreader (\( S \)), the stifler (\( R \)), and the message in media (\( W \)). Here, the bilinear incidence rate is considered. The interpretation of parameters can be seen in Table 1. The model we employ is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dI(t)}{dt} &= A - \beta I(t) S(t) - \alpha I(t) W(t) - dI(t), \\
\frac{dS(t)}{dt} &= \theta \beta I(t) S(t) + \theta \alpha I(t) W(t) - 2 \xi_1 S^2(t) - mS(t) - \xi_2 S(t) R(t) - dS(t), \\
\frac{dR(t)}{dt} &= (1 - \theta) \beta I(t) S(t) + (1 - \theta) \alpha I(t) W(t) + 2 \xi_2 S^2(t) + mS(t) + \xi_2 S(t) R(t) - dR(t), \\
\frac{dW(t)}{dt} &= \lambda S(t) - kW(t).
\end{align*}
\]

3. Dynamical Behaviors of System (1)

It is easy to know that \( dN(t)/dt = A - dN \). So, the positive invariant set is \( \Gamma = \{(I(t), S(t), R(t), W(t), G(t)) \mid I(t) \geq 0, S(t) \geq 0, R(t) \geq 0, W(t) \geq 0, G(t) \geq 0, 0 \leq I(t) + S(t) + R(t) \leq A/d \} \). The disease-free equilibrium is \( E_0 = (I^0, 0, 0, 0) \), where \( I^0 = A/d \).

The basic reproduction number, that is, the expected number of secondary spreaders produced by a spreader in a completely ignorant population [33–35], can be calculated as follows:

\[
R_0 = \frac{\theta \beta I^0}{m + d} + \frac{\lambda \theta \alpha I^0}{(m + d)k}. \tag{2}
\]

The detailed calculation method can be seen in [35] and the behavior of (1) is discussed in Theorem 1.

**Theorem 1.** (a) When \( R_0 < 1 \), the disease-free equilibrium \( E_0 \) is globally asymptotically stable.

(b) When \( R_0 > 1 \), the disease-free equilibrium \( E_0 \) is unstable.

**Proof.** (a) Define a Lyapunov function

\[
L = kS + \theta \alpha I^0 W \geq 0. \tag{3}
\]

When \( R_0 < 1 \), the Lyapunov function satisfies

\[
\dot{L} = \kappa S \left[ \theta \beta I(t) S(t) + \theta \alpha I(t) W(t) - 2 \xi_1 S^2(t) - mS(t) - \xi_2 S(t) R(t) - dS(t) \right] - \xi_2 S(t) R(t) - dS(t) + \theta \alpha I^0 \left[ \lambda S(t) - k(W(t)) \right] \leq k \theta \beta I^0 S + \theta \alpha I^0 S - (m + d) S \leq kS(m + d) \left[ \frac{\theta \beta I^0}{m + d} + \frac{\lambda \theta \alpha I^0}{(m + d)k} - 1 \right] = kS(m + d) \left[ R_0 - 1 \right] \leq 0. \tag{4}
\]

It is easy to know that \( \dot{L} = 0 \) only hold when \( S = 0 \). As a result, the disease-free equilibrium point \( E_0 \) is the only fixed point of the system. By applying the Lyapunov-LaSalle asymptotic stability theorem [36, 37], the disease-free equilibrium point \( E_0 \) is globally asymptotically stable.
Figure 1: Continued.
(b) The Jacobian matrix at the \( E_0 \) is the Jacobian
\[
J|_{E_0} = \begin{pmatrix}
-d & -\beta I^0 & 0 & -\alpha I^0 \\
0 & \theta \beta I^0 - (m + d) & 0 & \theta \alpha I^0 \\
0 & (1 - \theta) \beta I^0 + (m + d) & -d & (1 - \theta) \alpha I^0 \\
0 & \lambda & 0 & -k
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (5)

With regard to this matrix, the eigenvalues are the roots of the polynomial equation
\[
[x^2 + (m + d + k - \theta \beta I^0) x + (m + d - \theta \beta I^0) k - \lambda \theta \alpha I^0]
\times (x + d) (x + k) = 0.
\] (6)

It is easy to know that \(-d\) and \(-k\) are two of the eigenvalues. When \( R_0 > 1 \), \( (m + d - \theta \beta I^0) k - \lambda \theta \alpha I^0 < 0 \); that is, there must exist a positive root. That means that \( E_0 \) is unstable.

With regard to the positive equilibrium \( E^* = (I^*, S^*, R^*, W^*) \), it should satisfy
\[
A - \beta I^* S^* - \alpha I^* W^* - dI^* = 0,
\]
\[
\theta \beta I^* S^* + \theta \alpha I^* W^* - 2\xi_1 S^{*2} - ms^* - \xi_2 S^* R^* - ds^* = 0,
\]
\[
(1 - \theta) \beta I^* S^* + (1 - \theta) \alpha I^* W^* + 2\xi_1 S^{*2} + mS^*
+ \xi_2 S^* R^* - dR^* = 0,
\]
\[
\lambda S^* - kW^* = 0.
\] (7)

By calculating the equations, we have
\[
x_1 S^2 + x_2 S + x_3 = 0,
\] (8)
where
\[
x_1 = (\xi_2 - 2\xi_1) (k\beta + \alpha \lambda),
\]
\[
x_2 = (\xi_2 - 2\xi_1) kd - \left( m + d + \xi_2 \frac{A}{d}\right) (k\beta + \alpha \lambda),
\] (9)
\[
x_3 = \theta \beta A k + \theta \alpha \lambda A - (m + d) kd = k (m + d) (R_0 - 1).
\]

The analysis about \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \) is more complex and we list the result in Table 2.

4. A Dynamical System for Rumor Spreading with Government Measures

Now, we add the measures of government to the system, especially issuing the actual message through the medium \( G(t) \) and punishment for the spreaders, which are reflected in \( \eta \) and \( \mu \). Moreover, the ability of cognizance of the public is reflected in \( \theta \). The higher the cognizance ability the smaller the \( \theta \). These interpretations can be seen in Table 2. \( B(S) \) can be adopted as different term according to different situation. The system has the following form:
\[
\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = A - \beta I(t) S(t) - \alpha I(t) W(t) - \eta \gamma I(t) G(t) - dI(t),
\]
\[
\frac{dS(t)}{dt} = \theta \beta I(t) S(t) + \theta \alpha I(t) W(t) - 2\xi_1 S^{*2} - mS^* - \xi_2 S^* R^* - ds^* - \eta \delta S(t) G(t) - dS(t) - \mu S(t),
\]
\[
\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = (1 - \theta) \beta I(t) S(t) + (1 - \theta) \alpha I(t) W(t) + 2\xi_1 S^{*2} + mS^* + \xi_2 S^* R(t) + \eta \delta S(t) G(t) + \eta l(t) G(t) - dR(t),
\]
\[
\frac{dW(t)}{dt} = \lambda S(t) - kW(t),
\]
\[
\frac{dG(t)}{dt} = B(S) - pG(t).
\] (10)

5. Dynamical Behavior of System (10)

What this paper mainly discusses is the effect of measures carried out by authority. At first, we can assume that the
Figure 2: Continued.
Figure 2: The sensitivity of the final scale in terms of parameters. (a) $\theta$. (b) $\eta$. (c) $\mu$. (d) $B$. (e) $\beta$. (f) $\alpha$. (g) $\gamma$. (h) $\xi_1$. (i) $\xi_2$.

Table 2: Equilibria and stability of system (1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Positive equilibrium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_0 &lt; 1$</td>
<td>$\xi_2 &lt; 2\xi_1$</td>
<td>$x_1 &lt; 0, x_2 &lt; 0, x_3 &lt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\xi_2 = 2\xi_1$</td>
<td>$x_1 = 0, x_2 &lt; 0, x_3 &lt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\xi_2 &gt; 2\xi_1$</td>
<td>$x_1 &gt; 0, x_3 &lt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_0 &gt; 1$</td>
<td>$\xi_2 &lt; 2\xi_1$</td>
<td>$x_1 &lt; 0, x_3 &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\xi_2 = 2\xi_1$</td>
<td>$x_1 = 0, x_2 &lt; 0, x_3 &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\xi_2 &gt; 2\xi_1$</td>
<td>$x_2 \geq 0, x_1 &gt; 0, x_3 &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$x_2 &lt; 0, x_1 &gt; 0, x_3 &gt; 0, \Delta &lt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$x_2 &lt; 0, x_1 &gt; 0, x_3 &gt; 0, \Delta = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$x_2 &lt; 0, x_1 &gt; 0, x_3 &gt; 0, \Delta &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3: Continued.
Figure 3: The sensitivity of the final scale in terms of parameters. (a) $\theta$. (b) $\eta$. (c) $\mu$. (d) $\beta$. (e) $\alpha$. (f) $\gamma$. (g) $\xi_1$. (h) $\xi_2$. (i) $\xi_3$.

Table 3: Description of parameters in the system (10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$50 \times 0.00001/135000000$</td>
<td>Day$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$S(t)$-to-$I(t)$ transmission rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>$10^{-13}$</td>
<td>Day$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$W(t)$-to-$I(t)$ transmission rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Day$^{-1}$</td>
<td>The rate to become $R(t)$ after receiving $G(t)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>$10^{-10}$</td>
<td>Day$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$G(t)$-to-$S(t)$ transmission rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>Day$^{-1}$</td>
<td>The punishment rate for the spreaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>1000000</td>
<td>Day$^{-1}$</td>
<td>The quantity of messages by government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Day$^{-1}$</td>
<td>The quantity of messages by government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Day$^{-1}$</td>
<td>The submerged rate of messages by government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G(0)$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>The initial quantity of messages by government</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
authority will release quantitative trustworthy message per time. So, the behavior of authority is independent of the rumor spreading; that is, \( B(S) = B \). The parameters of system (10) are in Table 3.

**Case 1 (\( B(S) = B \)).** In this case, the disease-free equilibrium \( E_{01} = (I^0, 0, R^0, 0, G^0) \), where \( I^0 = Ap/(\eta Br + dp), R^0 = \eta AB/(\eta Br + dp)d, G^0 = B/p \). Let us look at the basic reproduction number of the spreading of rumor. For the rumor spread, one has

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F} &= \begin{pmatrix}
\theta \beta IS + \theta aIW \\
0 \\
0 
\end{pmatrix}, \\
\gamma' &= \begin{pmatrix}
\eta \delta SG + 2\xi_1S^2 + mS + \xi_2SR + dS + \mu S \\
kW - \lambda S \\
\rho G - B 
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

(11)

So, we derive

\[
F(t) = \begin{pmatrix}
\theta \beta I^0 & \theta aI^0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
V(t) = \begin{pmatrix}
\eta \delta G^0 + \xi_2R^0 + m + d + \mu & 0 & 0 \\
-\lambda & k & 0 \\
0 & 0 & p 
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(12)

Then, the basic reproduction number is \( R_{01} = \rho(FV^{-1}) = \theta \beta I^0/(m + d + \mu + \xi_2R^0 + \eta \delta G^0) + \lambda \theta aI^0/(m + d + \mu + \xi_2R^0 + \eta \delta G^0)k \). From expression of \( R_{01} \), we can see that the measures of government reduce the basic reproduction number.

Under some situations, once the rumor emerges, the government will issue the news to clarify the rumor and the message has a small effect on controlling rumor spreading. Once the message is issued, the deleting of message issued by the government has bigger effect than \( k \). So, for message by spreader, we should control the distribution of message. Once the message is issued, the deleting of message has a small effect on controlling rumor spreading. For the government, in order to prevent the rumor spread, the quantity and the survival time of message are important factors. From \( F \) and \( \theta \), the reliability of government and the cognizance ability of the public are equally important and \( R_{01} \) is more sensitive with the reliability of government. With regard to \( \mu \), the concave function is the biggest. When the minority of people are published, the effect has been big on \( R_{01} \). From Figures 2(h), 1(i), and 1(l), it is easy to know that \( \gamma \) has the biggest effect on \( R_{01} \). The effect of \( \alpha \) on \( R_{01} \) is the smallest.

When \( R_{01} < 1 \), as time goes on, the rumor will eventually disappear. In this case, what we should focus on is the final scale of the spreader. Next, we discuss the influences of parameters on the final scale.

From Figures 2(a) and 2(b), we can see that \( \eta \) has a bigger influence on the final scale than \( \theta \), which means that the reliability of government is more important. Comparing Figures 2(c) and 2(d), the change of the final scale caused by the \( B \) is bigger than \( \mu \), which implies that the effect of release of message is more obvious than punishment from government. From Figures 2(e), 2(f), and 2(g), we know that when \( R_{01} < 1 \), the influence of \( \alpha \) is smaller. For \( \gamma \), \( \xi_1 \), and \( \xi_2 \), the influence of \( \gamma \) is the biggest and is followed by \( \xi_2 \) and \( \xi_1 \).

**Case 2 (\( B(S) = bs^n \)).** The basic reproduction number of the whole system is \( R_0 = \theta \beta I^0/(m + d + \mu + \xi_2R^0 + \eta \delta G^0)k \). We can know that the basic reproduction number does not

### 6. Sensitivity Analysis

This paper mainly discusses the effect of measures adopted by government. On the one hand, in the early stage, the sensitivity of the basic reproduction numbers about parameters that correspond to measures adopted by government should be discussed. On the other hand, when \( R_{01} < 1 \) or \( R_0 < 1 \), the sensitivity of the final scale of the spreader about parameters should be studied.

Now, we carry out the sensitivity analysis under different cases.

**Case 1 (\( B(S) = B \)).** Consider

\[
R_{01} = \rho(FV^{-1}) = \frac{\theta \beta I^0}{m + d + \mu + \xi_2R^0 + \eta \delta G^0} + \frac{\lambda \theta aI^0}{(m + d + \mu + \xi_2R^0 + \eta \delta G^0)k}
\]

(13)

Observing Figure 1, \( R_{01} \) is linear function of \( \theta, \lambda, \) and \( \rho \). \( R_{01} \) is the concave function with the rest of parameters, where the influences of \( \eta, B, \) and \( \mu \) are greater on \( R_{01} \). Observing the values of ordinates axis, \( B \) and \( \lambda \) have the biggest influence on \( R_{01} \); that is, the releasing amount of messages is the most important. With regard to \( k \) and \( p \), the submerged rate of message issued by the government has bigger effect than \( k \). So, for message by spreader, we should control the distribution of message. Once the message is issued, the deleting of message has a small effect on controlling rumor spreading. For the government, in order to prevent the rumor spread, the quantity and the survival time of message are important factors. From \( \eta \) and \( \theta \), the reliability of government and the cognizance ability of the public are equally important and \( R_{01} \) is more sensitive with the reliability of government. With regard to \( \mu \), the concave function is the biggest. When the minority of people are published, the effect has been big on \( R_{01} \). From Figures 1(h), 1(i), and 1(l), it is easy to know that \( \gamma \) has the biggest effect on \( R_{01} \). The effect of \( \alpha \) on \( R_{01} \) is the smallest.

When \( R_{01} < 1 \), as time goes on, the rumor will eventually disappear. In this case, what we should focus on is the final scale of the spreader. Next, we discuss the influences of parameters on the final scale.

From Figures 2(a) and 2(b), we can see that \( \eta \) has a bigger influence on the final scale than \( \theta \), which means that the reliability of government is more important. Comparing Figures 2(c) and 2(d), the change of the final scale caused by the \( B \) is bigger than \( \mu \), which implies that the effect of release of message is more obvious than punishment from government. From Figures 2(e), 2(f), and 2(g), we know that when \( R_{01} < 1 \), the influence of \( \alpha \) is smaller. For \( \gamma \), \( \xi_1 \), and \( \xi_2 \), the influence of \( \gamma \) is the biggest and is followed by \( \xi_2 \) and \( \xi_1 \).
change. For such reason, we should focus on the final scale of the spreader, which is showed in Figure 3.

When \( B(S) = bS \), then \( \theta \) has a bigger influence on the final scale than \( \eta \), which is different from Case I. Observing Figure 2(c), the effect of \( \mu \) is smaller. The changes of the final scales of the spreader are very little under the changes of \( \alpha \) and \( \xi_1 \). The effect of \( \beta \) is larger than \( \xi_2 \) and \( \gamma \).

7. Discussion

Applying the dynamical system, this paper describes the government measures by the parameters \( \eta, \theta, \mu, \lambda, k, B, b, \) and \( p \). More specifically, \( \eta \) indicates the reliability of government, \( \theta \) indicates the ability of cognizance of the public, \( \mu \) indicates the punishment rate of the government, \( k \) reflects the management strength of government for the internet, \( B \) and \( b \) show the amount of messages released by authority, \( \beta \) is the transmission rate between humans directly, \( \alpha \) is the transmission rate of media to human, and \( \gamma \) is the transmission rate of the government to human by issuing presentation.

According to the above dynamical analysis and sensitivity analysis, we can know that \( B \) and \( b \) have the greatest influence on the rumor spread. The effects of \( \eta \) and \( \theta \) are almost big. When \( B(S) = B \), the influence of \( \eta \) is larger which means that the reliability of government is more important when the government issues message beforehand. When \( B(S) = bS \), the influence of \( \theta \) is larger which means that the cognizance ability of the public is more important when the government releases the message according to the number of the spreaders. The effects of \( k, \lambda, \) and \( p \) explain that monitoring the internet to prevent the diffusion of rumor is more important than the deleting message in media that has appeared. Moreover, extending the survival time of government message is also necessary. The relationship of \( R_{01} \) in terms of \( \mu \) shows that when government punishes the minority of people, the effect is obvious. However, with the increase of \( \mu \), the effect is weakened. \( R_{01} \) is a concave function with \( \gamma \). From Figure 1(j), we can know that the influence of \( \gamma \) is larger than \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \).

In [26], an ISRW model was presented and its dynamical behaviors were well investigated. Reference [26] was mainly based on the Jacobian matrix and obtained the final size of rumor. However, this paper is based on spectral radius and focuses on the effects of different measures. The obtained results will enrich the findings in rumor spreading.
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