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This paper is devoted to the investigation of nonnegative solutions and the stability and asymptotic properties of the solutions of fractional differential dynamic linear time-varying systems involving delayed dynamics with delays. The dynamic systems are described based on \( q \)-calculus and Caputo fractional derivatives on any order.

1. Introduction

There are abundant background results concerning the exact and approximate solutions of fractional differential equations [1–4], fractional derivatives involving products of polynomials [5, 6], fractional derivatives and fractional powers of operators [7–9], and boundary value problems concerning fractional calculus in a theoretical context and also concerning a wide range of applications like, for instance, control theory, robotics, signal processing, heat transfer, lossless transmission lines, and so forth [1–22]. In particular, some generalized operators of fractional integration have been recently applied to the product of generalized Bessel functions of the first class in [6] leading to multivariable generalized Lauricella-type functions. Furthermore, related generalized fractional integrals are also discussed in that paper. On the other hand, new unified integral formulas involving products of Srivastava-type polynomials and the \( H \)-function as well as fractional integration for Appell’s functions are discussed in [23, 24].

This paper is concerned with the investigation of nonnegative solutions of fractional \( q \)-differential dynamic systems with point delays and some related asymptotic properties formulated by the Caputo fractional derivative. See, for instance, [25–31] for formulations of functional dynamic systems under delays. Some of these papers are concerned with fundamental properties of positive dynamic systems or with the nonnegative solutions of dynamic systems in a fractional context. See, for instance, [32–34]. On the other hand, see [13–22, 32–39] for a background on quantum and fractional calculus and some related applications to dynamic systems.

The Caputo \( q \)-difference scheme has been proposed in [40] and then the problems of initial values are investigated in [41, 42]. In particular, a Caputo-type \( q \)-fractional initial value problem is solved in [41] with the solution being formulated in terms of a new \( q \)-Mittag-Leffler function. On the other hand, the related investigation in [42] is focused on analytical aspects of \( q \)-fractional calculus while the variational iteration method is extended “ad hoc” to \( q \)-fractional calculus in order to solve the Caputo \( q \)-fractional initial value problem. There is also a recent monograph [43] available on the fractional \( q \)-difference methodology which is of potential interest for readers interested in quantum fractional calculus. Also, it has to be pointed out that an increasing research interest is being devoted to the use of fractional calculus in the analysis of mathematical models based on partial differential equations. In particular, the fractal heat conduction problem is solved by proposing a local fractional variation iteration method in [44]. On the other hand, the solutions of the Helmholtz equation involving local fractional derivative operators are investigated in [45] combined with series expansion and variational iteration methods.
It might be pointed out that positive dynamic models are an essential tool to describe some real world applications as, for instance, medical, biological, or epidemic models. It has to be pointed out that a major advantage of the use of q-calculus is that it does not need the existence of limits or restrictive regularity conditions on the functions dealt with in order to establish the formulation. In particular, derivatives and higher-order derivatives of a wide class of functions exist almost everywhere under the q-calculus framework [37]. In this context, the q-calculus formalism on differ-integral systems is close to the classical one on difference systems with the additional advantage that the parameter running the samples can be chosen to be real so that it links the selection of the sampling points in a multiplicative fashion. Such sampling points are backward-in-time dependent on each with the additional advantage that the parameter running the quantum fractional solution is being computed. Almost everywhere under the modern calculus framework [37], the maximum real eigenvalue, if any, of a real matrix \( M \), is denoted by \( \lambda_{\text{max}}(M) \).

\[ x \geq y, x > y, \text{and} \, x \gg y \text{ mean, respectively,} \, x - y \geq 0, \, x - y > 0, \text{and} \, x - y \gg 0 \text{ for } x, y \text{ being any real scalars, vectors, or matrices of compatible dimensions or orders.} \]

The following fundamental result of [32] is concerned with the unique left-sided solution on \( \mathbb{R}_0^+ \) of the differential fractional system (36).

**Theorem 1.** Consider the Caputo fractional differential system of order \( \alpha \) with \( p \geq 0 \) (potentially repeated) delays and \( 0 \leq q \leq p \) distinct delays:

\[ (CD_{a+}^\alpha x)(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(k - \alpha)} \int_a^t (t - \tau)^{k-\alpha-1} \left( x^{(k)}(\tau) \right) d\tau \]  

\[ := \sum_{i=0}^{p} \Phi_\alpha (t - h_i) + Bu(t); \quad t \in [a, b] \]

on \( \mathbb{R}_0^+ \), for any \( \alpha \in C_{a+} \) with \( k = [\text{Re} \, \alpha] + 1 \) if \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and \( k = \alpha \) if \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+; k - 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{a+} \). \( h_0 < h_1 < h_2 < \ldots < h_p < h < \infty \) are distinct constant delays, \( A_0, A_1 \in \mathbb{R}_0^{n \times m} \) (\( i \in \mathbb{P} = \{1, 2, \ldots, p\} \)), are the matrices of dynamics for each delay \( h_i \), \( i \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\} \), and \( B \in \mathbb{R}_0^{m \times n} \) is the control matrix and with initial condition of the state being given by \( \Phi_j : [-h, 0] \to \mathbb{R}^n \), which are absolutely continuous except eventually in a set of zero measure of \( [-h, 0] \subset C \) of bounded discontinuities with \( \phi_j(0) = x_j(0) = x_{j+0} \) for \( j \in k - 1 \cup \{0\} \) and \( u : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \to \mathbb{R}^m \) is a bounded piecewise continuous control function. Then, the unique left-sided solution of (2) is given by

\[ x(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \Phi_{a,j0}(t) x_{j0} \right) \]  

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \Phi_\alpha (t - \tau) A_i \phi_j (\tau - h_i) d\tau \]  

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \Phi_\alpha (t - \tau) A_{i,j} x (\tau - h_i) d\tau \]

\[ + \int_{h_1}^{t} \Phi_\alpha (t - \tau) B u(\tau) d\tau; \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ \]

with \( k = [\text{Re} \, \alpha] + 1 \) if \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and \( k = \alpha \) if \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), where

\[ \Phi_{a,j0}(t) := t^j E_{a,j+1}(A_0^t); \]

\[ \Phi_{\alpha}(t) := (\alpha - 1) E_{\alpha,\alpha+1}(A_0^t), \]

\[ E_{\alpha,j}(A_0^t) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(A_0^t)^\ell}{\Gamma(\alpha \ell + j)}; \quad j \in k - 1 \cup \{0, \alpha\} \]

\[ \mathbb{R}_0^+ := \{ v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n : v_i \geq 0; \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{P} \} \]

is the set of all \( n \times m \) real matrices of positive entries. If \( M \in \mathbb{R}_0^{m \times m} \) then \( M > 0 \) is used as a simpler notation for \( M \in \mathbb{R}_0^{m \times m} \). The superscript \( T \) denotes the transpose and \( M^T \) and \( J \) are, respectively, the \( i \)th row and \( j \)th column of the matrix \( M \).

A close notation to characterize the positivity of vectors is the following.

\[ \mathbb{R}^n_+ := \{ v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n : v_i \geq 0; \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{P} \} \]

is the set of all \( n \) real vectors of nonnegative components. If \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n \) then \( v > 0 \) is used as a simpler notation for \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \). If \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n \) then \( v \gg 0 \) is used as a simpler notation for \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \).
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for \( t \geq 0 \) and \( \Phi_{\alpha_0}(t) = \Phi_{\alpha}(t) = 0 \) for \( t < 0 \), where \( E_{\alpha,\beta}(A_0t^\beta) \) are the Mittag-Leffler functions.

If \( q \)-calculus is used then the integral formulas of Theorem 1 are not necessary to calculate the fractional Caputo-type solutions of any order as shown in the subsequent example.

Example 2. Consider the differential equation \( \dot{x}(t) = ax(t) \), \( x(0) = x_0 \). The standard solution for any real \( q \in (0,1) \) and \( t \geq 0 \) is \( x(t) = e^{a(1-q)t}x(qt) \). It is globally stable (resp., globally asymptotically stable) for \( a \leq 0 \) (resp., for \( a < 0 \) and nonnegative for any \( a \in \mathbb{R} \) if \( x(0) \geq 0 \).

The use of \( q \)-calculus for \( D_qx(t) := (x(t) - x(qt))/(1-q)t \) yields

\[
x(t) = x(qt) + (1-q)atx(t); \quad (5)
\]

then, the solution becomes

\[
x(t) = \frac{x(qt)}{1-(1-q)at}. \quad (6)
\]

(a) If \( a > 0 \) then it is positive if \( x(0) \geq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq t < 1/[(1-q)a] \) but any \( q \)-solution is unbounded if \( x(0) \neq 0 \) and \( |x(t)/x(qt)| > 1 \) if \( 0 \leq t < 1/[(1-q)a] \) and \( |x(t)/x(qt)| = +\infty \) if \( t = 1/[(1-q)a] \).

(b) If \( a = 0 \) then \( x(t) = x(0) \) so that the \( q \)-solution is constant, globally stable, and nonnegative if \( x(0) \geq 0 \).

(c) If \( a < 0 \) then \( x(t) = x(qt)/(1+(1-q)|a|t) \leq x(qt) \leq x(0); \ t \geq 0 \) with the first inequality being obvious and the second one being proved by contradiction.

Assume that the second inequality is false. Then, there is \( t > 0 \), so that there is \( qt > 0 \), such that for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), the subsequent contradiction is got:

\[
x(0) < x(qt)\]

\[
= (1 + (1-q)|a|t)x(t) \leq x(q^2t)
\]

\[
= (1 + (1-q)|a|qt)x(qt)
\]

\[
\leq \cdots \leq (1 + (1-q)|a|q^n t)x(q^{n+1}t)
\]

\[
\leq \lim_{n \to +\infty} (1 + (1-q)|a|q^n t)x(q^{n+1}t)
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to +\infty} (1 + (1-q)|a|q^n t)x(q^{n+1}t) = x(0).
\]

Since \( x(t) \) is bounded for \( t \geq 0 \) for any given \( x(0) \) then \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} x(q^n t) = 0 \). Therefore, for \( a < 0 \) the \( q \)-differential equation has a nonnegative \( q \)-solution on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \), for any \( x(0) \geq 0 \) and it is globally asymptotically stable. On the other hand, the Caputo fractional solution of real order \( \alpha \) of the associate fractional differential equation is

\[
x^{(\alpha)}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}x^{(\alpha)}(t) = ax(t), \quad x(0) = x_0, \ t \geq 0. \quad (8)
\]

The formulation within the \( q \)-calculus framework leads to

\[
x^{(\alpha-1)}(t) = x^{(\alpha-1)}(0) + \int_0^t ax(t)\,dt,
\]

\[
x^{(\alpha-2)}(t) = x^{(\alpha-2)}(0) + t x^{(\alpha-1)}(0)\]

\[
\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^t ax(t)\,dt\,dr_1,
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
x^{(\alpha-k)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k t^{i-1} x^{(i-1)}(0)\]

\[
\quad + t \int_0^t \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t x(t)\,dt\,dr_2\,dr_1,
\]

\[
x(t) = x^{(0)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k t^{i-1} x^{(i-1)}(0)\]

\[
\quad + t \int_0^t \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t x(t)\,dt\,dr_2\,dr_1.
\]

Note that the solution is positive for any order \( \alpha = k \) if \( a \geq 0 \) and \( x^{(i)}(0) \geq 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \) and \( x^{(0)}(0) = x(0) = x_0 \). Assume that, for \( a < 0 \), there is some \( t > 0 \) such that \( x(t) = 0 \) and \( x_{k-1}(t) < 0 \) for \( t \in (t, t + T) \) and some \( T > 0 \) since it follows from continuity arguments that if it is negative at a point it is also negative on some interval containing the point.

Then, the following contradiction arises:

\[
0 > x(t + T)\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^k t^{i-1} x^{(i)}(t)\]

\[
\quad + t \int_0^T \int_0^{r_1} \cdots \int_0^{r_1} x(t)\,dt\,dr_2\,dr_1
\]

\[
\geq |a| \int_0^T \int_0^{r_1} \cdots \int_0^{r_1} x(t)\,dt\,dr_2\,dr_1 > 0
\]

so that any Caputo fractional solution of any real order \( \alpha \) is nonnegative for \( t \geq 0 \) and any given initial conditions \( x^{(i)}(0) \geq 0 \) for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1 \). Since any solution is nonnegative for any nonnegative initial conditions, then if
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Lemma 3. The following properties hold.

(i) The commutation property \( D_q^j(D_q^k f)(z) = D_q^k(D_q^j f)(z) \); for all \( j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \), for all \( q \in (0, 1) \) holds for any real \( z \neq 0 \).

(ii) The commutation property \( D_q^j(D_q^k f)(z) = D_q^k(D_q^j f)(z) \); for all \( j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \), for all \( q \in (0, 1) \) holds for \( z = 0 \) for any \( j, k, n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \), such that \( n = j + k \), provided that \( (D_q^j f)(0) \) exists for any \( i \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \).

(iii) \( (D_q^k f + g)(z) = (D_q^k f)(z) + (D_q^k g)(z) \) or any real \( z \neq 0 \), and also for \( z = 0 \) if \( (D_q^k f)(0) \) exists for any \( i \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \).

(iv) \( (D_q^k f)(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - q)^z} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} f(q^j z) \); for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \), for all \( q \in (0, 1) \) holds for any real \( z \neq 0 \) and also for \( z = 0 \) if

\[
(D_q^k f)(0) = \frac{1}{(1 - q)^z} \lim_{z \to 0} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} f(q^j z) z^n \right)
\]

exist for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \).

Proof. First, note that for \( z \neq 0 \) the identity \( (D_q^k f)(z) = D_q^k(D_q^{k-1} f)(z) \) always holds for \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \), with \( (D_q^0 f)(z) = (a - b)^{(0)} = 1 \), \( (a - b)^{(z)} = a^z \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{a - bq^k}{a - bq^{k+1}} \right] \)

leading, in particular, to \( (a - b)^{(1)} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [a - bq^k] = a - b \). Formula (16) is the \( q \)-analog of the Pochhammer symbol (\( q \)-shifted factorial) [36]. The \( q \)-derivative of a function \( f \) is defined by

\[
(D_q f)(z) = f(qz) - f(z) \over (q - 1) \quad (z \neq 0), \quad (D_q f)(0) = \lim_{z \to 0} (D_q f)(z)
\]

[35, 36] and the \( q \)-derivative of high \( n \)-order of a function \( f \) is defined by \( (D_q^n f)(z) \) and

\[
(D_q^n f)(z) = D_q^n(D_q^{n-1} f)(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+.
\]

2. Preliminaries on Fractional \( q \)-Differential Systems

Fundamental definitions of \( q \)-calculus are [35–38]

\[
[a]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad q \in (0, 1).
\]

The \( q \)-power function is

\[
(a - b)^{(0)} = 1, \quad (a - b)^{(z)} = a^z \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{a - bq^k}{a - bq^{k+1}} \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{R} \neq 0; \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

If \( z \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \) then the \( q \)-power function is

\[
(a - b)^{(0)} = 1, \quad (a - b)^{(z)} = \prod_{k=0}^{z-1} \left[ \frac{a - bq^k}{a - bq^{k+1}} \right]
\]

Note that for \( a \neq 0 \), the first eigenvalue of the matrix of dynamics tends to \( +\infty \) if \( a > 0 \) and to zero if \( a < 0 \) while the second one converges to zero as \( t \to \infty \) in both cases. Thus, the error between both solutions converges asymptotically to zero if \( a \neq 0 \). If \( a = 0 \) then \( v(t) = v(qt) \), for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ \).

\[
v(t) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} e^{at(1 - q^t)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] v(qt); \quad v(t) \in \mathbb{R}_0^+.
\]
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... which ensures the existence of \((D_q^n f)(z)\) for \(n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+\), and \(z \neq 0\). Proceed now by complete induction and assume that, for some given \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+\), the commutation property below holds for the existing \((D_q^n f)(z)\) for any real \(z \neq 0\):

\[
(D_q^j f)(z) = D_q^j \left( D_q^{-1} (D_q^j f)(z) \right) = D_q^j \left( D_q^{n-1} f(z) \right).
\]

(21)

Then, by using the commutation property of the operator \((D_q D_q^{-1})\) on \(D_q f\) for any real \(z \neq 0\)

\[
(D_q D_q^{-1}) (D_q f)(z) = \left( D_q D_q^{-1} \right) (D_q f)(z)
\]

(22)

\[
= (D_q D_q^{-1} f)(z) = (D_q D_q^{-1} f)(z)
\]

(23)

The commutation of the operator composition \(D_q^n D_q^m\) for any nonzero \(z \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+\) can be proved in the same way for \(j + k = n\) and any \(n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+\), such that \((D_q^j f)(0)\) exists for \(i \in \pi = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\). Properties (i)–(iii) have been proved. Property (i) can be proved in the same way for \(j + k = n\) and any \(n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+\), such that \((D_q^j f)(0)\) exists for \(i \in \pi = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\). Properties (i)–(iii) have been proved.

On the other hand, the \(q\)-derivative operator (17) is a linear operator [37], so that

\[
(D_q (f + g))(z) = (D_q f)(z) + (D_q g)(z).
\]

(24)

Property (i) and (23) yield for \(z \neq 0\), and also for \(z = 0\) if \((D_q^j f)(0)\) exists for any \(i \in \pi = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\), the following relationships:

\[
(D_q^a (f + g))(z) = (D_q D_q^{-1} (f + g))(z)
\]

(25)

\[
= \left( D_q D_q^{-1} (f + g) \right)(z)
\]

\[
= \left( D_q D_q^{-1} \right) \left( (D_q^j f)(z) + (D_q^g)(z) \right)(z)
\]

\[
= D_q \left( (D_q^j f)(z) + (D_q^g)(z) \right)(z)
\]

\[
= \left( D_q^j f \right)(z) + \left( D_q^g \right)(z).
\]

Hence, Property (iii) follows.

To prove Property (iv), define the time \(q\)-delay operator \(z_q\) on \(f\) as \((z_q f)(z) = f(qz)\) so that \((z_q^j f)(z) = f(q^j z)\), for all \(j \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+\), with \((z_q^0 f)(z) = f(z)\). Now, for any nonzero real \(z\), assume that the property is true for \(n = 0, 1, \ldots, k\) and some given \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+\). Thus,

\[
(D_q^k f)(z) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} f \left( \frac{q^j z}{1-q} \right)
\]

(26)

for \(n = 0, 1, \ldots, k\) from (19) (first identity) and the definition of the operator \(z_q\) (second identity). Then, one gets from the definition of the \(q\)-derivative in (17) the use of the first and second identities of (25) for \((D_q^n f)(z)\), with \(n = k\), the identity \((z_q f)(z) = f(qz)\), and, finally, the second identity in (25) for \(n = k + 1\):

\[
(D_q^{k+1} f)(z) = \left( D_q D_q^{k+1} f \right)(z)
\]

(27)

\[
= \left( (1-z_q)^k f \right)(z) - \left( (1-z_q)^k f \right)(qz)
\]

(28)

\[
= \frac{(1-q)^k z^k (1-q) \left( (1-z_q)^k f \right)(z) - \left( (1-z_q)^k f \right)(qz)}{(1-q)^{k+1} z^{k+1}}
\]

(29)

so that if (19) holds for any given real \(z \neq 0\) and \(n = 0, 1, \ldots, k\), for any given \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+\), then it also holds for \(n = n + 1\), and such a nonzero real \(z\). Then, by complete induction, (19) is true for any nonnegative integer \(n\). If \((D_q^n f)(0)\) exists for any \(n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+\), the result also applies for \(z = 0\).

Assume that \(f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n\) for some real interval \([a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}\). Then, the Riemann-Liouville left-sided fractional \(q\)-derivative \(RL_{q}^{a+} f\) of order \(\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{0+}\), of the vector function \(f\) in \([a, b]\) is point-wise defined as

\[
RL^{a+}_{q} f(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma_q \left( k - \alpha \right)} \left( D_q^k \left( \int_a^t f(\tau) \frac{d \tau}{(t - q \tau)^{\alpha+1-k}} \right) \right),
\]

(29)

for \(t \in [a, b]\),

where \(k = \lfloor \text{Re} \alpha \rfloor + 1\) and \(\Gamma_q : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\), where \(\mathbb{Z}_0^- : = \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \leq 0 \}\), is the \(q\)-gamma function defined as

\[
\Gamma_q(z) = (1-q)^{(z-1)/(1-q)} z^{-1} \Gamma_q\left( (1-q)^{z-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( 1-q^j (1-q) ^{(z-1)} \right) \right) ; \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{0-} \quad \text{which satisfies the following relations} \ [35, 36]:
\]

\[
\Gamma_q(z + 1) = (1-q)^{(z)} (1-q)^{-z} = [z_q]_\pi \Gamma_q(z),
\]

(30)

where \([z_q]_\pi = (1-q^j)/(1-q)\) for \(z \in \mathbb{R}\) is a \(q\)-real number. Remember that the usual gamma function is defined by

\[
\Gamma(z) := \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt; \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{0-}\). Now, we can replace the standard simple and higher-order derivatives under the integral symbol by their \(q\)-derivative versions to
build the Caputo fractional \( q \)-derivative \( C D^\alpha_{q,t} f \) by using the identities
\[
(D^k_q f)(\tau) = f^{(k)}(\tau) = \frac{d^k f}{d \tau^k}
\]
(29)
\[= D_q (D^{k-1}_q f)(\tau) = D^{k-1}_q (D_q f)(\tau)
\]
in \([a,b]\); then the Caputo left-sided fractional \( q \)-derivative \( C D^\alpha_{q,t} f \) of order \( \alpha \in C_0^+ \) of the vector function \( f \) in \([a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \) is point-wise defined as
\[
(C D^\alpha_{q,t} f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k-\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-\tau)^{k-\alpha-1} (D^k_q f)(\tau)d\tau; \quad t \in [a,b],
\]
(30)
where \( k = [\text{Re } \alpha] + 1 \) if \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \) and \( k = \alpha \) if \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \). Note that the existence of \( f \in C^k([a,b], \mathbb{R}^n) \) in (30) is not required, as it is required in the standard fractional calculus for the existence of the standard and higher-order fractional \( q \)-derivatives is ensured.

**Example 4.** Consider the differential dynamic system
\[
\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^p A_i (t-h_i) + Bu(t); \quad t \in [0, \infty),
\]
(31)
where \( 0 = h_0 < h_1 < h_2 < \cdots < h_p = h < \infty \) are distinct constant delays, \( A_0, A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \); for all \( i \in \overline{p} \), \( B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \) is the control matrix and with initial condition of the state is given by the real vector function \( \phi : [-h,0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \), which is absolutely continuous except eventually in a set of zero measure of \([0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R} \) of bounded discontinuities with \( \phi(0) = x_0 \). It turns out that, under the given assumptions, \( x : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \) and then the solution is nonnegative; for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ \) since \( e^{A_0 \tau} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ \) since \( A_0 \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n} \). On the other hand,
\[
x_i(t) = e^\tau e^{A_i \tau} x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^\tau e^{A_j \tau} A_j x_j(\tau-h_j)d\tau \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+.
\]

**Proposition 7.** Consider the \( q \)-calculus version of (31) under similar initial conditions:
\[
(D_q^\alpha x)(t) := \frac{x(t) - x(qt)}{(1-q)t} = \sum_{i=0}^p A_i (t-h_i) + Bu(t); \quad t \in [0, \infty).
\]
(34)
Assume that \( \phi : [-h,0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \), \( u : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \), \( A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \) for all \( i \in \overline{p} \). Then,
1. \( x(t) \geq 0 \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ \) if either \( 1 > A_{0i} > 0 \) or \( A_{0i} \leq 0 \), \( A_{0ij} = 0 \) for all \( i,j (\neq i) \in \overline{n} \).
The solution of (34) is
\[
x(t) = (I - A_0)^{-1} \left( x(qt) + (1 - q) t \sum_{i=1}^{p} A_i x(t - h_i) \right);
\]
\[\forall t \in R_0^+\] (35)

provided that \((I - A_0)^{-1}\) exists. Since \(\varphi : [-h, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+, u : R_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+, A_j \in M^{\text{non}},\) for nonnegativity of the solutions the existence of \((I - A_0)^{-1}\) in \(\mathbb{R}_0^+\) is also required. Note that if \((I - A_0) \in \mathbb{R}_0^\text{mon}+\) and nonsingular then \((I - A_0)^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}_0^\text{mon}\) if and only if \((I - A_0) \in \mathbb{R}_0^\text{mon}\) is monomial (or generalized permutation matrix, i.e., it has only a nonzero entry per row and then only a nonzero entry per column). Due to its structure, this condition can be fulfilled with \(A_0\) being diagonal, that is, \(A_{0ij} = 0\) for \(i,j \in \mathbb{n}\) in order that \((I - A_0)\) is monomial and \((I - A_0) \in \mathbb{R}_0^\text{mon}\). Then, \((I - A_0)^{-1}\) in \(\mathbb{R}_0^\text{mon}\) if and only if for all \(i \in \mathbb{n}\) either \(1 > A_{0ij} > 0\) or \(A_{0ij} \leq 0\). Hence, Property (i) follows directly. Property (ii) follows since, under the given constraints, \((A_0) \in M^{\text{non}},\) with \(A_{0ij} = -1\) for all \(i \in \mathbb{n}, (I - A_0) \in \mathbb{R}_0^\text{mon}\) and Metzler, monomial (then nonsingular) so that \((I - A_0)^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}_0^\text{mon}\). Hence, Property (ii) follows. \(\square\)

The following extension of Proposition 7 is obvious.

**Proposition 8.** Proposition 7 still holds if \(A_j : R_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^\text{mon}+;\) \(i \in \mathbb{n} \cup \{0\}\.\)

### 3. Fractional \(q\)-Differential Dynamic Systems of Order \(\alpha\) with Internal Point Delays

The fractional Caputo \(q\)-differential dynamic systems of order \(\alpha\) can become modified from (2). The functions \(\Gamma_q(k - \alpha), D_q^k\int_a^t (t - q\tau)^{k-\alpha-1} f(\tau) d_q\tau\), and \(\int_a^t (t - q\tau)^{k-\alpha-1} (D_q^k f)(\tau) d_q\tau\) are now displayed to be used later in order to solve such a differential system. The combination of (2) with (24) with the replacement of \(f(t)\) with \(x(t)\) leads to

\[
\frac{1}{\Gamma_q(k - \alpha)} \int_a^t (t - q\tau)^{k-\alpha-1} D_q^k x(\tau) d_q\tau = \sum_{i=0}^{p} A_i x(t - h_i) + Bu(t); \quad t \in [a,b].
\]

(a) If \(\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_0^+\) and \(\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^+,\) then \(k < \alpha + 1,\) and

\[
\frac{1}{\Gamma_q(k - \alpha)} = \frac{(1 - q)^{k-\alpha-1}}{(1 - q)^{k-\alpha-1}} = (1 - q)^{k-\alpha-1} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ 1 - \frac{q^j}{1 - q^{j+1}} \right].
\]

(b) If \(\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+\), then \(k = \alpha,\) and

\[
\frac{1}{\Gamma_q(0)} = \frac{1 - q}{(1 - q)^{(1)}} = 1.
\]

Now consider, from (2) and (24), the linear time-varying differential functional left-sided Caputo fractional \(q\)-differential system of order \(\alpha\)

\[
\left( C D_q^n + M + \int_a^t (t - q\tau)^{k-\alpha-1} (D_q^k x)(\tau) d_q\tau \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{p} A_i (t - h_i) \right) + Bu(t)
\]

with \(k - 1 < \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_0^+\) \((k - 1) = k < \alpha + 1,\) \(h_0 < h_1 < h_2 < \cdots < h_p = \infty\) being distinct constant delays; \(A_0 : R_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+, A_1 : R_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+(i \in \mathbb{p} := \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}),\) are the bounded matrix functions of dynamics for each delay \(h_i,\) \(i \in \mathbb{p} \cup \{0\}\), and \(B \in \mathbb{R}_0^+.\) \((\psi)(0) = x(0) = x(j)(0) = x_{j0},\) and \(u \in \mathbb{R}_0^+.\) \(x(\cdot)\) is a bounded piecewise continuous control function. The Jackson integral in the integral term in (39) becomes

\[
\int_a^t (t - q\tau)^{k-\alpha-1} (D_q^n x)(\tau) d_q\tau = \int_a^t (t - q\tau)^{k-\alpha-1} (D_q^n x)(\tau) d_q\tau
\]

\[
- \int_0^a (t - q\tau)^{k-\alpha-1} (D_q^n x)(\tau) d_q\tau
\]

\[
- (1 - q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{n+1})^{k-\alpha-1} a^{k-\alpha-1} q^n (D_q^n x)(\psi^n) a
\]

(40)

for \(t \geq a.\) By replacing the dummy argument \(\tau\) under the two integral symbols in (40) by \(q^n t\) and \(q^n a,\) respectively, since (see [37]):

\[
\int_0^t f(\tau) d_q\tau = (1 - q) t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(q^n t) q^n.
\]

The series in (41) is convergent for all \(t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+\) since the function \(f\) satisfies \(|f(t)| \leq Bt^{C-1}\) in a right neighborhood of \(t = 0\) for some real constants \(B > 0\) and \(C > -1.\) So, the Jackson integral in (40) is convergent since \(\|D_q^n x(t)\| < B t^{C+n-1-k}\) in some right neighborhood of \(t = 0\) for real
constants $B > 0$ and $C > -1$ [37, 39]. Equations (40) and (39), together with (18), Lemma 3 (see (19)), and (17), yield:

$$
(CD_{q^a}^\alpha x)(t) = (1 - q)k_{-1}^{-1} \prod_{j=0}^\infty \left[ 1 - \frac{q^{k+j-\alpha}}{1-q^{j-1}} \right] \\
\times (1 - q) \left[ t \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( 1 - q^{n+1} \right) k_{-1}^{-1} q^n \right] \\
\times D \left( \left( D_{q^a}^\alpha x \right)(q^n t) - \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( 1 - q^{n+1} \right) k_{-1}^{-1} \right] \\
\times D \left( \left( D_{q^a}^\alpha x \right)(q^n t) \right) \left( q^n a \right) \\
= \sum_{i=0}^p A_i (t) x(t - h_i) + B(t) u(t).
$$

(42)

The following auxiliary results hold concerning the product $\prod_{i=0}^n \left( (1 - q^{k+j-\alpha})/(1 - q^{j-1}) \right)$ and the series $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( 1 - q^{n+1} \right) k_{-1}^{-1} q^n D(D_{q^a}^\alpha x)(q^n t)$.

**Lemma 9.** Define $P_n := \prod_{i=0}^n \left( (1 - q^{k+j-\alpha})/(1 - q^{j-1}) \right)$. Then $P_{n+1} = g_n P_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where

(a) $P_0 = 0$ and $g_n = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, so that $P_n = 0$, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$;

(b) $P_0 = (1 - q^{-\alpha})/(1 - q)$ and $g_n := (k + n + 1 - \alpha)/\sum_{i=1}^n q^i < 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, if $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_+$;

(c) $\exists \lim_{n \to \infty} P_n = P^*$.

**Proof.** Note that

1. $P_{n+1}/P_n = ((1 - q^{k+n+1-\alpha})/(1 - q^n))/((1 - q^{k+n-\alpha})/(1 - q^n)) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$;

2. if $k = \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $P_0 = 0$, $P_1 = 0$, $P_{n+1}/P_n = 1$ $P = P_0 = (k - \alpha)_q = [k - \alpha]_q = [1]_q = 0$;

3. if $\alpha \notin \mathbb{R}_+$, with $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $P_0 = (1 - q^{-\alpha})/(1 - q)$, $P_1 = (1 - q^{-\alpha})/(1 - q)$, $P_{n+1}/P_n = ((1 - q^{k+n+1-\alpha})/(1 - q^n))/((1 - q^{k+n-\alpha})/(1 - q^n)) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$.

**Lemma 10.** Assume that $\|(D_{q^a}^\alpha x)(t)\|^{k_{y_1}^{-\gamma} - 1}$ is bounded in a right neighborhood $(0, t_0)$ of $t = 0$ for some $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and some real constant $1 > y \geq 0$. Define

$$
S(t, n) := \sum_{i=0}^n \left( 1 - q^{i+1} \right) k_{y_1}^{-\gamma} \left[ (D_{q^a}^\alpha x)(q^{i+1} t) - (D_{q^a}^\alpha x)(q^i t) \right] \\
= q^{-\gamma} \left( S(q t, n) - S(t, n) \right); \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.
$$

Then, the following identities hold:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^n \left( 1 - q^{i+1} \right) k_{y_1}^{-\gamma} \left[ D_{q^a}^\alpha x(q^{i+1} t) - D_{q^a}^\alpha x(q^i t) \right] \\
= q^{-\gamma} \left( S^*(q t) - S^*(t) \right); \quad \forall t \in [0, t_0),
$$

(43)

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{q^j}{(1 - q^{i+1})(1 - q)^k} \frac{k}{t^a} \left( q_{i+j}^k \right) x(q^{i+j} t) \\
= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{q^j}{(1 - q^{i+1})(1 - q)^k} \frac{k}{t^a} \left( q_{i+j}^k \right) x(q^{i+j} t)
$$

(47)

for any given $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, if $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_+$, where $k = [\text{Re} \alpha] + 1$, $q_N = (q, q^2, \ldots, q^{N+1})$, $\tilde{S}_N = (\tilde{q}_{N+1}, \tilde{q}_{N+2}, \tilde{q}_{N+3}, \ldots)$, $S^*(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} S(t, n)$; for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, since such a limit function exists; for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $\tilde{S}_N(t) \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$; for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

(48)
where \( \{\varepsilon_N\} \) is a nonnegative real sequence which converges to 0; \( \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) is subject to \( 1 > \gamma > q \). \( (\tilde{q}_N t) \) + \( B u(t) \); \( t \in [0, \infty) \)

\[
S(t, n + 1) = S(t, n) + d(t, n) \left( D_{q}^{\alpha} x(t) \right) (q^{n+1} t);
\]

\[
\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+},
\]

\[
\exists \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( (1 - q^{n+1}) \left( D_{q}^{\alpha} x(t) \right) (q^{n+1} t) \right) = 0;
\]

\[
\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{+},
\]

where \( d(t, n) = (1 - q^{n+1}) \left( D_{q}^{\alpha} x(t) \right) q^{n+1} \).

Proof. Since \( \| (D_{q}^{\alpha} x(t)) \|_{L^\infty} \) is bounded in a right neighbourhood \([0, t_0)\) of \( t = 0 \) for some real constant \( 1 > \gamma \geq 0 \) then the Jackson integral

\[
\int_{0}^{t} (t - q \tau)^{k-\alpha-1} \left( D_{q}^{\alpha} x(t) \right) (\tau) d_{q} \tau
\]

converges to a function \( F(t) \) on \([0, t_0)\) which is \( q \)-antiderivative of \( (t - q \tau)^{k-\alpha-1} \left( D_{q}^{\alpha} x(t) \right) (\tau) \) and which is continuous at \( t = 0 \) with \( F(0) = 0 \) and is a unique \((t - q \tau)^{k-\alpha-1} \left( D_{q}^{\alpha} x(t) \right) (\tau) \) in this class of functions \([39]\).

Note that \( S(t, n) \leq S'(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} S(t, n) \); for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, t \in [0, t_0) \) since the series \( S(t, n) \) is convergent, since the Jackson integral (52) is convergent for each fix \( t \in [0, t_0) \), and non-decreasing with \( n \) since it consists of nonnegative terms. It follows from (43), with the replacement \( q \), that

\[
S(qt, n) = q^{k-\alpha} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{n} (1 - q^{j+1})^{k-\alpha-1} t^{k-\alpha} q^{j} \left( D_{q}^{\alpha} x \right) (q^{j+1} t) \right]
\]

for all \( t \in [0, t_0) \) and hence (44) follows. By taking limits as \( n \to \infty \) in (44), one gets (46)–(48) since the limit \( S'(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} S(t, n) \) exists for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \) by using Lemma 3(iv) for the expansion of the \( q \)-derivative of order \( k \) since \( q^{n} \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Equation (49) follows by defining \( \gamma : = (1 - q^{n+1})^{k-\alpha-1}/q < 1 \) with \( \gamma' \leq \gamma' < 1 \) for some \( 1 > \gamma > q \); for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) or equivalently

\[
\left( 1 - q^{n+1} \right)^{1+\alpha-k} \geq \left( \frac{q}{\gamma} \right)^{i} \iff 1 \geq q^{n+1} + \left( \frac{q}{\gamma} \right)^{i(1+\alpha-k)}.
\]

Hence, (46)–(48) follow from (55) for some strictly decreasing real sequence \( \{\varepsilon_N\} \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), such that \( |x(q^{n+1} t)| \leq |x(0)| + \varepsilon_N \); for all \( i \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \) for \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, k \).

The quantum Caputo fractional solutions have explicit expressions as formulated in the subsequent result.

**Theorem 11.** Consider the left-sided \( q \)-fractional Caputo solution of or fractional order \( \alpha \) of the functional differential system

\[
(C_{q}^{\alpha} x(t)) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_j(t) x(t - h_j) + B(t) u(t); \quad t \in [0, \infty)
\]

with initial condition of the state \( x: [-h, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n \) being defined by \( k \)-real vector functions \( q_j: [-h, 0) \to \mathbb{R}^n \), with \( j \in k \cdot \mathbb{N} \cup [0] \) and \( \varphi_j(0) = x(0) \) and \( x(0) = x_0 \) \( j \in k \cdot \mathbb{N} \cup [0] \), and \( u : \mathbb{R}_{+} \to \mathbb{R}^m \) is a bounded piecewise continuous control function and \( h = h_j = \max_{l \leq l \leq p} h_l \).

Thus, the unique left-sided solution of (55) is calculated almost everywhere via analytical expressions obtained from Lemma 10 as follows.

(i) If \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{+} \) so that \( k = \lfloor \text{Re} \alpha \rfloor + 1 \) then one gets for any given \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \) that

\[
x(t) = \left( \frac{(P_N + \rho_N)}{(1 - q^{\alpha})^{1+\alpha-k}} I - A_0(t) \right)^{-1}
\]

\[
\times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j(t) x(t - h_j) + (P_N + \rho_N) (1 - q^{\alpha}) \right)
\]

\[
\times \left[ \tilde{S}_N (\tilde{q}_N t) + S_N (\tilde{q}_N t) \right] + B(t); \quad t \in [0, \infty)
\]

for all \( t \in TR_{NK} \), with \( TR_{NK} := \{ t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} : ((P_N + \rho_N)/(1 - q^{\alpha})^{1+\alpha-k}) I - A_0(t) \) is non singular \}. 

...
(ii) If \( k = (\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_0+) \) then one gets for any given \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_n \) that
\[
x(t) = \left( \frac{1}{(1-q)^{\alpha+1}t^\alpha}I - A_0(t) \right)^{-1} x(0)
\times \left( \sum_{j=0}^{p} A_j(t) x(t-h_j) - S_N(t) \right) + B(t)u(t)
\]
\[
\times \left( \sum_{j=0}^{p} + \frac{1}{(1-q)^{\alpha+1}t^\alpha} x(t) - S^*(t) + B(t)u(t) \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{p} A_j(t) x(t-h_j) + B(g)u(t) \quad \text{if } k = (\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_n+)
\]
(57)

for all \( t \in TR_n \), where \( TR_n := \{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{j}{1-(1-q)^{\alpha+1}t^\alpha}I - A_0(t) \text{ is nonsingular} \} \).

Proof. One can evaluate the approximation of (42) for \( a = 0 \) and \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_0+ \) by using (46) as
\[
\left( C_{D_0^a}^\alpha x \right)(t) = \left( P_N + \rho_N \right) (1-q)^{k-\alpha}
\times \left[ \frac{1}{(1-q)^{\alpha+1}t^\alpha} x(t) + S_N(t) \right] + S_N(t)
\]
\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{p} A_j(t) x(t-h_j) + B(t)u(t) \quad \text{if } \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_0+
\]
(58)

where
\[
\rho_N := P^* - P_N \rightarrow 0, \quad S_N(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty; \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+.
\]
(59)

with \( \rho_N \rightarrow 0, \sigma_0(t) \rightarrow 0 \) as \( N \rightarrow \infty \), for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ \).

On the other hand, for \( a = 0 \) and \( k = \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_0+ \), note that
\[
1/\Gamma(0) = (1-q)^{k-\alpha} [\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1-(d^{\alpha+1}t^\alpha)/(1-q^{j+1}))] = P_N
\]

Thus, the (unique) left-sided solution of (55) follows directly from (59) and (60).

A variant of Theorem 11 might be obtained in a simple way by selecting the initial point \( \alpha = a(t) = t - \zeta \) so that the real interval \([t - a(t), t]\) has a constant measure for each \( (\geq 0) \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ \), independent of \( t \). For that purpose, one implements the quantum fractional left-sided Caputo derivative with the operator \( (C_{D_0^a}^\alpha x)(t) \) replacing \( (C_{D_0^a}^\alpha x)(t) \) while fixing \( a(t) = t - \zeta \) in (42) to reformulate (55) with the appropriate “ad hoc” modifications of (56) and (57).

Theorem 12. Consider the left-sided \( q \)-fractional Caputo solution of or fractional order \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_0+ \) of the functional differential system
\[
\left( C_{D_0^a}^\alpha x \right)(t)
\]
\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{p} A_j(t) x(t-h_j) + B(t)u(t); \quad t \in [0, \infty)
\]
(61)

for some prefixed \( \zeta \in \mathbb{R}_0+ \), with initial condition of the state \( x : [-h, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+ \) being defined by \( k \) \( n \)-real vector functions \( q_j : [-h, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+ \), with \( j \in k = 1 \cup [0], \) which are bounded with \( q_j(0) = x_j(0) = x_j(0) = x_{j-1} \) \( j \in k = 1 \cup [0], \) and \( u : \mathbb{R}_0+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+ \) is a bounded piecewise continuous control function and \( h = h = \max_{\zeta \leq \zeta} h \).

Thus, the unique left-sided solution of (55) is calculated almost everywhere via analytical expressions as follows.

(i) If \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_0+ \) so that \( k = [\text{Re } \alpha] + 1 \) then one gets for any given \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_n \) that
\[
x(t) = \left( \frac{P_N + \rho_N}{1-q} \right) I - A_0(t) \right)^{-1} \]
\[
\times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j(t) x(t-h_j) + B(t)u(t)
\]
\[
+ (P_N + \rho_N) \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^{j+1}}\right) x(t) - S^*(t) + B(t)u(t) \right)
\]
\[
+ \left( S_N(t) \right)(q_N(t - \zeta)) - S_N(q_N(t - \zeta)) \right)
\]
(62)
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for all \( t \in TR_{NK} \), where \( TR_{NK} := \{ t \in \mathbb{R} : ((P_N + \rho_N)/(1-q^{2\kappa+1}t^\kappa))I - A_0(t) \) is nonsingular, where \( \tilde{S}_{N(t-\kappa)}(\tilde{q}_N(t)) \) and \( \tilde{S}_{N(t-\zeta)}(\tilde{q}_N(t)) \) are got by replacing \( t \rightarrow (t - \zeta) \) in their counterparts \( \tilde{S}_{Nt}(\tilde{q}_Nt) \) and \( \tilde{S}_{Nt}(\tilde{q}_Nt) \) defined in (47)-(48).

(ii) If \( k = (\alpha \in \mathbb{Z})_a \) then one gets for any given \( N \in \mathbb{Z} \), that
\[
x(t) = \left( \frac{1}{1-q} \right)^{a_1} t^a - A_0(t) \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty (q_{ij} t - \tilde{S}_{Nt}(\tilde{q}_N t)) \times (\tilde{q}_N - \tilde{S}_{Nt}(\tilde{q}_N t)) \right);
\]

Proof. One gets from Lemma 10, (48) that
\[
\| \tilde{S}_{N(t-\kappa)}(\tilde{q}_N(t-\kappa)) - \tilde{S}_{Nt}(\tilde{q}_N t) \| \leq \left( \sum_{j=N+1}^\infty \frac{q^j}{(1-q)^{k+1}(1-q^{N+1})} \right) \times \frac{1}{t^a} \left( \| x(t) \| \right) \leq \frac{q^{N+1}}{q^{1+1}(1-q^{N+1})} \times \sup_{t \in [0]} \left( \| x(q^{ij} t) \| \right);
\]

since
\[
\sum_{j=N+1}^\infty \frac{q^j}{1-q} \leq \sum_{j=N+1}^\infty \frac{q^j}{1-q^{N+1}} \leq \frac{q^{N+1}}{(1-q)(1-q^{N+1})}.
\]

Proof. One gets from Lemma 10, (48) that
\[
\| \tilde{S}_{N(t-\kappa)}(\tilde{q}_N(t-\kappa)) - \tilde{S}_{Nt}(\tilde{q}_N t) \| \leq \left( \sum_{j=N+1}^\infty \frac{q^j}{(1-q)^{k+1}(1-q^{N+1})} \right) \times \frac{1}{t^a} \left( \| x(t) \| \right) \leq \frac{q^{N+1}}{q^{1+1}(1-q^{N+1})} \times \sup_{t \in [0]} \left( \| x(q^{ij} t) \| \right);
\]

and hence Property (i) follows since one has from (67) and (69) for some finite positive real constants \( M_1 = M(\varepsilon, T_1) \) and \( M_2 = M(\zeta + \varepsilon, T_2) \) that
\[
\| \tilde{S}_{Nt}(\tilde{q}_N t) \| \leq \frac{M_1}{(1-q)^{k+1}(1-q^{N+1})} \times \sup_{t \in [\varepsilon]} \left( \| x(q^{ij} t) \| \right) \leq \frac{M_1}{(1-q)^{k+1}(1-q^{N+1})} M_2; \quad \forall t \in [\varepsilon, T_1],
\]

and
\[
\| \tilde{S}_{Nt}(\tilde{q}_N t) - \tilde{S}_{N(t-\kappa)}(\tilde{q}_N(t-\kappa)) \| \leq \frac{2q^{N+1} M_2}{(1-q)^{k+1}(1-q^{N+1})}; \quad \forall t \in [\varepsilon, T_2].
\]
Note that if the solution of (61) satisfies (65) then
\[
\left\| \tilde{S}_{N(t-\zeta)}(\tilde{q}_N(t-\zeta)) - \tilde{S}_{Nt} (\tilde{q}_N t) \right\| 
\leq \left\| \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{(1-q^i+1)(1-q^i)k} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \left( \frac{x(q^i t)}{(t-\zeta)^a} - \frac{x(q^i t)}{t^a} \right) \left( \frac{x(q^i t)}{(t-\zeta)^a} - \frac{x(q^i t)}{t^a} \right) \right\|
\leq K_{qN}^{1-q(N-1)} \left\| \left( \frac{x(q t)}{(t-\zeta)^a} - \frac{x(q t)}{t^a} \right) \right\| .
\] (71)

Then,
\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \left\| \tilde{S}_{N(t-\zeta)}(\tilde{q}_N(t-\zeta)) - \tilde{S}_{Nt} (\tilde{q}_N t) \right\| 
\leq K_{qN}^{1-q(N-1)} \sup_{t \to \infty} \left( \left\| \left( \frac{x(q t)}{(t-\zeta)^a} - \frac{x(q t)}{t^a} \right) \right\| \right).
\] (72)

so that
\[
0 \leq \limsup_{t \to \infty} \lim_{N \to \infty} \left\| \tilde{S}_{N(t-\zeta)}(\tilde{q}_N(t-\zeta)) - \tilde{S}_{Nt} (\tilde{q}_N t) \right\|
\leq \left( \lim_{N \to \infty} K_{qN}^{1-q(N-1)} \right) \limsup_{t \to \infty} (0(t-\zeta)^a) = 0
\] (73)
and then \( \exists \lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{t \to \infty} \left\| \tilde{S}_{N(t-\zeta)}(\tilde{q}_N(t-\zeta)) - \tilde{S}_{Nt} (\tilde{q}_N t) \right\| = 0. \) Hence, Property (ii) follows.

The subsequent result follows directly from Theorem 12 and Lemma 13.

**Theorem 14.** The following properties hold for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ \).

(i) If \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_0 \), so that \( k = \lfloor \text{Re} \alpha \rfloor + 1 \) then one gets
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \left\| x(t) - \left( \frac{p^*}{(1-q)^{a+1}t^a} - A_0(t) \right)^{-1} \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j(t) x(t-h_j) + B(t) u(t) \right) + \tilde{S}_{N(t-\zeta)}(\tilde{q}_N(t-\zeta)) \right\| = 0.
\] (74)

(ii) If \( k = (\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_0) \), then one gets
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \left\| x(t) - \left( \frac{1}{(1-q)^{a+1}t^a} - A_0(t) \right)^{-1} \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j(t) x(t-h_j) + B(t) u(t) \right) + \tilde{S}_{N(t-\zeta)}(\tilde{q}_N(t-\zeta)) \right\| = 0.
\] (75)

for any real \( \epsilon \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( \zeta + \epsilon \leq T < \infty \), where
\[
\overline{T R}_{\alpha} := \left\{ t \in [\zeta + \epsilon, T) : \left( \frac{p^*}{(1-q)^{a+1}t^a} - A_0(t) \right) \text{ is nonsingular} \right\}.
\] (76)
If, in addition, $A_0$ is nonsingular and $\limsup_{t \to \infty} |x(t)| = 0$, then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{N \to \infty} \left\| x(t) + A_0^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j x(t) + B(t)u(t) + \tilde{S}_N t \right) \right\| = 0.$$

(79)

4. Nonnegativity of the $q$-Solutions of Caputo Fractional Order $\alpha$

The following two results hold for the nonnegativity for all time of the solutions of (55) under certain nonnegative controls.

**Theorem 15.** Consider the Caputo fractional $q$-differential system of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ (55) under a piecewise-continuous control vector function $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and any set of vector functions of initial conditions $\varphi_j : [-h,0] \to \mathbb{R}_+^n$, for all $j \in k-1 \cup [0]$. Assume also that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_+$, $B(t) = (b_{ij}(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a monomial diagonal matrix (i.e., $b_{ii} > 0$ and $b_{ij} = 0$; for all $i, j (\neq i) \in \mathbb{N}$) and that the control components satisfy the constraints for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and some real nonnegative strictly decreasing sequence $\{\epsilon_n\}$:

$$u_i(t) \geq \max \left( 0, b_{ii}^{-1}(t) \right)$$

(80)

where $e_i$ is the unit Euclidean vector of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with its $i$th component being one. Then, the solution of (55) is nonnegative, that is, $x : [-h,0] \cup \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+^n$ under a nonnegative real control $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+^n$ if one of the following conditions holds.

(C1) Either $(P_N + \rho_N)/(1-q)^{2\alpha-k+1}r^\alpha$ or $A_0(0)$ such that $A_{0i}(0) > 0$ or $A_{0i}(t) \leq 0$ with $\limsup_{t \to \infty} A_{0i}(t) < 0$ and $A_{0i}(t) = 0$, for all $i, j (\neq i) \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, or

(C2) $-A_0(t) \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}}$, $A_{0i}(0) = -(P_N + \rho_N)/(1-q)^{2\alpha-k+1}r^\alpha$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_{0i}(t) \leq 0$ with $A_{0i}(t) < 0$ for only one $j \in \mathbb{N}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

**Proof.** Since $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $k = |\text{Re}\alpha| + 1$ and one gets from (55)–(58), (49), and (80) that

$$x(t) \geq \left( \frac{P_N + \rho_N}{(1-q)^{2\alpha-k+1}r^\alpha} I - A_0(t) \right)^{-1}$$

$$\times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j x(t-h_j) - P_N(1-q)^{k-\alpha} \right) + B(t)u(t) \geq 0; \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

(81)

since $(((P_N + \rho_N)/(1-q)^{2\alpha-k+1}r^\alpha) I - A_0(t))^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, under any of conditions (C1) or (C2) where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a real vector with all its components being one, since

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j x(t-h_j) - \tilde{S}_N t \right) + \left( \frac{y}{q} \right)^{N+1}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{(k+1)(\|x(0)\| + \epsilon_N)}{(1-\gamma)(1-q)^k r^\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (\gamma^j \left( k \atop j \right) \tilde{e} + B(t)u(t) \right) \geq 0; \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

(82)

from (80) and any of (C1)-(C2) implies the existence of $(((P_N + \rho_N)/(1-q)^{2\alpha-k+1}r^\alpha) I - A_0(t))^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

**Theorem 16.** Under similar assumptions for the control, the matrix $B$ and the initial conditions as in Theorem 15, assume
that \( \alpha = k \in \mathbb{Z}_{0+} \), \( B(t) = (b_j(t)) \in \mathbb{R}_{\alpha}^{m \times n} \) and that the control components satisfy the constraints

\[
u_j(t) \geq \max \left( 0, b_{ij}^{-1}(t) \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \left( \frac{y}{q^k} \right)^{N+1} (\tilde{q}_N)^T - \left( \frac{y}{q^k} \right)^{N+1} \right) \]

\[
\times \left( \frac{(k+1) \alpha_{ij}}{(1-\gamma)(1-q)^k} \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( 0 \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} (-1)^j \left( \frac{y}{q^k} \right)^{N+1} \right) \]

\[
\geq 0; \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{0+}
\]

(83)

for some \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), some real nonnegative strictly decreasing sequence \( \{\epsilon_n\} \). Then, \( x : [-h,0] \cup \mathbb{R}_{0+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0+}^n \) under a nonnegative real control \( u : \mathbb{R}_{0+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0+}^m \) if one of the following conditions holds.

(C3) Either \((1-q)^{-\alpha/(1-q)\alpha + 1} = A_{0i}(t) > 0 \) or \( A_{0i}(t) \leq 0 \) with \( \limsup_{t \rightarrow -\infty} A_{0i}(t) < 0 \) and \( A_{0i}(t) = 0 \) for all \( i, j \neq i \in \mathbb{n} \); for each \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{0+} \), or

(C4) \((-A_0(t)) \in \mathbb{M} \mathbb{R}_{\alpha}^{m \times n} \) with \( A_{0i}(t) = -(1-q)^{-\alpha/(1-q)\alpha + 1} \); for all \( i \in \mathbb{n} \) \( A_{0j}(t) \leq 0 \) with \( A_{0j}(t) < 0 \) for only one \( j \neq i \in \mathbb{n} \); for each \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{0+} \).

Proof. Since \( \alpha = k \in \mathbb{Z}_{0+} \), one gets from (55)–(58), (49), and (83) that

\[
x(t) \geq \left( \frac{1}{1-q} \right)^{\alpha + 1} I - A_0(t)^{-1}
\]

\[
\times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j(t) x(t-h_j) - (P_N + \rho_N) (1-q)^{k-\alpha}
\]

\[
\times \left( \tilde{S}_{N+1}(\tilde{q}_N)^T + \left( \frac{y}{q^k} \right)^{N+1} \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{(k+1) \alpha_{ij}}{(1-\gamma)(1-q)^k} \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} (-1)^j \left( \frac{y}{q^k} \right)^{N+1} \right) \]

\[
\geq 0; \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{0+}
\]

(84)

since there is \( ((1/(1-q)^{\alpha + 1} I - A_0(t)^{-1}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\alpha}^{m \times n} \), for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{0+} \) under any of conditions (C3) or (C4). Then,

\[
x(t) \geq \left( \frac{1}{1-q} \right)^{\alpha + 1} I - A_0(t)^{-1}
\]

\[
\times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j(t) x(t-h_j) - \tilde{S}_{N+1}(\tilde{q}_N)^T
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{y}{q^k} \right)^{N+1} (\alpha + 1) \left( \frac{\|x(0)\| + \epsilon_N}{1-\gamma} \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} (-1)^j \left( \frac{y}{q^k} \right)^{N+1} \right) \]

\[
\geq 0; \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{0+}
\]

(85)

from (83) and any of conditions (C3) or (C4) imply from Proposition 7 the existence of \(((1/(1-q)^{\alpha + 1} I - A_0(t)^{-1}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\alpha}^{m \times n} \), for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{0+} \).

Remark 17. If the \( q \)-Caputo fractional differential system (61) is considered instead of (55), Theorems 15 and 16 apply with the replacements

\[
\sup_{t \geq N} \left( \frac{1}{(t-\zeta)_{\alpha}} \right)
\]

\[
\sup_{t \geq N} \left( \frac{1}{(t-\zeta)_{\alpha}} \right)
\]

\[
\sup_{t \geq N} \left( \frac{1}{(t-\zeta)_{\alpha}} \right)
\]

\[
\sup_{t \geq N} \left( \frac{1}{(t-\zeta)_{\alpha}} \right)
\]

\[
\sup_{t \geq N} \left( \frac{1}{(t-\zeta)_{\alpha}} \right)
\]

(86)

\[
\sup_{t \geq N} \left( \frac{1}{(t-\zeta)_{\alpha}} \right)
\]

(87)

for any given \( \zeta \in \mathbb{R}_{0+} \) and for all \( t \leq \zeta \in \mathbb{R}_{0+} \) with the second replacement leading to the replacement below in (80)–(85) from (67) so as to keep the validity of both theorems under the modified nonnegative controls (80) and (83), respectively:

\[
\left( \frac{y}{q^k} \right)^{N+1} (k+1) \left( \frac{\|x(0)\| + \epsilon_N}{1-\gamma} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{q^{N+1}}{(1-q)^{k+1}(1-q^{N+1})}
\]

\[
\times \sup_{t \geq N} \left( \frac{1}{(t-\zeta)_{\alpha}} \right)
\]

(84)

Theorem 15 is extendable for a nondiagonal square or a rectangular control matrix as follows.

Theorem 18. Consider (55) with \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{0+} \) under a piecewise-continuous control vector function \( u : \mathbb{R}_{0+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\alpha}^{m \times n} \), with \( m \leq n \), and any set of vector functions of initial conditions \( q_j : [-h,0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0+}^n \), for all \( j \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1} \cup \{0\} \). Assume also that \( B(t) = (b_j(t)) \in \mathbb{R}_{\alpha}^{m \times m} \) has positive rows

\[
\tilde{q}_j(t) = (b_{j1}(t), b_{j2}(t), \ldots, b_{jm}(t)) \in \mathbb{R}_{\alpha}^m
\]

that the control components of \( u(t) \) are generated as \( u_j(t) = \lambda_j(t) m(t) \), where
\[ \lambda_j : \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \to \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \text{ and the solution nonnegativity lower-bounding function } m : \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ satisfy the constraints } \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{0^+}} \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j(t) \lambda_j(t) \right) > 0, \text{ and } \]

\[ m(t) \geq \max_{\alpha \pi} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j(t) \lambda_j(t) \right)^{-1} \times \left( (P_N + \rho_N) (1 - q)^{k-\alpha} \times \left( \frac{y}{q^k} \right)^{N+1} (k+1) (|x(0)| + \varepsilon_N) \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma) (1 - q)^k t^\alpha} \times \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \bar{e} \right) \]

\[ \mathbf{u}(t) = \mathbf{B}^T(t) \left( \mathbf{B}(t) \mathbf{B}^T(t) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{u}_0(t), \] (89)

\[ u_j(t) = u_0(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j(t) x(t - h_j) + (P_N + \rho_N) (1 - q)^{k-\alpha} \times \left( \frac{\mathbf{S}_{Nt}(\mathbf{q}_{Nt})}{(1-q)^k t^\alpha} \right) \times \left( \frac{(k+1) (|x(0)| + \varepsilon_N)}{(1 - \gamma) (1 - q)^k t^\alpha} \times \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \bar{e} \right) \] (90)

Then, the following properties hold:

(i) The solution of (55) is nonnegative and bounded if \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{0^+} \) and either condition (C1) or condition (C2) of Theorem 15 holds. If, in addition, \( u_0(t) \to 0 \) as \( t \to \infty \) then the solution \( x : \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \to \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \) of (55) converges asymptotically to \( x_0 = 0 \) so that (55) is globally asymptotically stable for any control law and any set of vector functions of initial conditions satisfying the given constraints.

(ii) Property (i) also holds if \( \alpha = k \in \mathbb{Z}_{0^+} \) and either condition (C3) or condition (C4) of Theorem 16 holds.

Proof. Since \( \text{rank } \mathbf{B}(t) = n \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \), and, since \( m \geq n \), the right generalized inverse of \( \mathbf{B}(t), \mathbf{B}^{-\text{right}}(t) = (\mathbf{B}(t)\mathbf{B}^T(t))^{-1} \) exists for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \), and then (89) is well-posed. One gets from Theorem 15, (84), after substituting (89) into (81)

\[ x(t) \leq \left( \frac{P_N + \rho_N}{(1 - q)^{2\alpha + k+1} t^\alpha} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}_0(t) \right)^{-1} u_0(t) \geq 0; \] (91)

\[ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \]

since \( u_0 : \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \to \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \) and either condition (C1) or condition (C2) of Theorem 15 holds. Then, \( x : \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \to \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \), and it is bounded since \( u_0 : \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \to \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \) is bounded. Note also that a similar upper-bounding expression for \( x(t) \) can be got by rearranging signs in (81) resulting to be

\[ x(t) \leq \left( \frac{P_N + \rho_N}{(1 - q)^{2\alpha + k+1} t^\alpha} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}_0(t) \right)^{-1} \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j(t) x(t - h_j) \right) \times \left( \frac{\mathbf{S}_{Nt}(\mathbf{q}_{Nt})}{(1-q)^k t^\alpha} \right) \times \left( \frac{(k+1) (|x(0)| + \varepsilon_N)}{(1 - \gamma) (1 - q)^k t^\alpha} \times \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \bar{e} + \mathbf{B}(t) \mathbf{u}(t) \right) \geq 0; \] (92)

\[ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{0^+} \]
so that

\[
0 \leq \left( \frac{1}{(1−q)^{\alpha+1} t^\alpha} I - A_0 (t) \right)^{-1} u_0 (t) \leq x (t)
\]

\[
\leq \left( \frac{1}{(1−q)^{\alpha+1} t^\alpha} I - A_0 (t) \right)^{-1}
\times \left( u_0 (t) + \left( \frac{y}{q^k} \right)^{N+1} (k+1) (\|x(0)\| + \varepsilon_N) / (1−(1−q)^k t^\alpha) \right)
\times \left( \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \frac{k!}{j!} \epsilon \right).
\]

Thus, if \( u_0 (t) \to 0 \) as \( t \to \infty \) then \( x(t) \to 0 \) as \( t \to \infty \) since \((y/q^k)^{N+1} (k+1) (\|x(0)\| + \varepsilon_N) / (1−(1−q)^k t^\alpha) \to 0 \) as \( t \to \infty \) and Property (i) has been proved. Property (ii) is similar to the solution satisfying

\[
x (t) \geq \left( \frac{1}{(1−q)^{\alpha+1} t^\alpha} I - A_0 (t) \right)^{-1} u_0 (t) \geq 0; \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+.
\]  

(94)

Note that \((y/q^k)^{N+1} (k+1) (\|x(0)\| + \varepsilon_N) / (1−(1−q)^k t^\alpha) \to 0 \) as \( N \to \infty \) and as \( t \to \infty \). Thus, for any given \( \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) and \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), there is \( t_0 = t_0 (N, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) such that

\[
\left( \frac{y}{q^k} \right)^{N+1} (k+1) (\|x(0)\| + \varepsilon_N) / (1−(1−q)^k t^\alpha) \leq \varepsilon; \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+.
\]  

(95)

As a result, if \( u_1 (t) \) in (90) is replaced with

\[
u_1 (t) = u_0 (t) - \sum_{j=1}^p A_j (t) x (t−h_j) + (P_N + \rho_N) (1−q)^{k−\alpha} \times \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \frac{k!}{j!} \epsilon \]

and (98) holds.

Example 21. From Theorem 11, (57), one concludes that (55), under any set of nonnegative set of real vector functions of initial conditions on \([-h, 0] \to \mathbb{R}_{0+}\) and any admissible nonnegative control vector function, has a limiting nonnegative q-solution of (Caputo) fractional order \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) satisfying

\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} \left( x (t) - \left( \frac{1}{(1−q)^{\alpha+1} t^\alpha} I - A_0 (t) \right)^{-1} \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^k A_j (t) x (t−h_j) + B (t) u (t) \right) \right) = 0
\]  

(100)

if \( \exists (1/(1−q)^{\alpha+1} t^\alpha) I - A_0 (t) \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), in order that the q-solution of (Caputo) fractional order \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) of (55) exist for \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{0+} \), some of the two sets of constraints below hold:

\[
\exists ((1/(1−q)^{\alpha+1} t^\alpha) I - A_0 (t))^{-1}
\]  

(101)
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One has \(\liminf_{t \to \infty} (A_0(t) - (1/(1-q) \alpha + 1 t^\alpha) I)^{-1} \leq 0\), \(\liminf_{t \to \infty} A_i(t) \geq 0\); for all \(i \in \mathbb{P}\), \(\lim f_{i \to \infty} B(t) \geq 0\).

The first above condition implies \(\exists \lim_{t \to \infty}(-A_0(t)) = -A_0(\infty) \geq 0\) so that \((-A_0(\infty))\) is a monomial matrix which implies that if \(A_0(\infty) \in \mathbb{M}_n \mathbb{R}^{\infty}\) then it is diagonal nonsingular with negative diagonal entries.

(2) One has \(\exists \lim_{t \to \infty}(-A_0(t)) = -A_0(\infty), -A_0(\infty)(\lim f_{i \to \infty} A_i(t)) \geq 0\); for all \(i \in \mathbb{P}\), \(-A_0(\infty)(\lim f_{i \to \infty} B(t)) \geq 0\).

Note that it has been used that for \(\alpha > 0, S_N(q_N t) \to 0\), \(\bar{S}_N(q_N t) \to 0\) as \(t \to \infty\), for all \(N \in \mathbb{Z}_+\) from (47)-(48).

If \(\alpha = 0\) then the existence of \(A_0(\infty)\) is not required and the first constraint of each of the above sets is changed to the existence of \(\lim f_{i \to \infty} A_i(t) = (1-q)^{-1} I\) \(\leq 0\), that is, \(\lim sup_{t \to \infty} A_i(t) \leq (1-q)^{-1} I\) provided that \(\lim sup_{t \to \infty} A_i(t) \leq (1-q)^{-1} I\) to guarantee the nonsingularity of \((A_i(t) - (1-q)^{-1} I)\).

If \(\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+\), and \(k = [\alpha] + 1\) then the above constraints have to be amended via (56) with the replacement \(((1/(1-q)\alpha + 1) t^\alpha)\) \(\to (P^*(1/(1-q)\alpha + 1) t^\alpha))\).

On the other hand, note that the various above results and properties given in the above remarks within this section lead directly to sufficiency-type conditions for the nonnegativity of the quantum fractional solutions and their limit values under the proposed control laws.

Remark 22. If the \(q\)-Caputo fractional differential system (61) is considered instead of (55), then Theorem 19 and Corollary 20 hold under modified equations (90), (92), (93), (95), and (96) being subject to replacements (87) and \(\bar{S}_N(q_N t) \to (\bar{S}_N(q_N t) - \hat{q}_N(t) - \xi) = \bar{S}_N(q_N t)\) for any given \(\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+\) and for all \(t \geq \xi \in \mathbb{R}_+\) (see Remark 17).

On the other hand, modified equations (97) and (99) remain valid under the above replacements together with the additional replacements \(1/t^\alpha_0 \to (1/t^\alpha_0 - 1/(t_0 - \xi)^\alpha)\) and \(1/t^\alpha \to (1/t^\alpha_0 - 1/(t - \xi)^\alpha)\). A variant of the disposed can be made with the replacement of \(\zeta(t)\) with a function \(\zeta_0: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+\), \(\xi(t) \leq t\), for all \(t \in \mathbb{R}_0\).

Remark 23. In the delay-free case and in the case that the delays are time-varying, the given formalism is extendable under minor modifications. For instance, assume that the delays satisfy the constraints \(t - h_i(t) = q^{-\epsilon_i(t)}, \forall i \in \mathbb{P}\), for all \(t \in \mathbb{R}_0\), for a set of positive real constants satisfying \(\epsilon_i(t) < \epsilon_i+1(t), \forall i \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{1\}\), for all \(t \in \mathbb{R}_0\). Thus, an appropriate solution of (61) in discretized form can be organized on intervals \([t_i - \xi(i), t_i], \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}\), according to the subsequent algorithm.

Step 1 (initial point). Select an initial point \(t_1 \in \mathbb{R}_0\).

Step 2 (sequence of points to construct the discrete solution). Fix a strictly increasing string of positive real numbers \((t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots)\) such that \(t_{i+1} = q^{-\epsilon_i(t_i)} t_i\) for all \(i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\) and some given sequence \(\{\epsilon_i(t_i)\}\) of positive integers.

Step 3 (sequence of interval lengths to construct the discrete solution recursively). Fix a string of interval (nonzero) measures \((\zeta_1(t_1), \zeta_2(t_2), \zeta_3(t_3), \ldots)\), which are the measures of the computation intervals \([t_i - \xi_i(t_i), t_i]\), for all \(i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\), in (61), such that \(\zeta_i(t_{i+1}) = q^{-\epsilon_i(t_i)} t_i\), for all \(i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\) for some sequence \(\{\epsilon_i(t_i)\}\), of nonnegative integers, with \(\zeta_i(t_{i+1}) \leq m_i(t_i)\), satisfying \(1 \leq q^{-\epsilon_i(t_i)} - q^{-\epsilon_i(t_{i+1})}\), for all \(i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\), so as to guarantee the algorithm running constraints:

\[
t_i \leq (q^{-\epsilon_i(t_i)} - q^{-\epsilon_i(t_{i+1})}) t_i \leq q^{-\epsilon_i(t_i)} t_i; \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_+.
\]
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