Review Article

A Survey of Recent Results for the Generalizations of Ordinary Differential Equations

Daniel C. Biles,¹ Márcia Federson,² and Rodrigo López Pouso³

¹ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Belmont University, 1900 Belmont Boulevard, Nashville, TN 37212, USA

² Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação, Universidade de São Paulo, Campus de São Carlos, Caixa Postal 668, 13560-9701900 São Carlos, SP, Brazil

³ Department of Mathematical Analysis, University of Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Correspondence should be addressed to Rodrigo López Pouso; rodrigo.lopez@usc.es

Received 23 October 2013; Accepted 5 December 2013; Published 10 February 2014

Academic Editor: Ferhan M. Atici

Copyright © 2014 Daniel C. Biles et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This is a review paper on recent results for different types of generalized ordinary differential equations. Its scope ranges from discontinuous equations to equations on time scales. We also discuss their relation with inclusion and highlight the use of generalized integration to unify many of them under one single formulation.

1. Existence Theory for Differential Equations and Inclusions

There was a series of results which progressively weakened the continuity in the state variable of the classical Carathéodory existence theorem for first-order differential equations; these include [1–7]. Biles and Schechter posed the open problem of proving an existence result for discontinuous systems of differential equations lacking a quasimonotonicity property; see [7, page 3352]. Motivated by that question, Cid and Pouso [8] explored an alternative approach to discontinuous equations which consisted, roughly speaking, of inserting the differential equation into a semicontinuous differential inclusion for which existence results were available, and then positing assumptions on the discontinuities of the former differential equation so that every solution of the inclusion is a solution of the equation. Besides getting an existence result for nonquasimonotone discontinuous systems, the approach in [8] came to unify and extend previous similar results for autonomous equations proven in [9] and for nonautonomous equations proven in [10].

Here and henceforth we work in a real interval $I = [t_0, t_0 + L]$ with $L > 0$.

Theorem 1 (see [8, Theorem 2.4]). Assume that $f : I \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) and the null set $N \subset I$ satisfy the following conditions.

- (i) There exists $\psi \in L^1(I)$ such that for all $t \in I \setminus N$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ one has $\|f(t, x)\| \leq \psi(t)(1 + \|x\|)$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm in $\mathbb{R}^m$.
- (ii) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $f(\cdot, x)$ is measurable.
- (iii) For all $t \in I \setminus N$, $f(t, \cdot)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus K(t)$, where $K(t) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n(t)$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in K_n(t)$ one has

$$\bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \overline{\text{co}} f(t, x + \varepsilon B) \cap DK_n(t, x) \setminus \{f(t, x)\}, \quad (1)$$

where $\overline{\text{co}}$ denotes the closed convex hull, B is the unit ball centered at the origin, and DK_n is the contingent derivative of the multivalued map K_n (see [11] for details).

Then the set $\mathcal{C}$ of all Carathéodory solutions of the initial value problem

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in I, \quad x(t_0) = x_0 \quad (2)$$

is a nonempty, compact, and connected subset of $\mathcal{C}(I, \mathbb{R}^m)$.

Moreover, in the scalar case ($m = 1$), one has the following.

- (1) $\mathcal{E}$ has pointwise maximum, x^* , and minimum, x_* , which are the extremal solutions of the initial value problem. Furthermore for each $t \in I$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(t) &= \max \{v(t) : v \in AC(I), \\ &\quad v'(s) \leq f(s, v(s)) \text{ a.e.}, v(t_0) \leq x_0\}, \\ x_*(t) &= \min \{v(t) : v \in AC(I), \\ &\quad v'(s) \geq f(s, v(s)) \text{ a.e.}, v(t_0) \geq x_0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

- (2) $\mathcal{E}$ is a funnel; that is, for all $\bar{t} \in I$ and $c \in [x_*(\bar{t}), x^*(\bar{t})]$ there exists $x \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $x(\bar{t}) = c$.

The simplest case of Theorem 1 occurs in the one-dimensional case, that is, $m = 1$, and when the discontinuity sets K_n are single-valued, that is, $K_n(t) = \{\gamma_n(t)\}$ for, let us say, absolutely continuous functions γ_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this situation we have

$$DK_n(t, \gamma_n(t))(1) = \{\gamma_n'(t)\}, \quad (4)$$

and then condition (1) reads simply as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{either } \gamma_n'(t) &\notin \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \overline{\text{co}} f(t, \gamma_n(t) + \varepsilon B), \\ \text{or } \gamma_n'(t) &= f(t, \gamma_n(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and it is helpful to note that, in the one-dimensional case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \overline{\text{co}} f(t, x + \varepsilon B) &= \left[\min \left\{ f(t, x), \liminf_{y \rightarrow x} f(t, y) \right\}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \max \left\{ f(t, x), \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} f(t, y) \right\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The first alternative in (5) means that $\gamma_n'(t)$ coincides neither with $f(t, \gamma_n(t))$ nor with any limit value of f when the variables tend to $(t, \gamma_n(t))$. This is a sort of transversality condition between $f(t, x)$ and the discontinuity curve $\gamma_n(t)$, and it is immediately satisfied in case γ_n has a sufficiently big (or sufficiently small) slope.

The second alternative is much clearer: it simply means that γ_n solves the differential equation at the point t . The moral is that we do not have to worry about discontinuities of f when they are located over graphs of solutions of the differential equation (even though these solutions do not satisfy the initial condition or they are not defined on the whole interval I).

For simplicity, we have often called *admissible discontinuity curve* any function $\gamma(t)$ satisfying (5), and they have proven to be useful in other contexts; see [12] for singular and discontinuous problems and [13] for a revision of Perron's method using similar curves.

Let us now turn our attention to differential inclusions. The rest of this section is devoted to a somewhat inverse approach to that in the first part: one can get new results for inclusions by means of known results for discontinuous equations.

To start with, we quote [14] where we can find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Carathéodory solutions to

$$x' \in F(x), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (7)$$

where F is an arbitrary multifunction. Biles proves that the necessary and sufficient conditions for (7) to have at least one solution are that F have a selection f such that either $f(x_0) = 0$ or $\int_{x_0}^{\beta} dx/f(x)$ exists (in Lebesgue's sense) for some $\beta \neq x_0$. This uses and generalizes a theorem for differential equations by binding in [15].

We also used known results for equations to study nonautonomous first-order inclusions in [16]. Let us proceed to review the main ideas in that paper.

For a given multifunction $F : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ we consider the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &\in F(t, x(t)) \text{ for almost all (a.a.) } t \in I, \\ x(t_0) &= x_0, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and we look for solutions in the Carathéodory sense, that is, absolutely continuous solutions.

A very usual assumption on the multifunction F is that it assumes compact values for a.a. $t \in I$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, hence the set $F(t, x)$ has minimum and maximum. We simply impose the following condition.

(H1) For a.a. $t \in I$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the set $F(t, x)$ has a minimum; and now we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2. A superfunction (or upper solution) of (8) is any $u \in AC(I)$ such that $u(t_0) \geq x_0$ and for a.a. $t \in I$ one has $u'(t) \geq \min F(t, u(t))$.

We also impose the following.

(H2) There exists $\psi \in L^1(I)$ such that for a.a. $t \in I$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$|\min F(t, x)| \leq \psi(t), \quad (9)$$

and we restrict, for technical convenience, the set of superfunctions to the following one.

Definition 3. The set of admissible superfunctions of (8) is

$$\begin{aligned} U &:= \{u \in AC(I) : u \text{ is a superfunction of (8)} \\ &\quad \text{and } |u'| \leq \psi + 1 \text{ a.e. on } I\}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Notice that $u(t) := x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \psi(r) dr$, $t \in I$, is an admissible superfunction of (8). Thus we can define

$$u_{\inf}(t) := \inf \{u(t) : u \in U\} \quad \forall t \in I. \quad (11)$$

Standard arguments reveal that $u_{\inf}(t_0) = x_0$ and that $u_{\inf} \in AC(I)$.

For simplicity of notation, we also define

$$f_m(t, x) := \min F(t, x) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in I \text{ and all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (12)$$

and we consider the ordinary problem

$$x'(t) = f_m(t, x(t)) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in I, \quad x(t_0) = x_0. \quad (13)$$

Plainly, solutions of (13) are solutions of (8) by virtue of (H1), but the converse is false in general. Moreover, superfunctions of (8) in the sense of Definition 2 are nothing but the usual superfunctions of (13), and so $u_{\inf}$ is a reasonable candidate for being a solution to (8). Note also that solutions of (8) need not be admissible superfunctions in the sense of Definition 3, so $u_{\inf}$ might not be the least solution of (8).

Definition 4. A lower admissible nonquasisemicontinuity curve for (8) (LAD curve, for short) is an absolutely continuous function $\gamma : [a, b] \subset I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which there exist disjoint sets $A, B \subset [a, b]$ such that $A \cup B = [a, b]$ and for a.a. $t \in A$ one has

$$\gamma'(t) \in F(t, \gamma(t)), \quad (14)$$

and for a.a. $t \in B$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'(t) &\geq f_m(t, \gamma(t)) \quad \text{whenever } \gamma'(t) \geq \liminf_{y \rightarrow (\gamma(t))^+} f_m(t, y), \\ \gamma'(t) &\leq f_m(t, \gamma(t)) \quad \text{whenever } \gamma'(t) \leq \limsup_{y \rightarrow (\gamma(t))^-} f_m(t, y). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Remark 5. The sets A or B in Definition 4 might be empty.

A particularly clear case of a LAD curve corresponds to $B = \emptyset$, which means that $A = [a, b]$, so in that case the LAD curve is nothing but a solution of the differential inclusion on $[a, b]$.

In turn, let us point out the following sufficient condition for an absolutely continuous function $\gamma : [a, b] \subset I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be a LAD curve with $B = [a, b]$: there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ such that for a.a. $t \in [a, b]$ we have

$$f_m(t, x) \geq \gamma'(t) + \rho \quad \forall x \in [\gamma(t) - \varepsilon, \gamma(t) + \varepsilon], \quad (16)$$

or for a.a. $t \in [a, b]$ we have

$$f_m(t, x) \leq \gamma'(t) - \rho \quad \forall x \in [\gamma(t) - \varepsilon, \gamma(t) + \varepsilon]. \quad (17)$$

Notice that (16) (or (17)) implies that γ crosses each solution of $x' = f_m(t, x)$ at most once, so (16) (or (17)) is a transversality condition for γ with respect to the differential equation $x' = f_m(t, x)$.

We are now in a position to present the main result in [16].

Theorem 6 (see [16, Theorem 2.5]). *Assume that conditions (H1) and (H2) hold. Suppose moreover that the following condition is fulfilled.*

(H3) *Either for a.a. $t \in I$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ one has*

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow x^-} f_m(t, y) \leq f_m(t, x) \leq \liminf_{y \rightarrow x^+} f_m(t, y) \quad (18)$$

or there exist countably many LAD curves for (8), $\gamma_n : [a_n, b_n] \subset I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for a.a. $t \in I$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \cup_{\{n|a_n \leq t \leq b_n\}} \{\gamma_n(t)\}$ one has (18).

Then one has the following results.

(a) *There exists a null measure set $N \subset I$ such that*

$$\{t \in I : u'_{\inf}(t) \notin F(t, u_{\inf}(t))\} \subset J \cup N, \quad (19)$$

where $J = \cup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} J_{n,m}$, and for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ the set

$$\begin{aligned} J_{n,m} := & \left\{ t \in I : u'_{\inf}(t) - \frac{1}{n} \right. \\ & \left. > \sup \left\{ f_m(t, y) : u_{\inf}(t) - \frac{1}{m} < y < u_{\inf}(t) \right\} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

contains no positive measure subset.

(b) *The function $u_{\inf}$ is a solution of (8) provided that for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $J_{n,m}$ is measurable.*

(c) *If $J_{n,m}$ is measurable for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $u_{\inf}$ is the least solution of (8) provided that one of the following conditions hold:*

either for a.a. $t \in I$, all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and all $y \in F(t, x)$ one has $y \leq \psi(t) + 1$ or the first alternative in (H3) holds, which, furthermore, guarantees that $u_{\inf}$ is the least solution to (13).

The following result is Lemma 2 in [13], and it is very useful to prove that the $J_{n,m}$'s are measurable in practical situations.

Lemma 7. *Let $N \subset I$ be a null measure set and let $g : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $g(\cdot, q)$ is measurable for each $q \in \mathbb{Q}$.*

If, moreover, for all $t \in I \setminus N$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$\max \left\{ \liminf_{y \rightarrow x^-} g(t, y), \liminf_{y \rightarrow x^+} g(t, y) \right\} \geq g(t, x), \quad (21)$$

then the mapping $t \in I \mapsto \sup\{g(t, y) : x_1(t) < y < x_2(t)\}$ is measurable for each pair $x_1, x_2 \in C(I)$ such that $x_1(t) < x_2(t)$ for all $t \in I$.

Notice that our multifunctions F need not satisfy the usual hypotheses such as monotonicity or upper/lower semicontinuity. Moreover, F need not assume closed or convex values.

An analogous result for the greatest solution to (13) is also given in [16] and existence of solution for a singular version of (8) is considered in [17].

Another example where we used known results for equations to deduce new result for inclusions is [18], which concerns second-order inclusions and relies on the results proven for equations in [19]. In order to present the main result in [18] we need some notations and preliminaries.

Let $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, and

$$X = \{u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]) : u(0) = x_0, u \text{ is nondecreasing}\}. \quad (22)$$

For each $u \in X$ we define its “pseudoinverse” $\hat{u} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, T]$ as

$$\hat{u}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < x_0, \\ \min u^{-1}(\{x\}), & x_0 \leq x \leq u(T), \\ T, & u(T) < x. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

We notice that $\hat{u}$ is nondecreasing but not necessarily continuous. Moreover, if $u \in X$ is increasing in I , then $\hat{u}(x) = u^{-1}(x)$ for all $x \in [x_0, u(T)]$.

Theorem 8 (see [18, Theorem 4.1]). *Suppose that for some $R > 0$ the following hypotheses hold.*

(F1) *For each $u \in X$ the multifunction $F_u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ defined as $F_u(\cdot) = F(\hat{u}(\cdot), \cdot)$ has an admissible selection on the right of x_0 , that is, a selection $f_u : [x_0, x_0 + R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

- (i) $f_u \in L^1(x_0, x_0 + R)$;
- (ii) $x_1^2 + 2 \int_{x_0}^x f_u(r) dr > 0$ for a.a. $x \in [x_0, x_0 + R]$;
- (iii) $\max\{1, |f|\} / \sqrt{x_1^2 + 2 \int_{x_0}^x f_u(r) dr} \in L^1(x_0, x_0 + R)$;
- (iv) $\int_{x_0}^{x_0+R} (dx / \sqrt{x_1^2 + 2 \int_{x_0}^x f_u(r) dr}) \geq T$.

(F2) *There exists $M \in L^1(x_0, x_0 + R)$ such that for all $t \in I$ and all $x \in [x_0, x_0 + R]$ one has*

$$\sup \{y : y \in F(t, x)\} \leq M(x). \quad (24)$$

(F3) *For every $u, v \in \widehat{X}$, the relation $u \leq v$ on I implies $f_u \leq f_v$ on $[x_0, x_0 + R]$.*

Then the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} x''(t) &\in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in I := [0, T], \\ x(0) &= x_0, \quad x'(0) = x_1 \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

has an increasing solution in $W^{2,1}(0, T)$.

2. Dynamic Equations on Time Scales

The study of time scales was formalized in the Ph.D. thesis of Hilger in 1988 [20]. The notions of derivative from differential calculus and the forward jump operator from difference calculus are unified and extended to the delta derivative f^Δ on a time scale $\mathbb{T}$ (an arbitrary set on the real line). These lead to the study of dynamic equations on time scales, unifying differential and difference equations. In addition, these ideas can be applied in situations more general than those for differential and difference equations, such as population problems in which the species alternates between time frames in which they are active and periods of dormancy. The study of time scales yields interesting insight into the special cases. For example, one realizes that the only reason we have the simple derivative from elementary calculus of t^2 is $2t$ is because the graininess of real line is zero.

Much of the earlier history of time scales can be found in the books by Bohner and Peterson [21, 22] which are on the bookshelf of every time scales analyst. We refer the reader to these sources for the basic concepts and definitions for time scales. Reference [21] collects much of the information for the linear case. As an example, we will overview the first-order linear case. We define the cylinder transformation ξ_h on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z \neq -1/h\}$ by $\xi_h(z) = 1/h \log(1 + zh)$ for $h > 0$, where $\log$ is the principal logarithm function and $\xi_0(z) = z$. We call a function $p : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ regressive if $1 + \mu(t)p(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$, where μ is the graininess of the time scale. We can now define the time scales (or generalized) exponential function by $e_p(t, s) = \exp(\int_s^t \xi_{\mu(t)}(p(\tau)) \Delta\tau)$, where $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$. The exponential function thus defined enjoys many properties analogous to that of the standard exponential function on the real line. The following can now be proven.

Theorem 9. *Suppose p is rd-continuous and regressive, and let $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$. Then, $e_p(\cdot, t_0)$ is the unique solution to*

$$y^\Delta = p(t) y, \quad y(t_0) = 1. \quad (26)$$

Note that this yields the corollaries that $y = e^{\alpha t}$ is the unique solution to $y' = \alpha y$, $y(0) = 1$ on the real line and $y = (1 + \alpha)^t$ is the unique solution to $\Delta y(t) = \alpha y(t)$, $y(0) = 1$ on the integers, where Δy represents the forward difference operator from difference calculus.

We note that the nabla derivative on time scales was defined by Atici and Guseinov [23] in 2002, which generalizes the backward difference operator. One might think that the results for nabla derivatives mirror those for the delta case, but this is not true; see, for example, [24]. Recently, work has progressed for dynamic equations with the diamond-alpha derivative initiated in [25] and furthered in [26–28]. In the remainder of this section, without making a claim to being complete, we overview some of the recent work in dynamic equations on time scales to illustrate how many of the ideas from differential and difference equations have been generalized and extended.

Existence of solutions has been proven in a number of cases, such as [29] using fixed point theory, [30] proving a Nagumo-type existence result, and [31] using a fixed point theorem due to Avery and Peterson. (A number of other existence theorems are mentioned in specific contexts below.) As an example, here is the theorem from [30].

Theorem 10. *Assume there exist a lower solution α and an upper solution β with $\alpha \leq \beta$ on $\mathbb{T}$ and*

- (a) $f \in C([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $f(t, x, y) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $x \in [\alpha^\sigma(t), \beta^\sigma(t)]$ and $y \neq 0$,
- (b) there exists a $K > 0$ such that $f(t, x, y) \leq K$ for all right scattered $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $x \in [\alpha^\sigma(t), \beta^\sigma(t)]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (c) $f(t, x, \cdot)$ is nonincreasing for all right scattered $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $x \in [\alpha^\sigma(t), \beta^\sigma(t)]$,
- (d) $L_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^4 \times C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}))$ is nondecreasing in its third variable, nonincreasing in its fourth variable, and nondecreasing in its fifth variable,

- (e) $L_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ is nonincreasing in its first variable, and
 (f) f satisfies a Nagumo condition with respect to the pair α and β .

Then, there exists a solution $y \in [\alpha, \beta]$ to the problem

$$\begin{aligned} y^{\Delta\Delta}(t) &= f(t, y^\sigma(t), y^\Delta(t)), \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa^2}, \\ 0 &= L_1(y(a), y^\Delta(a), y(\sigma^2(b)), y^\Delta(\sigma(b)), y), \\ 0 &= L_2(y(a), y(\sigma^2(b))). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Singular problems have been studied in [32, 33]. Green's functions have been considered in [23, 34]. A Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem was studied by [35]. Periodic solutions were investigated in [36]. OscillationS of solutions have been considered in [37–39] using the time scales Taylor formula, [40–45]. Asymptotic behavior of solutions has been studied in [46, 47] using Taylor monomials and in [47]. Laplace transforms on time scales were studied by [48].

Delay equations were studied in [40, 42, 44]. Impulsive problems have been studied in [37, 38, 49–51]. Functional dynamic equations have been studied in [50, 52] using Lyapunov functions [41, 46]. Fractional derivatives have been considered in [53, 54]. Problems in abstract spaces were studied in [55]. Dynamic inclusions have been studied in [24, 37, 50, 56]. Partial differentiation on time scales was introduced in [57] and was continued in [28].

Recently, work has begun on extending stochastic calculus to time scales, for example, [58] for the isolated time scale case, [59, 60] for the delta case, AND [61] for the nabla case.

3. Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations

In order to generalize some results on continuous dependence of solutions of ordinary differential equations with respect to the initial data, Jaroslav Kurzweil introduced, in 1957, the notion of generalized ordinary differential equations for functions taking values in Euclidean and Banach spaces. This generalization of the notion of ordinary differential equations uses the concept of the Perron generalized integral, also known as the Kurzweil integral. We refer to these equations as generalized ODEs. See [62–66].

The correspondence between generalized ODEs and classical ODEs is very simple. It is known that the ordinary system

$$\dot{x} = f(x, t), \quad (28)$$

where $\dot{x} = dx/dt$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set and $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, has the integral representation

$$x(t) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(x(\tau), \tau) d\tau, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (29)$$

whenever the integral exists in some sense. It is also known that if the integral in (29) is in the sense of Riemann, Lebesgue (with the equivalent definition given by E. J. McShane), or Henstock-Kurzweil, for instance, then such an integral can be approximated by a Riemann-type sum of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^m f(x(\tau_i), \tau_i) [s_i - s_{i-1}], \quad (30)$$

where $t_0 = s_0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_m = t$ is a fine partition of the interval $[t_0, t]$ and, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, τ_i is sufficiently “close” to the interval $[s_{i-1}, s_i]$.

Alternatively, if we define

$$F(x, s) = \int_{s_0}^s f(x, \sigma) d\sigma, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (31)$$

then the integral in (29) can be approximated by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} f(x(\tau_i), \sigma) d\sigma \\ = \sum_{i=1}^m [F(x(\tau_i), s_i) - F(x(\tau_i), s_{i-1})]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

In such a case, the right-hand side of (32) approximates the nonabsolute Kurzweil integral which, when considered in (29), gives rise to a “differential equation” of type (28), however in a wider sense. Such type of equation is known as generalized ordinary differential equation or Kurzweil equation. See [67, 68].

Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact interval and consider a function $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ (called a gauge on $[a, b]$). A tagged partition of the interval $[a, b]$ with division points $a = s_0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_k = b$ and tags $\tau_i \in [s_{i-1}, s_i]$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, is called δ -fine if

$$[s_{i-1}, s_i] \subset (\tau_i - \delta(\tau_i), \tau_i + \delta(\tau_i)), \quad i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (33)$$

Definition 11. Let X be a Banach space. A function $U(\tau, t) : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is called Kurzweil integrable over $[a, b]$, if there is an element $I \in X$ such that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a gauge δ on $[a, b]$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k [U(\tau_i, s_i) - U(\tau_i, s_{i-1})] - I \right\| < \varepsilon, \quad (34)$$

for every δ -fine tagged partition of $[a, b]$. In this case, I is called the Kurzweil integral of U over $[a, b]$ and it will be denoted by $\int_a^b DU(\tau, t)$.

The Kurzweil integral has the usual properties of linearity, additivity with respect to adjacent intervals, and integrability on subintervals. See, for instance, [68], for these and other interesting properties.

Now, consider a subset $O \subset X$ and a function $G : O \times [a, b] \rightarrow X$.

Any function $x : [a, b] \rightarrow O$ is called a solution of the generalized ordinary differential equation (we write simply generalized ODE)

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG(x, t) \quad (35)$$

on the interval $[a, b]$, provided

$$x(d) - x(c) = \int_c^d DG(x(\tau), t), \quad c, d \in [a, b], \quad (36)$$

where the integral is obtained by setting $U(\tau, t) = G(x(\tau), t)$ in the definition of the Kurzweil integral.

As it was done in [69, 70], but using different assumptions, namely, Carathéodory and Lipschitz-type conditions on the indefinite integral, we proved in [71] that retarded functional differential equations (we write RFDEs, for short) can be regarded as abstract generalized ODEs and some applications were investigated.

In [72], together with professor Štefan Schwabik, we proved that RFDEs subject to impulse effects can also be regarded as generalized ODEs taking values in a Banach space.

Recently, in [73], together with Federson et al., we proved that a solution of a measure RFDEs of the form

$$Dy = f(y, t) Dg, \quad y_{t_0} = \phi, \quad (37)$$

where Dy and Dg are distributional derivatives in the sense of L. Schwartz with respect to y and g , respectively, can be related to a solution of an abstract generalized ODE. More precisely, we considered the integral form of (37) as follows:

$$x(t) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(x_s, s) dg(s), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (38)$$

$$x_{t_0} = \phi,$$

where t_0, σ, r are given real numbers, with $\sigma, r > 0$, and $y_t(\theta) = y(t + \theta)$, for $\theta \in [-r, 0]$. We also considered $O \subset G([t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma], \mathbb{R}^n)$ as being an open set and

$$P = \{y_t : y \in O, t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]\} \subset G([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n), \quad (39)$$

where by $G([a, b], X)$ we mean the Banach space of all regulated functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ endowed with the usual supremum norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \|f(t)\|, \quad (40)$$

and we assumed that $f : P \times [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a function such that, for each $y \in O$, the mapping $t \mapsto f(y_t, t)$ is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable (or Perron integrable) over $[t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$ with respect to a nondecreasing function $g : [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then, we defined a function $G : O \times [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow G([t_0, t_0 + \sigma], \mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$G(x, t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t_0, \\ \int_{t_0}^{\vartheta} f(x_s, s) dg(s), & t_0 \leq \vartheta \leq t \leq t_0 + \sigma, \\ \int_{t_0}^t f(x_s, s) dg(s), & t \leq \vartheta \leq t_0 + \sigma, \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

and proved the correspondence between a solution of (38) and a solution of the generalized ODE

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG(x(\tau), t), \quad (42)$$

with initial condition

$$x(t_0)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} \phi(\vartheta - t_0), & t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t_0, \\ x(t_0)(t_0), & t_0 \leq \vartheta \leq t_0 + \sigma, \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

just by requiring the following conditions.

(A) The integral $\int_{t_0}^{t_0+\sigma} f(y_t, t) dg(t)$ exists, for every $y \in O$.

(B) There exists a function $M : [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ which is Lebesgue integrable with respect to g such that, for all $y \in O, u_1, u_2 \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$, we have

$$\left| \int_{u_1}^{u_2} f(y_s, s) dg(s) \right| \leq \int_{u_1}^{u_2} M(s) dg(s). \quad (44)$$

(C) There exists a function $L : [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ which is Lebesgue integrable with respect to g , such that for all $y, x \in O, u_1, u_2 \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$, we have

$$\left| \int_{u_1}^{u_2} [f(x_s, s) - f(y_s, s)] dg(s) \right| \leq \int_{u_1}^{u_2} L(s) \|x_s - y_s\| dg(s). \quad (45)$$

Under the above conditions, the paper [73] introduces new concepts of stability for the trivial solutions of (38), with $f(0, t) = 0$, for $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$, and new results which generalize those from [74–76], for instance.

In [77, 78], together with Federson et al., we proved that measure RFDEs are useful tools in the study of impulsive RFDEs and functional dynamic equations on time scales with or without impulse action. In other words, it was proved that the unique solution of the Cauchy problem for a measure RFDE of type

$$x(t) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{f}(x_s, s) d\tilde{g}(s), \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma], \quad (46)$$

$$x_{t_0} = \phi$$

can be regarded, in a one-to-one relation, with the unique solution of the Cauchy problem for the measure RFDE with impulses given by

$$x(t) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(x_s, s) dg(s) + \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \\ t_k < t}} I_k(x(t_k)), \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma], \quad (47)$$

$$x_{t_0} = \phi.$$

Still in [77, 78], we related the solution of problem (47) to the solution of the following impulsive functional dynamic equation on time scales

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(x_s^*, s) \Delta s \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \\ t_k < t}} I_k(x(t_k)), \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ x(t) &= \phi(t), \quad t \in [t_0 - r, t_0]_{\mathbb{T}}, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where x^* is defined as being the extension of x defined by $x^*(t) = x(t^*)$, for $t^* = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s \geq t\}$.

In order to obtain the correspondences presented in [77, 78], the requirement was mainly that f is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable with respect to a nondecreasing function g . Therefore many discontinuities are allowed. Moreover, f does not need to be rd-continuous nor regulated, and yet good results for impulsive functional dynamic equations on time scales can be obtained through these correspondences.

Even more recently, together with Federson et al., we studied, in [79], measure neutral functional differential equations (we write measure NFDEs) of type

$$\begin{aligned} D[N(y_t, t)] &= f(y_t, t) Dg, \\ y_{t_0} &= \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where N is a nonautonomous linear operator (i.e., $N(y_t, t) = N(t)y_t$). Besides, we assume that N admits a representation

$$N(t)\varphi = \varphi(0) - \int_{-r}^0 d_\theta [\mu(t, \theta)] \varphi(\theta), \quad (50)$$

where $\mu : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a normalized measurable function satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(t, \theta) &= 0, \quad \theta \geq 0; \\ \mu(t, \theta) &= \mu(-r), \quad \theta \leq -r, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

which is continuous to the left on $\theta \in (-r, 0)$ of bounded variation in $\theta \in [-r, 0]$, and the variation of μ in $[s, 0]$, $\text{var}_{[s, 0]} \mu$ tends to zero as $s \rightarrow 0$.

In order to obtain a correspondence between solutions of measure NFDEs and solutions of a certain class of generalized ODEs of the form

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG(x(\tau), t), \quad (52)$$

whose right-hand side is given by

$$G(x, t) = F(x, t) + J(x, t), \quad x \in O, \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma], \quad (53)$$

with F as (47) and J given by

$$J(x, t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & t_0 - r \leq \vartheta \leq t_0, \\ \int_{-r}^0 d_\theta [\mu(\vartheta, \theta)] x(\vartheta + \theta) \\ - \int_{-r}^0 d_\theta [\mu(t_0, \theta)] x(t_0 + \theta), & t_0 \leq \vartheta \leq t \leq t_0 + \sigma, \\ \int_{-r}^0 d_\theta [\mu(t, \theta)] x(t + \theta) \\ - \int_{-r}^0 d_\theta [\mu(t_0, \theta)] x(t_0 + \theta), & t \leq \vartheta \leq t_0 + \sigma. \end{cases} \quad (54)$$

Besides conditions (A), (B), and (C) presented above, we required that the normalized function μ satisfies the following:

(D) there exists a Lebesgue integrable function $K : [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{-r}^0 d_\theta \mu(s_2, \theta) x(s_2 + \theta) - \int_{-r}^0 d_\theta \mu(s_1, \theta) x(s_1 + \theta) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{s_1}^{s_2} K(s) \int_{-r}^0 d_\theta \mu(s, \theta) |x(s + \theta)|. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Thus, in [79], results on the local existence and uniqueness of solutions, as well as continuous dependence of solutions on the initial data, were established.

Clearly there is still much to do to develop the theory of abstract generalized ODEs and to apply the results to other types of differential equations.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Z.-Q. Wu, "The ordinary differential equations with discontinuous right members and the discontinuous solutions of the quasilinear partial differential equations," *Scientia Sinica*, vol. 13, pp. 1901–1917, 1964.
- [2] D. V. V. Wend, "Existence and uniqueness of solutions of ordinary differential equations," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 23, pp. 27–33, 1969.
- [3] D. C. Biles, "Existence of solutions for discontinuous differential equations," *Differential and Integral Equations*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1525–1532, 1995.
- [4] W. Rzymowski and D. Walachowski, "One-dimensional differential equations under weak assumptions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 198, no. 3, pp. 657–670, 1996.

- [5] D. C. Biles and P. A. Binding, "On Carathéodory's conditions for the initial value problem," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 125, no. 5, pp. 1371–1376, 1997.
- [6] E. R. Hassan and W. Rzymowski, "Extremal solutions of a discontinuous scalar differential equation," *Nonlinear Analysis—Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 997–1017, 1999.
- [7] D. C. Biles and E. Schechter, "Solvability of a finite or infinite system of discontinuous quasimonotone differential equations," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 128, no. 11, pp. 3349–3360, 2000.
- [8] J. Á. Cid and R. L. Pouso, "Ordinary differential equations and systems with time-dependent discontinuity sets," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, vol. 134, no. 4, pp. 617–637, 2004.
- [9] S. C. Hu, "Differential equations with discontinuous right-hand sides," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 154, no. 2, pp. 377–390, 1991.
- [10] R. L. Pouso, "Upper and lower solutions for first-order discontinuous ordinary differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 244, no. 2, pp. 466–482, 2000.
- [11] J. P. Aubin and A. Cellina, *Differential Inclusions*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
- [12] R. L. Pouso and D. C. Biles, "First-order singular and discontinuous differential equations," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2009, Article ID 507671, 25 pages, 2009.
- [13] R. L. Pouso, "Necessary conditions for solving initial value problems with infima of superfunctions," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 633–641, 2005.
- [14] D. C. Biles, "A necessary and sufficient condition for existence of solutions for differential inclusions," *Nonlinear Analysis—Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 31, no. 3–4, pp. 311–315, 1998.
- [15] P. Binding, "The differential equation $\dot{x} = f \circ x$," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 183–199, 1979.
- [16] D. C. Biles and R. L. Pouso, "Extremal solutions to first-order differential inclusions under weak assumptions," *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete & Impulsive Systems*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 341–352, 2011.
- [17] D. C. Biles, "Existence of solutions for singular differential inclusions," *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete & Impulsive Systems*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 557–564, 2007.
- [18] D. C. Biles, J. Á. Cid, and R. L. Pouso, "Initial value problems for singular and nonsmooth second order differential inclusions," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 280, no. 12, pp. 1335–1343, 2007.
- [19] R. L. Pouso, "Necessary and sufficient conditions for existence and uniqueness of solutions of second-order autonomous differential equations," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 397–414, 2005.
- [20] S. Hilger, *Ein masskettenkalkül mit anwendung auf zentrumsmannigfaltigkeiten* [Ph.D. thesis], Universität Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany, 1988.
- [21] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2001.
- [22] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Eds., *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 2003.
- [23] F. M. Atici and G. Sh. Guseinov, "On Green's functions and positive solutions for boundary value problems on time scales," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 141, no. 1–2, pp. 75–99, 2002.
- [24] F. M. Atici and D. C. Biles, "First order dynamic inclusions on time scales," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 292, no. 1, pp. 222–237, 2004.
- [25] Q. Sheng, M. Fadag, J. Henderson, and J. M. Davis, "An exploration of combined dynamic derivatives on time scales and their applications," *Nonlinear Analysis—Real World Applications*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 395–413, 2006.
- [26] J. W. Rogers Jr. and Q. Sheng, "Notes on the diamond- α dynamic derivative on time scales," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 326, no. 1, pp. 228–241, 2007.
- [27] Q. Sheng, "Hybrid approximations via second-order crossed dynamic derivatives with the diamond- α derivative," *Nonlinear Analysis—Real World Applications*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 628–640, 2008.
- [28] U. M. Özkan and B. Kaymakçalan, "Basics of diamond- α partial dynamic calculus on time scales," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 50, no. 9–10, pp. 1253–1261, 2009.
- [29] Q. Dai and C. C. Tisdell, "Existence of solutions to first-order dynamic boundary value problems," *International Journal of Difference Equations*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2006.
- [30] F. M. Atici, A. Cabada, C. J. Chyan, and B. Kaymakçalan, "Nagumo type existence results for second-order nonlinear dynamic BVPS," *Nonlinear Analysis—Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 209–220, 2005.
- [31] J. Fan and L. Li, "Existence of positive solutions for p -Laplacian dynamic equations with derivative on time scales," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2013, Article ID 736583, 7 pages, 2013.
- [32] L. Erbe, A. Peterson, and J. Weiss, "Positive solutions to a singular second order boundary value problem," *Advances in Dynamical Systems and Applications*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 89–105, 2008.
- [33] Z. Liu, "Existence and uniqueness of solutions for singular boundary value problem on time scales," *International Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 23, no. 7, Article ID 1250070, 11 pages, 2012.
- [34] P. W. Eloe, "Sign properties of Green's functions for disconjugate dynamic equations on time scales," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 287, no. 2, pp. 444–454, 2003.
- [35] G. Sh. Guseinov, "Eigenfunction expansions for a Sturm-Liouville problem on time scales," *International Journal of Difference Equations*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 93–104, 2007.
- [36] S.-P. Wang, "Existence of periodic solutions for higher order dynamic equations on time scales," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 2259–2273, 2012.
- [37] M. Benchohra, S. Hamani, and J. Henderson, "Oscillatory and nonoscillatory solutions for first order impulsive dynamic inclusions on time scales," *Archivum Mathematicum*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 237–250, 2007.
- [38] M. Benchohra, S. Hamani, and J. Henderson, "Oscillation and nonoscillation for impulsive dynamic equations on certain time scales," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2006, Article ID 60860, 2006.
- [39] S. R. Grace, M. Bohner, and S. Sun, "Oscillation of fourth-order dynamic equations," *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 545–553, 2010.
- [40] S. R. Grace, J. R. Graef, S. Panigrahi, and E. Tunc, "On the oscillatory behavior of even order neutral delay dynamic equations on time-scales," *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, no. 96, pp. 1–12, 2012.
- [41] S. H. Saker and S. R. Grace, "Oscillation criteria for quasi-linear functional dynamic equations on time scales," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 501–524, 2012.
- [42] S. R. Grace, S. Pinelas, and R. P. Agarwal, "Oscillatory behaviour of odd order neutral delay dynamic equations on time-scales," *International Journal of Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 187–197, 2012.

- [43] S. H. Saker and D. O'Regan, "New oscillation criteria for second-order neutral dynamic equations on time scales via Riccati substitution," *Hiroshima Mathematical Journal*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 77–98, 2012.
- [44] C. Zhang, R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, and T. Li, "New oscillation results for second-order neutral delay dynamic equations," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2012, article 227, 14 pages, 2012.
- [45] S. R. Grace, "On the oscillation of n th order dynamic equations on time-scales," *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 147–156, 2013.
- [46] I. Kubiacyk and S. H. Saker, "Asymptotic properties of third order functional dynamic equations on time scales," *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 203–222, 2011.
- [47] L. Erbe, G. Hovhannisyan, and A. Peterson, "Asymptotic behavior of n -th order dynamic equations," *Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 63–80, 2012.
- [48] M. Bohner, G. Sh. Guseinov, and B. Karpuz, "Properties of the Laplace transform on time scales with arbitrary graininess," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 785–800, 2011.
- [49] F. M. Atici and D. C. Biles, "First- and second-order dynamic equations with impulse," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2005, no. 2, pp. 119–132, 2005.
- [50] J. R. Graef and A. Ouahab, "Nonresonance impulsive functional dynamic boundary value inclusions on time scales," *Nonlinear Studies*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 339–354, 2008.
- [51] J. Zhou, Y. Wang, and Y. Li, "Existence and multiplicity of solutions for some second-order systems on time scales with impulsive effects," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2012, article 148, 26 pages, 2012.
- [52] E. Akin-Bohner, Y. N. Raffoul, and C. Tisdell, "Exponential stability in functional dynamic equations on time scales," *Communications in Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 93–108, 2010.
- [53] F. M. Atici and P. W. Eloe, "Fractional q -calculus on a time scale," *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 333–344, 2007.
- [54] A. Ahmadkhanlu and M. Jahanshahi, "On the existence and uniqueness of solution of initial value problem for fractional order differential equations on time scales," *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 241–252, 2012.
- [55] M. Cichoń, I. Kubiacyk, A. Sikorska-Nowak, and A. Yantir, "Existence of solutions of the dynamic Cauchy problem in Banach spaces," *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 561–573, 2012.
- [56] I. L. D. Santos, G. N. Silva, and L. Barbanti, "Dynamical inclusions in time scales: existence of solutions under a lower semicontinuity condition," *Trends in Applied and Computational Mathematics*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 109–120, 2012.
- [57] M. Bohner and G. Sh. Guseinov, "Partial differentiation on time scales," *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, vol. 13, no. 3-4, pp. 351–379, 2004.
- [58] S. Sanyal, *Stochastic dynamic equations [Ph.D. thesis]*, Applied Mathematics, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, Mo, USA, 2008.
- [59] F. M. Atici and D. C. Biles, "The stochastic Itô integral on time scales," *PanAmerican Mathematical Journal*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 45–56, 2010.
- [60] C. Lungan and V. Lupulescu, "Random dynamical systems on time scales," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2012, no. 86, pp. 1–14, 2012.
- [61] N. H. Du and N. T. Dieu, "The first attempt on the stochastic calculus on time scale," *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 1057–1080, 2011.
- [62] J. Kurzweil, "Generalized ordinary differential equations and continuous dependence on a parameter," *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, vol. 7, no. 82, pp. 418–449, 1957.
- [63] J. Kurzweil, "Generalized ordinary differential equations," *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, vol. 8, no. 83, pp. 360–388, 1958.
- [64] J. Kurzweil, "Unicity of solutions of generalized differential equations," *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, vol. 8, no. 83, pp. 502–509, 1958.
- [65] J. Kurzweil, "Generalized ordinary differential equations and continuous dependence on a parameter," *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, vol. 9, no. 84, pp. 564–573, 1959.
- [66] J. Kurzweil, "Problems which lead to a generalization of the concept of an ordinary nonlinear differential equation," in *Differential Equations and their Applications*, pp. 65–76, House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1963.
- [67] Z. Artstein, "Topological dynamics of ordinary differential equations and kurzweil equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 224–243, 1977.
- [68] Š. Schwabik, *Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations*, vol. 5 of *Series in Real Analysis*, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, USA, 1992.
- [69] C. Imaz and Z. Vorel, "Generalized ordinary differential equations in Banach space and applications to functional equations," *Boletín Sociedad Matemática Mexicana*, vol. 11, pp. 47–59, 1966.
- [70] F. Oliva and Z. Vorel, "Functional equations and generalized ordinary differential equations," *Boletín Sociedad Matemática Mexicana*, vol. 11, pp. 40–46, 1966.
- [71] M. Federson and P. Táboas, "Topological dynamics of retarded functional differential equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 195, no. 2, pp. 313–331, 2003.
- [72] M. Federson and Š. Schwabik, "Generalized ODE approach to impulsive retarded functional differential equations," *Differential and Integral Equations*, vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 1201–1234, 2006.
- [73] M. Federson, J. Mesquita, and E. Toon, "Stability results for measure functional differential equations via Kurzweil-equations," submitted.
- [74] M. Federson and Š. Schwabik, "A new approach to impulsive retarded differential equations: stability results," *Functional Differential Equations*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 583–607, 2009.
- [75] M. Federson and Š. Schwabik, "Stability for retarded functional differential equations," *Ukrains'kyi Matematychnyi Zhurnal*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 107–126, 2008.
- [76] Š. Schwabik, "Variational stability for generalized ordinary differential equations," *Časopis Pro Pěstování Matematiky*, vol. 109, no. 4, pp. 389–420, 1984.
- [77] M. Federson, J. G. Mesquita, and A. Slavík, "Basic results for functional differential and dynamic equations involving impulses," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 286, no. 2-3, pp. 181–204, 2013.
- [78] M. Federson, J. G. Mesquita, and A. Slavík, "Measure functional differential equations and functional dynamic equations on time scales," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 252, no. 6, pp. 3816–3847, 2012.
- [79] M. Federson, M. Frasson, J. Mesquita, and P. Tacuri, "Neutral measure functional differential equations as generalized ODEs," submitted.