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We introduce and analyze a hybrid steepest-descent algorithm by combining Korpelevich’s extragradient method, the steepest-descent method, and the averaged mapping approach to the gradient-projection algorithm. It is proven that under appropriate assumptions, the proposed algorithm converges strongly to the unique solution of a triple hierarchical constrained optimization problem (THCOP) over the common fixed point set of finitely many nonexpansive mappings, with constraints of finitely many generalized mixed equilibrium problems (GMEPs), finitely many variational inclusions, and a convex minimization problem (CMP) in a real Hilbert space.

1. Introduction

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with inner product $⟨·,·⟩$ and norm $∥·∥$; let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and let $P_C$ be the metric projection of $H$ onto $C$. Let $S : C → H$ be a nonlinear mapping on $C$. We denote by $\text{Fix}(S)$ the set of fixed points of $S$ and by $\mathbb{R}$ the set of all real numbers. A mapping $S : C → H$ is called $L$-Lipschitz continuous if there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$∥Sx - Sy∥ ≤ L∥x - y∥, \quad ∀ x, y ∈ C. \quad (1)$$

In particular, if $L = 1$ then $S$ is called a nonexpansive mapping; if $L ∈ (0, 1)$ then $S$ is called a contraction.

Let $A : C → H$ be a nonlinear mapping on $C$. The classical variational inequality problem (VIP) [1] is to find a point $x ∈ C$ such that

$$⟨Ax, y - x⟩ ≥ 0, \quad ∀ y ∈ C. \quad (2)$$

The solution set of VIP (2) is denoted by $\text{VI}(C, A)$.

In 1976, Korpelevich [2] proposed an iterative algorithm for solving the VIP (2) in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$y_n = P_C(x_n - τAx_n),$$

$$x_{n+1} = P_C(x_n - τAy_n), \quad ∀ n ≥ 0, (3)$$

with $τ > 0$ a given number, which is known as the extragradient method. See, for example, [3–7] and the references therein.

Let $φ : C → \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function; let $A : H → H$ be a nonlinear mapping and let $Θ : C × C → \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction. In 2008, Peng and Yao [8] introduced the following generalized mixed equilibrium problem (GMEP) of finding $x ∈ C$ such that

$$Θ(x, y) + φ(y) - φ(x) + ⟨Ax, y - x⟩ ≥ 0, \quad ∀ y ∈ C. \quad (4)$$

We denote the set of solutions of GMEP (4) by $\text{GMEP}(Θ, φ, A)$. 

In [8], Peng and Yao assumed that \( \Theta : C \times C \to \mathbb{R} \) is a bifunction satisfying conditions (A1)–(A4) and \( \varphi : C \to \mathbb{R} \) is a lower semicontinuous and convex function with restriction (B1) or (B2), where

(A1) \( \Theta(x, x) = 0 \) for all \( x \in C \);
(A2) \( \Theta \) is monotone; that is, \( \Theta(x, y) + \Theta(y, x) \leq 0 \) for any \( x, y \in C \);
(A3) \( \Theta \) is upper-hemicontinuous; that is, for each \( x, y, z \in C \),

\[
\limsup_{t \to 0} \Theta(tz + (1-t)x, y) \leq \Theta(x, y);
\]
(A4) \( \Theta(x, \cdot) \) is convex and lower semicontinuous for each \( x \in C \);
(B1) for each \( x \in H \) and \( r > 0 \), there exists a bounded subset \( D_x \subset C \) and \( y_x \in C \) such that for any \( z \in C \setminus D_x \),

\[
\Theta(z, y_x) + \varphi(y_x) - \varphi(z) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y_x - z, z - x \rangle < 0;
\]
(B2) \( C \) is a bounded set.

Given a positive number \( r > 0 \). Let \( T_r^{\Theta, \varphi} : H \to C \) be the solution set of the auxiliary mixed equilibrium problem; that is, for each \( x \in H \),

\[
T_r^{\Theta, \varphi}(x) := \left\{ y \in C : \Theta(y, z) + \varphi(z) - \varphi(y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - x, z - y \rangle \geq 0, \forall z \in C \right\}.
\]

Let \( f : C \to \mathbb{R} \) be a convex and continuously Fréchet differentiable functional. Consider the convex minimization problem (CMP) of minimizing \( f \) over the constraint set \( C \):

\[
\min_{x \in C} f(x)
\]

(assuming the existence of minimizers). We denote by \( \Gamma \) the set of minimizers of CMP (8).

On the other hand, let \( B \) be a single-valued mapping of \( C \) into \( H \) and \( R \) be a set-valued mapping with \( D(R) = C \). Considering the following variational inclusion, find a point \( x \in C \) such that

\[
0 \in Bx + Rx.
\]

We denote by \( I(B, R) \) the solution set of the variational inclusion (9). Let a set-valued mapping \( R : D(R) \subset H \to 2^H \) be maximal monotone. We define the resolvent operator \( J_{R, \lambda} : H \to D(R) \) associated with \( R \) and \( \lambda \) as follows:

\[
J_{R, \lambda} = (I + \lambda R)^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in H,
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a positive number.

Let \( S \) and \( T \) be two nonexpansive mappings. In 2009, Yao et al. [9] considered the following hierarchical VIP: find hierarchically a fixed point of \( T \), which is a solution to the VIP for monotone mapping \( I - S \); namely, find \( \bar{x} \in \text{Fix}(T) \) such that

\[
\langle (I - S)\bar{x}, p - \bar{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall p \in \text{Fix}(T).
\]

The solution set of the hierarchical VIP (II) is denoted by \( \Lambda \). It is not hard to check that solving the hierarchical VIP (II) is equivalent to the fixed point problem of the composite mapping \( P_{\text{Fix}(T)} \circ S \); that is, find \( \bar{x} \in C \) such that \( \bar{x} = P_{\text{Fix}(T)} \circ S \bar{x} \). The authors [9] introduced and analyzed the following iterative algorithm for solving the hierarchical VIP (II):

\[
y_n = \beta_n S x_n + (1 - \beta_n) x_n,
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n V x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T y_n,
\]

\[
\forall n \geq 0.
\]

In this paper, we introduce and study the following triple hierarchical constrained optimization problem (THCOP) with constraints of the CMP (8), finitely many GMEPs and finitely many variational inclusions.

**Problem I.** Let \( M, N, \) and \( K \) be three positive integers. Assume that

(i) \( f : C \to \mathbb{R} \) is a convex and continuously Fréchet differentiable functional with \( L \)-Lipschitz continuous gradient \( \forall f, S_i : H \to H \) is a nonexpansive mapping, and \( A_j : H \to H \) is \( \xi_j \)-inverse-strongly monotone for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) and \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, K \);

(ii) \( \bar{A}_1 : H \to H \) is \( \alpha \)-inverse strongly monotone and \( \bar{A}_2 : H \to H \) is \( \beta \)-strongly monotone and \( \kappa \)-Lipschitz continuous;

(iii) \( \Theta \) is a bifunctions from \( C \times C \) to \( \mathbb{R} \) satisfying (A1)–(A4), and \( \varphi_j : C \to \mathbb{R} \) is a lower semicontinuous and convex functional with restriction (B1) or (B2) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, K \);

(iv) \( B_k : C \to 2^H \) is a maximal monotone mapping and \( B_k : C \to H \) is \( \eta_k \)-inverse strongly monotone for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, M \);

(v) \( \text{VI}(\cap_{i=1}^N \text{Fix}(S_i), \bar{A}_1) \neq \emptyset \) with \( (\cap_{i=1}^N \text{Fix}(S_i)) \subset (\cap_{j=1}^K \text{GMEP}(\Theta_j, \varphi_j, A_j)) \cap (\cap_{k=1}^M \text{VI}(B_k, R_k)) \subset \Gamma \).

Then the objective is to

\[
\text{find } x^* \in \text{VI} \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^N \text{Fix}(S_i), \bar{A}_1 \right) : \left( \bar{A}_2 x^*, v - x^* \right) \geq 0, \forall v \in \text{VI} \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^N \text{Fix}(S_i), \bar{A}_1 \right)
\]
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Motivated and inspired by the above facts, we introduce and analyze a hybrid iterative algorithm via Korpelevich’s extragradient method, the steepest-descent method, and the gradient-projection algorithm obtained by the averaged mapping approach. It is proven that under mild conditions, the proposed algorithm converges strongly to a unique solution of the THCOP (13). In this paper, the results we acquired improve and extend the existing results found in this field.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we assume that $H$ is a real Hilbert space of which inner product and norm are denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\| \cdot \|$, respectively. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. We write $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ to indicate that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to $x$ and $x_n \to x$ to indicate that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x$. Moreover, we use $\omega_w(x_n)$ to denote the weak $\omega$-limit set of the sequence $\{x_n\}$; that is,

$$\omega_w(x_n) := \{ x \in H : x_n \rightharpoonup x \text{ for some subsequence } \{x_{n_k}\} \text{ of } \{x_n\} \}.$$  \hfill (14)

**Definition 1.** A mapping $A : C \to H$ is called

(i) monotone if

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C;$$ \hfill (15)

(ii) $\eta$-strongly monotone if there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq \eta \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C;$$ \hfill (16)

(iii) $\zeta$-inverse-strongly monotone if there exists a constant $\zeta > 0$ such that

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq \zeta \|Ax - Ay\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$ \hfill (17)

It is obvious that if $A$ is $\zeta$-inverse-strongly monotone, then $A$ is monotone and $1/\zeta$-Lipschitz continuous. Moreover, we also have that, for all $u, v \in C$ and $\lambda > 0$,

$$\| (I - \lambda A) u - (I - \lambda A) v \|^2 \leq \| u - v \|^2 + \lambda (\lambda - 2\zeta) \|Au - Av\|^2.$$ \hfill (18)

So, if $\lambda \leq 2\zeta$, then $I - \lambda A$ is a nonexpansive mapping from $C$ to $H$.

The metric projection from $H$ onto $C$ is the mapping $P_C : H \to C$ which assigns to each point $x \in H$, the unique point $P_Cx \in C$, satisfying the property

$$\|x - P_Cx\| = \inf_{y \in C} \|x - y\| =: d(x, C).$$ \hfill (19)

Some important properties of projections are gathered in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** For given $x \in H$ and $z \in C$:

(i) $z = P_Cx \iff \langle x - z, y - z \rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in C;$

(ii) $z = P_Cx \iff \|x - z\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \|y - z\|^2, \forall y \in C;$

(iii) $\langle P_Cx - P_Cy, x - y \rangle \geq \|P_Cx - P_Cy\|^2, \forall y \in H.$ (This implies that $P_C$ is nonexpansive and monotone.)

Next we list some elementary conclusions for the mixed equilibrium problem where $\text{MEP}(\Theta, \varphi)$ is the solution set.

**Proposition 3** (see [10]). Assume that $\Theta : C \times C \to R$ satisfies (A1)–(A4) and let $\varphi : C \to R$ be a proper lower semicontinuous and convex function. Assume that either (B1) or (B2) holds. For $r > 0$ and $x \in H$, define a mapping $T_r(\Theta, \varphi) : H \to C$ as follows:

$$T_r(\Theta, \varphi)(x) = \left\{ z \in C : \Theta(z, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(z) \leq \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \right\}.$$ \hfill (20)

for all $x \in C$. Then the following hold:

(i) for each $x \in H$, $T_r(\Theta, \varphi)(x)$ is nonempty and single-valued;

(ii) $T_r(\Theta, \varphi)$ is firmly nonexpansive; that is, for any $x, y \in H$,

$$\|T_r(\Theta, \varphi)x - T_r(\Theta, \varphi)y\|^2 \leq \langle T_r(\Theta, \varphi)x - T_r(\Theta, \varphi)y, x - y \rangle;$$ \hfill (21)

(iii) $\text{Fix}(T_r(\Theta, \varphi)) = \text{MEP}(\Theta, \varphi)$;

(iv) $\text{MEP}(\Theta, \varphi)$ is closed and convex;

(v) $\|T_s(\Theta, \varphi)x - T_t(\Theta, \varphi)x\|^2 \leq (s - t)/s \langle T_s(\Theta, \varphi)x - T_t(\Theta, \varphi)x, x - x \rangle$ for all $s, t > 0$ and $x \in H$.

In the following, we recall some facts and tools in a real Hilbert space $H$.

**Lemma 4.** Let $X$ be a real inner product space. Then there holds the following inequality

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2 \langle x, y + y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$ \hfill (22)

**Lemma 5.** Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space. Then the following hold:

(a) $\|x - y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2 - 2\langle x - y, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in H$;

(b) $\|\lambda x + \mu y\|^2 = \lambda \|x\|^2 + \mu \|y\|^2 - \lambda \mu \|x - y\|^2$ for all $x, y \in H$ and $\lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$ with $\lambda + \mu = 1$;

(c) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in $H$ such that $x_n \to x$, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y\|^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall y \in H.$$ \hfill (23)
Definition 6. A mapping \( T : H \rightarrow H \) is said to be an averaged mapping if it can be written as the average of the identity \( I \) and a nonexpansive mapping; that is,
\[
T = (1 - \alpha) I + \alpha S,
\]
(24)
where \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and \( S : H \rightarrow H \) is nonexpansive. More precisely, when the last equality holds, we say that \( T \) is \( \alpha \)-averaged. Thus firmly nonexpansive mappings (particularly, projections) are 1/2-averaged mappings.

Lemma 7 (see [11]). Let \( T : H \rightarrow H \) be a given mapping.

(i) \( T \) is nonexpansive if and only if the complement \( I - T \) is 1/2-ism.

(ii) \( T \) is firmly nonexpansive if and only if the complement \( I - T \) is nonexpansive if and only if \( \| I - T \| = \frac{1}{2} \).

(iii) \( T \) is averaged if and only if the complement \( I - T \) is \( \alpha \)-ism for some \( \alpha > 0 \).

Lemma 8 (see [11]). Let \( S, T, V : H \rightarrow H \) be given operators.

(i) If \( T = (1 - \alpha) S + \alpha V \) for some \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and if \( S \) is averaged and \( V \) is nonexpansive, then \( T \) is averaged.

(ii) \( T \) is firmly nonexpansive if and only if the complement \( I - T \) is \( \alpha \)-ism for some \( \alpha > 1/2 \). Indeed, for \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), \( T \) is \( \alpha \)-averaged if and only if \( I - T \) is \( 1/2 \)-ism.

Lemma 9 (see [12, Demiclosedness principle]). Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space \( H \). Let \( T \) be a nonexpansive self-mapping on \( C \). Then \( I - T \) is demiclosed. That is, whenever \( \{x_n\} \) is a sequence in \( C \) weakly converging to some \( x \in C \) and the sequence \( \{(I - T)x_n\} \) strongly converges to some \( y \), it follows that \( (I - T)x = y \). Here \( I \) is the identity operator of \( H \).

Lemma 10. Let \( A : C \rightarrow H \) be a monotone mapping. In the context of the variational inequality problem the characterization of the projection (see Proposition 2(ii)) implies
\[
u \in VI(C, A) \iff u = P_C(u - \lambda A u), \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (28)
\]

Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space \( H \). We introduce some notations. Let \( \lambda \) be a number in \( (0, 1) \) and let \( \mu > 0 \). Associating with a nonexpansive mapping \( T : C \rightarrow H \), we define the mapping \( T^\lambda : C \rightarrow H \) by
\[
T^\lambda x := Tx - \lambda \mu F(Tx), \quad \forall x \in C, \quad (29)
\]
where \( F : H \rightarrow H \) is an operator such that, for some positive constants \( \kappa, \eta > 0 \), \( F \) is \( \kappa \)-Lipschitzian and \( \eta \)-strongly monotone on \( H \); that is, \( F \) satisfies the conditions:
\[
\|Fx - Fy\| \leq \kappa \|x - y\|, \quad \langle Fx - Fy, x - y \rangle \geq \eta \|x - y\|^2.
\]
for all \( x, y \in H \).

Lemma 11 (see [13, Lemma 3.1]). \( T^\lambda \) is a contraction provided by \( 0 < \mu < 2\eta/\kappa^2 \); that is,
\[
\|T^\lambda x - T^\lambda y\| \leq (1 - \lambda \tau) \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C, \quad (31)
\]
where \( \tau = 1 - \sqrt{1 - (2\eta/\kappa^2)} \in (0, 1] \).

Lemma 12 (see [13]). Let \( \{s_n\} \) be a sequence of nonnegative numbers satisfying the conditions
\[
s_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n) s_n + \alpha_n \beta_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (32)
\]
where \( \{\alpha_n\} \) and \( \{\beta_n\} \) are sequences of real numbers such that

(i) \( \{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1] \) and \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \), or equivalently,
\[
\prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 - \alpha_k) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 - \alpha_k) = 0; \quad (33)
\]

(ii) \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \leq 0 \), or \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n \beta_n| < \infty \).

Then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = 0 \).

Recall that a Banach space \( X \) is said to satisfy Opial’s property [12] if, for any given sequence \( \{x_n\} \subset X \) which converges weakly to an element \( x \in X \), there holds the inequality
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y\|, \quad \forall y \in X, \quad y \neq x. \quad (34)
\]
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It is well known that every Hilbert space $H$ satisfies Opial’s property in [12].

Finally, recall that a set-valued mapping $T : D(T) \subset H \to 2^H$ is called monotone if for all $x, y \in D(T), f \in Tx$, and $g \in Ty$ imply

$$\langle f - g, x - y \rangle \geq 0.$$  

(35)

A set-valued mapping $T$ is called maximal monotone if $T$ is monotone and $(I + \lambda T)(D(T)) = H$ for all $\lambda > 0$, where $I$ is the identity mapping of $H$. We denote by $G(T)$ the graph of $T$. It is known that a monotone mapping $T$ is maximal if and only if, for $(x, f) \in H \times H, \langle f - g, x - y \rangle \geq 0$, for every $(y, g) \in G(T)$, implies $f \in Tx$. Let $A : C \to H$ be a monotone, $k$-Lipschitz-continuous mapping and let $N_C v$ be the normal cone to $C$ at $v \in C$; that is,

$$N_C v = \{ u \in H : \langle v - p, u \rangle \geq 0, \forall p \in C \}.$$  

(36)

Define

$$\tilde{T}_v = \begin{cases} \text{Av} + N_C v, & \text{if } v \in C, \\ 0, & \text{if } v \notin C. \end{cases}$$  

(37)

Then, $\tilde{T}$ is maximal monotone such that

$$0 \in \tilde{T}_v \iff v \in VI(C, A).$$  

(38)

Let $R : D(R) \subset H \to 2^H$ be a maximal monotone mapping. Let $\lambda, \mu > 0$ be two positive numbers. Let $R : D(R) \subset H \to 2^H$ be a maximal monotone mapping.

Lemma 13 (see [14]). There holds the resolvent identity

$$J_{R, \lambda} x = J_{R, \mu} \left( \frac{\mu}{\lambda} x + \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right) J_{R, \lambda} x \right), \quad \forall x \in H.$$  

(39)

For $\lambda, \mu > 0$, there holds the following relation that

$$\left\| J_{R, \lambda} x - J_{R, \mu} y \right\| \leq \|x - y\| + \left\| \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right\| \left\| J_{R, \lambda} x - J_{R, \mu} y \right\|,$$  

$$\forall x, y \in H.$$  

(40)

Based on Huang [15], there holds the following property for the resolvent operator $J_{R, \lambda} : H \to D(R)$.

Lemma 14. $J_{R, \lambda}$ is single-valued and firmly nonexpansive; that is,

$$\langle J_{R, \lambda} x - J_{R, \lambda} y, x - y \rangle \geq \left\| J_{R, \lambda} x - J_{R, \lambda} y \right\|^2,$$  

$$\forall x, y \in H.$$  

(41)

Consequently, $J_{R, \lambda}$ is nonexpansive and monotone.

Lemma 15 (see [16]). Let $R$ be a maximal monotone mapping with $D(R) = C$. Then for any given $\lambda > 0, u \in C$ is a solution of problem (10) if and only if $u \in C$ satisfies

$$u = J_{R, \lambda} (u - \lambda Bu).$$  

(42)

Lemma 16 (see [17]). Let $R$ be a maximal monotone mapping with $D(R) = C$ and let $B : C \to H$ be a strongly monotone, continuous, and single-valued mapping. Then, for each $z \in H$, the equation $z \in (B + \lambda R)x$ has a unique solution $x_\lambda$ for $\lambda > 0$.

Lemma 17 (see [16]). Let $R$ be a maximal monotone mapping with $D(R) = C$ and let $B : C \to H$ be a monotone, continuous, and single-valued mapping. Then $(I + \lambda R(B + R))C = H$ for each $\lambda > 0$. In this case, $R + B$ is maximal monotone.

3. Main Results

In this section, we will introduce and analyze a hybrid steepest-descent algorithm for finding a solution of the THCOP (13) with constraints of several problems: the CMP (8), finitely many GMEPs, and finitely many variational inclusions in a real Hilbert space. This algorithm is based on Korpelevich’s extragradient method, the steepest-descent method, and the averaged mapping approach to the gradient-projection algorithm. We prove the strong convergence of the proposed algorithm to a unique solution of the THCOP (13) under suitable conditions. Throughout this paper, let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be $N$ nonexpansive mappings $S_i : H \to H$ with $N \geq 1$ an integer. We write $S_{k|j} := S_{k \mod N}$ for integer $k \geq 1$, with the mod function taking values in the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ (i.e., if $k = jN + q$ for some integers $j \geq 0$ and $0 \leq q < N$, then $T_{k|j} = N$ if $q = 0$ and $T_{k|j} = q$ if $1 \leq q < N$).

The following is to state and prove the main result in this paper.

Theorem 18. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and let $f : C \to R$ be a convex and continuously Fréchet differentiable functional with L-Lipschitz continuous gradient $\nabla f$. Let $M, N, K \geq 1$ be three integers. Let $\Theta_j$ be bifunctions from $C \times C$ to $R$ satisfying (A1)–(A4), $\varphi_j : C \to R$ a lower semicontinuous and convex functional with restriction (B1) or (B2), and $A_j : H \to H\times\text{Lipschitz})$. Then, $\tilde{T}_v$ is maximal monotone such for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, K$. Let $R_k : C \to 2^H$ be a maximal monotone mapping and let $B_k : C \to H$ be $\eta_k$-inverse strongly monotone for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, M$. Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings on $H$. Let $A_1 : H \to H$ be $\alpha$-inverse strongly monotone and let $A_2 : H \to H$ be $\beta$-strongly monotone and $k$-Lipschitz continuous. Assume that $\text{VI}(\{\gamma_{i,j}^n\}, \text{Fix}(A_1), \bar{A}_1) \neq \emptyset$ with $\{\gamma_{i,j}^n\} \subset (\gamma_{j,i}^n \text{GMEP} \Theta_j, \varphi_j, A_j) \cap (\gamma_{i,j}^n \text{I}(B_k, R_k)) \bot \Gamma$. Let $\mu \in (0, 2/\beta/k)$, $\alpha_{i,n}^{\infty} \in (0, 1)$, $\rho_{i,n}^{\infty} \in (0, 2\alpha)$, $\lambda_{i,n}^{\infty} \in (0, 2\alpha)$, and $\tau_{i,j,n}^{\infty} \in (0, 2\alpha)$. Then

$$u = J_{R, \lambda} (u - \lambda Bu).$$  

(42)
For arbitrary given $x_0 \in H$, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by

$$
u_n = I_{B_{M-1, n}}(I - \lambda_{M-1, n} B_{M-1}) \nu_n,$$

and

$$\nu_n = S_{[n+1]}(I - \rho_n \tilde{A}_1) T_n \nu_n,$$

$$x_{n+1} = \nu_n - \mu_n \alpha_n \tilde{A}_2 y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

(43)

where $P_C(I - \lambda \nabla f) = s_n I + (1 - s_n) T_n$ (here $T_n$ is nonexpansive and $s_n := s_n(\lambda_n) = (2 - \lambda_n L)/4 \in (0,1/2)$ for each $\lambda_n \in (0,2/L)$). Assume that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(S_n) = \text{Fix}(S_1 S_2 \cdots S_N)$$

(44)

and that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ and $\rho_n \leq \alpha_n$ for all $n \geq 0$;

(ii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1})/\alpha_{n+1} = 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| < \infty$;

(iii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} (s_n - s_{n+1})/\alpha_{n+1} = 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |s_n - s_{n+1}| < \infty$;

(iv) $\lim_{n \to \infty} (\rho_n - \rho_{n+1})/\rho_{n+1} = 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\rho_n - \rho_{n+1}| < \infty$;

(v) $\lim_{n \to \infty} (\lambda_{k,n} - \lambda_{k+1,n})/(\alpha_{n+1}) = 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_{k,n} - \lambda_{k+1,n}| < \infty$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, M$;

(vi) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|r_{j,n} - r_{j+1,n})/(\alpha_{n+1}) = 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |r_{j,n} - r_{j+1,n}| < \infty$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, K$.

Then the following hold:

(a) $\{x_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is bounded;

(b) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| = 0$;

(c) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - S_{[n+1]} \cdots S_{[n+1]} x_n\| = 0$ provided $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| + \|T_n y_n - y_n\| = 0$;

(d) $\{x_n\} \converges$ strongly to the unique element of $\text{VI} \left( \mathcal{V}(\Omega, \tilde{A}_1), \tilde{A}_2 \right)$ provided $\|x_n - y_n\| + \|T_n y_n - y_n\| = o(\rho_n)$.

Proof. Let $\{x^*\} = \text{VI} \left( \mathcal{V}(\Omega, \tilde{A}_1), \tilde{A}_2 \right)$. Since $\mathcal{V} \tilde{f}$ is $L$-Lipschitzian, it follows that $\mathcal{V} \tilde{f}$ is $L$-isometric. By Lemma 7(ii), we know that for $\lambda > 0$, $\mathcal{V} \tilde{f}$ is $L/2$-isometric. So by Lemma 7(iii), we deduce that $I - \lambda \tilde{f}$ is $\lambda L/2$-averaged. Now since the projection $P_C$ is $1/2$-averaged, it is easy to see from Lemma 8(iv) that the composite $P_C(I - \lambda \tilde{f})$ is $(2 + \lambda L)/4$-averaged for $\lambda \in (0,2/L)$. Hence we obtain that, for each $n \geq 0$, $P_C(I - \lambda_n \tilde{f})$ is $(2 \lambda_n L)/4$-averaged for each $\lambda_n \in (0,2/L)$. Therefore, we can write

$$P_C(I - \lambda_n \tilde{f}) = \frac{2 - \lambda_n L}{4} I + \frac{2 + \lambda_n L}{4} T_n$$

(45)

where $T_n$ is nonexpansive and $s_n := s_n(\lambda_n) = (2 - \lambda_n L)/4 \in (0,1/2)$ for each $\lambda_n \in (0,2/L)$.

Put $z_n = (I - \rho_n \tilde{A}_1) T_n \nu_n$, for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$x_{n+1} = y_n - \mu_n \alpha_n \tilde{A}_2 y_n$$

(46)

and

$$z_n := z_n(\lambda_n) = s_n(\lambda_n) = (2 - \lambda_n L)/4 \in (0,1/2) \text{ for each } \lambda_n \in (0,2/L).$$

Hence we obtain that, for each $\lambda_n \in (0,2/L)$, $P_C(I - \lambda_n \tilde{f})$ is $(2 \lambda_n L)/4$-averaged. Therefore, we can write

$$P_C(I - \lambda_n \tilde{f}) = \frac{2 - \lambda_n L}{4} I + \frac{2 + \lambda_n L}{4} T_n$$

(45)

where $T_n$ is nonexpansive and $s_n := s_n(\lambda_n) = (2 - \lambda_n L)/4 \in (0,1/2)$ for each $\lambda_n \in (0,2/L)$.

Since $\tilde{A}_2$ is $\kappa$-Lipschitz continuous, we get

$$\|\tilde{A}_2 y_n - \tilde{A}_2 x^*\| \leq \kappa \|y_n - x^*\|, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

(46)

Putting $z_n = (I - \rho_n \tilde{A}_1) T_n \nu_n$, for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$x_{n+1} = y_n - \mu_n \alpha_n \tilde{A}_2 y_n$$

(46)

and

$$z_n := z_n(\lambda_n) = s_n(\lambda_n) = (2 - \lambda_n L)/4 \in (0,1/2) \text{ for each } \lambda_n \in (0,2/L).$$

Hence we obtain that, for each $\lambda_n \in (0,2/L)$, $P_C(I - \lambda_n \tilde{f})$ is $(2 \lambda_n L)/4$-averaged. Therefore, we can write

$$P_C(I - \lambda_n \tilde{f}) = \frac{2 - \lambda_n L}{4} I + \frac{2 + \lambda_n L}{4} T_n$$

(45)

where $T_n$ is nonexpansive and $s_n := s_n(\lambda_n) = (2 - \lambda_n L)/4 \in (0,1/2)$ for each $\lambda_n \in (0,2/L)$.

Since $\tilde{A}_2$ is $\kappa$-Lipschitz continuous, we get

$$\|\tilde{A}_2 y_n - \tilde{A}_2 x^*\| \leq \kappa \|y_n - x^*\|, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

(46)

Putting $z_n = (I - \rho_n \tilde{A}_1) T_n \nu_n$, for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$x_{n+1} = y_n - \mu_n \alpha_n \tilde{A}_2 y_n$$

(46)

and

$$z_n := z_n(\lambda_n) = s_n(\lambda_n) = (2 - \lambda_n L)/4 \in (0,1/2) \text{ for each } \lambda_n \in (0,2/L).$$

Hence we obtain that, for each $\lambda_n \in (0,2/L)$, $P_C(I - \lambda_n \tilde{f})$ is $(2 \lambda_n L)/4$-averaged. Therefore, we can write

$$P_C(I - \lambda_n \tilde{f}) = \frac{2 - \lambda_n L}{4} I + \frac{2 + \lambda_n L}{4} T_n$$

(45)

where $T_n$ is nonexpansive and $s_n := s_n(\lambda_n) = (2 - \lambda_n L)/4 \in (0,1/2)$ for each $\lambda_n \in (0,2/L)$.
Utilizing (18) and Lemma 14 we have

\[
\| v_n - x^* \| = \left\| J_{\mathcal{R}_n A, M} (I - \lambda M, n A_M) A_n^{M-1} u_n \right\| \\
\leq \left\| (I - \lambda M, n A_M) A_n^{M-1} u_n \right\| \\
\leq \left\| A_n^{M-1} u_n - A_n^{M-1} x^* \right\|
\]

(51)

Combining (50) and (51), we have

\[
\| v_n - x^* \| \leq \| x_n - x^* \|.
\]

(52)

Since \( \widetilde{A}_1 \) is \( \alpha \)-inverse strongly monotone and \( \{\rho_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset (0, 2\alpha] \), we have

\[
\| T_n v_n - x^* \| = \left\| T_n v_n - x^* \right\| \\
= \left\| T_n v_n - x^* \right\|^2 \\
- 2 \rho_n \left\langle \widetilde{A}_1 T_n v_n - \widetilde{A}_1 x^*, T_n v_n - x^* \right\rangle \\
+ \rho_n^2 \left\| \widetilde{A}_1 T_n v_n - \widetilde{A}_1 x^* \right\|^2 \\
\leq \left\| T_n v_n - x^* \right\|^2 - \rho_n \| 2\alpha - \rho_n \left\| \widetilde{A}_1 T_n v_n - \widetilde{A}_1 x^* \right\|^2 \\
\leq \left\| T_n v_n - x^* \right\|^2 \\
\leq \left\| v_n - x^* \right\|^2.
\]

(53)

Utilizing Lemma II, we deduce from (52), \( \rho_n \leq \alpha_n \), and \( S_{[n+1]}^n x^* = x^* - \alpha_n \mu \widetilde{A}_2 x^* \) that for all \( n \geq 0 \)

\[
\| x_{n+1} - x^* \| \\
= \| S_{[n+1]}^n z_n - x^* \| \\
\leq \| S_{[n+1]}^n z_n - S_{[n+1]}^n x^* \| + \| S_{[n+1]}^n x^* - x^* \| \\
\leq (1 - \alpha_n \tau) \| z_n - x^* \| + \alpha_n \mu \| \widetilde{A}_2 x^* \|
\]

(54)

where \( \tau = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \mu(2\beta - \mu k^2)} \). So, by induction we obtain

\[
\| x_n - x^* \| \\
\leq \max \left\{ \| x_0 - x^* \|, \frac{\| \widetilde{A}_1 x^* \| + \mu \| \widetilde{A}_2 x^* \|}{\tau} \right\}, \quad \forall n \geq 0.
\]

(55)

Hence \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is bounded. Since \( \widetilde{A}_1 : H \rightarrow H \) is \( \alpha \)-inverse strongly monotone, it is known that \( \widetilde{A}_1 \) is \( 1/\alpha \)-Lipschitz continuous. Thus, from (52), we get

\[
\| \widetilde{A}_1 T_n v_n - \widetilde{A}_1 x^* \| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \| T_n v_n - x^* \| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \| v_n - x^* \| \\
\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \| x_n - x^* \|, \quad \forall n \geq 0.
\]

(56)

Consequently, the boundedness of \( \{x_n\} \) ensures the boundedness of \( \{y_n\}, \{T_n v_n\}, \) and \( \{\widetilde{A}_1 T_n v_n\} \). From \( y_n = S_{[n+1]}(I - \rho_n \widetilde{A}_1) T_n v_n \) and the nonexpansivity of \( S_{[n+1]} \), it follows that \( \{y_n\} \) is bounded. Since \( \widetilde{A}_2 \) is \( \kappa \)-Lipschitz continuous, \( \{\widetilde{A}_2 y_n\} \) is also bounded.

**Step 2.** We prove that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - x_{n+N} \| = 0 \).
Indeed, utilizing (18) and (40), we obtain that
\[
||v_{mN} - v_n|| = ||A_{mN}M u_{n+1} - A_n M u_n||
\]
\[
= ||I_{R}\lambda M_{m,n} (I - \lambda M_{m,n} B_{M}) A_{m,n+1}^{M-1} u_{n+1} + I_{R}\lambda M_{1,n} (I - \lambda M_{1,n} B_{M}) A_{1,n}^{M-1} u_n||
\]
\[
\leq ||I_{R}\lambda M_{m,n} (I - \lambda M_{m,n} B_{M}) A_{m,n+1}^{M-1} u_{n+1} + I_{R}\lambda M_{1,n} (I - \lambda M_{1,n} B_{M}) A_{1,n}^{M-1} u_n||
\]
\[
\leq \sup_{n \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq M} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_{i,n+1}} \left\| I_{R}\lambda_{i,n+1} (I - \lambda_{i,n} B_{i}) A_{i,n+1}^{M-1} u_{n+1} \right\| + \frac{1}{\lambda_{i,n}} \left\| I_{R}\lambda_{i,n} (I - \lambda_{i,n} B_{i}) A_{i,n}^{M-1} u_n \right\| \right\} \leq \tilde{M},
\]
where
\[
\sup_{n \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq M} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_{i,n+1}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{M} \frac{\lambda_{k,n+1}}{\lambda_{i,n+1}} (I - \lambda_{k,n+1} B_{k}) A_{k,n+1}^{M-1} u_{n+1} \right\| \right\} \leq \tilde{M}_0
\]
for some \(\tilde{M} > 0\) and \(\sup_{n \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq M} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{M} \frac{\lambda_{k,n+1}}{\lambda_{i,n+1}} (I - \lambda_{k,n+1} B_{k}) A_{k,n+1}^{M-1} u_{n+1} + \tilde{M} \right\| \leq \tilde{M}_0\).

Furthermore, since \(Vf\) is \(1/L\)-ism, \(P_C (I - \lambda_n Vf)\) is nonexpansive for \(\lambda_n \in (0, 2/L)\). So, it follows that
\[
\left\| P_C (I - \lambda_n Vf) v_n \right\|
\]
\[
\leq \left\| P_C (I - \lambda_n Vf) v_n - x^* \right\| + \left\| x^* \right\|
\]
\[
= \left\| P_C (I - \lambda_n Vf) v_n - P_C (I - \lambda_n Vf) x^* \right\| + \left\| x^* \right\|
\]
\[
\leq \left\| v_n - x^* \right\| + \left\| x^* \right\|
\]
\[
\leq \left\| v_n \right\| + 2 \left\| x^* \right\|.
\]

With the boundedness of \(\{v_n\}\), this implies that \(\{P_C (I - \lambda_n Vf) v_n\}\) is bounded. Also, observe that
\[
\left\| T_{m,n} v_n - T_n v_n \right\|
\]
\[
= \left\| 4P_C (I - \lambda_{m,n} Vf) - (2 - \lambda_{m,n} L) I \right\| v_n
\]
\[
\leq \left\| 4P_C (I - \lambda_{m,n} Vf) - (2 - \lambda_{m,n} L) I \right\| v_n
\]
\[
\leq \left\| 4P_C (I - \lambda_{m,n} Vf) v_n - 4P_C (I - \lambda_{m,n} Vf) v_n \right\|
\]
\[
\leq \left\| 4L (2 - \lambda_{m,n} L) P_C (I - \lambda_{m,n} Vf) v_n \right\|
\]
\[
\leq \left\| 4L (2 - \lambda_{m,n} L) (2 + \lambda_{m,n} L) v_n \right\|
\]
\[
\leq \left\| 4L \left\| \lambda_{m,n} - \lambda_n \right\| \frac{1}{2 + \lambda_{m,n} L} v_n \right\|
\]
(57)
\[= \left\| (4L (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}) P_C (I - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f) v_n + 4 (2 + \lambda_{n+1} L) \ight. \\
\times (P_C (I - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f) v_n - P_C (I - \lambda_n \nabla f) v_n) \\
\times ((2 + \lambda_{n+1} L)(2 + \lambda_n L))^{-1} \right\| \\
+ \frac{4L |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n|}{(2 + \lambda_{n+1} L)(2 + \lambda_n L)} \| v_n \|
\]
\[\leq \frac{4L |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n|}{(2 + \lambda_{n+1} L)(2 + \lambda_n L)} \| P_C (I - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f) v_n \|
\]
\[+ (2 + \lambda_{n+1} L)(2 + \lambda_n L) \| v_n \|
\]
\[\leq |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \left[ L \| P_C (I - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f) v_n \| \\
+ 4 \| \nabla f (v_n) \| + L \| v_n \| \right]
\]
\[\leq \overline{M}_1 |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n|, \quad (60)
\]

where \( \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \|P_C (I - \lambda_{n+1} \nabla f) v\| + 4 \| \nabla f (v) \| + L \| v \| \leq \overline{M}_1 \) for some \( \overline{M}_1 > 0 \). Thus, we conclude from (57) and (60) that
\[\| T_{n+1} v_{n+1} - T_n v_n \|
\leq \| T_{n+1} v_{n+1} - T_{n+1} v_n \| + \| T_{n+1} v_n - T_n v_n \|
\leq \| v_{n+1} - v_n \| + \overline{M}_1 |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \\
\leq \| v_{n+1} - v_n \| + 4 \overline{M}_1 \| v_{n+1} - v_n \| \\
\leq \overline{M}_1 \sum_{k=1}^M |\lambda_{k,n+1} - \lambda_{k,n}| + \| u_{n+1} - u_n \| \\
+ \frac{4 \overline{M}_1}{L} \| s_{n+1} - s_n \|. \quad (61)
\]

Also, utilizing Proposition 3(ii), (v), we deduce that
\[\| u_{n+1} - u_n \| \\
= \left\| \Delta_{n+1} x_{n+1} - \Delta_n x_n \right\|
\leq \| T_{n+1} (I - r_{K,n} A_K) \Delta_{n+1} x_{n+1} - A_K \Delta_{n+1} x_{n+1} \| \\
- \| T_{n+1} (I - r_{K,n} A_K) \Delta_n x_n \| \\
\leq \| T_{n+1} (I - r_{K,n} A_K) \Delta_{n+1} x_{n+1} \|
\leq \left\| T_{n+1} (I - r_{K,n} A_K) \Delta_{n+1} x_{n+1} \right\|
\leq \| A_1 \Delta_{n+1} x_{n+1} \| + \frac{1}{r_{K,n}} \| s_{n+1} - s_n \| \\
\leq \| A_1 \Delta_{n+1} x_{n+1} \| + \frac{1}{r_{K,n}} \| s_{n+1} - s_n \| \\
+ \cdots + \| r_{1,n} - r_{1,n} \| \\
\times \left\| T_{n+1} (I - r_{1,n} A_1) \Delta_{n+1} x_{n+1} \right\|
\leq \left\| T_{n+1} (I - r_{K,n} A_K) \Delta_{n+1} x_{n+1} \right\|
\leq \| A_1 \Delta_{n+1} x_{n+1} \| + \frac{1}{r_{K,n}} \| s_{n+1} - s_n \| \\
\leq \| A_1 \Delta_{n+1} x_{n+1} \| + \frac{1}{r_{K,n}} \| s_{n+1} - s_n \| \\
+ \cdots + \| r_{1,n} - r_{1,n} \| \\
\times \left\| T_{n+1} (I - r_{1,n} A_1) \Delta_{n+1} x_{n+1} \right\|
\[ + \left\| \Delta_{n+1} \xi_{n+1} - \Delta_n \xi_n \right\| \]
\[ \leq \bar{M}_2 \sum_{j=1}^{K} \left| r_{j,n+1} - r_{j,n} \right| + \left\| \xi_{n+1} - \xi_n \right\| , \]  

(62)

where \( \bar{M}_2 > 0 \) is a constant such that for each \( n \geq 0 \)

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{K} \left[ \left\| A_j \left( \Delta_{n+1} \xi_{n+1} \right) \right\| + \frac{1}{r_{j,n+1}} \left\| T_{r_{j,n+1}} \left( I - r_{j,n+1} A_j \right) \left( \Delta_{n+1} \xi_{n+1} \right) \right\| \right] \leq \bar{M}_2 . \]

(63)

Therefore, it follows from (18), (61), (62), and \( \{ \rho_n \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset (0, 2\alpha] \) that

\[ \left\| \xi_{n+1} - \xi_n \right\| \]
\[ = \left\| T_{n+1} \xi_{n+1} - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 T_n \xi_n \right\| - \left( T_n \xi_n - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 T_n \xi_n \right) \]
\[ \leq \left\| T_{n+1} \xi_{n+1} - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 T_n \xi_n \right\| + \left\| T_n \xi_n - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 T_n \xi_n \right\| \]
\[ \leq \left\| T_{n+1} \xi_{n+1} - T_n \xi_n \right\| + \left\| \rho_n - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 T_n \xi_n \right\| \]
\[ \leq \bar{M}_0 \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left\| \lambda_{k,n+1} - \lambda_{k,n} \right\| + \left\| u_{n+1} - u_n \right\| \]
\[ + \frac{4 \bar{M}_1}{L} \left\| s_{n+1} - s_n \right\| + \left\| \rho_n - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 T_n \xi_n \right\| \]
\[ \leq \bar{M}_0 \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left\| \lambda_{k,n+1} - \lambda_{k,n} \right\| + \bar{M}_0 \sum_{j=1}^{K} \left| r_{j,n+1} - r_{j,n} \right| \]
\[ + \frac{4 \bar{M}_1}{L} \left\| s_{n+1} - s_n \right\| + \left\| \rho_n - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 T_n \xi_n \right\| . \]

(64)

From Lemma II and (64), it is found that

\[ \left\| \xi_{n+1} - \xi_n \right\| \]
\[ = \left\| y_{n+1} - \mu \alpha_n \bar{A}_2 \xi_{n+1} \right\| - \left( y_{n} - \mu \alpha_n \bar{A}_2 \xi_n \right) \]
\[ = \left\| S^{\alpha_n+1}_{m[n]} \xi_{n+1} - S^\alpha_{m[n]} \xi_{n} \right\| \]
\[ \leq \left\| S^{\alpha_n+1}_{m[n]} \xi_{n+1} - S^{\alpha_n}_{m[n]} \xi_{n+1} \right\| \]
\[ + \left\| S^{\alpha_n}_{m[n]} \xi_{n} - S^\alpha_{m[n]} \xi_{n} \right\| \]
\[ \leq \left( 1 - \alpha \right) \left\| \xi_{n+1} - z_{n+1} \right\| + \mu \left\| d_{m[n]} \xi_{n+1} - \xi_{n-1} \right\| \]
\[ \leq \left( 1 - \alpha \right) \left\| \xi_{n+1} - z_{n+1} \right\| + \mu \left\| d_{m[n]} \xi_{n+1} - \xi_{n-1} \right\| \]
\[ \leq \left( 1 - \alpha \right) \left\| \xi_{n+1} - z_{n+1} \right\| + \mu \left\| d_{m[n]} \xi_{n+1} - \xi_{n-1} \right\| \]
\[ \leq \left( 1 - \alpha \right) \left\| \xi_{n+1} - z_{n+1} \right\| + \mu \left\| d_{m[n]} \xi_{n+1} - \xi_{n-1} \right\| \]

where \( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{ \bar{M}_0 + 4 \bar{M}_1/L + \bar{M}_2 + \| \bar{A}_1 T_n \xi_n \| + \mu \| \bar{A}_2 \xi_n \| \} \leq \bar{M}_3 \) for some \( \bar{M}_3 > 0 \). Applying Lemma 12 to (65) we obtain from conditions (i)–(vi) that

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| x_{n+1} - x_n \right\| = 0 . \]  

(66)

Step 3. We prove that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| x_n - S_{m[n]} \cdots S_{m[1]} x_n \right\| = 0 \) provided \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| x_n - y_n \right\| + \left\| T_n \xi_n - y_n \right\| = 0 \).

Indeed, from \( \left\| x_{n+1} - y_n \right\| = \mu \alpha_n \| \bar{A}_2 \xi_n \| \leq \alpha_n \bar{M}_3 \) and condition (i), we get \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| x_{n+1} - y_n \right\| = 0 \). Now, let us show that \( \left\| u_n - x_n \right\| \to 0, \left\| y_n - u_n \right\| \to 0 \) and \( \left\| x_n - T_n \xi_n \right\| \to 0 \).
as $n \to \infty$. As a matter of fact, utilizing Lemma 4, we get from (43)

\[
\|y_n - x^*\|^2 = \|S_{n+1}(T_nv_n - \rho_n \tilde{A}_1T_nv_n) - x^*\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|T_nv_n - x^* - \rho_n \tilde{A}_1T_nv_n\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|T_nv_n - x^*\|^2 - 2\rho_n \langle \tilde{A}_1T_nv_n, z_n - x^* \rangle
\]

\[
\leq \|y_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\rho_n \|\tilde{A}_1T_nv_n\| \|z_n - x^*\|. \tag{67}
\]

Observe that

\[
\|\Delta_n^j x_n - x^*\|^2
\]

\[
= \|T_n^{(\theta, \rho)}(I - r_{jn}A_j)\Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - T_n^{(\theta, \rho)}(I - r_{jn}A_j)x^*\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|I - r_{jn}A_j\| \|\Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - A_jx^*\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|\Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - x^*\|^2 + r_{jn} \|r_{jn} - 2\zeta_j\| \|A_j\| \|\Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - A_jx^*\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + r_{jn} \|r_{jn} - 2\zeta_j\| \|A_j\| \|\Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - A_jx^*\|^2
\]

\[
\|\Delta_n^k u_n - x^*\|^2
\]

\[
= \|I - \lambda_{kn}k_n \|B_k\|\Delta_n^{k-1} u_n - I - \lambda_{kn}k_n \|B_k\|x^*\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|I - \lambda_{kn}k_n \|B_k\|\Delta_n^{k-1} u_n - (I - \lambda_{kn}k_n \|B_k\|x^*\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|\Delta_n^{k-1} u_n - x^*\|^2 + \lambda_{kn} \|\lambda_{kn} - 2\eta_k\| \|B_k\|\Delta_n^{k-1} u_n - B_kx^*\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|u_n - x^*\|^2 + \lambda_{kn} \|\lambda_{kn} - 2\eta_k\| \|B_k\|\Delta_n^{k-1} u_n - B_kx^*\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \lambda_{kn} \|\lambda_{kn} - 2\eta_k\| \|B_k\|\Delta_n^{k-1} u_n - B_kx^*\|^2
\]

\[
\tag{68}
\]

for $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, K\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, M\}$. Combining (67)-(68), we get

\[
\|y_n - x^*\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|y_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\rho_n \|\tilde{A}_1T_nv_n\| \|z_n - x^*\|
\]

\[
\leq \|\Delta_n^k u_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\rho_n \|\tilde{A}_1T_nv_n\| \|z_n - x^*\|
\]

\[
\leq \|u_n - x^*\|^2 + \lambda_{kn} \|\lambda_{kn} - 2\eta_k\| \|B_k\|\Delta_n^{k-1} u_n - B_kx^*\|^2
\]

\[
+ 2\rho_n \|\tilde{A}_1T_nv_n\| \|z_n - x^*\|
\]

\[
\leq \|\Delta_n^j x_n - x^*\|^2 + \lambda_{kn} \|\lambda_{kn} - 2\eta_k\| \|B_k\|\Delta_n^{k-1} u_n - B_kx^*\|^2
\]

\[
+ 2\rho_n \|\tilde{A}_1T_nv_n\| \|z_n - x^*\|
\]

\[
\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + r_{jn} \|r_{jn} - 2\zeta_j\| \|A_j\|\Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - A_jx^*\|^2
\]

\[
+ \lambda_{kn} \|\lambda_{kn} - 2\eta_k\| \|B_k\|\Delta_n^{k-1} u_n - B_kx^*\|^2
\]

\[
+ 2\rho_n \|\tilde{A}_1T_nv_n\| \|z_n - x^*\|. \tag{69}
\]

which immediately yields

\[
r_{jn} \|r_{jn} - 2\zeta_j\| \|A_j\|\Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - A_jx^*\|^2
\]

\[
+ \lambda_{kn} \|\lambda_{kn} - 2\eta_k\| \|B_k\|\Delta_n^{k-1} u_n - B_kx^*\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - \|\Delta_j x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\rho_n \|\tilde{A}_1T_nv_n\| \|z_n - x^*\| \tag{70}
\]

\[
\leq \|x_n - y_n\| \left(\|x_n - x^*\| + \|y_n - x^*\|\right)
\]

\[
+ 2\rho_n \|\tilde{A}_1T_nv_n\| \|z_n - x^*\|
\]

Since $\{\lambda_{kn}\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset [a_k, b_k] \subset (0, 2\eta_k)$ and $\{r_{jn}\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset [c_j, d_j] \subset (0, 2\zeta_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, K$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ and $|x_n|, |y_n|, |\tilde{A}_1T_nv_n|$ and $|z_n|$ are bounded sequences, we deduce from $\rho_n \to 0$ and $\|x_n - y_n\| \to 0$ that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|A_j\|\Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - A_jx^*\| = 0,
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|B_k\|\Delta_n^{k-1} u_n - B_kx^*\| = 0, \tag{71}
\]

for all $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, K\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, M\}$. Furthermore, by Proposition 3(ii) and Lemma 5(a), we have

\[
\|\Delta_n^j x_n - x^*\|^2
\]

\[
= \|T_n^{(\theta, \rho)}(I - r_{jn}A_j)\Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - T_n^{(\theta, \rho)}(I - r_{jn}A_j)x^*\|^2
\]

\[
\leq \langle (I - r_{jn}A_j) \Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - (I - r_{jn}A_j)x^*, A_j^j x_n - x^* \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \| (I - r_{jn}A_j) \Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - (I - r_{jn}A_j)x^* \|^2
\]

\[
- \| (I - r_{jn}A_j) \Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - r_{jn}(A_j^j x_n - x^*) \|^2 \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \| \Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - x^* \|^2 + \| \Delta_n^j x_n - x^* \|^2
\]

\[
- \| \Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - \Delta_n^j x_n - r_{jn}(A_j^j x_n - A_jx^*) \|^2 \right), \tag{72}
\]
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which implies that
\[
\| \Delta^i_n x_n - x^* \|^2 \\
\leq \| \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - x^* \|^2 \\
- \| \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - \Delta^i_n x_n - r_{j,n} (A_j \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - A_j x^*) \|^2 \\
\leq \| \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - x^* \|^2 - \| \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - \Delta^i_n x_n \|^2 \\
- r_{j,n}^2 \| A_j \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - A_j x^* \|^2 \\
+ 2 r_{j,n} \langle \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - \Delta^i_n x_n, A_j \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - A_j x^* \rangle \\
\leq \| \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - x^* \|^2 - \| \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - \Delta^i_n x_n \|^2 \\
+ 2 r_{j,n} \| \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - \Delta^i_n x_n \| \| A_j \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - A_j x^* \| \\
\leq \| x_n - x^* \|^2 - \| \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - \Delta^i_n x_n \|^2 \\
+ 2 r_{j,n} \| \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - \Delta^i_n x_n \| \| A_j \Delta^{i-1}_n x_n - A_j x^* \|. \\
\tag{73}
\]

By Lemma 5(a) and Lemma 14, we obtain
\[
\| A^k_n u_n - x^* \|^2 \\
= J_{R_k,\lambda_{k,n}} (I - \lambda_{k,n} B_k) A^{k-1}_n u_n - J_{R_k,\lambda_{k,n}} (I - \lambda_{k,n} B_k) x^* \|^2 \\
\leq \langle (I - \lambda_{k,n} B_k) A^{k-1}_n u_n - (I - \lambda_{k,n} B_k) x^*, A^k_n u_n - x^* \rangle \\
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \| (I - \lambda_{k,n} B_k) A^{k-1}_n u_n - \| x^* \|^2 \\
- \| (I - \lambda_{k,n} B_k) A^{k-1}_n u_n \\
- (I - \lambda_{k,n} B_k) x^* - (A^k_n u_n - x^*) \|^2 \right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - x^* \|^2 + \| A^k_n u_n - x^* \|^2 \\
- \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n - \lambda_{k,n} (B_k A^{k-1}_n u_n - B_k x^*) \|^2 \right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \| u_n - x^* \|^2 + \| A^k_n u_n - x^* \|^2 \\
- \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n - \lambda_{k,n} (B_k A^{k-1}_n u_n - B_k x^*) \|^2 \right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \| x_n - x^* \|^2 + \| A^k_n u_n - x^* \|^2 \\
- \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n - \lambda_{k,n} (B_k A^{k-1}_n u_n - B_k x^*) \|^2 \right), \\
\tag{74}
\]

which immediately leads to
\[
\| A^k_n u_n - x^* \|^2 \\
\leq \| x_n - x^* \|^2 \\
- \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n - \lambda_{k,n} (B_k A^{k-1}_n u_n - B_k x^*) \|^2 \\
= \| x_n - x^* \|^2 - \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n \|^2 \\
- \lambda_{k,n}^2 \| B_k A^{k-1}_n u_n - B_k x^* \|^2 \\
+ 2 \lambda_{k,n} \langle A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n, B_k A^{k-1}_n u_n - B_k x^* \rangle \\
\leq \| x_n - x^* \|^2 - \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n \|^2 \\
+ 2 \lambda_{k,n} \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n \| \| B_k A^{k-1}_n u_n - B_k x^* \|. \\
\tag{75}
\]

Combining (67) and (75) we conclude that
\[
\| y_n - x^* \|^2 \\
\leq \| y_n - x^* \|^2 + 2 \rho_n \| A_1 T_n v_n \| \| z_n - x^* \|
\leq \| x_n - x^* \|^2 - \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n \|^2 \\
+ 2 \lambda_{k,n} \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n \| \| B_k A^{k-1}_n u_n - B_k x^* \|
+ 2 \rho_n \| A_1 T_n v_n \| \| z_n - x^* \|
\leq \| x_n - y_n \| \| z_n - x^* \|
+ 2 \lambda_{k,n} \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n \| \| B_k A^{k-1}_n u_n - B_k x^* \|
+ 2 \rho_n \| A_1 T_n v_n \| \| z_n - x^* \|
\leq \| x_n - y_n \| \| z_n - x^* \|
+ 2 \lambda_{k,n} \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n \| \| B_k A^{k-1}_n u_n - B_k x^* \|
+ 2 \rho_n \| A_1 T_n v_n \| \| z_n - x^* \|
\tag{76}
\]

which yields
\[
\| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n \|^2 \\
\leq \| x_n - x^* \|^2 - \| y_n - x^* \|^2 \\
+ 2 \lambda_{k,n} \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n \| \| B_k A^{k-1}_n u_n - B_k x^* \|
+ 2 \rho_n \| A_1 T_n v_n \| \| z_n - x^* \|
\leq \| x_n - y_n \| \| z_n - x^* \|
+ 2 \lambda_{k,n} \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n \| \| B_k A^{k-1}_n u_n - B_k x^* \|
+ 2 \rho_n \| A_1 T_n v_n \| \| z_n - x^* \|
\tag{77}
\]

Since \{\lambda_{k,n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset [a_k, b_k] \subset (0, 2 \eta_k) for \(k = 1, 2, \ldots, M\) and \{u_n\}, \{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{A_1 T_n v_n\} and \{z_n\} are bounded sequences, we deduce from (71), \(\rho_n \to 0\), and \(\| x_n - y_n \| \to 0 \) that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| A^{k-1}_n u_n - A^k_n u_n \| = 0, \quad \forall k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, M\}. 
\tag{78}
\]
Also, combining (51), (67), and (73), we deduce that
\[
\|y_n - x^*\|^2 \leq \|v_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\rho_n \left\| \bar{A}_1 T_n v_n \right\| \|z_n - x^*\| \\
\leq \|u_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\rho_n \left\| \bar{A}_1 T_n v_n \right\| \|z_n - x^*\| \\
\leq \|\Delta_n x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\rho_n \left\| \bar{A}_1 T_n v_n \right\| \|z_n - x^*\| \\
\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - \|\Delta_n x_n - \Delta_n x_n\|^2 \\
+ 2r_{j,n} \|\Delta_n x_n - \Delta_n x_n\| \|A j \Delta_n x_n - A_j x^*\| \\
+ 2\rho_n \left\| \bar{A}_1 T_n v_n \right\| \|z_n - x^*\| ,
\]
which leads to
\[
\left\| \Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - \Delta_n^j x_n \right\|^2 \\
\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - \|\Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - \Delta_n^j x_n\|^2 \\
+ 2r_{j,n} \left\| \Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - \Delta_n^j x_n \right\| \|A j \Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - A_j x^*\| \\
+ 2\rho_n \left\| \bar{A}_1 T_n v_n \right\| \|z_n - x^*\| .
\]

Since \((r_{j,n})_{0}^{c_{j,d_j}} \subset (0, 2\xi)\) for \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, K\) and \(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{\bar{A}_1 T_n v_n\}\) and \(\{z_n\}\) are bounded sequences, we conclude from (71), \(\rho_n \to 0,\) and \(\|x_n - y_n\| \to 0\) that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \Delta_n^{j-1} x_n - \Delta_n^j x_n \right\| = 0, \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, K\}.
\]
Hence from (78) and (81) we get
\[
\left\|x_n - u_n\right\| = \left\| \Delta_n x_n - \Delta_n^K x_n \right\| \\
\leq \left\| \Delta_n x_n - \Delta_n^1 x_n \right\| + \left\| \Delta_n^1 x_n - \Delta_n^2 x_n \right\| + \cdots + \left\| \Delta_n^{K-1} x_n - \Delta_n^K x_n \right\| \\
\left\|u_n - v_n\right\| = \left\| \Lambda_n^0 u_n - \Lambda_n^M u_n \right\| \\
\leq \left\| \Lambda_n^0 u_n - \Lambda_n^1 u_n \right\| + \left\| \Lambda_n^1 u_n - \Lambda_n^2 u_n \right\| + \cdots + \left\| \Lambda_n^{M-1} u_n - \Lambda_n^M u_n \right\| \\
\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,
\]
respectively. Thus, from (82) and (83), we obtain
\[
\left\|x_n - v_n\right\| \leq \left\|x_n - u_n\right\| + \left\|u_n - v_n\right\| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,
\]
together with \(\|v_n - T_n v_n\| \to 0,\) which implies that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\|x_n - T_n v_n\right\| = 0.
\]
On the other hand, we observe that the following relation holds:
\[
x_{n+N} - x_n = x_{n+N} - S_{[n+N]} \left( I - \rho_{n+N-1} \bar{A}_1 \right) T_{n+N-1} v_{n+N-1} \\
+ S_{[n+N]} \left( I - \rho_{n+N-1} \bar{A}_1 \right) T_{n+N-1} v_{n+N-1} \\
- S_{[n+N]} S_{[n+N-1]} \left( I - \rho_{n+N-2} \bar{A}_1 \right) T_{n+N-2} v_{n+N-2} \\
+ \cdots + S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n+2]} \left( I - \rho_{n+1} \bar{A}_1 \right) T_{n+1} v_n \\
- S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n+1]} \left( I - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 \right) T_n v_n \\
+ S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n+1]} \left( I - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 \right) T_n v_n - x_n.
\]
Since \(\|x_{n+1} - y_n\| \to 0\) and \(\rho_n \to 0,\) as \(n \to \infty,\) from the nonexpansivity of each \(S_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, N)\) and boundedness of \(\{\bar{A}_1 T_n v_n\}\) it follows from (85) that as \(n \to \infty\) we have
\[
\left\|x_{n+N} - S_{[n+N]} \left( I - \rho_{n+N-1} \bar{A}_1 \right) T_{n+N-1} v_{n+N-1} \right\| \\
= \left\|x_{n+N} - y_n\right\| \to 0, \\
\left\|S_{[n+N]} \left( I - \rho_{n+N-1} \bar{A}_1 \right) T_{n+N-1} v_{n+N-1} \right\| \\
- S_{[n+N]} S_{[n+N-1]} \left( I - \rho_{n+N-2} \bar{A}_1 \right) T_{n+N-2} v_{n+N-2} \right\| \\
\leq \left\| \left( I - \rho_{n+N-1} \bar{A}_1 \right) T_{n+N-1} v_{n+N-1} \right\| \\
- S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n+1]} \left( I - \rho_{n+N-2} \bar{A}_1 \right) T_{n+N-2} v_{n+N-2} \right\| \\
\leq \left\| T_{n+N-1} v_{n+N-1} \right\| - S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n+1]} \left( I - \rho_{n+N-2} \bar{A}_1 \right) T_{n+N-2} v_{n+N-2} \right\| \\
\leq \left\| T_{n+N-1} v_{n+N-1} \right\| - S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n+1]} \left( I - \rho_{n+N-2} \bar{A}_1 \right) T_{n+N-2} v_{n+N-2} \right\| + \rho_{n+N-1} \left\| \bar{A}_1 T_{n+N-1} v_{n+N-1} \right\| \\
\leq \left\| T_{n+N-1} v_{n+N-1} \right\| - x_{n+N-1} \right\| \\
+ \left\| x_{n+N-1} - S_{[n+N-1]} \left( I - \rho_{n+N-2} \bar{A}_1 \right) T_{n+N-2} v_{n+N-2} \right\| \\
+ \rho_{n+N-1} \left\| \bar{A}_1 T_{n+N-1} v_{n+N-1} \right\| \\
= \left\| T_{n+N-1} v_{n+N-1} \right\| - x_{n+N-1} \right\| + \left\| x_{n+N-1} - y_{n+N-2} \right\| \\
+ \rho_{n+N-1} \left\| \bar{A}_1 T_{n+N-1} v_{n+N-1} \right\| \to 0,
\]
and so on.
Therefore, from (66) and (86), we obtain
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n]} \left( I - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 \right) T_n v_n - x_n \| = 0.
\]  

So, it follows that
\[
\begin{align*}
&\| S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n]} \left( I - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 \right) x_n - x_n \| \\
&\leq \| S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n]} \left( I - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 \right) x_n - S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n]} \left( I - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 \right) x_n \| \\
&\quad + \| S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n]} \left( I - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 \right) x_n - x_n \|
\end{align*}
\]

Observe that
\[
\begin{align*}
&\| S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n]} x_n - x_n \| \\
&\leq \| S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n]} x_n - S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n]} x_n \| \\
&\quad + \| S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n]} x_n - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 x_n \| \\
&\leq \rho_n \| \bar{A}_1 x_n \| + \| S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n]} (x_n - \rho_n \bar{A}_1 x_n) - x_n \|
\end{align*}
\]

That is,
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| S_{[n+N]} \cdots S_{[n]} x_n - x_n \| = 0. 
\]  

Step 4. We prove that \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \bar{A}_1 x^*, x^* - x_n \rangle \leq 0 \) provided \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (\| x_n - y_n \| + \| T_n v_n - v_n \|) = 0 \).

Indeed, choose a subsequence \( \{ x_{n_i} \} \) of \( \{ x_n \} \) such that
\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} \langle \bar{A}_1 x^*, x^* - x_{n_i} \rangle = \lim_{i \to \infty} \langle \bar{A}_1 x^*, x^* - x_{n} \rangle. 
\]  

The boundedness of \( \{ x_{n_i} \} \) implies that there is a subsequence of \( \{ x_{n_{i_j}} \} \) which converges weakly to a point \( \bar{x} \in H \) such that \( x_{n_{i_j}} \to \bar{x} \). We may assume without loss of generality that \( x_{n_i} \to \bar{x} \); that is,
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle \bar{A}_1 x^*, x^* - x_{n_i} \rangle = \lim_{i \to \infty} \langle \bar{A}_1 x^*, x^* - x_{n} \rangle.
\]  

First, we can readily see that \( \bar{x} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \text{Fix}(S_i) \). Since the pool of mappings \( \{ S_i : i \leq i \leq N \} \) is finite, we may further assume (passing to a further subsequence if necessary) that, for some integer \( l \in \{ 1, 2, \ldots, N \} \),
\[
S_{[n]} \equiv S_l, \quad \forall i \geq 1. 
\]  

Then, it follows from (91) that
\[
x_{n_i} - S_{[n]} \cdots S_{[n+i]} x_{n_i} \to 0. 
\]  

Hence, by Lemma 9, we conclude that
\[
\bar{x} \in \text{Fix}(S_{[n]} \cdots S_{[n+i]}). 
\]  

Together with the assumption
\[
\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \text{Fix}(S_i) = \text{Fix}(S_{[n]} \cdots S_{[n+i]}), 
\]  

we obtain
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle \bar{A}_1 x^*, x^* - x_n \rangle = \lim_{i \to \infty} \langle \bar{A}_1 x^*, x^* - x_{n_i} \rangle = \langle \bar{A}_1 x^*, x^* - \bar{x} \rangle \leq 0. 
\]  

Step 5. We prove that \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \bar{A}_1 x^*, x^* - x_n \rangle \leq 0 \) provided \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (\| x_n - y_n \| + \| T_n v_n - v_n \|) = 0 \).

Indeed, first of all, let us show that
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \bar{A}_2 x^*, x^* - x_n \rangle \leq 0. 
\]  

The boundedness of \( \{ x_{n_i} \} \) implies that there is a subsequence of \( \{ x_{n_{i_j}} \} \) which converges weakly to a point \( \bar{x} \in H \). Without loss of generality, we may assume that \( x_{n_{i_j}} \to \bar{x} \); that is,
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle \bar{A}_2 x^*, x^* - x_{n_i} \rangle = \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle \bar{A}_2 x^*, x^* - x_{n_i} \rangle. 
\]  

Repeating the same argument as in the proof of \( \bar{x} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \text{Fix}(S_i) \), we have \( \bar{x} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \text{Fix}(S_i) \). Let \( p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \text{Fix}(S_i) \) be fixed arbitrarily. Note that
\[
\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \text{Fix}(S_i) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{K} \text{GMEP}(\Theta^p, \psi^p, A_i) \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^{M} I(B_k, R_k) \cap \Gamma. 
\]  

Then, it follows from the nonexpansivity of each
\[ S_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, N) \text{ and monotonicity of } \overline{A}_1 \text{ that, for all } n \geq 0, \]
\[ \| y_n - p \|^2 = \| S_{[n+1]} (I - \rho_n \overline{A}_1) T_n v_n - S_{[n]} p \|^2 \]
\[ \leq \| (T_n v_n - p) - \rho_n \overline{A}_1 T_n v_n \|^2 \]
\[ = \| T_n v_n - p \|^2 + 2 \rho_n \langle \overline{A}_1 T_n v_n, p - T_n v_n \rangle \]
\[ + \rho_n^2 \| \overline{A}_1 T_n v_n \|^2 \]
\[ = \| T_n v_n - p \|^2 + 2 \rho_n \langle \overline{A}_1 T_n v_n - \overline{A}_1 p, p - T_n v_n \rangle \]
\[ + 2 \rho_n \langle \overline{A}_1 p, p - T_n v_n \rangle + \rho_n^2 \| \overline{A}_1 T_n v_n \|^2 \]
\[ \leq \| v_n - p \|^2 + 2 \rho_n \langle \overline{A}_1 p, p - T_n v_n \rangle + \rho_n^2 \| \overline{A}_1 T_n v_n \|^2 \]
\[ \leq \| x_n - p \|^2 + 2 \rho_n \langle \overline{A}_1 p, p - T_n v_n \rangle + \rho_n^2 \| \overline{A}_1 T_n v_n \|^2, \]
(102)

which implies that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle \overline{A}_1 p, p - T_n v_n \rangle \]
\[ \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2 \rho_n} \left[ \| x_n - p \|^2 - \| y_n - p \|^2 + \rho_n^2 \| \overline{A}_1 \|^2 \right] \]
\[ \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\| x_n - y_n \|}{2 \rho_n} \left( \| x_n - p \| + \| y_n - p \| \right) + \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\rho_n}{2} \| \overline{A}_1 \|^2. \]
(103)

So, from \( \| x_n - y_n \| = o(\rho_n) \) and the boundedness of \( \{ x_n \} \) and \( \{ y_n \} \), we get
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle \overline{A}_1 p, p - T_n v_n \rangle \leq 0, \]
(104)
together with (85), which implies that
\[ \langle \overline{A}_1 p, p - x \rangle \]
\[ = \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle \overline{A}_1 p, p - x_n \rangle \]
\[ \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle \overline{A}_1 p, p - x_n \rangle \]
\[ \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left( \langle \overline{A}_1 p, p - T_n v_n \rangle + \langle \overline{A}_1 p, T_n v_n - x_n \rangle \right) \]
\[ \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \overline{A}_1 p, p - T_n v_n \rangle \]
\[ \leq 0. \]
(105)

Thus, we have
\[ \langle \overline{A}_1 p, p - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \text{Fix}(S_i). \]
(106)

Since \( \overline{A}_1 \) is monotone and \( 1/\alpha \)-Lipschitz continuous, in terms of Minty's lemma [12], we deduce that \( x \in \text{VI}(\Omega, \overline{A}_1) \). Therefore, from (52) and \( S_{[n]} x^* = x^* - \alpha_n \mu \overline{A}_2 x^* \) that for all \( n \geq 0 \)
\[ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \overline{A}_2 x^*, x^* - x_n \rangle = \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle \overline{A}_2 x^*, x^* - x_n \rangle \]
\[ = \langle \overline{A}_2 x^*, x^* - x \rangle \leq 0. \]
(107)

Finally, let us show that \( \| x_n - x^* \| \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). By utilizing Lemma 11, we deduce from (52) and \( S_{[n]} x^* = x^* - \alpha_n \mu \overline{A}_2 x^* \) that for all \( n \geq 0 \)
\[ \| x_{n+1} - x^* \| \leq \|
S_{[n+1]} z_n - x^* \| \|^2 \]
\[ = \| S_{[n+1]} z_n - S_{[n]} x^* + S_{[n]} x^* - x^* \|^2 \]
\[ \leq \| S_{[n+1]} z_n - S_{[n]} x^* + S_{[n]} x^* - x^* \|^2 \]
\[ \leq \| S_{[n+1]} x^* - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \|^2 \]
\[ \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \| x_n - x^* \|^2 - 2 \alpha_n \langle \overline{A}_2 x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \]
\[ = (1 - \alpha_n) \| x_{n+1} - x^* - \rho_n \overline{A}_1 T_n v_n \|^2 \]
\[ \quad - 2 \alpha_n \langle \overline{A}_1 x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \]
\[ = (1 - \alpha_n) \left[ \| x_{n+1} - x^* \|^2 + 2 \rho_n \langle \overline{A}_1 x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle + \rho_n^2 \| \overline{A}_1 T_n v_n \|^2 \right] \]
\[ - 2 \alpha_n \langle \overline{A}_1 x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \]
\[ = (1 - \alpha_n) \left[ \| x_{n+1} - x^* \|^2 + 2 \rho_n \langle \overline{A}_1 x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle + \rho_n^2 \| \overline{A}_1 T_n v_n \|^2 \right] \]
\[ - 2 \alpha_n \langle \overline{A}_1 x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \]
\[ \leq (1 - \alpha_n) \| x_n - x^* \|^2 \]
\[ + 2 \rho_n (1 - \alpha_n) \langle \overline{A}_1 x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle + \rho_n^2 \| \overline{A}_1 T_n v_n \|^2 \]
\[ - 2 \alpha_n \langle \overline{A}_1 x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \]
Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $M, N, K \geq 1$ be three integers. Let $\Theta : C \times C \to R$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $R$ satisfying $(A1)$–$(A4)$, $\varphi : C \to R$ be a lower semicontinuous and convex functional with the restriction $(B1)$ or $(B2)$, and $A_j : H \to H_{\varphi_j}$-inverse strongly monotone for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, K$. Let $R_k : C \to 2^H$ be a maximal monotone mapping and let $B_k : C \to H$ be $\eta_k$-inverse strongly monotone for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, M$. Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings on $H$. Let $A_1 : H \to H$ be $\alpha$-inverse strongly monotone and let $A_2 : H \to H$ be $\beta$-strongly monotone and $\kappa$-Lipschitz continuous. Assume that \( VI(r_{i=1}^N \text{Fix}(S_i), A_1) \neq \emptyset \) with \( (r_{i=1}^N \text{Fix}(S_i)) \subset (r_{i=1}^M \text{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi_j, A_j)) \cap (r_{i=1}^M \text{Fix}(B_k, R_k)) \). Let $\mu \in (0, 2\beta/\kappa^2)$, $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset (0, 1)$, $\{\rho_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset (0, 2\alpha)$, $\{\rho_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset (0, 2\eta_k)$, and $\{\alpha_k\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset [\alpha_k, b_k] \subset (0, 2\varphi_j)$ where $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, K\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, M\}$. For arbitrarily given $x_0 \in H$, let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence generated by
\[
\begin{align*}
 u_n &= T_{\Theta_{r_1}}^\varphi (I - r_1 A_1) T_{\Theta_{r_2}} A_2 (I - r_2 A_2) \cdots T_{\Theta_{r_k}} A_k (I - r_k A_k) x_n, \\
 v_n &= J_{r_M A_{k,n}} (I - \lambda_M B_M) J_{r_M A_{k,n-1}} (I - \lambda_M B_{M-1}) \cdots J_{r_M A_{k,1}} (I - \lambda_M B_1) u_n, \\
 y_n &= S_{\{\alpha_n\}} (I - \rho_n A_1) v_n, \\
 x_{n+1} &= y_n - \mu \alpha_n A_2 y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0. 
\end{align*}
\]
for \( k = 1, 2 \). For arbitrarily given \( x_0 \in H \), let \( \{x_n\} \) be a sequence generated by

\[
\Theta(u_n, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \langle Ax_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,
\]

\[
\nu_n = J_{R_n}x_n \left( I - \lambda_{2, n}B_2 \right) J_{R_n}x_n \left( I - \lambda_{1, n}B_1 \right) u_n,
\]

\[
y_n = S_{\delta_{n+1}} \left( I - \rho_n \widetilde{A}_1 \right) v_n,
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = y_n - \mu \alpha_n \widetilde{A}_2 y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0.
\]

Assume that

\[
\bigwedge_{i=1}^N \text{Fix}(S_i) = \text{Fix}(S_1S_2 \cdots S_N)
\]

and that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \) and \( \rho_n \leq \alpha_n \) for all \( n \geq 0 \);

(ii) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1} + \rho_n + \rho_{n+1}) = 0 \) or \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| < \infty \);

(iii) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (|\rho_n - \rho_{n+1}| + |\rho_n - \rho_{n+1}|) = 0 \) or \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\rho_n - \rho_{n+1}| < \infty \);

(iv) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (\lambda_{k, n} - \lambda_{k, n+1} + \lambda_{k, n} - \lambda_{k, n+1}) = 0 \) or \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_{k, n} - \lambda_{k, n+1}| < \infty \);

(v) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (|r_n - r_{n+1}| + |r_n - r_{n+1}|) = 0 \) or \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |r_n - r_{n+1}| < \infty \).

Then the following hold:

(a) \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is bounded;

(b) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| = 0 \);

(c) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - S_{\delta_{n+1}} \cdots S_{\delta_{n+1}} x_{n+1}\| = 0 \) provided \( \|x_n - y_n\| \to 0 \) (\( n \to \infty \));

(d) \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) converges strongly to the unique element of \( \text{VI}(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{V}(\delta_{n+1}) \text{Fix}(S_i), \widetilde{A}_1, \widetilde{A}_2)) \) provided \( \|x_n - y_n\| = o(\rho_n) \).

In Theorem 18, putting \( K = 1 \) and \( M = 2 \), we obtain the following.

**Corollary 21.** Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space \( H \) and let \( f : C \to \mathbb{R} \) be a convex functional with \( L \)-Lipschitz continuous gradient \( \nabla f \). Let \( N \geq 1 \) be an integer. Let \( \Theta \) be a bijection from \( C \times C \) to \( \mathbb{R} \) satisfying (AI)–(A4), \( \varphi : C \to \mathbb{R} \) a lower semicontinuous and convex functional with the restriction (B1) or (B2), and \( \mathcal{A} : H \to \mathcal{H} \)-inverse-strongly monotone. Let \( R_k : C \to 2^H \) be a maximal monotone mapping and let \( B_k : C \to H \) be \( \eta_k \)-inverse strongly monotone for \( k = 1, 2 \). Let \( \{S_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings on \( H \). Let \( \widetilde{A}_1 : H \to H \) be \( \alpha \)-inverse strongly monotone and let \( \widetilde{A}_2 : H \to H \) be \( \beta \)-strongly monotone and \( \kappa \)-Lipschitz continuous. Assume that \( \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{V}(\delta_{n+1}) \text{Fix}(S_i), \widetilde{A}_1, \widetilde{A}_2)) \neq \emptyset \) with \( \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{V}(\delta_{n+1}) \text{Fix}(S_i), \widetilde{A}_1, \widetilde{A}_2)) \subset \mathcal{GMEP}(\Theta, \varphi, \mathcal{A}) \cap I(B_1, B_2) \cap I(B_2, R_k) \cap I(R_k, R_1) \cap \mathcal{G}. \) Let \( \mu \in (0, 2\beta/\kappa^2) \), \( \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset (0, 1) \), \( \{\rho_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset (0, 2\alpha) \), \( \{\lambda_{k, n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset [\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 2\eta_k) \), and \( \{r_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset [\epsilon, \delta] \subset (0, 2\epsilon) \) for \( k = 1, 2 \). For arbitrarily given \( x_0 \in H \), let \( \{x_n\} \) be a sequence generated by

\[
\Theta(u_n, y) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(u_n) + \langle Ax_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,
\]

\[
\nu_n = J_{R_n}x_n \left( I - \lambda_{2, n}B_2 \right) J_{R_n}x_n \left( I - \lambda_{1, n}B_1 \right) u_n,
\]

\[
y_n = S_{\delta_{n+1}} \left( I - \rho_n \widetilde{A}_1 \right) v_n,
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = y_n - \mu \alpha_n \widetilde{A}_2 y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0.
\]
Corollary 22. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and let $f : C \to R$ be a convex functional with $L$-Lipschitz continuous gradient $\nabla f$. Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $\Theta$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $R$ satisfying (A1)–(A4), $\phi : C \to R$ a lower semicontinuous and convex functional with the restriction (B1) or (B2), and $A : H \to H$ be $H_\ast$-inverse-strongly monotone. Let $R : C \to 2^H$ be a maximal monotone mapping and let $\beta : C \to H$ be $\eta$-inverse strongly monotone. Let $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^N$ be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings on $H$. Let $\bar{A}_1 : H \to H$ be $\alpha$-inverse strongly monotone and let $\bar{A}_2 : H \to H$ be $\beta$-strongly monotone and $\kappa$-Lipschitz continuous. Assume that $VI(\cap V^N_1 \text{Fix}(S_1), \bar{A}_1) \neq \emptyset$ with $(\cap V^N_1 \text{Fix}(S_1)) \subseteq \text{GMEP}(\Theta, \phi, A) \cap I(B, R) \cap \Gamma$. Let $\mu \in (0, 2\beta / \kappa^2)$, $\{\rho_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subseteq (0, 1]$ and $\{\rho_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset [a, b] \subset (0, 2\eta)$ and $\bar{r}_n^{(\infty)} \subset [c, d] \subset (0, 2\zeta)$. For arbitrarily given $x_0 \in H$, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(u_n, y) + \phi(y) - \phi(u_n) + \langle Ax_n, y - u_n \rangle \\
+ \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
\begin{align}
\nu_n = J_{R, \mu_n} (I - \mu_n B) u_n,
\end{align}
\begin{align}
y_n = S_{[n+1]} (I - \rho_n \bar{A}_1) T_n y_n,
\end{align}
\begin{align}
x_{n+1} = y_n - \mu_n \alpha_n \bar{A}_2 y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,
\end{align}
where $P_C(I - \lambda_n V)$ is $s_n I + (1 - s_n) T_n$ (here $T_n$ is nonexpansive and $s_n := s_n(I+\lambda_n)/4 \in (0, 1/2)$ for each $\lambda_n \in (0, 2/(I+\lambda_n))$). Assume that
\begin{align}
\bigcap_{i=1}^N \text{Fix}(S_i) = \text{Fix}(S_1S_2 \cdots S_N)
\end{align}
\begin{align}
= \text{Fix}(S_NS_1 \cdots S_{N-1})
\end{align}
\begin{align}
= \cdots = \text{Fix}(S_2S_3 \cdots S_N S_1)
\end{align}
and that the following conditions are satisfied:
\begin{enumerate}
\item[(i)] $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$ and $\rho_n \leq \alpha_n$ for all $n \geq 0$;
\item[(ii)] $\lim_{n \to \infty} (|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}|/|\alpha_{n+1}|) = 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| < \infty$;
\item[(iii)] $\lim_{n \to \infty} (|s_n - s_{n+1}|/|\alpha_{n+1}|) = 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |s_n - s_{n+1}| < \infty$;
\item[(iv)] $\lim_{n \to \infty} (|\rho_n - \rho_{n+1}|/|\rho_{n+1}|) = 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\rho_n - \rho_{n+1}| < \infty$;
\item[(v)] $\lim_{n \to \infty} (|\mu_n - \mu_{n+1}|/|\alpha_{n+1}|) = 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\mu_n - \mu_{n+1}| < \infty$;
\item[(vi)] $\lim_{n \to \infty} (|r_n - r_{n+1}|/|\alpha_{n+1}|) = 0$ or $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |r_n - r_{n+1}| < \infty$.
\end{enumerate}
Then the following hold:
\begin{enumerate}
\item[(a)] $\{x_n\}^\infty_{n=0}$ is bounded;
\item[(b)] $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| = 0$;
\item[(c)] $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - S_{[n]} \cdots S_{[n+1]} S_{[n]} \cdots S_{[n+1]} x_n\| = 0$ provided
\begin{align}
\lim_{n \to \infty} (\|x_n - y_n\| + \|T_n y_n - v_n\|) = 0;
\end{align}
\item[(d)] $\{x_n\}^\infty_{n=0}$ converges strongly to the unique element of
\begin{align}
VI(\cap V^N_1 \text{Fix}(S_1), \bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_2) \text{ provided } \|x_n - y_n\| + \|T_n y_n - v_n\| = o(\rho_n).
\end{align}
\end{enumerate}
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