Research Article

Numerical Treatment of the Modified Time Fractional Fokker-Planck Equation

Yuxin Zhang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Tianshui Normal University, Tianshui 741001, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yuxin Zhang; zhangyuxin2006@163.com

Received 26 December 2013; Revised 8 February 2014; Accepted 16 February 2014; Published 27 March 2014

Academic Editor: Adem Kilicman

Copyright © 2014 Yuxin Zhang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A numerical method for the modified time fractional Fokker-Planck equation is proposed. Stability and convergence of the method are rigorously discussed by means of the Fourier method. We prove that the difference scheme is unconditionally stable, and convergence order is $O(\tau + h^4)$, where τ and h are the temporal and spatial step sizes, respectively. Finally, numerical results are given to confirm the theoretical analysis.

1. Introduction

Fractional differential equations have attracted considerable attention due to their frequent appearance in various applications in fluid mechanics, biology, physics, and engineering [1, 2]. Usually, fractional differential equations do not have analytic solutions and can only be solved by some semianalytical and numeric techniques. Recently, several semianalytical methods, such as variational iteration method, homotopy perturbation method, Adomian decomposition method, homotopy analysis method, and collocation method, have been used to solve fractional differential equations [3–7]. Meanwhile, some effective numerical techniques are developed; see [8–17].

In the present paper, we are motivated to study the following modified time fractional Fokker-Planck equation [18]:

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = \left[\kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \nu_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right] {}_{\text{RL}}D_{0,t}^{1-\alpha} u(x, t) + f(x, t),$$

$$0 < x < L, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, 0) &= \phi(x), \quad 0 < x < L, \\ u(0, t) &= \varphi_1(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ u(L, t) &= \varphi_2(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\kappa_\alpha > 0$ is a fractional diffusion coefficient, and $\nu_\alpha > 0$ is a fractional friction coefficient. ${}_{\text{RL}}D_{0,t}^{1-\alpha} u(x, t)$ denotes the temporal Riemann-Liouville derivative operator defined as [2]

$${}_{\text{RL}}D_{0,t}^{1-\alpha} u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t \frac{u(x, s)}{(t-s)^{1-\alpha}} ds, \quad (3)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, an effective numerical method for solving the modified time fractional Fokker-Planck equation is proposed. The solvability, stability, and convergence of the numerical method are discussed in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. In Section 5, we give some numerical results demonstrating the convergence orders of the numerical method. Also a conclusion is given in Section 6.

2. The Construction of Numerical Method

Let $x_j = jh$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, M$) and $t_k = k\tau$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, N$), where $h = L/M$ and $\tau = T/N$ are the uniform spatial and temporal mesh sizes, respectively, and M, N are two positive integers.

Lemma 1. Suppose that

$$\left[\kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \nu_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right] u(x_j, t) = g(x_j, t); \quad (4)$$

then a fourth-order difference scheme for the above equation is given as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}u(x_j, t) = \mathcal{L}g(x_j, t) + \mathcal{O}(h^4), \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are two difference operators and are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \kappa_\alpha \cosh\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}\nu_\alpha h}{6\kappa_\alpha}\right) \delta_x^2 - \nu_\alpha \mu_x \delta_x, \\ \mathcal{L} &= I + \frac{h^2}{12} \left(\delta_x^2 - \frac{\nu_\alpha}{\kappa_\alpha} \mu_x \delta_x \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

in which I is an unit operator and $\mu_x \delta_x$ and δ_x^2 are average central and second central difference operators with respect to x and are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_x \delta_x u(x_j, t) &= \frac{u(x_{j+1}, t) - u(x_{j-1}, t)}{2h}, \\ \delta_x^2 u(x_j, t) &= \frac{u(x_{j+1}, t) - 2u(x_j, t) + u(x_{j-1}, t))}{h^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Proof. In view of Taylor expansion, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_j(t) &= \mathcal{A}u(x_j, t) - \mathcal{L}g(x_j, t) \\ &= \kappa_\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)!} \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}\nu_\alpha h}{6\kappa_\alpha} \right)^{2k} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\partial^2 u(x_j, t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{h^2}{12} \frac{\partial^4 u(x_j, t)}{\partial x^4} + \mathcal{O}(h^4) \right) \\ &\quad - \nu_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial u(x_j, t)}{\partial x} + \frac{h^2}{6} \frac{\partial^3 u(x_j, t)}{\partial x^3} + \mathcal{O}(h^4) \right) \\ &\quad - \left(g(x_j, t) + \frac{h^2}{12} \frac{\partial^2 g(x_j, t)}{\partial x^2} + \mathcal{O}(h^4) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\nu_\alpha h^2}{12\kappa_\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial g(x_j, t)}{\partial x} + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \right) \\ &= \left[\left(\kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^2 u(x_j, t)}{\partial x^2} - \nu_\alpha \frac{\partial u(x_j, t)}{\partial x} \right) - g(x_j, t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &- \frac{\nu_\alpha h^2}{12\kappa_\alpha} \left[\left(\kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^3 u(x_j, t)}{\partial x^3} - \nu_\alpha \frac{\partial^2 u(x_j, t)}{\partial x^2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\partial g(x_j, t)}{\partial x} \right] \\ &+ \frac{h^2}{12} \left[\left(\kappa_\alpha \frac{\partial^4 u(x_j, t)}{\partial x^4} - \nu_\alpha \frac{\partial^3 u(x_j, t)}{\partial x^3} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\partial^2 g(x_j, t)}{\partial x^2} \right] + \mathcal{O}(h^4). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Noting (4), we easily obtain

$$E_j(t) = \mathcal{O}(h^4). \quad (9)$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Combing (1), (4), and (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \cdot \frac{\partial u(x_j, t_k)}{\partial t} \\ = \mathcal{A} \cdot {}_{\text{RL}}D_{0,t}^{1-\alpha} u(x_j, t_k) + \mathcal{L} \cdot f(x_j, t_k) + \mathcal{O}(h^4). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Using the relation of the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative ${}_{\text{RL}}D_{0,t}^{1-\alpha} u(x, t)$ and Grünwald-Letnikov fractional derivative ${}_{\text{GL}}D_{0,t}^{1-\alpha} u(x, t)$, we can approximate the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative ${}_{\text{RL}}D_{0,t}^{1-\alpha} u(x, t)$ by [2]

$${}_{\text{RL}}D_{0,t}^{1-\alpha} u(x_j, t_k) = \frac{1}{\tau^{1-\alpha}} \sum_{m=0}^k \omega_m^{(1-\alpha)} u(x_j, t_{k-m}) + \mathcal{O}(\tau), \quad (11)$$

where $\omega_m^{(1-\alpha)} = (-1)^m \binom{1-\alpha}{m}$.

For first-order derivative $\partial u(x_j, t_k)/\partial t$, we apply the following backward difference scheme:

$$\frac{\partial u(x_j, t_k)}{\partial t} = \frac{u(x_j, t_k) - u(x_j, t_{k-1})}{\tau} + \mathcal{O}(\tau). \quad (12)$$

Let u_i^k be the numerical approximation of $u(x_i, t_k)$; substituting (11) and (12) into (10) and omitting the error term $\mathcal{O}(\tau + h^4)$, we can obtain the following difference scheme for solving (1):

$$\mathcal{L} \cdot (u_j^k - u_j^{k-1}) = \tau^\alpha \mathcal{A} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^k \omega_m^{(1-\alpha)} u_j^{k-m} + \tau \mathcal{L} \cdot f_j^k. \quad (13)$$

The discrete form of above system is

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\frac{1}{12} - c_1 - c_2 + c_3 \right) u_{j+1}^k + \left(\frac{5}{6} + 2c_2 \right) u_j^k \\
 & + \left(\frac{1}{12} + c_1 - c_2 - c_3 \right) u_{j-1}^k \\
 & = \left(\frac{1}{12} - c_1 + c_2 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)} - c_3 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)} \right) u_{j+1}^{k-1} \\
 & + \left(\frac{5}{6} - 2c_2 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)} \right) u_j^{k-1} \\
 & + \left(\frac{1}{12} + c_1 + c_2 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)} + c_3 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)} \right) u_{j-1}^{k-1} \\
 & - c_3 \sum_{m=2}^k \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} \left(u_{j+1}^{k-m} - u_{j-1}^{k-m} \right) \\
 & + c_2 \sum_{m=2}^k \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} \left(u_{j+1}^{k-m} - 2u_j^{k-m} + u_{j-1}^{k-m} \right) \\
 & + \tau \left(\frac{1}{12} - c_1 \right) f_{j+1}^k + \frac{5}{6} \tau f_j^k + \tau \left(\frac{1}{12} + c_1 \right) f_{j-1}^k,
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $c_1 = \nu_\alpha h / 24\kappa_\alpha$, $c_2 = \tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha \theta / h^2$, $c_3 = \tau^\alpha \nu_\alpha / 2h$, and $\theta = \cosh(\sqrt{6}\nu_\alpha h / 6\kappa_\alpha)$.

The initial and boundary conditions can be discretized as

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_j^0 &= \phi(jh), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, M, \\
 u_0^k &= \varphi_1(k\tau), \quad u_M^k = \varphi_2(k\tau), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N.
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Obviously, the local truncation error of difference scheme (14) is $R_j^k = O(\tau + h^4)$.

3. The Solvability of the Difference Scheme

Firstly, let us denote

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{U}^0 &= (\phi(x_1), \phi(x_2), \dots, \phi(x_{M-1}))^T, \\
 \mathbf{U}^k &= (u_1^k, u_2^k, \dots, u_{M-1}^k)^T, \quad \mathbf{F}^k = (f_1^k, f_2^k, \dots, f_{M-1}^k)^T, \\
 & k = 0, 1, \dots, N.
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Then we can give the compact form of difference scheme (14) as follows:

$$\mathbf{AU}^k = \mathbf{BU}^{k-1} + \sum_{m=2}^k \mathbf{C}_m \mathbf{U}^{k-m} + \mathbf{DF}^k + \mathbf{G}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N, \tag{17}$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} s_2 & s_3 & & & \\ s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & s_1 & s_2 & s_3 \\ & & & & s_1 & s_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} p_2 & p_3 & & & \\ p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ & & & & p_1 & p_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{C}_m = \begin{pmatrix} q_2 & q_3 & & & \\ q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & q_1 & q_2 & q_3 \\ & & & & q_1 & q_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} r_2 & r_3 & & & \\ r_1 & r_2 & r_3 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & r_1 & r_2 & r_3 \\ & & & & r_1 & r_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{G} = \left(g_1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{M-3}, g_{M-1} \right)^T,$$

$$s_1 = \frac{1}{12} + c_1 - c_2 - c_3, \quad s_2 = \frac{5}{6} + 2c_2,$$

$$s_3 = \frac{1}{12} - c_1 - c_2 + c_3,$$

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{12} + c_1 + c_2 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)} + c_3 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)},$$

$$p_2 = \frac{5}{6} - 2c_2 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)},$$

$$p_3 = \frac{1}{12} - c_1 + c_2 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)} - c_3 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)},$$

$$q_1 = (c_2 + c_3) \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)},$$

$$q_2 = -2c_2 \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)}, \quad q_3 = (c_2 - c_3) \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)},$$

$$r_1 = \tau \left(\frac{1}{12} + c_1 \right), \quad r_2 = \frac{5}{6} \tau, \quad r_3 = \tau \left(\frac{1}{12} - c_1 \right), \tag{18}$$

$$g_1 = -s_1 \varphi_1(t_k) + p_1 \varphi_1(t_{k-1}) + (c_2 + c_3)$$

$$\times \sum_{m=2}^k \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} \varphi_1(t_{k-m}) + r_1 f(x_0, t_k),$$

$$g_{M-1} = -s_3 \varphi_2(t_k) + p_3 \varphi_2(t_{k-1}) + (c_2 + c_3)$$

$$\times \sum_{m=2}^k \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} \varphi_2(t_{k-m}) + r_3 f(x_M, t_k). \tag{19}$$

Theorem 2. *Difference equation (17) is uniquely solvable.*

Proof. It is well known that the eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_k &= s_2 + 2\sqrt{s_1 s_3} \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{M}\right), \\ &= \frac{5}{6} + \frac{2\tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha \theta}{h^2} \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{\tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha \theta}{h^2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\gamma_\alpha h}{24\kappa_\alpha} - \frac{\tau^\alpha \gamma_\alpha}{2h}\right)^2} \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{M}\right),\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

where $k = 1, 2, \dots, M-1$.

Note that $\theta \geq 1$ and $\kappa_\alpha > 0$; if

$$\left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{\tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha \theta}{h^2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\gamma_\alpha h}{24\kappa_\alpha} - \frac{\tau^\alpha \gamma_\alpha}{2h}\right)^2 < 0, \quad (21)$$

then we easily know that $\lambda_k \neq 0$.

If

$$\left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{\tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha \theta}{h^2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\gamma_\alpha h}{24\kappa_\alpha} - \frac{\tau^\alpha \gamma_\alpha}{2h}\right)^2 \geq 0, \quad (22)$$

then

$$\lambda_k \geq \frac{5}{6} + \frac{2\tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha \theta}{h^2} - 2\left|\frac{1}{12} - \frac{\tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha \theta}{h^2}\right| > 0. \quad (23)$$

At the moment, we obtain $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$; that is to say, the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is invertible. Hence, difference equation (17) has a unique solution. $\square$

4. Stability and Convergence Analysis

In this section, we analyze the stability and convergence of difference scheme (17) by the Fourier method [8]. Firstly, we give the stability analysis.

Lemma 3. *The coefficients $\omega_m^{(1-\alpha)}$ ($m = 0, 1, \dots$) satisfy [8] as follows:*

$$(1) \omega_0^{(1-\alpha)} = 1, \omega_1^{(1-\alpha)} = \alpha - 1, \omega_m^{(1-\alpha)} < 0, j = 1, 2, \dots;$$

$$(2) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \omega_m^{(1-\alpha)} = 0.$$

Let U_j^k be the approximate solution of (14) and define

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_j^k &= u_j^k - U_j^k, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, M-1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N, \\ \rho^k &= (\rho_1^k, \rho_2^k, \dots, \rho_{M-1}^k)^T, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N,\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$

respectively.

So, we can easily obtain the following roundoff error equation:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{1}{12} - c_1 - c_2 + c_3\right)\rho_{j+1}^k + \left(\frac{5}{6} + 2c_2\right)\rho_j^k \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{12} + c_1 - c_2 - c_3\right)\rho_{j-1}^k \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{12} - c_1 + c_2\omega_1^{(1-\alpha)} - c_3\omega_1^{(1-\alpha)}\right)\rho_{j+1}^{k-1} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{5}{6} - 2c_2\omega_1^{(1-\alpha)}\right)\rho_j^{k-1} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{12} + c_1 + c_2\omega_1^{(1-\alpha)} + c_3\omega_1^{(1-\alpha)}\right)\rho_{j-1}^{k-1} \\ &\quad - c_3 \sum_{m=2}^k \omega_m^{(1-\alpha)} (\rho_{j+1}^{k-m} - \rho_{j-1}^{k-m}) \\ &\quad + c_2 \sum_{m=2}^k \omega_m^{(1-\alpha)} (\rho_{j+1}^{k-m} - 2\rho_j^{k-m} + \rho_{j-1}^{k-m}), \\ &\quad j = 1, 2, \dots, M-1,\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

$$\rho_0^k = \rho_M^k = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Now, we define the grid functions

$$\rho^k(x) = \begin{cases} \rho_j^k, & \text{when } x_j - \frac{h}{2} < x \leq x_j + \frac{h}{2}, \\ & j = 1, 2, \dots, M-1, \\ 0, & \text{when } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{h}{2} \text{ or } L - \frac{h}{2} < x \leq L; \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

then $\rho^k(x)$ can be expanded in a Fourier series:

$$\rho^k(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_k(l) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi l x}{L} i\right), \quad (27)$$

where

$$\xi_k(l) = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \rho^k(x) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi l x}{L} i\right) dx. \quad (28)$$

We introduce the following norm:

$$\|\rho^k\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} h |\rho_j^k|^2\right)^{1/2} = \left[\int_0^L |\rho^k(x)|^2 dx\right]^{1/2}, \quad (29)$$

and according to the Parseval equality

$$\int_0^L |\rho^k(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} |\xi_k(l)|^2, \quad (30)$$

we obtain

$$\|\rho^k\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} |\xi_k(l)|^2. \quad (31)$$

Through the above analysis, we can suppose that the solution of (25) has the following form:

$$\rho_j^k = \xi_k \exp(i\beta jh), \quad (32)$$

where $\beta = 2\pi l/L$.

Substituting the above expression into (25) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{3} - 4c_2 \right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) - i \cdot 2(c_1 - c_3) \sin(\beta h) \right] \xi_k \\ &= \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{3} + 4c_2 \omega_1^{(1-\alpha)} \right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - i \cdot 2(c_1 + c_3 \omega_1^{(1-\alpha)}) \sin(\beta h) \right] \xi_{k-1} \\ & \quad - \left[4c_2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right] \\ & \quad \times \sum_{m=2}^k \omega_m^{(1-\alpha)} \xi_{k-m}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Lemma 4. The following relation holds:

$$\left| \frac{Q}{S} \right| \leq 1, \quad (34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{3} + 4c_2 \omega_1^{(1-\alpha)} \right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - i \cdot 2(c_1 + c_3 \omega_1^{(1-\alpha)}) \sin(\beta h) \right], \\ S &= \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{3} - 4c_2 \right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - i \cdot 2(c_1 - c_3) \sin(\beta h) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Proof. Because of

$$\begin{aligned} 8c_2 - 32c_1c_3 &= \frac{8\tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha}{h^2} \cosh \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}\nu_\alpha h}{6\kappa_\alpha} \right) - \frac{2\tau^\alpha \nu_\alpha^2}{3\kappa_\alpha} \\ &= \frac{8\tau^\alpha \kappa_\alpha}{h^2} \left[1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)!} \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}\nu_\alpha h}{6\kappa_\alpha} \right)^{2k} \right] > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ 8\alpha c_2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) \right] - 32\alpha c_1 c_3 \cos^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) \right\} \\ & \quad + 16c_2^2 \left(1 - \omega_1^{(1-\alpha)^2} \right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) \\ & \quad + 16c_3^2 \left(1 - \omega_1^{(1-\alpha)^2} \right) \cos^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Furthermore, we can rewrite the above inequality as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) - 4c_2 \omega_1^{(1-\alpha)} \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) \right]^2 \\ & \quad + 4(c_1 + c_3 \omega_1^{(1-\alpha)})^2 \sin^2(\beta h) \\ & \leq \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) + 4c_2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) \right]^2 \\ & \quad + 4(c_1 - c_3)^2 \sin^2(\beta h); \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{3} + 4c_2 \omega_1^{(1-\alpha)} \right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) - i \cdot 2(c_1 + c_3 \omega_1^{(1-\alpha)}) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \times \sin(\beta h) \times \left. \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{3} - 4c_2 \right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - i \cdot 2(c_1 - c_3) \sin(\beta h) \right) \right|^{-1} \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4. $\square$

Lemma 5. Supposing that ξ_k ($k = 1, \dots, N$) is the solution of (33), then we have

$$|\xi_k| \leq \exp(M(k-1)\tau) |\xi_0|, \quad k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (40)$$

Proof. For $k = 0$, from (33), we get

$$|\xi_1| = \left| \frac{Q}{S} \right| |\xi_0|. \quad (41)$$

In the light of Lemma 4, it is clear that

$$|\xi_1| \leq |\xi_0| = \exp(M \cdot 0\tau) |\xi_0|. \quad (42)$$

Now, we suppose that

$$|\xi_\ell| \leq \exp(M(\ell-1)\tau) |\xi_0|, \quad (\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k-1). \quad (43)$$

For $k > 0$, from (33) with Lemmas 3 and 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_k| &= \frac{1}{|S|} \left| Q \xi_{k-1} - \left[4c_2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \sum_{m=2}^k \omega_m^{(1-\alpha)} \xi_{k-m} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{|S|} \left| Q\xi_{k-1} - \left[4c_2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \times \sum_{m=2}^{k-1} \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} \xi_{k-m} \\
&\quad \left. - \left[4c_2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right] \xi_0 \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|S|} \left\{ |Q| |\xi_{k-1}| - \left| 4c_2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right| \right. \\
&\quad \times \sum_{m=2}^{k-1} \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} |\xi_{k-m}| \\
&\quad \left. - \left| 4c_2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right| \bar{\omega}_k^{(1-\alpha)} |\xi_0| \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|S|} \left\{ |Q| \exp(M(k-2)\tau) |\xi_0| \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left| 4c_2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right| \right. \\
&\quad \times \sum_{m=2}^{k-1} \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} \exp(M(k-m-1)\tau) |\xi_0| \\
&\quad \left. - \left| 4c_2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right| \bar{\omega}_k^{(1-\alpha)} |\xi_0| \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|S|} \left\{ |Q| - \left| 4c_2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} \right\} \\
&\quad \times \exp(M(k-2)\tau) |\xi_0| \\
&= \frac{1}{|S|} \left\{ |Q| + \alpha \left| 4c_2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta h \right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right| \right\} \\
&\quad \times \exp(M(k-2)\tau) |\xi_0| \\
&\leq (1 + M\tau) \exp(M(k-2)\tau) |\xi_0| \\
&\leq \exp(M\tau) \exp(M(k-2)\tau) |\xi_0| \\
&= \exp(M(k-1)\tau) |\xi_0| = K |\xi_0|.
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 5. $\square$

Lemma 6. *Difference scheme (14) is unconditionally stable.*

Proof. According to Lemma 5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\rho^k\|_2 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} h |\rho_i^k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} h |\xi_k \exp(i\beta j h)|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} h |\xi_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq K \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} h |\xi_0|^2 \right)^{1/2} = K \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} h |\xi_0 \exp(i\beta j h)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&= K \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} h |\rho_i^0|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \|\rho^0\|_2, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N,
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

which means that difference scheme (14) is unconditionally stable.

Next, we give the convergence analysis. Suppose

$$\mathcal{E}_i^k = u(x_i, t_k) - u_i^k, \quad i = 1, \dots, M-1, \quad k = 1, \dots, N, \tag{46}$$

and denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^k &= (\mathcal{E}_1^k, \mathcal{E}_2^k, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{M-1}^k)^T, \quad R^k = (R_1^k, R_2^k, \dots, R_{M-1}^k)^T, \\
&\quad k = 1, \dots, N.
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

From (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{12} - c_1 - c_2 + c_3 \right) \mathcal{E}_{j+1}^k + \left(\frac{5}{6} + 2c_2 \right) \mathcal{E}_j^k \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{12} + c_1 - c_2 - c_3 \right) \mathcal{E}_{j-1}^k \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{12} - c_1 + c_2 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)} - c_3 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)} \right) \mathcal{E}_{j+1}^{k-1} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{5}{6} - 2c_2 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)} \right) \mathcal{E}_j^{k-1} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{12} + c_1 + c_2 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)} + c_3 \bar{\omega}_1^{(1-\alpha)} \right) \mathcal{E}_{j-1}^{k-1} \\
&\quad - c_3 \sum_{m=2}^k \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} (\mathcal{E}_{j+1}^{k-m} - \mathcal{E}_{j-1}^{k-m}) \\
&\quad + c_2 \sum_{m=2}^k \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} (\mathcal{E}_{j+1}^{k-m} - 2\mathcal{E}_j^{k-m} + \mathcal{E}_{j-1}^{k-m}) \\
&\quad + \tau \left(\frac{1}{12} - c_1 \right) R_{j+1}^k + \frac{5}{6} \tau R_j^k \\
&\quad + \tau \left(\frac{1}{12} + c_1 \right) R_{j-1}^k, \\
&\quad j = 1, 2, \dots, M-1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N.
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Similar to the stability analysis method, we define the grid functions

$$\mathcal{E}^k(x) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_j^k, & \text{when } x_j - \frac{h}{2} < x \leq x_j + \frac{h}{2}, \\ & j = 1, 2, \dots, M-1, \\ 0, & \text{when } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{h}{2} \text{ or } L - \frac{h}{2} < x \leq L, \end{cases}$$

$$R^k(x) = \begin{cases} R_j^k, & \text{when } x_j - \frac{h}{2} < x \leq x_j + \frac{h}{2}, \\ & j = 1, 2, \dots, M-1, \\ 0, & \text{when } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{h}{2} \text{ or } L - \frac{h}{2} < x \leq L; \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

then $\mathcal{E}^k(x)$ and $R^k(x)$ can be expanded to the following Fourier series, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^k(x) &= \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \zeta_k(l) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi l x}{L} i\right), \\ R^k(x) &= \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \eta_k(l) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi l x}{L} i\right), \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_k(l) &= \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \mathcal{E}^k(x) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi l x}{L} i\right) dx, \\ \eta_k(l) &= \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L R^k(x) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi l x}{L} i\right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

The same as before, we also have

$$\|\mathcal{E}^k\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} h |\mathcal{E}_j^k|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} |\zeta_k(l)|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (52)$$

$$\|R^k\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} h |R_j^k|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} |\eta_k(l)|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (53)$$

Based on the above analysis, we can assume that $\mathcal{E}_i^k$ and R_i^k have the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_j^k &= \zeta_k \exp(i\beta j h), \\ R_j^k &= \eta_k \exp(i\beta j h), \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

respectively. Substituting the above two expressions into (48) yields

$$\begin{aligned} S\zeta_k &= Q\zeta_{k-1} - \left[4c_2 \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta h\right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right] \\ &\times \sum_{m=2}^k \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} \zeta_{k-m} \\ &+ \tau \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta h\right) \right] - i \cdot 2c_1 \sin(\beta h) \right\} \eta_k, \\ &k = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

□

Lemma 7. Let ζ_k ($k = 0, 1, \dots, N$) be the solution of (55); then there exists a positive constant C_3 , so that

$$|\zeta_k| \leq C_3 k \tau \exp(M(k-1)\tau) |\eta_1|, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N. \quad (56)$$

Proof. From $\mathcal{E}^0 = 0$, we have

$$\zeta_0 = \zeta_0(l) = 0. \quad (57)$$

In view of the convergence of the series of (53), there is a positive constant C_1 , such that

$$|\eta_k| = |\eta_k(l)| \leq C_1 |\eta_1| = C_1 |\eta_1(l)|. \quad (58)$$

For $k = 1$, from (55), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1 &= \frac{Q}{S} \zeta_0 + \frac{\tau \left\{ \left[1 - (1/3) \sin^2((1/2)\beta h) \right] - i \cdot 2c_1 \sin(\beta h) \right\}}{S} \eta_1 \\ &= \frac{\tau \left\{ \left[1 - (1/3) \sin^2((1/2)\beta h) \right] - i \cdot 2c_1 \sin(\beta h) \right\}}{S} \eta_1. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Noticing (58), then

$$|\zeta_1| \leq \tau |\eta_1| \leq C_1 \tau \exp(M \cdot 0\tau) |\eta_1|. \quad (60)$$

Now, we suppose that

$$|\zeta_\ell| \leq C_1 \ell \tau \exp(M(\ell-1)\tau) |\eta_1|, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (61)$$

Then when $k > 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_k| &= \frac{1}{|S|} \left| Q\zeta_{k-1} - \left[4c_2 \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta h\right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right] \right. \\ &\times \sum_{m=2}^k \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} \zeta_{k-m} \\ &\left. + \tau \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta h\right) \right] - i \cdot 2c_1 \sin(\beta h) \right\} \eta_k \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|S|} \left\{ |Q| |\zeta_{k-1}| - \left[4c_2 \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta h\right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right] \right. \\ &\times \sum_{m=2}^k \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} |\zeta_{k-m}| \\ &\left. + \tau \left| \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta h\right) \right] - i \cdot 2c_1 \sin(\beta h) \right| |\eta_k| \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|S|} \left\{ |Q| (k-1) \exp(M(k-2)\tau) \right. \\ &- \left[4c_2 \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta h\right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right] (k-m) \\ &\times \sum_{m=2}^k \bar{\omega}_m^{(1-\alpha)} \exp(M(k-m-1)\tau) \\ &\left. + \left| \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta h\right) \right] - i \cdot 2c_1 \sin(\beta h) \right| \right\} C_1 \tau |\eta_1| \end{aligned}$$

TABLE 1: The maximum error, temporal, and spatial convergence orders by difference scheme (14) for different α .

α		The maximum error	Temporal convergence order	Spatial convergence order
0.1	$h = 1/4, \tau = 1/4$	0.1650	—	—
	$h = 1/8, \tau = 1/64$	0.0103	1.0004	4.0017
0.2	$h = 1/4, \tau = 1/4$	0.1526	—	—
	$h = 1/8, \tau = 1/64$	0.0095	1.0014	4.0057
0.3	$h = 1/4, \tau = 1/4$	0.1400	—	—
	$h = 1/8, \tau = 1/64$	0.0087	1.0021	4.0083
0.4	$h = 1/4, \tau = 1/4$	0.1274	—	—
	$h = 1/8, \tau = 1/64$	0.0080	0.9983	3.9932
0.5	$h = 1/4, \tau = 1/4$	0.1150	—	—
	$h = 1/8, \tau = 1/64$	0.0072	0.9994	3.9975
0.6	$h = 1/4, \tau = 1/4$	0.1027	—	—
	$h = 1/8, \tau = 1/64$	0.0065	0.9955	3.9819
0.7	$h = 1/4, \tau = 1/4$	0.0907	—	—
	$h = 1/8, \tau = 1/64$	0.0058	0.9917	3.9670
0.8	$h = 1/4, \tau = 1/4$	0.0789	—	—
	$h = 1/8, \tau = 1/64$	0.0051	0.9879	3.9515
0.9	$h = 1/4, \tau = 1/4$	0.0676	—	—
	$h = 1/8, \tau = 1/64$	0.0045	0.9773	3.9090

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{|S|} \left\{ |Q| (k-1) \exp(M(k-2)\tau) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \alpha \left| 4c_2 \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta h\right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right| \right. \\
&\quad \times (k-1) \exp(M(k-1)\tau) \\
&\quad \left. + \left[\left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta h\right) \right] - i \cdot 2c_1 \sin(\beta h) \right] \right\} C_1 \tau |\eta_1| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|S|} \left\{ (k-1) \left[|Q| + \alpha \left| 4c_2 \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta h\right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right| \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left[\left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta h\right) \right] - i \cdot 2c_1 \sin(\beta h) \right] \right\} \\
&\quad \times C_1 \tau \exp(M(k-2)\tau) |\eta_1| \\
&\leq \left\{ (k-1) \left[|Q| + \alpha \left| 4c_2 \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta h\right) + i \cdot 2c_3 \sin(\beta h) \right| \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\left[\left[1 - (1/3) \sin^2((1/2)\beta h) \right] - i \cdot 2c_1 \sin(\beta h) \right]}{|S|} \right\} \\
&\quad \times C_1 \tau \exp(M(k-2)\tau) |\eta_1| \\
&\leq \{k(1+M\tau)\} C_1 \tau \exp(M(k-2)\tau) |\eta_1| \\
&\leq C_1 k \tau \exp(M\tau) \exp(M(k-2)\tau) |\eta_1| \\
&= C_1 k \tau \exp(M(k-1)\tau) |\eta_1|.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 8. Difference scheme (14) is convergent, and the convergence order is $O(\tau + h^4)$.

Proof. Firstly, we know that there are exist positive constants C_2 , such that

$$|R_j^k| \leq C_2 (\tau + h^4), \tag{63}$$

$$\|R_j^k\| \leq C_2 \sqrt{(M-1)h} (\tau + h^4) \leq C_2 \sqrt{L} (\tau + h^4). \tag{64}$$

Using (52) and (64) with Lemma 7, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{E}^k\|_2 &\leq C_1 k \tau \exp(M(k-1)\tau) \|R^1\|_2 \\
&\leq C_1 C_2 \sqrt{L} k \tau \exp(MT) (\tau + h^4) = C (\tau + h^4).
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Due to $k \leq N$,

$$k\tau \leq T, \tag{66}$$

so,

$$\|\mathcal{E}^k\|_2 \leq C (\tau + h^4), \tag{67}$$

where $C = C_1 C_2 T \sqrt{L} \exp(MT)$. This ends the proof. $\square$

Remark 9. From above discussion, we know that difference scheme is an implicit scheme and it is unconditionally stable and convergent. If we take

$$\frac{\partial u(x_j, t_k)}{\partial t} = \frac{u(x_j, t_{k+1}) - u(x_j, t_k)}{\tau} + O(\tau) \tag{68}$$

in (1), then we can obtain an explicit scheme and it is conditionally stable and convergent.

FIGURE 1: The comparison of the numerical solution with the analytical solution at $t = 0.5$. ($\tau = 1/400, h = 1/20$).

5. Numerical Example

In this section, a numerical example is presented to confirm our theoretical results.

Example 10. Consider the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right] {}_{\text{RL}}D_{0,t}^{1-\alpha} u(x, t) + f(x, t), \quad (69)$$

$$0 < x < 2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

with the initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, 0) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 2, \\ u(0, t) &= 0, \quad u(2, t) = 0, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where $f(x, t) = 2t \sin(x) \sin(2 - x) + (2t^{1+\alpha}/\Gamma(2 + \alpha))[2 \cos 2(x - 1) + \sin 2(1 - x)]$. The analytical solution of this equation is $u(x, t) = t^2 \sin(x) \sin(2 - x)$.

The maximum error, temporal, and spatial convergence orders by difference scheme (14) for various α are listed in Table 1. From the obtained results, we can draw the following conclusions: the experimental convergence orders are approximately 1 and 4 in temporal and spatial directions, respectively. Figures 1 and 2 show the comparison of the numerical solution with the analytical solution at $t = 0.5$ and $\alpha = 0.8$ for different temporal and spatial mesh sizes.

By Table 1 and Figures 1 and 2, it can be seen that the numerical solution is in excellent agreement with the analytical solution. These results confirm our theoretical analysis.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, a computationally effective numerical method is proposed for simulating the modified time fractional Fokker-Planck equation. It has proven the unconditional stability

FIGURE 2: The comparison of the numerical solution with the analytical solution at $t = 0.5$. ($\tau = 1/200, h = 1/50$).

and solvability of proposed scheme. Also we showed that the method is convergent with order $O(\tau + h^4)$. The numerical results demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed scheme.

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

The work was partially supported by Tianshui Normal University “QingLan” Talent Engineering Funds.

References

- [1] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction To the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, John Wiley, New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- [2] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, 1999.
- [3] A. Barari, M. Omidvar, A. R. Ghotbi, and D. D. Ganji, “Application of homotopy perturbation method and variational iteration method to nonlinear oscillator differential equations,” *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, vol. 104, no. 2, pp. 161–171, 2008.
- [4] S. Das, “Analytical solution of a fractional diffusion equation by variational iteration method,” *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 483–487, 2009.
- [5] D. D. Ganji and A. Sadighi, “Application of homotopy-perturbation and variational iteration methods to nonlinear heat transfer and porous media equations,” *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 207, no. 1, pp. 24–34, 2007.
- [6] E. Hesameddini and F. Fotros, “Solution for time-fractional coupled Klein-Gordon Schrodinger equation using decomposition method,” *International Mathematics Olympiad*, vol. 7, pp. 1047–1056, 2012.

- [7] G.-C. Wu and E. W. M. Lee, "Fractional variational iteration method and its application," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 374, no. 25, pp. 2506–2509, 2010.
- [8] C.-M. Chen, F. Liu, and K. Burrage, "Finite difference methods and a fourier analysis for the fractional reaction-subdiffusion equation," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 198, no. 2, pp. 754–769, 2008.
- [9] C. Çelik and M. Duman, "Crank-Nicolson method for the fractional diffusion equation with the Riesz fractional derivative," *Journal of Computational Physics*, vol. 231, no. 4, pp. 1743–1750, 2012.
- [10] X. Hu and L. Zhang, "Implicit compact difference schemes for the fractional cable equation," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 36, pp. 4027–4043, 2012.
- [11] M. M. Meerschaert and C. Tadjeran, "Finite difference approximations for two-sided space-fractional partial differential equations," *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 80–90, 2006.
- [12] D. K. Salkuyeh, "On the finite difference approximation to the convection-diffusion equation," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 179, no. 1, pp. 79–86, 2006.
- [13] E. Sousa, "Numerical approximations for fractional diffusion equations via splines," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 938–944, 2011.
- [14] E. Sousa, "Finite difference approximations for a fractional advection diffusion problem," *Journal of Computational Physics*, vol. 228, no. 11, pp. 4038–4054, 2009.
- [15] C. Tadjeran and M. M. Meerschaert, "A second-order accurate numerical method for the two-dimensional fractional diffusion equation," *Journal of Computational Physics*, vol. 220, no. 2, pp. 813–823, 2007.
- [16] S. B. Yuste and L. Acedo, "An explicit finite difference method and a new von Neumann-type stability analysis for fractional diffusion equations," *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 1862–1874, 2005.
- [17] Q. Yang, F. Liu, and I. Turner, "Numerical methods for fractional partial differential equations with Riesz space fractional derivatives," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 200–218, 2010.
- [18] B. I. Henry, T. A. M. Langlands, and P. Straka, "Fractional Fokker-Planck equations for subdiffusion with space- and time-dependent forces," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 105, no. 17, Article ID 170602, 2010.