Letter to the Editor

Extension of the GSMW Formula in Weaker Assumptions

Wenfeng Wang^{1,2} and Xi Chen¹

¹ State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi 830011, China

² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Xi Chen; chenxi@ms.xjb.ac.cn

Received 3 March 2014; Accepted 15 April 2014; Published 30 April 2014

Academic Editor: Pavel Kurasov

Copyright © 2014 W. Wang and X. Chen. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this note, the generalized Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury (for short GSMW) formula $(A + YGZ^*)^\circ = A^\circ - A^\circ Y(G^\circ + Z^* A^\circ Y)^\circ Z^* A^\circ$ is extended under some assumptions weaker than those used by Duan, 2013.

1. Introduction

Denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ (by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, when $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}$) the set of all bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are complex Hilbert spaces. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, let T^* , $\mathcal{R}(T)$, and $\mathcal{N}(T)$ be the adjoint, the range, and the null space of T , respectively. Recall that the original SMW [1–3] formula (1) is only valid when $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $G \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, and $G^{-1} + Z^* A^{-1} Y$ are invertible and the SMW formula has the form

$$(A + YGZ^*)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}Y(G^{-1} + Z^* A^{-1}Y)^{-1}Z^* A^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where $Y, Z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$.

Let I be the identity in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Recall that the standard inverse T^{-1} of T must satisfy (I) $TT^{-1} = T^{-1}T = I$, while the generalized inverse S of T need only to satisfy (I) $TST = T$. Note that S is unique if imposed additional conditions as (II) $STS = S$, (III) $(TS)^* = TS$, (IV) $(ST)^* = ST$, (V) $TS = ST$, and (VI) $T^k ST = T^k$, where $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying (II) are called $\{2\}$ -inverse of T , denoted by $S = T^-$. Similarly, (I, II, V)-inverses are called group inverses, denoted by $S = T^\#$. (I, II, III, IV)-inverses are Moore-Penrose inverses, denoted by $S = T^+$. And (II, V, VI)-inverses are called Drazin inverses, denoted by $S = T^D$ (see [4]), where k is the Drazin index of T . Note that the standard inverse, the group inverse, the Moore-Penrose inverse, and the Drazin inverse all belong to the 2-inverse. It is straight

that the SMW formula holds for all the inverses if and only if it holds for the $\{2\}$ -inverse.

Because of its wide applications in statistics, networks, structural analysis, asymptotic analysis, optimization, and partial differential equations (see [5]), the properties and generalizations of the SMW formula have caught mathematicians attention (see [1–8]). Duan (see [9]) finally generalized the SMW formula to the $\{2\}$ -inverse (hence, to all the inverses, uniformly denoted by T°). Under some sufficient conditions (see [9]), the generalized Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury (for short GSMW) formula has the form

$$(A + YGZ^*)^\circ = A^\circ - A^\circ Y(G^\circ + Z^* A^\circ Y)^\circ Z^* A^\circ, \quad (2)$$

where $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $G \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, and $Y, Z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$.

Duan questioned whether the GSMW formula can be extended in some weaker assumptions. This problem is worthy of being followed up.

2. Main Result

The following two lemmas are used to prove the main result.

Lemma 1. If $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $P = P^2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $AP = A$ if and only if $\mathcal{N}(P) \subset \mathcal{N}(A)$.

Lemma 2. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $G \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, and $Y, Z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Let also $B = A + YGZ^*$, $T = G^\circ + Z^* A^\circ Y$, and

$X = A^\circ - A^\circ Y(G^\circ + Z^* A^\circ Y)^\circ Z^* A^\circ$. Then, the following three statements are equivalent:

- (i) the GSMW formula holds;
- (ii) $A^\circ(YGZ^* - YT^\circ Z^*)X = A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ YGZ^* X$;
- (iii) $X(YGZ^* - YT^\circ Z^*)A^\circ = XYGZ^* A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ$.

Proof. The GSMW formula holds if and only if $XBX = X$. But it is easy to see that $XAA^\circ = A^\circ AX = X$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 XBX &= (A^\circ - A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ)(A + YGZ^*)X \\
 &= (A^\circ A + A^\circ YGZ^* - A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ A \\
 &\quad - A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ YGZ^*)X \\
 &= X + A^\circ YGZ^* X - A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* X \\
 &\quad - A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ YGZ^* X \\
 &= X + A^\circ (YGZ^* - YT^\circ Z^*)X \\
 &\quad - A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ YGZ^* X
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and, meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned}
 XBX &= X(A + YGZ^*)(A^\circ - A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ) \\
 &= X(AA^\circ + YGZ^* A^\circ - AA^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ \\
 &\quad - YGZ^* A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ) \\
 &= X + XYGZ^* A^\circ - XYT^\circ Z^* A^\circ \\
 &\quad - XYGZ^* A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ \\
 &= X + X(YGZ^* - YT^\circ Z^*)A^\circ \\
 &\quad - XYGZ^* A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

It is immediate that the three statements are equivalent. $\square$

Now, the first main result of this paper is given as follows.

Theorem 3. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $G \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and $Y, Z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$. Let also $B = A + YGZ^*$ and $T = G^\circ + Z^* A^\circ Y$. The GSMW formula holds if one of the two following statements holds:

- (i) $\mathcal{R}(Z^*) \subset \mathcal{R}(G^\circ)$, $\mathcal{N}(T^\circ T) \subset \mathcal{N}(Y)$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{N}(G^\circ) \subset \mathcal{N}(Y)$, $\mathcal{R}(Z^*) \subset \mathcal{R}(TT^\circ)$.

Proof. Note that $Z^* A^\circ Y = T - G^\circ$.

- (i) Assume that $\mathcal{R}(Z^*) \subset \mathcal{R}(G^\circ)$, $\mathcal{N}(T^\circ T) \subset \mathcal{N}(Y)$. By Lemma 1, we have $Y(I - T^\circ T) = 0$ and $(I - G^\circ G)Z^* = 0$. Hence, $(A^\circ YGZ^* - A^\circ YT^\circ Z^*)X - A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ YGZ^* X = A^\circ Y(I - T^\circ T)GZ^* X - A^\circ YT^\circ (I - G^\circ G)Z^* X = 0$.

- (ii) Assume that $\mathcal{N}(G^\circ) \subset \mathcal{N}(Y)$, $\mathcal{R}(Z^*) \subset \mathcal{R}(TT^\circ)$. By Lemma 1, we have $Y(I - GG^\circ) = 0$ and $(I - TT^\circ)Z^* = 0$. Hence, $X(YGZ^* - YT^\circ Z^*)A^\circ - XYGZ^* A^\circ YT^\circ Z^* A^\circ = XYG(I - TT^\circ)Z^* A^\circ - XY(I - GG^\circ)T^\circ Z^* A^\circ = 0$.

By Lemma 2, The GSMW formula holds if one of (i) and (ii) holds. $\square$

3. Concluding Remark

According to Theorem 3 in this paper, Theorem 5 and Corollary 6 in [9] still hold under weaker assumptions. It must be noted that there are no assumptions on B° in Theorem 3; hence, it also present more convenience than Theorem 3 and Corollary 4 in [9] in applications. The results are even robust for the finite dimensional case. Nevertheless, it remains undetermined whether these assumptions are the weakest. We would like to propose this unresolved issue as an open question for international research interest.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgments

This research is financially supported by the CAS-SAFEA Innovation Team Project “Research on Ecological Transect in Arid Land of Central Asia.”

References

- [1] M. S. Bartlett, “An inverse matrix adjustment arising in discriminant analysis,” *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, vol. 22, pp. 107–111, 1951.
- [2] J. Sherman and W. J. Morrison, “Adjustment of an inverse matrix corresponding to a change in one element of a given matrix,” *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, vol. 21, pp. 124–127, 1950.
- [3] M. A. Woodbury, “Inverting Modified Matrices,” Tech. Rep. 42, Statistical Research Group, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA, 1950.
- [4] A. Ben-Israel and T. N. E. Greville, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 2003.
- [5] W. W. Hager, “Updating the inverse of a matrix,” *SIAM Review*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 221–239, 1989.
- [6] C. Y. Deng, “A generalization of the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 24, no. 9, pp. 1561–1564, 2011.
- [7] T. Steerneman and F. van Perlo-ten Kleij, “Properties of the matrix $A - XY^x 2a$,” *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 410, pp. 70–86, 2005.
- [8] Y.-N. Dou, G.-C. Du, C.-F. Shao, and H.-K. Du, “Closedness of ranges of upper-triangular operators,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 356, no. 1, pp. 13–20, 2009.
- [9] Y. T. Duan, “A generalization of the SMW formula of operator $A + YGZ^x 2a$; to the $\{2\}$ -inverse case,” *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 694940, 4 pages, 2013.