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Wardowski (2012) introduced a new type of contractive mapping and proved a fixed point result in complete metric spaces as a generalization of Banach contraction principle. In this paper, we introduce a notion of generalized $F$-contraction mappings which is used to prove a fixed point result for generalized nonexpansive mappings on star-shaped subsets of normed linear spaces. Some theorems on invariant approximations in normed linear spaces are also deduced. Our results extend, unify, and generalize comparable results in [10, 11, 19, 20]. Some illustrative examples are also presented.

Next we give some definitions which will be used in the sequel. The letters $\mathbb{R}_+$, $\mathbb{R}$ will denote the set of positive real numbers and the set of real numbers, respectively.

Recently, Wardowski [21] introduced a new contractive mapping called an $F$-contraction and proved some fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces. In this paper we introduce a notion of generalized $F$-contraction mappings which is used to prove a fixed point result for generalized nonexpansive mappings on star shaped subsets of normed linear spaces. Some theorems on invariant approximations in normed linear spaces are deduced. Our results extend, unify, and generalize comparable results in [10, 11, 19, 20]. Some illustrative examples are also presented.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

One of the most basic and important results in metric fixed point theory is the Banach contraction principle due to Banach [1]. It states that if $(X, d)$ is a complete metric space and $f : X \to X$ satisfies

$$
d (fx, fy) \leq kd (x, y),
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, with $k \in (0, 1)$, then $f$ has a unique fixed point. This theorem has been extended in many directions (see, e.g., [2–6]) has many applications in mathematics and other related disciplines as well (see, e.g., [7–9]).

Definition 1 (see [21]). Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $F \in \mathcal{F}$. A mapping $f : X \to X$ is said to be an $F$-contraction on $X$ if there exists a $\tau > 0$ such that
\[ d(f(x), f(y)) > 0 \implies \tau + F(d(f(x), f(y))) \leq F(d(x, y)) \] (2)
for all $x, y \in X$.

Remark 2 (see [21]). Every $F$-contraction mapping is continuous.

Motivated by the work of Wardowski [21] and by Theorem 4 of [22], we give the following definition.

Definition 3. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $F \in \mathcal{F}$. A mapping $f : X \to X$ is said to be a generalized $F$-contraction if there exists a $\tau > 0$ such that
\[ d(f(x), f^2(x)) > 0 \implies \tau + F(d(f(x), f^2(x))) \leq F(d(x, f(x))) \] (3)
for all $x \in X$.

Definition 4. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $F \in \mathcal{F}$. A mapping $f : X \to X$ is said to be $F$-nonexpansive if
\[ d(f(x), f(y)) > 0 \implies F(d(f(x), f(y))) \leq F(d(x, y)) \] (4)
for all $x, y \in X$.

Remark 5. It follows from condition (C1) that if $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f$ is an $F$-nonexpansive self-mapping of a metric space $(X, d)$, then $f$ is nonexpansive (recall that $f$ is nonexpansive provided that $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$). Conversely, it is clear, by (C1), that if $f$ is a nonexpansive self-mapping of a metric space $(X, d)$, then $f$ is $F$-nonexpansive for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

By considering different choices of mappings $F$ in (2), (3), and (4), we obtain a variety of contractions. For details we refer to [21] and the following examples.

Example 6. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, $F \in \mathcal{F}$, and let $G : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $G(\alpha) = F(\alpha) - \tau$, where $\tau > 0$. It is clear that $G \in \mathcal{F}$. Now, if $f : X \to X$ is a generalized $F$-contraction, then it is a generalized $G$-contraction because for any $x, y \in X$ with $d(f(x), f^2(x)) > 0$, we have
\[ \tau + G(d(f(x), f^2(x))) = F(d(f(x), f^2(x))) \leq F(d(x, f(x))) - \tau = G(d(x, f(x))). \] (5)
Similarly, if $f$ is an $F$-contraction, then it is a $G$-contraction. Furthermore, if $f$ is $F$-nonexpansive then
\[ G(d(f(x), f(y))) = F(d(f(x), f(y))) - \tau \]
\[ \leq F(d(x, y)) - 2\tau \leq G(d(x, y)) - \tau \] (6)
\[ \leq G(d(x, y)), \]
whenever $d(f(x), f(y)) > 0$, which shows that $f$ is $G$-nonexpansive. Finally, note that taking $G(\alpha) = \ln(\alpha)$ in (6), we deduce that $f$ is nonexpansive.

Example 7. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, let $F_1 : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $F_1(\alpha) = \ln(\alpha)$, and let $f : X \to X$ be a generalized $F$-contraction. Since $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, then (3) becomes
\[ \tau + \ln\left(d(f(x), f^2(x))\right) \leq \ln\left(d(x, f(x))\right) \] (7)
whenever $d(f(x), f^2(x)) > 0$, which implies
\[ \ln\frac{d(f(x), f^2(x))}{d(x, f(x))} \leq -\tau, \quad \text{that is,} \quad \frac{d(f(x), f^2(x))}{d(x, f(x))} \leq e^{-\tau}, \] (8)
and thus $d(f(x), f^2(x)) \leq e^{-\tau}d(x, f(x))$. Hence our definition is more general than those given in [18, 20].

If we take $F_2(\alpha) = \ln(\alpha) + \alpha$, it is clear that $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, and then (2) becomes
\[ \tau + \ln\left(d(f(x), f^2(x))\right) + d(f(x), f^2(x)) \leq \ln(d(x, f(x))) + d(x, f(x)) \] (9)
whenever $d(f(x), f^2(x)) > 0$, which implies that
\[ \frac{d(f(x), f^2(x))}{d(x, f(x))} \leq e^{-\tau}, \]
that is,
\[ d(f(x), f^2(x)) \leq \frac{e^{-\tau}}{e^{d(f(x), f^2(x))}-d(x, f(x)))} d(x, f(x)). \] (11)

Definition 8. Let $C$ be a closed subset of metric space $(X, d)$. Then $f : C \to C$ is called compact if for every bounded subset $A$ of $C$, $\overline{f(A)}$ is compact in $C$.

Definition 9. If $f : X \to X$ is a mapping with $f(C) \subseteq C$, then $C$ is called an $f$-invariant subset of $X$.

Definition 10. Let $C$ be a subset of metric space $(X, d)$. As usual, for any $x \in X$, we define
\[ d(x, C) = \inf \{ d(x, y) : y \in C \}, \]
\[ P_C(x) = \{ y \in C : d(x, y) = d(x, C) \}. \] (12)
$P_C(x)$ is called the set of best approximations of $x$ from $C$. If for each $x \in X, P_C(x)$ is nonempty, then $C$ is called proximal. Observe that if $C$ is closed, then $P_C(x)$ is also closed.

Definition 11. Let $E$ be a linear space over $\mathbb{R}$. A subset $C$ of $E$ is called star-shaped if there exists at least one point $z \in C$ such that $tz + (1-t)x \in C$ for all $x \in C$ and $0 < t < 1$. In this case $z$ is called a star centre of $C$.

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, $C$ a closed subset of $X$, and $f : C \to C$ a self-mapping. For each $x \in C$, the set...
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Let \( f \) be a nonexpansive mapping on a complete metric space \((X, d)\). If \( f : C \to C \) is a nonexpansive mapping and \( F(C) \) is compact, then \( f \) has a fixed point.

**Theorem 13.** Let \( E \) be a complete metric space, \( f : C \to C \) be a generalized \( F \)-contraction. Then \( f \) has a fixed point.

**Proof.** We first note that, by Remark 5, \( f \) is nonexpansive on \( C \), so it is continuous on \( C \).

Now let \( z \) be a star centre of \( C \). For each \( n \geq 1 \), define \( f_n : C \to C \) by

\[
 f_n x = (1 - k_n) z + k_n f x,
\]

for all \( x \in C \), where \( 0 < k_n < 1 \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} k_n = 1 \). From the fact that \( f \) is continuous on \( C \) it immediately follows that each \( f_n \) is continuous on \( C \).

For any fixed \( n \geq 1 \) and any \( x \in C \), we have

\[
 F \left( \| f_n x - f_n^2 x \| \right) = F \left( \left\| (1 - k_n) z + k_n f x - (1 - k_n) z - k_n f ((1 - k_n) z + k_n f x) \right\| \right) \\
 = F \left( \left\| k_n (f x - f ((1 - k_n) z + k_n f x)) \right\| \right). 
\]

Since \( F \) is strictly increasing, with \( k_n \leq 1 \) for each \( n \geq 1 \), and \( f \) is \( F \)-nonexpansive, we have

\[
 F \left( \| f_n x - f_n^2 x \| \right) < F \left( \| f x - f ((1 - k_n) z + k_n f x) \| \right) < F \left( \| x - ((1 - k_n) z + k_n f x) \| \right) \\
 = F \left( \| x - f_n x \| \right).
\]

Hence

\[
 F \left( \| x - f_n x \| \right) - F \left( \| f_n x - f_n^2 x \| \right) > 0.
\]

This implies that there exists \( \tau_n > 0 \), such that

\[
 0 < \tau_n \leq F \left( \| x - f_n x \| \right) - F \left( \| f_n x - f_n^2 x \| \right). 
\]

Therefore,

\[
 \tau_n + F \left( \| f_n x - f_n^2 x \| \right) \leq F \left( \| x - f_n x \| \right). 
\]

Hence, \( f_n \) is a generalized \( F \)-contraction for each \( n \geq 1 \). By Theorem 12, for each \( n \geq 1 \) there exists \( x_n \in C \) such that \( f_n x_n = x_n \). Since \( f(C) \) is compact, there exist a subsequence \( \{ f_n x_n \}_{n \geq 1} \) of the sequence \( \{ f x_n \}_{n \geq 1} \), and an \( x \in f(C) \) such that

\[
 x = \lim_{i \to \infty} f x_n.
\]

In fact \( x \in C \) because \( f(C) \subseteq C \) and \( C \) is closed.

Since \( \lim_{n \to \infty} k_n = 1 \) and \( x_n = f_n x_n \) for all \( i \geq 1 \), we deduce that

\[
 x = \lim_{i \to \infty} f x_n = \lim_{i \to \infty} \left( (1 - k_i) z + k_i f x_n \right) = \lim_{i \to \infty} f x_n = \lim_{i \to \infty} x_n.
\]

Therefore,

\[
 f x = \lim_{i \to \infty} f x_n.
\]

We conclude that \( x = f x \).

**Remark 14.** Note that, by Remark 5, we can restate the preceding theorem as follows. Let \( E \) be a nonexpansive mapping (Banach space, resp.) and \( C \) a complete (closed, resp.) star-shaped subset of \( E \). If \( f : C \to C \) is a nonexpansive mapping and \( f(C) \) is compact, then \( f \) has a fixed point.

The following is an example where we can apply Theorem 13 to every \( f \in E \).

**Example 15.** Let \( \ell_1 \) be the linear space of all summable sequences of real numbers. Then, the pair \((\ell_1, \| \cdot \|_1)\) is a (classical) Banach space, where \( \| \cdot \|_1 \) is the norm on \( \ell_1 \) such that, for each \( x := \{ x_n \}_{n \geq 1} \in \ell_1 \),

\[
 \| x \|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|.
\]

In the following any element \( x := \{ x_n \}_{n \geq 1} \) of \( \ell_1 \) will be also denoted as \( (x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n, \ldots) \).

Let \( C \) be the closed unit ball of \((\ell_1, \| \cdot \|_1)\); that is,

\[
 C = \{ x \in \ell_1 : \| x \|_1 \leq 1 \}.
\]

It is well known that \( C \) is a (noncompact) closed subset of \((\ell_1, \| \cdot \|_1)\). Moreover \( C \) is star-shaped with \( z = 0 \) a star center of \( C \).

Now let \( k \in (0, 1] \) be a constant, and define \( f : C \to C \) as

\[
 f x = (x_1, k x_2, 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots),
\]
for all \( x := (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \ell_1 \). Clearly \( f \) is nonexpansive on \( C \), and hence it is \( F \)-nonexpansive for any \( F \in \mathcal{F} \), by Remark 5.

Furthermore, \( f(C) \) is homeomorphic to the compact subset of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \),

\[
\{ (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |u| + \frac{|v|}{k} \leq 1 \},
\]

(25)

so that \( f(C) \) is compact. Hence \( f(C) = \overline{f(C)} \) and thus \( f(C) \) is compact. We have shown that all conditions of Theorem 13 (compare Remark 14) are satisfied. Thus \( f \) has a fixed point. In fact, the fixed points of \( f \) are the elements \( x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \) of \( C \) such that \( x_n = 0 \) whenever \( n \geq 2 \).

Now we give an example of a discontinuous self-mapping \( f \) on a compact metric space which is a generalized \( F \)-contraction but not an \( F \)-contraction. So the class of generalized \( F \)-contraction mappings is bigger than the class of \( F \)-contraction.

Example 16. Let \( X = \mathbb{R} \), and let \( C = [0, 1] \) be endowed with usual metric. Define a mapping \( f : C \to C \) as \( f(x) = 1 \) if \( x \in [0, 1) \), and \( f(x) = x/2 \) if \( x \in (0, 1) \).

Let \( F : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R} \) be defined by \( F(x) = \ln x \) for \( x \in (0, 1) \). Note that

\[
\ln 2 + F \left( d \left( f x, f^2 x \right) \right) \leq F \left( d \left( x, f x \right) \right)
\]

(26)
is satisfied for all \( x \in C \) whenever \( d \left( f x, f^2 x \right) > 0 \). Hence, \( f \) is a generalized \( F \)-contraction. Clearly \( f \) is not continuous at \( x = 1 \) and at \( x = 0 \). Hence, \( f \) is not an \( F \)-contraction (see Remark 2.1 in [21]) or let \( x = 0 \) and \( y = 1/2 \); then

\[
F \left( d \left( f 0, f \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = F \left( d \left( 1, \frac{1}{4} \right) \right)
= F \left( \frac{3}{4} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{3}{4} \right) = \ln 3 - 2 \ln 2,
\]

(27)

while

\[
F \left( d \left( 0, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = F \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = - \ln 2,
\]

(28)

and thus

\[
F \left( d \left( 0, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) - F \left( d \left( f 0, f \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = \ln 2 - 3 < 0.
\]

(29)

Hence, there does not exist any \( \tau > 0 \), such that, for \( x = 0 \) and \( y = 1/2 \),

\[
\tau + F \left( d \left( f x, f y \right) \right) \leq F \left( d \left( x, y \right) \right)
\]

(30)
is satisfied. Now let \( x_0 = 0 \); then \( f(0) = 1 \), and \( f^2(0) = 1 \). Hence, the orbit of \( x_0 \) is the set \( O(0) = \{0, 1, 1, \ldots, \} = O(0) \) which is compact and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} f^n(0) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} f^{n+1}(0) = f 1 = 1,
\]

(31)

that is \( f \) is orbitally continuous at 1. Hence, by Theorem 12, \( f \) has a fixed point (in fact \( x = 1 \) is the only fixed point of \( f \)).

In the last part of the paper we discuss nonemptiness and existence of fixed points for the set of best approximations of closed subsets of metric spaces and of normed spaces, respectively.

Theorem 17. Let \( (X, d) \) be a metric space. Let \( F \in \mathcal{F} \) be a continuous mapping and let \( f : X \to X \) be \( F \)-nonexpansive with a fixed point \( u \in X \). If \( C \) is a closed \( f \)-invariant subset of \( X \) such that \( f \) is compact on \( C \), then the set \( P_C(u) \) of best approximations is nonempty.

Proof. Let \( r = d(u, C) \). Then, there is a sequence \( \{y_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) in \( C \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} d(u, y_n) = r \). Since \( \{y_n : n \geq 1 \} \) is a bounded subset of \( C \) and \( f \) is compact on \( C \), the set \( \{f y_n : n \geq 1 \} \) is a compact subset of \( C \), and so there exist a subsequence \( \{f y_{n_i}\}_{i \geq 1} \) of \( \{f y_n : n \geq 1 \} \) and an \( x \in C \) such that \( \lim_{i \to \infty} f y_{n_i} = x \). Now,

\[
F(r) \leq F \left( d \left( u, x \right) \right) = F \left( \lim_{i \to \infty} d \left( f u, f y_{n_i} \right) \right)
= \lim_{i \to \infty} F \left( d \left( f u, f y_{n_i} \right) \right)
\leq \lim_{i \to \infty} F \left( d \left( u, y_{n_i} \right) \right)
= F \left( \lim_{i \to \infty} d \left( u, y_{n_i} \right) \right) = F(r).
\]

(32)

This implies

\[
F(r) = F \left( d \left( u, x \right) \right).
\]

(33)

Since \( F \) is strictly increasing, we get \( r = d(u, x) \). Hence \( P_C(u) \) is nonempty.

As an application of Theorems 13 and 17, we deduce the following.

Theorem 18. Let \( E \) be a normed linear space. Let \( F \in \mathcal{F} \) be a continuous mapping and let \( f : E \to E \) be \( F \)-nonexpansive with a fixed point \( u \in E \). If \( C \) is a complete \( f \)-invariant subset of \( E \) such that \( f \) is compact on \( C \), and \( P_C(u) \) is a star-shaped set, then \( f \) has a fixed point in \( P_C(u) \).

Proof. By Theorem 17, \( P_C(u) \) is nonempty. We show that \( P_C(u) \) is \( f \)-invariant. To this end, let \( y \in P_C(u) \) and set \( r = d(u, C) \); then

\[
F(r) \leq F \left( d \left( u, f y \right) \right) = F \left( d(fu, f y) \right)
\leq F \left( d \left( u, y \right) \right) = F(r).
\]

(34)

This implies

\[
F(r) = F \left( d \left( u, f y \right) \right).
\]

(35)

Since \( F \) is strictly increasing, we get \( r = d(u, f y) \). So \( f y \in P_C(u) \). This proves that \( P_C(u) \) is \( f \)-invariant, so \( f : P_C(u) \to P_C(u) \) is \( F \)-nonexpansive. Now observe that if \( C \) is complete, then \( P_C(u) \) is also complete. Hence, \( P_C(u) \) is star-shaped and complete, and \( f(P_C(u)) \) is compact, so, by Theorem 13, there exists \( x \in P_C(u) \) such that \( fx = x \).
Remark 19. As in the case of Theorem 13 (see Remark 14), the preceding theorem can be restated as follows. Let $E$ be a normed linear space (Banach space, resp.) and let $f : E \to E$ be nonexpansive with a fixed point $u \in E$. If $C$ is a complete (closed, resp.) $f$-invariant subset of $E$ such that $f$ is compact on $C$ and $P_C(u)$ is a star-shaped set, then $f$ has a fixed point in $P_C(u)$.

We conclude the paper illustrating Theorem 18 with the following example.

Example 20. Let $(\ell_1, \| \cdot \|_1)$ be the Banach space of Example 15. Define $f : \ell_1 \to \ell_1$ as
\[
fx = (x_1, kx_2, 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots),
\]
for all $x := \{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \in \ell_1$, with $k \in (0, 1]$. Let $F \in f$ be continuous. Since $f$ is nonexpansive, it follows from Remark 5 that it is $F$-nonexpansive. Of course, $f$ has fixed points. In fact
\[
\text{Fix}(f) = \{ x := \{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} : x_n = 0 \ \forall n \geq 2 \}.
\]

As in Example 15, let $C$ be the closed unit ball of $(\ell_1, \| \cdot \|_1)$. We know that $C$ is a closed and thus complete, $f$-invariant subset of $E$ such that $f$ is compact on $C$.

Then, if we choose $x := \{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \in \text{Fix}(f)$ such that $|x_1| > 1$, we deduce that $P_C(x) = (1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ if $x_1 > 1$, and $P_C(x) = (-1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ if $x_1 < -1$. Therefore, $P_C(u)$ is trivially star-shaped. Thus, all conditions of Theorem 18 (compare Remark 19) have been verified.
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