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This paper is concerned with the problem of the nonlinear dynamic surface control (DSC) of chaos based on the minimum weights of RBF neural network for the permanent magnet synchronous motor system (PMSM) wherein the unknown parameters, disturbances, and chaos are presented. RBF neural network is used to approximate the nonlinearities and an adaptive law is employed to estimate unknown parameters. Then, a simple and effective controller is designed by introducing dynamic surface control technique on the basis of first-order filters. Asymptotically tracking stability in the sense of uniformly ultimate boundedness is achieved in a short time. Finally, the performance of the proposed controller is testified through simulation results.

1. Introduction

The permanent magnet synchronous motor is widely used in the industrial applications [1, 2]. But PMSM with nonuniform breath appears to be the chaotic behavior at specific parameters and working conditions. This behavior leads to the intermittent oscillation of torque and speed, irregular current noise of the system, and unstable control performance. Furthermore, it intensively influences the stability and safety of the system [3].

For ameliorating the performance of the PMSM system, a large amount of literatures and control methods have been attempted to apply to the motor. For example, to improve the error convergence rate, the nonsingular fast terminal sliding mode control (SMC) [4] which can reach finite-time stability is applied. In [5], a high-order SMC method via backstepping is presented to attain finite-time tracking control regardless of mismatched disturbance. However, the controller appears to be discontinuous phenomenon in dynamic sliding manifolds. In [6], an adaptive backstepping based terminal sliding mode controller for parameter strict-feedback system is proposed, where the finite-time convergence of the error is achieved.

Furthermore, the OGY method is a fundamental technology for controlling chaos [7, 8]. Unfortunately, choosing an adjustable parameter usually becomes very difficult in real practice. Control of chaos by using the time-delay feedback control technology is introduced to the real applications, but it suffers from some problems as the control objective must be the equilibrium [9]. The dynamic surface control developed by Swaroop et al. [10] is a control technique by introducing a filter at each recursive step of the backstepping design procedure, so the differentiation items on the virtual function can be avoided. DSC has been pioneered by the work of Swaroop et al. about 10 years ago, but it does not consider nonlinear plant with uncertain time delays and disturbances. Also, nonlinear items are assumed to be completely known and the control gain is equal to one. In 2005, by incorporating DSC into a neural network based adaptive control design framework, Wang and Huang proposed a backstepping based control design for a class of nonlinear systems in strict-feedback form with arbitrary uncertainty [11]. However, uncertain time delays and disturbances are not involved in the model and the control gain is equal to one. Zhang et al. in 2008 proposed adaptive DSC for a class of pure-feedback nonlinear systems with unknown dead zone and
perturbed uncertainties using neural networks [12], Na et al. in 2011 [13] presented adaptive neural dynamic surface control for servo systems with unknown dead zone, Li et al. in 2013 presented an adaptive fuzzy DSC output feedback approach for a single-link robotic manipulator coupled to a brushed direct current motor with a nonrigid joint [14], and Tong et al. in 2013 presented an adaptive fuzzy decentralized backstepping output feedback control approach for a class of uncertain large-scale stochastic nonlinear systems without the measurements of the states [15]. In their works, it is assumed that there exists positive constant which satisfies specified constraint condition. But it is very difficult to define the boundedness of unknown control gain in real practice. Incidentally, the PMSM is known to exhibit chaotic behavior when the system parameters are falling into a special area, which can lead to the enormous destruction. The mathematical model of the PMSM based on the $d$-$q$ axis is given as follows [21, 22]:

$$\frac{d\bar{\omega}}{dt} = \frac{n_p}{J} (L_d - L_q) \bar{i}_d \bar{i}_q - \frac{n_p}{J} \bar{\psi}_q \bar{i}_q - \frac{B}{J} \bar{\omega} - \frac{1}{J} T_L,$$

$$\frac{d\bar{i}_d}{dt} = -\frac{R}{L_d} \bar{i}_d + \frac{L_d}{L_d} \bar{\omega} \bar{i}_d + \frac{1}{L_d} \bar{u}_d,$$

$$\frac{d\bar{i}_q}{dt} = -\frac{R}{L_q} \bar{i}_q + \frac{L_q}{L_q} \bar{\omega} \bar{i}_q + \frac{1}{L_q} \bar{u}_d. \quad (1)$$

The denotations of the PMSM parameters are shown in Table 1. Suppose the direct and the quadrature-axis winding inductances are equal; that is, $L = L_d = L_q$. Meanwhile assume the time scale $\tau$ to be such that $\tau = L/R$ and define the normalized time $\bar{t}$ to be such that $\bar{t} = t/\tau$ and the scalar $\kappa$ to be such that $\kappa = B/(n_p \bar{\psi}_r r)$. Finally, the scaled state variables $\omega, i_d$, and $i_q$ are defined as follows:

$$\omega = \bar{\omega} \tau, \quad i_d = \frac{i_d}{\kappa}, \quad i_q = \frac{i_q}{\kappa} \quad (2)$$

where $\omega, i_d$, and $i_q$ are the normalized motor angular speed and the normalized quadrature-axis and direct-axis currents, respectively.

Then, the new normalized model for the PMSM is rewritten as

$$\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \sigma (i_q - \omega) - T_L,$$

$$\frac{di_q}{dt} = -i_q - i_q \omega + y \omega + u_q,$$

$$\frac{di_d}{dt} = -i_d + i_d \omega + u_d. \quad (3)$$

where $y = -\bar{\psi}_r/(\kappa L), \sigma = B \tau / J, T_L = r^2 \bar{T}_L / J, u_q = \bar{u}_q/(\kappa R), u_d = \bar{u}_d/(\kappa R), i_q$ and $u_q$ denote the normalized quadrature-axis and direct-axis stator voltage, respectively, $T_L$ presents the normalized load torque, and $\sigma$ and $y$ are previously defined system parameters.

2. Mathematic Model

It is well known that the PMSM is applied widely in the motor drives, servo systems, and household appliances owing to advantages, for instance, simple structure, high efficiency, high power density, and low manufacturing cost [20]. However, the PMSM is experiencing chaotic behavior when the system parameters are falling into a special area, which can lead to the enormous destruction. The mathematical model of the PMSM based on the $d$-$q$ axis is given as follows [21, 22]:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Denotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$i_d$</td>
<td>The direct-axis currents (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\omega}$</td>
<td>The velocity of the rotor (rad/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_p d$</td>
<td>The direct-axis voltage (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{T}_L$</td>
<td>The load torque (Nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_d$</td>
<td>The direct-axis winding inductance (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\psi}_r$</td>
<td>The permanent magnet flux (Wb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>The polar moment of inertia (kgm²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_q$</td>
<td>The quadrature-axis currents (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{r}$</td>
<td>The time (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{n}_p$</td>
<td>The quadrature-axis voltage (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>The stator winding resistance (Ω)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_q$</td>
<td>The quadrature-axis winding inductance (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>The viscous damping coefficient (N/rad/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_p$</td>
<td>The number of pole pairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The denotation of the PMSM parameters.
Figures 1 and 2 reveal the chaotic behavior of the PMSM for the situation of \( \sigma = 5.45, \gamma = 20, u_q = u_d = 0, T_L = 0, \omega(0) = -5, i_q(0) = 0.01, \) and \( i_d(0) = 20 \), in which it appears as an aperiodic, random, sudden, or intermittent morbid oscillation.

It is obvious that the model of the PMSM has high non-linearity because of the coupling between the speed and the currents. In addition, the indeterminate system parameters \( \sigma \) and \( \gamma \) are enormously impacted by realistic conditions. So as to control efficiently the PMSM, it appears as an aperiodic, random, sudden, or intermittent morbid oscillation.

For the sake of simplicity, the following symbols are introduced:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_1 &= \omega, \\
    x_2 &= i_q, \\
    x_3 &= i_d. \\
\end{align*}
\]

Then, the mathematic model of the PMSM can be represented as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \dot{x}_1 &= \sigma x_2 - \sigma x_1 - T_L + \Delta_1, \\
    \dot{x}_2 &= -x_2 - x_1 x_3 + \gamma x_1 + u_q + \Delta_2, \\
    \dot{x}_3 &= -x_3 + x_1 x_2 + u_d + \Delta_3, \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \Delta_i, i = 1-3 \), denote the external disturbance.

**Assumption 1.** The unknown disturbance terms \( \Delta_i \) satisfy \( |\Delta_i(x,t)| < d_i, i = 1-3 \), and the parameter \( \sigma \) is unknown and bounded. Moreover, it is assumed that \( |\sigma| \leq G \).

**Assumption 2.** The desired trajectory \( y_r \) is continuous, and its first-order derivative \( \dot{y}_r \) and second-order derivative \( \ddot{y}_r \) are bounded and available.

### 3. Controller Design

#### 3.1. RBF Neural Network

The type of RBF neural network is considered as a two-layer network, which contains a hidden layer and an output layer. In this paper, the RBF neural network will be used to approximate the unknown continuous function \( f(z) : R^n \rightarrow R \) as follows:

\[
\hat{f}(z) = \theta^T \xi(z),
\]

where \( z \in \Omega \subset R^n \) is the input vector with \( n \) being the neural network input dimension, \( \theta^* = [\theta_1^*, \theta_2^*, \ldots, \theta_l^*]^T \in R^l \) is the weight vector, \( l > 1 \) is the node number of neuron, and \( \xi(z) = [\xi_1(z), \xi_2(z), \ldots, \xi_l(z)]^T \in R^l \) is a basic function vector with \( \xi_i(z) \) chosen as the commonly used Gaussian function in the following form:

\[
\xi_i(z) = \exp \left[ -\frac{(z - \mu_i)^T(z - \mu_i)}{2\sigma_i^2} \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, l,
\]

where \( \mu_i = [\mu_{i1}, \mu_{i2}, \ldots, \mu_{in}]^T \) is the center of the receptive field and \( \sigma_i \) is the width of \( \xi_i(z) \).

For given scalar \( \varepsilon > 0 \), by choosing sufficiently large \( l \), the RBF neural network can approximate any continuous function over a compact set \( \Omega \in R^m \) to arbitrary accuracy as follows:

\[
f(z) = \theta^T \xi(z) + \varepsilon(z), \quad \forall z \in \Omega \in R^m,
\]

where \( \varepsilon(z) \) is the approximation error and \( \theta \) is an unknown ideal constant weight vector, which is an artificial quantity required for analytical purpose. Typically, \( \theta \) is chosen as the value of \( \theta^* \) that minimizes \( |\varepsilon(z)| \), for all \( z \in \Omega \); that is,

\[
\theta = \arg \min_{\theta \in R^m} \sup_{z \in \Omega} \left\{ f(z) - \theta^T \xi(z) \right\}.
\]

**Assumption 3.** The approximation error \( \varepsilon \) is bounded, and it has positive constant \( \varepsilon_M \) which satisfies \( |\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_M \), \( i = 1-3 \).

**Assumption 4.** There exists a positive and bounded constant \( \rho_i \) which satisfies \( |\varepsilon_M + d_i| \leq \rho_i \).

#### 3.2. The Controller of Dynamics Surface Control Approach Based on RBF Neural Network

In this section, the controller of dynamics surface control approach will be developed based on the minimum weights of RBF neural network. The design procedure consists of three steps. Then, the detail process will be given.

**Step 1.** The first dynamic surface is defined as \( S_1 = x_1 - y_r \). Then, the time derivative of \( S_1 \) can be obtained as follows:

\[
\dot{S}_1 = \sigma x_2 + f_1 + \Delta_1 - \dot{y}_r,
\]

where \( f_1 = -\sigma x_1 - T_L \).

The operating parameter \( \sigma \) is usually unknown due to the effect of the work environment. It is difficult for traditional methods to deal with the problem. In order to solve it, the adaptive technique is introduced to estimate the \( \sigma \) and the adaptive RBF neural network is used to approximate the uncertain item \( f_1 \) with little error. Therefore, for any given \( \varepsilon_1 \), there exists a RBF neural network \( \theta_1^T \xi_1 \) such that

\[
f_1 = \theta_1^T \xi_1 + \varepsilon_1,
\]

where \( \varepsilon_1 \) is the approximation error and satisfies \( |\varepsilon_1| \leq \varepsilon_M \).
Substituting (11) into (10) yields the following:

$$\dot{S}_1 \leq \sigma x_2 + \theta_1^T \xi_1 + \rho_1 - \dot{y}_r.$$  

(12)

The virtual control and related adaptive laws can be designed as follows:

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{\bar{\sigma}}{\sigma^2 + \eta} \left( -k_1 S_1 - \frac{1}{2a_1^2} \lambda_1 S_1 \xi_1^T \xi_1 - \frac{1}{2} S_1 \rho_1^2 + \dot{y}_r \right),$$  

(13)

$$\dot{\lambda}_1 = \frac{1}{2a_1^2} y_1 \xi_1^T \xi_1 S_1^2 - m_1 \lambda_1,$$  

(14)

$$\dot{\sigma} = \Gamma_1 (S_1 \alpha_2 - c_1 \sigma),$$  

(15)

where $\lambda_1 = \|\tilde{\theta}\|^2$ belongs to a minimum weights of RBF neural network which greatly speeds up the solution speed, $k_1$, $m_1$, $a_1$, $y_1$, $c_1$, and $\Gamma_1$ are the design constant, and $\eta$ is a small positive constant.

Introduce variables $\lambda_1$ and $\sigma$ as follows:

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_1,$$

$$\bar{\sigma} = \sigma - \sigma.$$  

(16)

Let $\alpha_2$ be passed through a first-order filter with a time constant $\tau_2$ as follows:

$$\tau_2 \dot{\alpha}_{2f} + \alpha_{2f} = \alpha_2, \quad \alpha_{2f}(0) = \alpha_2(0).$$  

(17)

Define the filter error as $y_2 = \alpha_{2f} - \alpha_2$. With (17) and $y_2$, it yields that

$$\dot{y}_2 = -\frac{y_2}{\tau_2},$$  

(18)

Then, the time derivative of $y_2$ can be obtained as follows:

$$\dot{y}_2 = -\frac{y_2}{\tau_2} - \left[ \frac{\bar{\sigma}}{\sigma^2 + \eta} \left( -k_1 S_1 - \theta_1^T \xi_1 - \theta_1 \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial x_1} \dot{x}_1 + y_r \right) \right] \left( \frac{\eta - \bar{\sigma}^2}{(\eta^2 + \eta)^2} \left( -k_1 S_1 - \theta_1^T \xi_1 - \frac{1}{2} S_1 \rho_1^2 + y_r \right) \right).$$  

(19)

It is obtained that

$$\left| \dot{y}_2 + \frac{y_2}{\tau_2} \right| \leq B_2 (S_1, S_2, \bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\sigma}, y_r, \dot{y}_r, \ddot{y}_r).$$  

(20)

Using Young's inequality, one has

$$y_2 \dot{y}_2 \leq -\frac{y_2^2}{\tau_2} + \frac{1}{4} B_2^2,$$  

(21)

where $B_2(S_1, S_2, y_r, \bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\sigma}, y_r, \dot{y}_r, \ddot{y}_r)$ is the continuous function.
Substituting (13)–(21) into (12), (12) becomes
\[
\dot{S}_1 \leq \sigma (S_2 + y_2) - \sigma \alpha_2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \eta} \times \left( -k_1S_1 - \frac{1}{2a_1} \lambda_1S_1\xi_1^T\xi_1 - \frac{1}{2}S_1\rho_1^2 + y_1 \right) + \theta_1^T \xi_1 + \rho_1 - y_1.
\]
\[
\times \left( -k_1S_1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha_1} \lambda_1S_1\xi_1^T\xi_1 - \frac{1}{2}S_1\rho_1^2 + y_1 \right) \tag{22}
\]
One has
\[
S_1\dot{S}_1 \leq \sigma \left( S_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}y_2^2 \right) - \frac{\eta}{\sigma^2 + \eta} \times \left( -k_1S_1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha_1} \lambda_1S_1\xi_1^T\xi_1 - \frac{1}{2}S_1\rho_1^2 + y_1 \right) S_1
\]
\[
+ \sigma \left( S_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}y_2^2 \right) - \sigma \alpha_2 S_1 - k_1S_1
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2\alpha_1} \lambda_1S_1\xi_1^T\xi_1 S_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{a_1^2}{2}
\]
\[
\leq (2G - k_1) S_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}GS_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}Gy_2^2 - \sigma \alpha_2 S_1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha_1} \lambda_1S_1\xi_1^T\xi_1 S_1
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{a_1^2}{2}.
\]
\[
\leq (2G - k_1) S_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}GS_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}Gy_2^2 - \sigma \alpha_2 S_1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha_1} \lambda_1S_1\xi_1^T\xi_1 S_1
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{a_1^2}{2}.
\]
Consider the Lyapunov function candidate as follows:
\[
V_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( S_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}y_2^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \lambda_1^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \sigma^2 \right) \tag{23}
\]
Then, the time derivative of $V_1$ is obtained as follows:
\[
\dot{V}_1 \leq (2G - k_1) S_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}GS_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{a_1^2}{2} \tag{24}
\]
\[
+ \left( -\frac{1}{13} + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_2 \right) y_2^2 + \frac{1}{13} B_2^2
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2\gamma_1} \lambda_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2\gamma_1} \lambda_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 \sigma^2.
\]
For the terms $-c_1 \sigma^2$ and $-(1/\gamma_1)m_1 \lambda_1 \lambda_1$, one has $-c_1 \sigma^2 \leq -(1/2)c_1 \sigma^2 + (1/2)c_1 \sigma^2$ and
\[
-\frac{1}{2\gamma_1} \lambda_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 \lambda_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 \sigma^2.
\]
\[
S_2 = x_2 - \alpha_2 f.
\]
Step 2. The second dynamic surface is given as
\[
\dot{S}_2 = f_2 + \Delta_2 + u_2 - \alpha_2 f.
\]
where $f_2 = -x_1 x_3 - x_2 + y x_1$.

To facilitate engineering application, a minimum-weights-based RBF neural network will be employed to approximate the nonlinear function $f_2$ again. Therefore, there exists a RBF neural network system such that
\[
f_2 = \theta_2^T \xi_2 + \epsilon_2,
\]
where $\epsilon_2$ is the approximation error and satisfies $|\epsilon_2| \leq \epsilon_M$.

Substituting (29) into (28), one has
\[
\dot{S}_2 \leq f_2 + \Delta_2 + u_2 - \alpha_2 f.
\]
Similarly, the relevant control law and adaptive law are provided in the following forms:
\[
u_2 = -k_2 S_2 - \frac{1}{2\alpha_2} \lambda_2 S_2 \xi_2^T \xi_2 - \frac{1}{2} S_2 \rho_2^2 + \alpha_2 f,
\]
\[
\dot{\lambda}_2 = \frac{1}{2\alpha_2} \gamma_2 \xi_2 \xi_2^T \xi_2 - m_2 \lambda_2,
\]
where $k_2$, $m_2$, $\alpha_2$, and $\gamma_2$ are the design constant and $\lambda_2 = |\theta_2|^2$.

With (31) and (32), (30) is written as follows:
\[
\dot{S}_2 \leq -k_2 S_2 + \theta_2^T \xi_2 + \rho_2 - \frac{1}{2\alpha_2} \lambda_2 S_2 \xi_2^T \xi_2 - \frac{1}{2} S_2 \rho_2^2.
\]
One has
\[
\dot{S}_2 \dot{S}_2 \leq -k_2 S_2^2 - \frac{1}{2\alpha_2} \lambda_2 S_2 \xi_2^T \xi_2 + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}.
\]
Choose the Lyapunov function candidate as follows:
\[
V_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( S_2^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \lambda_2^2 \right) \tag{35}
\]
Then, the time derivative of $V_2$ is
\[
\dot{V}_2 \leq -k_2 S_2^2 + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_2^2 \lambda_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_2^2 \lambda_2^2}{2 \gamma_2^2}.
\]
For the term $-(1/\gamma_2)m_2 \lambda_2 \lambda_2$, one has $-(1/\gamma_2)m_2 \lambda_2 \lambda_2 \leq -(1/2)(m_2^2 \gamma_2^2) \lambda_2^2 + (1/2)(m_2^2 \gamma_2^2) \lambda_2^2$.

Step 3. Choose the last dynamic surface as $S_3 = x_3$. Then, the time derivative of $S_3$ is calculated as follows:
\[
\dot{S}_3 = f_3 + u_3 + \Delta_3,
\]
where $f_3 = -x_3 + x_4 x_2$.

In the same way, there is a minimum-weights-based RBF neural network such that
\[
f_3 = \theta_3^T \xi_3 + \epsilon_3,
\]
where $\epsilon_3$ is the approximation error and satisfies $|\epsilon_3| \leq \epsilon_M$.

Substituting (38) into (37) gives
\[
\dot{S}_3 \leq \theta_3^T \xi_3 + \rho_2 + u_3.
\]
At the present stage, the control input is designed as follows:

$$u_d = -k_3S_3 - \frac{1}{2\alpha_3^2} \tilde{\lambda}_3 S_3 \tilde{\xi}_3 S_3 - \frac{1}{2} S_3 \rho_3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

where $k_3$ is the positive constant and $\tilde{\lambda}_3 = \| \tilde{\theta}_3 \|^2$.

According to the mention above, the adaptive law is chosen as follows:

$$\dot{\tilde{\lambda}}_3 = \frac{1}{2\alpha_3^2} y_3 \tilde{\xi}_3 S_3 \tilde{\xi}_3 S_3 - m_3 \tilde{\lambda}_3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

where $m_3$, $\alpha_3$, and $y_3$ are the design constant.

Similarly, (37) is given as follows:

$$\dot{\tilde{\xi}}_3 \leq \tilde{\theta}_3^T \tilde{\xi}_3 + \rho_3 - k_3 S_3 - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\lambda}_3 S_3 \tilde{S}_3 S_3 - \frac{1}{2} S_3 \rho_3^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

There exists

$$S_3 \dot{\tilde{\xi}}_3 \leq -\frac{1}{2\alpha_3^2} \tilde{\lambda}_3 S_3 \tilde{\xi}_3 S_3 - k_3 S_3^2 + \frac{\alpha_3^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

Choose the Lyapunov function candidate as follows:

$$V_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \tilde{S}_3^2 + \frac{1}{y_3^2} \tilde{\lambda}_3^2 \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

Using the equality in (44), it can be verified easily that

$$\dot{V}_3 \leq -k_3 S_3^2 + \frac{\alpha_3^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} m_3 \tilde{\lambda}_3^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_3 \tilde{\lambda}_3^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

For the term $-(1/y_3)m_3\tilde{\lambda}_3\tilde{\lambda}_3$, one has $-(1/y_3)m_3\tilde{\lambda}_3\tilde{\lambda}_3 \leq -(1/2)(m_3/y_3)\tilde{\lambda}_3^2 + (1/2)(m_3/y_3)\tilde{\lambda}_3^2$.

Up to now, the design procedure of proposed controller of the PMSM is completed. The proposed controller significantly reduces the computation complexity compared with traditional backstepping control and dynamics surface control. Based on previous procedure, the configuration of the proposed control system is depicted in Figure 3. The overall configuration consists of the PMSM with load, the speed vector pulse width modulation (SVPWM), the voltage-source inverter, the power source rectifier, the automatic current regulator of the motor, the encoder used to detect speed and position, $q$-axis and $d$-axis controllers.

\section{Stability Analysis}

For any given $p > 0$, the closed sets can be defined as follows:

$$\Pi_1 = \left\{ (S_1, \tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\sigma}, y_2) : S_1^2 + y_2^2 + \frac{1}{y_1} \tilde{\lambda}_1^2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma^2} \tilde{\sigma}^2 - 2p \right\},$$

$$\Pi_2 = \left\{ (S_1, S_2, \tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2, \tilde{\sigma}, y_2) : 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} S_i^2 + y_2^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{y_i} \tilde{\lambda}_i^2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma^2} \tilde{\sigma}^2 - 2p \right\},$$

$$\Pi_3 = \left\{ (S_1, \ldots, S_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\lambda}_3, \tilde{\sigma}, y_2) : 3 \sum_{i=1}^{3} S_i^2 + y_2^2 + 3 \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{y_i} \tilde{\lambda}_i^2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma^2} \tilde{\sigma}^2 - 2p \right\}. \hspace{1cm} (46)$$

\textbf{Theorem 5.} Suppose that the control laws in (31) and (40) with adaptive laws (14), (15), (32), and (41) are applied to the PMSM; Assumptions 1–4 stand, and if there exists a positive constant $p$ that the initial condition satisfies $V_3 \leq p$ and the design constants $k_1, a_1, y_1, m_1, \Gamma_1$, and $c_1$ are chosen rationally, then all the signals of the closed-loop system are semiglobally
Figure 4: The robustness analysis with $0.5\sin(4t + 1/2\pi) + 0.5\sin(2t + 1/2\pi)$. 
uniformly ultimately bounded, and the output tracking error converges to a neighborhood of zero.

Proof. Define the Lyapunov function candidate as follows:

\[ V = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{3} S_i^2 + y_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\eta} \lambda_i^2 + \frac{1}{\eta} \sigma^2 \right). \] (47)

Consequently, one can obtain

\[ V \leq (2G - k_1) S_1^2 - \left( k_2 - \frac{1}{4} G \right) S_2^2 \]
\[ - k_3 S_3^2 + \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} + 1 + \frac{1}{4} G \right) y_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} c_i \sigma^2 \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{m_i}{\gamma} \lambda_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{m_i}{\gamma} \lambda_i^2 \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} c_i \sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{a_i^2}{\gamma} + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4} B_i^2 \]
\[ \leq -2a_0 V + b, \]

where \( b = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^{3} (m_i/\gamma) \lambda_i^2 + (1/2) c_i \sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} (a_i^2/2) + (3/2) + (1/4) B_i^2 \).

Furthermore, (48) implies that

\[ 0 \leq V (t) \leq \frac{b}{a_0} + \left( V (0) - \frac{b}{a_0} \right) e^{-\alpha \eta t} \leq \frac{b}{a_0} + V (0). \] (49)

5. Performance Evaluation

The simulation is running to illustrate the effectiveness of the scheme presented in this paper under the assumption that the system parameters and nonlinear functions are uncertain. The initial conditions \( x_1 (0) = x_2 (0) = 1 \) and \( x_3 (0) = 1.5 \) are used in this section. The controller parameters are chosen as follows: \( k_1 = 35, k_2 = k_3 = 15, \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = 2, m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 0.04, a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 30, \tau_1 = 0.01, \eta = 0.01, \Gamma_1 = 20, c_1 = 0.02, \bar{\lambda}_1 (0) = \bar{\lambda}_2 (0) = \bar{\lambda}_3 (0) = 0, \) and \( \sigma (0) = 0.7. \)

To take into account the disturbance, the corresponding expressions are given as follows:

\[ \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \Delta_3 = 0.04 \times x_1^2 \cos^3 (3t), \]
\[ T_L = \begin{cases} 2.0 \text{ Nm}, & 0 \leq t \leq 4 \\ 3.5 \text{ Nm}, & t > 4. \end{cases} \] (50)

The simulation results are shown in Figures 4(a)–4(f). Figures 4(a) and 4(b) explicitly illustrate that the state error of angular speed of the PMSM is gradually converged to zero within a short time. Meanwhile, these pictures show that the system successfully escapes from the chaotic behavior within 0.1s and tracks the given trajectory with a great performance in spite of uncertainty, nonlinearity, and external disturbance. In Figures 4(c)–4(f), three kinds of curves basically overlap without disturbance on the whole time. Obviously, these pictures show the performance when the system parameters \( \sigma \) and \( y \) have a perturbation. Furthermore, the indicators of the PMSM can still converge quickly when the model suffers from the disturbance. From the simulation results, it can clearly be seen that the proposed controller guarantees the boundedness of all the signals in the closed-loop system and also achieves the good tracking performance.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, an adaptive dynamic surface control method of chaos is applied to the permanent magnet synchronous motor based on the minimum weights of RBF neural network. The proposed controller guarantees the boundedness of all the signals in the closed-loop system, while the tracking error eventually converges to a small neighborhood of the origin. Moreover, the suggested controller contains minimum weights of RBF neural network. This makes the design scheme easier to be implemented in practical applications. Simulation results are given to show the effectiveness and robustness of the proposed controller. Finally, some potential future research works are pointed out, such as the recursive filtering for time-varying nonlinear systems and sliding mode design for time-invariant nonlinear systems.
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