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We study some new generalized difference strongly summable $n$-normed sequence spaces using ideal convergence and an Orlicz function in connection with de la Vallée Poussin mean. We give some relations related to these sequence spaces also.

1. Introduction

Let $\ell_\infty$, $c$, and $c_0$ be the Banach space of bounded, convergent, and null sequences $x = (x_n)$, respectively, with the usual norm $\|x\| = \sup_n |x_n|$.

A sequence $x \in \ell_\infty$ is said to be almost convergent if all of its Banach limits coincide.

Let $\tilde{c}$ denote the space of all almost convergent sequences. Lorentz in [1] proved that

$$\tilde{c} = \left\{ x \in \ell_\infty : \lim_{m} t_{m,n}(x) \text{ exists uniformly in } n \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$t_{m,n}(x) = \frac{x_n + x_{n+1} + \cdots + x_{m+n}}{m+1}. \quad (2)$$

The following space of strongly almost convergent sequence was introduced by Maddox in [2]:

$$\tilde{c} = \left\{ x \in \ell_\infty : \lim_{m} t_{m,n}(x-L) \text{ exists uniformly in } n \text{ for some } L \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where $e = (1,1,\ldots)$.

Let $\sigma$ be a one-to-one mapping from the set of positive integers into itself such that $\sigma^m(n) = \sigma^{m-1}(\sigma(n))$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, where $\sigma^m(n)$ denotes the $m$th iterative of the mapping $\sigma$ in $n$.

A continuous linear functional $\phi$ on $\ell_\infty$ is said to be an invariant mean or a $\sigma$-mean, if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1) $\phi(x) \geq 0$, when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ is such that $x_n \geq 0$ for all $n$;

(2) $\phi(e) = 1$, where $e = (1,1,\ldots)$;

(3) $\phi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(x)$, for all $x \in \ell_\infty$.

For a certain kind of mapping $\sigma$, we get that every invariant mean $\phi$ extends the functional limit on the space $c$, such that $\phi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in c$. Consequently, we get that $c \subset V_{\sigma}$, where $V_{\sigma}$ is the set of bounded sequences with equal $\sigma$-means.

Schaefer in [3] proved that

$$V_{\sigma} = \left\{ x \in \ell_\infty : \lim_{k} t_{km}(x) = L \text{ uniformly in } m \text{ for some } L = \sigma - \lim x \right\}, \quad (4)$$
where
\[ t_{km}(x) = \frac{x_{r(m)} + \cdots + x_{r^k(m)}}{k+1}, \quad t_{-1,m} = 0. \] (5)

Thus we say that a bounded sequence \( x = (x_k) \) is \( \sigma \)-convergent, if and only if \( x \in V_\sigma \) such that \( \sigma^k(n) \neq n \) for all \( n \geq 0, k \geq 1 \).

Note that similarly as the concept of almost convergence leads naturally to the concept of strong almost convergence, the \( \sigma \)-convergence leads naturally to the concept of strong \( \sigma \)-convergence.

A sequence \( x = (x_k) \) is said to be strongly \( \sigma \)-convergent (Mursaleen [4]), if there exists a number \( \ell \) such that
\[ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{r=0}^{k} |x_{r(m)} - \ell| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \longrightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly in } m. \] (6)

We write \([V_\sigma]\) to denote the set of all strongly \( \sigma \)-convergent sequences and when (6) holds, we write \([V_\sigma] = \lim x = \ell \).

Taking \( \sigma(m) = m + 1 \), we obtain \([V_\sigma] = [\ell] \). Then the strong \( \sigma \)-convergence generalizes the concept of strong almost convergence.

We also note that
\[ [V_\sigma] \subset V_\sigma \subset \ell_{\infty}. \] (7)

The notion of ideal convergence was first introduced by Kostyrko et al. [5] as a generalization of statistical convergence which was later studied by many other authors.

An Orlicz function is a function \( M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \), which is continuous, nondecreasing, and convex with \( M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0 \), for \( x > 0 \), and \( M(x) \rightarrow \infty \), as \( x \rightarrow \infty \).

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [6] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the sequence space:
\[ \ell_M = \left\{ (x_k) \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left( \frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}. \] (8)

The space \( \ell_M \) with the norm
\[ \|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left( \frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \] (9)
becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space.

Kizmaz [7] studied the difference sequence spaces \( \ell_{\infty}(\Delta), c(\Delta), \) and \( c_0(\Delta) \) of crisp sets. The notion is defined as follows:
\[ Z(\Delta) = \{ x = (x_k) : (\Delta x_k) \in Z \}, \] (10)
for \( Z = \ell_{\infty}, c, \) and \( c_0 \), where \( \Delta x_k = (x_k - x_{k+1}) \), for all \( k \in N \).

The above spaces are Banach spaces, normed by
\[ \|x\|_\Delta = |x_1| + \sup_{k} |\Delta x_k|. \] (11)

Later the idea of Kizmaz [7] was applied to introduce different types of difference sequence spaces and study their different properties by many others later on.

The generalized difference notion is defined as follows. For \( m \geq 1 \) and \( n \geq 1 \),
\[ Z(\Delta_n^m) = \{ x = (x_k) : (\Delta_n^k x_k) \in Z \}, \quad \text{for } Z = \ell_{\infty}, c \text{ and } c_0. \] (12)
This generalized difference has the following binomial representation:
\[ \Delta_n^m x_k = \sum_{r=0}^{n} (-1)^{r} \binom{n}{r} x_{k+rm}. \] (13)

The concept of 2-normed space was initially introduced by Gähler [8], in the mid of 1960s, while that of \( n \)-normed spaces can be found in Misiak [9]. Since then, many other authors have used this concept and obtained various results. Recently, several various activities have been initiated to study summability, sequence spaces, and related topics in these spaces. The notion of ideal convergence in 2-normed spaces was initially introduced by Gürdal [10]. Later on, it was extended to \( n \)-normed spaces by Gürdal and Sahiner in [11].

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

Let \( n \in N \) and \( X \) be a real vector space. A real valued function on \( X^n \) satisfies the following four properties:

1. \( \|z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n\|_n = 0 \) if and only if \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n \) are linearly dependent;
2. \( \|z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n\|_n \) is invariant under permutation;
3. \( \|z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}, \alpha z_n\|_n = |\alpha| \|z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}\|_n \), for all \( \alpha \in R \);
4. \( \|z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}, x + y\|_n \leq \|z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}, x\|_n + \|z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}, y\|_n \)

is called an \( n \)-norm on \( X \) and the pair \((X, \|\cdot\|_n)\) is called an \( n \)-normed space.

Let \( X \) be a nonempty set. Then a family of sets \( I \subseteq 2^X \) (power sets of \( X \)) is said to be an ideal if \( I \) is additive that is \( A, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I \) and hereditary that is \( A \in I, B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in I \).

A set \( \{x_k\} \) in a normed space \((X, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_n)\) is said to be \( I \)-convergent to \( x_0 \in X \) with respect to \( n \)-norm, if for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \), the set
\[ E(\varepsilon) = \{ k \in N : \|x_k - x_0, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}\|_n \geq \varepsilon, \text{ for every } z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1} \in X \} \]
belongs to \( I \).

The generalized de la Vallée Poussin mean is defined by
\[ t_n(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} x_k. \] (15)
where \( I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n] \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \).
Then a sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be $(V, \lambda)$-summable to a number $L$, if $t_n(x) \to L$ as $n \to \infty$, and we write

$$[V, \lambda]_0 = \left\{ x : \lim_{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n_k \in I_n}} \sum_{k \in I_n} |x_k| = 0 \right\},$$

$$[V, \lambda] = \left\{ x : x - \ell e \in [V, \lambda]_0 \text{ for some } \ell \in C \right\},$$

$$[V, \lambda]_\infty = \left\{ x : \sup_{n, m} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n_k \in I_n}} \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left( \frac{\| \Delta^q_{p}x^s(m) - L, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n}{\rho} \right) \right\}^{r_k} \geq \varepsilon \in I \right\},$$

for the sets of sequences that are, respectively, strongly summable to zero, strongly summable, and strongly bounded by de la Vallée Poussin method.

Maddox introduced and studied the special case, where $\lambda_n = n$, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$; these sets $[V, \lambda]_0, [V, \lambda]$, and $[V, \lambda]_\infty$ reduce to the sets $w_0, w$, and $w_\infty$.

In this paper, we define some new sequence spaces in $\mathbb{R}$-normed spaces by using Orlicz function with notion of generalized de la Vallée Poussin mean, generalized difference sequences, and ideals. We will also introduce and examine certain new sequence spaces using the above tools as also the $n$-norm.

**3. Main Results**

Let $I$ be an admissible ideal of $N$, let $M$ be an Orlicz function, and let $(X, \| \cdot \|, \ldots, \|_n)$ be a $n$-normed space. Further, let $s = (s_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. By $S(n - X)$, we denote the space of all sequences defined over $(X, \| \cdot \|, \ldots, \|_n)$.

In this paper, we have introduced the following sequence spaces:

$$\left( [V, \lambda, \Delta^{q}_{p}, M, r]_0^I, \| \cdot \|, \ldots, \|_n \right)$$

$$= \left\{ x : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \right\} \times \left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{\lambda_{n_k \in I_n}} \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left( \frac{\| \Delta^q_{p}x^s(m) - L, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n}{\rho} \right) \right\}^{r_k} \geq \varepsilon \in I \right\},$$

for some $\rho > 0$ and each $z \in X$,

$$\left( [V, \lambda, \Delta^{q}_{p}, M]_0^I, \| \cdot \|, \ldots, \|_n \right)$$

$$= \left\{ x : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \right\} \times \left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{\lambda_{n_k \in I_n}} \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left( \frac{\| \Delta^q_{p}x^s(m) - L, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n}{\rho} \right) \right\} \geq \varepsilon, \text{ uniformly in } m \in I \right\},$$

for some $\rho, \forall z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1} \in X$.
\[
\left( [V, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M]^T, \| \cdot \|_n \right) = \left\{ x : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \times \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_{n,k}} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left( M \left( \frac{\| \Delta^q_p \chi_{(m)} - L, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n}{\rho} \right) \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \right\},
\]
for some \( \rho > 0, L \in X \) and each \( z \in X \),

\[
\left( [V, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M]_{\infty}, \| \cdot \|_n \right) = \left\{ x : \exists K > 0 \times \left\{ \sup_{n,m} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{n,k}} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left( M \left( \frac{\| \Delta^q_p \chi_{(m)} - L, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n}{\rho} \right) \right) \right) \geq K \right\} \in I \right\}.
\]
(18)

Similarly, when \( \sigma(m) = m+1 \), then \( \left( [\tilde{V}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]^T, \| \cdot \|_n \right) \), \( \left( [V, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]^T, \| \cdot \|_n \right) \), and \( \left( [V, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M]_{\infty}, \| \cdot \|_n \right) \) are reduced to

\[
\left( [\tilde{V}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]_{\infty}, \| \cdot \|_n \right) = \left\{ x : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \times \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_{n,k}} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left( M \left( \frac{\| \Delta^q_p \chi_{(m)} - L, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n}{\rho} \right) \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \right\},
\]
for some \( \rho > 0 \) and each \( z \in X \),

(19)

In particular, if we put \( r_k = r \), for all \( k \), then we have the spaces

\[
\left( [\tilde{V}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]^T, \| \cdot \|_n \right) = \left( [\tilde{V}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M]_{\infty}, \| \cdot \|_n \right),
\]

(20)

Further when \( \lambda_n = n \), for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), the sets \( \left( [\tilde{V}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M]_{\infty}, \| \cdot \|_n \right) \) and \( \left( [\tilde{V}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M]^T, \| \cdot \|_n \right) \) are reduced to \(([\tilde{c}_0 (M, \Delta^q_p)]_{\infty}, \| \cdot \|_n) \) and \(([\tilde{c} (M, \Delta^q_p)]^T, \| \cdot \|_n) \), respectively.
Now, if we consider $M(x) = x$, then we can easily obtain
\[
\left( [V_{\sigma}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, r]_{l_0}^f, \| \cdot \|_n \right) = \left\{ x : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \right\}
\times \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left( \| \Delta^q_p x^k_{s(m)} - L, z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n \right)^{r_k} \geq \varepsilon, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\} \in I \right\},
\]
for $L \in X$ and each $z \in X$,
\[
\left( [V_{\sigma}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, r]_{l_0}^f, \| \cdot \|_n \right) = \left\{ x : \exists K > 0, \right. \\
\left. s.t. \sup_{n,m} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left( \| \Delta^q_p x^k_{s(m)} - L, z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n \right)^{r_k} \geq K \right\} \in I \right\}.
\]

If $x \in ([V_{\sigma}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]_{l_0}^f, \| \cdot \|_n)$, with \{$(1/\lambda_n) \sum_{k \in I_n} \{ M(\| \Delta^q_p x^k_{s(m)} - L, z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n/\rho) \}^r \geq \varepsilon \} \in I$ as $n \to \infty$ uniformly in $m$, then we write $x_k \to L \in ([V_{\sigma}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]_{l_0}^f, \| \cdot \|_n)$.

The following well-known inequality will be used later.

If $0 \leq r_k \leq \sup r_k = H$ and $C = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$, then
\[
|a_k + b_k|^r \leq C \left\{ |a_k|^r + |b_k|^r \right\},
\]
for all $k$ and $a_k, b_k \in C$.

**Lemma 1.** Let $r_k > 0$ and $s_k > 0$. Then $c_0(s) < c_0(r)$, if and only if $\lim_{k \to \infty} \inf (r_k/s_k) > 0$, where $c_0(r) = \{ x : |x|^r \to 0 \}$ as $k \to \infty$.

Note that no other relation between $(r_k)$ and $(s_k)$ is needed in Lemma 1.

**Theorem 2.** Let $\lim_{k \to \infty} r_k > 0$. Then, $x_k \to L$ implies $x_k \to L \in ([V_{\sigma}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]_{l_0}^f, \| \cdot \|_n)$. Let $\lim_{k \to \infty} r_k = r > 0$. If $x_k \to L \in ([V_{\sigma}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]_{l_0}^f, \| \cdot \|_n)$, then $L$ is unique.

**Proof.** Let $x_k \to L$.

By the definition of Orlicz function, we have, for all $\varepsilon > 0$,
\[
\left\{ \lim_{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left( \frac{\| \Delta^q_p x^k_{s(m)} - L, z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n}{\rho} \right)^{r_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I.
\]
Since $\lim_{k \to \infty} \inf r_k > 0$, it follows that
\[
\left\{ \lim_{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left( \frac{\| \Delta^q_p x^k_{s(m)} - L, z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n}{\rho} \right)^{r_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I.
\]
And consequently, $x_k \to L \in ([V_{\sigma}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]_{l_0}^f, \| \cdot \|_n)$. Let $\lim_{k \to \infty} r_k = r > 0$. Suppose that $x_k \to L_1 \in ([V_{\sigma}, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]_{l_0}^f, \| \cdot \|_n)$ and $(\| L_1 - L \|_2, z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n)^{r_k} = a > 0$.

Now, from (22) and the definition of Orlicz, we have
\[
\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \lim_{n} M \left( \frac{\| L_1 - L, z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n}{\rho} \right)^{r_k} \leq C \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left( \frac{\| \Delta^q_p x^k_{s(m)} - L, z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n}{\rho} \right)^{r_k} + C \lambda_n M \left( \frac{\| \Delta^q_p x^k_{s(m)} - L, z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1} \|_n}{\rho} \right)^{r_k},
\]
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since

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 n \in N : \\
 1 \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left( \frac{\|\Delta^q_p x_{\alpha^q(m)} - L_z, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}\|_n}{\rho} \right)^{\gamma_k} \\
 \geq \varepsilon \\
 \end{array} \right\} \in I,
\]

(26)

Hence,

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 n \in N : \\
 1 \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left( \frac{\|\Delta^q_p x_{\alpha^q(m)} - L_z, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}\|_n}{\rho} \right)^{\gamma_k} \\
 \geq \varepsilon \\
 \end{array} \right\} \in I.
\]

Further, \( M(\|L_1 - L_2, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}\|_n/\rho)^{\gamma_k} \rightarrow M(a/\rho)^r \) as \( k \rightarrow \infty \), and therefore

\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left( \frac{\|L_1 - L_2, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}\|_n}{\rho} \right)^{\gamma_k} = M(a/\rho)^r.
\]

(28)

From (27) and (28), it follows that \( M(a/\rho) = 0 \) and by the definition of an Orlicz function, we have \( a = 0 \).

Hence, \( L_1 = L_2 \) and this completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.** (i) Let \( 0 < \inf_k r_k \leq r_k \leq 1 \). Then,

\[
[V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]^I \subset [V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M]^I.
\]

(29)

(ii) Let \( 0 < r_k \leq \sup_k r_k < \infty \). Then,

\[
[V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M]^I \subset [V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]^I.
\]

(30)

**Theorem 4.** Let \( X(V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p) \) stand for \( (V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r)^I \), \( \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_n \), \( (V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r)^I \), \( \|\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot\|_n \), or \( (V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r)^I \) and \( m \geq 1 \). Then the inclusion \( X(V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p) \subset X(V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p) \) is strict. In general, \( X(V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p) \subset X(V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p) \) for all \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, p - 1 \) and the inclusion is strict.

**Proof.** Let us take \( (V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r)^I \).

Let \( x = (x_k) \in (V_\alpha, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r)^I \). Then for given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), we have

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 n \in N : \\
 1 \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left( \frac{\|\Delta^q_p x_{\alpha^q(m)} - L_1, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}\|_n}{\rho} \right)^{\gamma_k} \\
 \geq \varepsilon \\
 \end{array} \right\} \in I,
\]

(31)

for some \( \rho > 0 \).

Since \( M \) is nondecreasing and convex, it follows that

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 n \in N : \\
 1 \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left( \frac{\|\Delta^q_p x_{\alpha^q(m)} - L_1, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}\|_n}{\rho} \right)^{\gamma_k} \\
 \geq \varepsilon \\
 \end{array} \right\} \in I.
\]

(32)

Hence we have

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 n \in N : \\
 1 \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left( \frac{\|\Delta^q_p x_{\alpha^q(m)} - L_1, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}\|_n}{\rho} \right)^{\gamma_k} \\
 \geq \varepsilon \\
 \end{array} \right\}
\]
Since the set on the right hand side belongs to $I$, so does the left hand side. The inclusion is strict as the sequence $x = (k')$, for example, belongs to $([V_0, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]_{\| \cdot \|})$ but does not belong to $([V_0, \lambda, \Delta^p_{pq}, M, r]_{\| \cdot \|})$ for $M(x) = x$ and $r_k = 1$ for all $k$.

**Theorem 5.** $([V_0, \lambda, \Delta^q_p, M, r]_{\| \cdot \|})$ and $([V_0, \lambda, \Delta^p_{pq}, M, r]_{\| \cdot \|})$ are complete linear topological spaces, with paranorm $g$, where $g$ is defined by

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_{\alpha^q(m)}, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}\|_n + \inf \left\{ \rho^{
u/H} : \right.$$

$$\left. \sup_{m,n} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left( \frac{\|\Delta^q_{pq}x_{\alpha^q(m)}, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}\|_n}{\rho} \right) \right)^{H}, \right\}$$

(34)

where $H = \max(1, (\sup_{m} r_k))$.
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