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Planar linear discrete systems with constant coefficients and delays

\[ x(k+1) = Ax(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} B_l x(l - m_l) \]

are considered where \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}^0 = \{0, 1, \ldots, \infty\} \), \( m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n \) are constant integer delays, \( 0 < m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_n \), \( A, B^1, \ldots, B^n \) are constant \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices, and \( x : \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}^{0-n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \). It is assumed that the considered system is weakly delayed. The characteristic equations of such systems are identical with those for the same systems but without delayed terms. In this case, after several steps, the space of solutions with a given starting dimension \( 2(m_n + 1) \) is pasted into a space with a dimension less than the starting one. In a sense, this situation is analogous to one known in the theory of linear differential systems with constant coefficients and special delays when the initially infinite dimensional space of solutions on the initial interval turns (after several steps) into a finite dimensional set of solutions. For every possible case, explicit general solutions are constructed and, finally, results on the dimensionality of the space of solutions are obtained.

1. Introduction

1.1. Preliminary Notions and Properties. We use the following notation: for integers \( s, q, s \leq q \), we define \( \mathbb{Z}^q_s := \{s, s + 1, \ldots, q\} \), where \( s = -\infty \) or \( q = \infty \) is admitted, too. Throughout this paper, using notation \( \mathbb{Z}^q_s \), we always assume \( s \leq q \). In the paper, we deal with the discrete planar system

\[ x(k+1) = Ax(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} B_l x(l - m_l), \]

where \( m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n \) are constant integer delays, \( 0 < m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_n \), \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}^{0-n} \), \( A, B^1, \ldots, B^n \) are constant \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices, \( A = (a_{ij}), B^l = (b_{lj}^i), i, j = 1, 2, l = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), and \( x : \mathbb{Z}_{m_n}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \). Throughout the paper, we assume that

\[ B^l \neq \Theta, \]

where \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) and \( \Theta \) is \( 2 \times 2 \) zero matrix. Together with (1), we consider an initial (Cauchy) problem

\[ x(k) = \varphi(k), \]

where \( k = -m_n, -m_n + 1, \ldots, 0 \) with \( \varphi : \mathbb{Z}_{-m_n}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \). The existence and uniqueness of the solution of the initial problem (1), (3) on \( \mathbb{Z}_{-m_n}^{\infty} \) are obvious. We recall that the solution \( x : \mathbb{Z}_{m_n}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \) of (1), (3) is defined as an infinite sequence

\[ \{x(-m_n) = \varphi(-m_n), \]

\[ x(-m_n + 1) = \varphi(-m_n + 1), \ldots, \]

\[ x(0) = \varphi(0), \]

\[ x(1), x(2), \ldots, x(k), \ldots \} \]

such that, for any \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{\infty} \), equality (1) holds.

The space of all initial data (3) with \( \varphi : \mathbb{Z}_{-m_n}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \) is obviously \( 2(m_n + 1) \)-dimensional. Below, we describe the
fact that, among system (1), there are such systems that their
space of solutions, being initially \(2(m_n + 1)\)-dimensional, on
a reduced interval turns into a space having a dimension less
than \(2(m_n + 1)\). The problem under consideration (pasting
property of solutions) is exactly formulated in Section 1.4.

1.2. Weakly Delayed Systems. We consider system (1) and look
for a solution having the form \(x(k) = \xi \lambda^k\), where \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq m_n}\),
\(\lambda = \) constant with \(\lambda \neq 0\), and \(\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)^T\) is a nonzero
constant vector. The usual procedure leads to a characteristic equation

\[
D := \det \left( A + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \lambda^{-m_l} B_l - \lambda I \right) = 0, \quad (5)
\]

where \(I\) is the unit \(2 \times 2\) matrix. Together with (1), we consider
a system with the terms containing delays omitted:

\[
x(k+1) = Ax(k) \quad (6)
\]

and its characteristic equation

\[
\det (A - \lambda I) = 0. \quad (7)
\]

Definition 1. System (1) is called a weakly delayed system if characteristic equations (5), (7) corresponding to systems (1)
and (6) are equal, that is, if, for every \(\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\),

\[
D = \det \left( A + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \lambda^{-m_l} B_l - \lambda I \right) = \det (A - \lambda I). \quad (8)
\]

We consider a linear transformation

\[
x(k) = \delta y(k), \quad (9)
\]

with a nonsingular \(2 \times 2\) matrix \(\delta\), then the discrete system
for \(y\) is

\[
y(k+1) = A_\delta y(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} B_{1l} y(k-m_l), \quad (10)
\]

with \(A_\delta = \delta^{-1} A \delta, B_{1l}^{\delta} = \delta^{-1} B_l \delta\), where \(l = 1, 2, \ldots, n\). We
show that a system's property of being one weakly delayed is
preserved by every nonsingular linear transformation.

Lemma 2. If system (1) is a weakly delayed system, then its
arbitrary linear nonsingular transformation (9) again leads to
a weakly delayed system (10).

Proof. It is easy to show that

\[
\det \left( A_\delta + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \lambda^{-m_l} B_{1l}^{\delta} - \lambda I \right) = \det (A_\delta - \lambda I) \quad (11)
\]

holds since

\[
\begin{align*}
\det \left( A_\delta + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \lambda^{-m_l} B_{1l}^{\delta} - \lambda I \right) \\
= \det \left( A_\delta + \lambda^{-m_1} B_{11}^{\delta} + \lambda^{-m_2} B_{12}^{\delta} \\
+ \cdots + \lambda^{-m_n} B_{1n}^{\delta} - \lambda I \right) \\
= \det \left( \delta^{-1} A \delta + \lambda^{-m_1} B_{11}^{\delta} + \lambda^{-m_2} B_{12}^{\delta} \\
+ \cdots + \lambda^{-m_n} B_{1n}^{\delta} - \lambda I \right) \\
= \det \left( \delta^{-1} \left( A + \lambda^{-m_1} B_{11} + \lambda^{-m_2} B_{12} \\
+ \cdots + \lambda^{-m_n} B_{1n} - \lambda I \right) \delta \right) \\
= \det \left( A + \lambda^{-m_1} B_{11} + \lambda^{-m_2} B_{12} \\
+ \cdots + \lambda^{-m_n} B_{1n} - \lambda I \right) \quad (12)
\end{align*}
\]

that is, equality (8) is assumed. \(\square\)

1.3. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions Determining Weakly
Delayed Systems. In the next theorem, we give conditions, in
terms of determinants, indicating whether a system is weakly
delayed.

Theorem 3. System (1) is a weakly delayed system if and only
if the following \(3n + n(n-1)/2\) conditions hold simultaneously:

\[
\begin{align*}
b_{11}^{\delta} + b_{12}^{\delta} &= 0, \quad (13) \\
\begin{vmatrix} b_{11}^{\delta} & b_{12}^{\delta} \\ b_{21}^{\delta} & b_{22}^{\delta} \end{vmatrix} &= 0, \quad (14)
\end{align*}
\]
where \( l, \nu = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) and \( \nu > l \).

**Proof.** We start with computing determinant \( D \) defined by (5). We get

\[
D = \begin{vmatrix}
D_1 & D_2 \\
D_3 & D_4
\end{vmatrix},
\]

where

\[
D_1 = a_{11} + b_{11} \lambda^{-m_1} + b_{12} \lambda^{-m_2} + \cdots + b_{1n} \lambda^{-m_n} - \lambda,
\]

\[
D_2 = a_{12} + b_{12} \lambda^{-m_1} + b_{22} \lambda^{-m_2} + \cdots + b_{2n} \lambda^{-m_n},
\]

\[
D_3 = a_{21} + b_{21} \lambda^{-m_1} + b_{22} \lambda^{-m_2} + \cdots + b_{2n} \lambda^{-m_n},
\]

\[
D_4 = a_{22} + b_{22} \lambda^{-m_1} + b_{22} \lambda^{-m_2} + \cdots + b_{2n} \lambda^{-m_n} - \lambda.
\]

Expanding the determinant on the right-hand side along summands of the first column, we get

\[
D = \begin{vmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} + b_{12} \lambda^{-m_1} + b_{22} \lambda^{-m_2} + \cdots + b_{2n} \lambda^{-m_n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} + b_{22} \lambda^{-m_1} + b_{22} \lambda^{-m_2} + \cdots + b_{2n} \lambda^{-m_n} - \lambda
\end{vmatrix}
+ \lambda^{-m_1} \begin{vmatrix}
b_{11} & a_{12} + b_{12} \lambda^{-m_1} + b_{22} \lambda^{-m_2} + \cdots + b_{2n} \lambda^{-m_n} \\
b_{21} & a_{22} + b_{22} \lambda^{-m_1} + b_{22} \lambda^{-m_2} + \cdots + b_{2n} \lambda^{-m_n} - \lambda
\end{vmatrix}
+ \lambda^{-m_2} \begin{vmatrix}
b_{12} & a_{12} + b_{12} \lambda^{-m_1} + b_{22} \lambda^{-m_2} + \cdots + b_{2n} \lambda^{-m_n} \\
b_{22} & a_{22} + b_{22} \lambda^{-m_1} + b_{22} \lambda^{-m_2} + \cdots + b_{2n} \lambda^{-m_n} - \lambda
\end{vmatrix}
+ \cdots
\]

After simplification, we get

\[
D = \begin{vmatrix}
a_{11} - \lambda & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} - \lambda
\end{vmatrix} - \lambda^{-m_1+1} (b_{11} + b_{12})
- \lambda^{-m_2+1} (b_{21} + b_{22}) + \cdots - \lambda^{-m_n+1} (b_{21} + b_{22})
+ \lambda^{-m_1} \begin{vmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
b_{11} & b_{12}
\end{vmatrix}
+ \lambda^{-m_2} \begin{vmatrix}
a_{12} & a_{12} \\
b_{21} & b_{22}
\end{vmatrix}
+ \cdots + \lambda^{-m_n} \begin{vmatrix}
a_{12} & a_{12} \\
b_{21} & b_{22}
\end{vmatrix}
\]
Now we see that for (8) to hold; that is,
\[ D = \det \left( A + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \lambda^{-m_l} B_l - \lambda I \right) = \det (A - \lambda I) \]
\[ = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} - \lambda & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} - \lambda \end{vmatrix}, \]
conditions (13)–(16) are both necessary and sufficient. □

**Lemma 4.** Conditions (13)–(16) are equivalent to
\[ \text{tr} B^l = \det B^l = 0, \]
\[ \det (A + B^l) = \det A, \]
\[ \det (B^l + B^o) = 0, \]
where \( l, v = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) and \( v > l \).

**Proof.** (I) We show that assumptions (13)–(16) imply (23)–(25). It is obvious that condition (23) is equivalent to (13), (14).

Now we consider
\[ \det (A + B^l) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} + b_{11}^l & a_{12} + b_{12}^l \\ a_{21} + b_{21}^l & a_{22} + b_{22}^l \end{vmatrix}. \] (26)

Expanding the determinant on the right-hand side along summands of the first column and then expanding each of the determinants along summands of the second column, we have
\[ \det (A + B^l) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} b_{11}^l & b_{12}^l \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \end{vmatrix} \]
\[ + \begin{vmatrix} b_{21} & b_{22} \end{vmatrix}. \] (27)

Now we consider
\[ \det (B^l + B^o) = \begin{vmatrix} b_{11}^l + b_{12}^l & b_{12}^l + b_{12}^o \\ b_{21}^l + b_{22}^l & b_{22}^l + b_{22}^o \end{vmatrix}. \] (28)

Expanding the determinant on the right-hand side along summands of the first column and then expanding each of the determinants along summands of the second column, we have
\[ \det (B^l + B^o) = \begin{vmatrix} b_{11}^l & b_{12}^l + b_{12}^o \\ b_{21}^l & b_{22}^l + b_{22}^o \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} b_{11}^l & b_{12}^l + b_{12}^o \\ b_{21}^l & b_{22}^l + b_{22}^o \end{vmatrix} \]
\[ + \begin{vmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12}^l + b_{12}^o \\ b_{21} & b_{22}^l + b_{22}^o \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12}^l + b_{12}^o \\ b_{21} & b_{22}^l + b_{22}^o \end{vmatrix}, \]
which is [due to (15) and (16)] = \det A.

\[ \text{(II) Now we prove that assumptions (23)–(25) imply (13) and (16). Due to equivalence of (13) and (14) with (23), it remains to be shown that (23)–(25) imply (15) and (16). If (24) holds, then, from computations in (27), we see that}
\[ \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & b_{12}^l \\ a_{21} & b_{22}^l \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{vmatrix} = 0, \]
\[ \text{and because of (23) we get (15). Finally, we show that (23) and (25) imply (16). From (29) (using (23)) we get}
\[ \det (B^l + B^o) = \begin{vmatrix} b_{11}^l & b_{12}^l + b_{12}^o \\ b_{21}^l & b_{22}^l + b_{22}^o \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} b_{11}^l & b_{12}^l + b_{12}^o \\ b_{21}^l & b_{22}^l + b_{22}^o \end{vmatrix} = 0, \]
that is, (16) holds. □

1.4. Problem under Consideration. The aim of this paper is to give explicit formulas for solutions of weakly delayed systems and to show that, after several steps, the dimension of the space of all solutions, being initially equal to the dimension 2(\( m_n + 1 \)) of the space of initial data (3) generated by discrete functions \( \phi \), is reduced to a dimension less than the initial one. The final results (Theorems 10–13) provide the dimension of the space of solutions. Our results generalize the results in [1, 2], where system (1) with \( n = 1 \) and \( n = 2 \) was analyzed.

1.5. Auxiliary Formula. For the reader’s convenience, we recall one explicit formula (see, e.g., [3]) for the solutions of linear scalar discrete nondelayed equations used in this paper. We consider initial-value problem for the first order linear discrete nonhomogeneous equation
\[ w(k+1) = aw(k) + g(k), \quad w(k_0) = w_0, \quad k \in Z^+_{k_0}, \] (32)
with \( a \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( g : \mathbb{Z}_0^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \). Then, it is easy to verify that unique solution of this problem is

\[
w(k) = a^{k-k_0} w_0 + \sum_{r=k_0}^{k-1} a^{k-1-r} g(r), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^\infty.
\]

Throughout the paper, we adopt the customary notation for the sum: \( \sum_{l=1}^{\ell} f(i) = 0 \), where \( \ell \) is an integer, \( s \) is a positive integer, and “\( f(i) \)” denotes the function considered independently of whether it is defined for indicated arguments or not.

Note that the formula (33) is used many times in recent literature to analyze asymptotic properties of solutions of various classes of difference equations, including nonlinear equations. We refer, for example, to [4–8] and to relevant references therein.

### 2. General Solution of Weakly Delayed System

If (8) holds, then (5) and (7) have only two (and the same) roots simultaneously. In order to prove the properties of the family of solutions of (1) formulated in the introduction, we will discuss each combination of roots, that is, the cases of two real and distinct roots, a pair of complex conjugate roots, and, finally, a double real root.

Although computations in Sections 1.2 and 1.3 were performed under assumption that \( \lambda \neq 0 \), results of this part remain valid also if one or both roots of characteristic equation (7) are zero.

#### 2.1. Jordan Forms of the Matrix \( A \) and Corresponding Solutions of Problem (1) and (3).

It is known that, for every matrix \( A \), there exists a nonsingular matrix \( S \) transforming it to the corresponding Jordan matrix form \( \Lambda \). This means that

\[
\Lambda = S^{-1} A S,
\]

where \( \Lambda \) has the following four possible forms (denoted below as \( \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3, \Lambda_4 \)), depending on the roots of the characteristic equation (7), that is, on the roots of

\[
\lambda^2 - (a_{11} + a_{22}) \lambda + (a_{11} a_{22} - a_{12} a_{21}) = 0.
\]

If (35) has two real distinct roots \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \), then

\[
\Lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix},
\]

if the roots are complex conjugate, that is, \( \lambda_{1,2} = p \pm iq \) with \( q \neq 0 \), then

\[
\Lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ -q & p \end{pmatrix}
\]

and, finally, in the case of one double real root \( \lambda_{1,2} = \lambda \), we have either

\[
\Lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}
\]

or

\[
\Lambda_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The transformation \( y(k) = S^{-1} x(k) \) transforms (1) into a system

\[
y(k+1) = \Lambda y(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} B^l y(k-m_l), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^\infty
\]

with \( B^l = S^{-1} B^l S, \quad B^l = (b_{ij}^l), l = 1, \ldots, n \), and \( i, j = 1, 2 \).

Together with (40), we consider an initial problem

\[
y(k) = \varphi^*(k),
\]

\( k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^m \) with \( \varphi^* : \mathbb{Z}_0^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \) where \( \varphi^*(k) = S^{-1} \varphi(k) \) is the initial function corresponding to the initial function \( \varphi \) in (3).

Next, we consider all four possible cases (36)–(39) separately.

We define

\[
\Phi_1 (k) := (0, \varphi_1^*(k))^T, \quad \Phi_2 (k) := (\varphi_2^*(k), 0)^T,
\]

\( k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^m \).

Assuming that (1) is a weakly delayed system, by Lemma 2, the system (40) is weakly delayed system again.

#### 2.1.1. Case (36) of Two Real Distinct Roots.

In this case, we have \( \Lambda = \Lambda_1 \) and \( \Lambda_1^* = \text{diag} (\lambda_1^k, \lambda_2^k) \). The necessary and sufficient conditions (13)–(16) for (40) turn into

\[
b_{11}^l + b_{22}^l = 0,
\]

\[
\begin{vmatrix} b_{11}^l & b_{12}^l \\ b_{21}^l & b_{22}^l \end{vmatrix} = b_{11}^l b_{22}^l - b_{12}^l b_{21}^l = 0,
\]

\[
\begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} b_{11}^l & b_{12}^l \\ b_{21}^l & b_{22}^l \end{vmatrix} = \lambda_1 b_{22}^l + \lambda_2 b_{11}^l = 0,
\]

\[
\begin{vmatrix} b_{11}^l & b_{12}^l \\ b_{21}^l & b_{22}^l \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{vmatrix} = 0.
\]

Since \( \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \), (43) and (45) yield \( b_{11}^l = b_{22}^l = 0 \), then, from (44), we get \( b_{12}^l b_{21}^l = 0 \), so that either \( b_{12}^l = 0 \) or \( b_{21}^l = 0 \), but not both. In view of assumptions \( B \neq 0 \), \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), we conclude that only the following cases I, II are possible:

(I) \( b_{11}^l = b_{22}^l = b_{12}^l = 0, \quad b_{21}^l \neq 0, l = 1, 2, \ldots, n \),

(II) \( b_{11}^l = b_{22}^l = b_{12}^l = 0, \quad b_{21}^l \neq 0, l = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

In Theorem 5 both cases I, II are analyzed.

**Theorem 5.** Let (1) be a weakly delayed system and (35) has two real distinct roots \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \). If case (I) holds, then the solution...
of the initial problem (1), (3) is $x(k) = Sy(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}^{\infty}$, where $y(k)$ has the form

$$
y(k) = \begin{cases} 
\varphi^*(k) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{-m}_{0}, \\
\lambda^k \varphi^*(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-r} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{l2}^* \Phi_2 (r-m_l) \right] & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_1+1}_{1}, \\
\vdots \\
\lambda^k \varphi^*(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{l2}^* \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda^{r-m_l} \Phi_2 (r-m_l) \right] & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_{s+1}}_{s+1}, \\
\vdots \\
\lambda^k \varphi^*(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{l2}^* \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda^{r-m_l} \Phi_2 (r-m_l) \right] & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_{n+1}}_{n+1}, \\
\end{cases}
$$

If case (II) is true, then the solution of initial problem (1), (3) is $x(k) = Sy(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}^{\infty}$, where $y(k)$ has the form

$$
y(k) = \begin{cases} 
\varphi^*(k) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{0}_{0}, \\
\lambda^k \varphi^*(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-r} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{l2}^* \Phi_1 (r-m_l) \right] & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_1+1}_{1}, \\
\vdots \\
\lambda^k \varphi^*(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{l2}^* \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda^{r-m_l} \Phi_1 (r-m_l) \right] & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_{s+1}}_{s+1}, \\
\vdots \\
\lambda^k \varphi^*(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{l2}^* \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda^{r-m_l} \Phi_1 (r-m_l) \right] & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_{n+1}}_{n+1}, \\
\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If case (I) is true, then the transformed system (40) takes the form

$$
y_1(k+1) = \lambda_1 y_1(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{l21}^* y_2(k-m_l), \quad (49)
$$

$$
y_2(k+1) = \lambda_2 y_2(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^{\infty},
$$

and if case (II) holds, then (40) takes the form

$$
y_1(k+1) = \lambda_1 y_1(k), \quad (50)
$$

$$
y_2(k+1) = \lambda_2 y_2(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{l21}^* y_1(k-m_l), \quad (52)
$$

We investigate only the initial problem (49), (50), (41) since the initial problem (51), (52), (41) can be examined in a similar way.

From (50), (41), we get

$$
y_2(k) = \begin{cases} 
\varphi^*_1(k) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{0}_{0}, \\
\lambda_2^k \varphi^*_2(0) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty}_{1}, \\
\end{cases}
$$

then (49) becomes

$$
y_1(k+1) = \begin{cases} 
\lambda_1 y_1(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{l21}^* \varphi^*_2(k-m_l) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_1}_{0}, \\
\lambda_1 y_1(k) + b_{l21}^* \lambda_2^{k-m_l} \varphi^*_2(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{l21}^* \varphi^*_2(k-m_l) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_{s+1}}_{s+1}, \\
\vdots \\
\lambda_1 y_1(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{l21}^* \lambda_2^{k-m_l} \varphi^*_2(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{l21}^* \varphi^*_2(k-m_l) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_{n+1}}_{n+1}, \\
\vdots \\
\lambda_1 y_1(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{l21}^* \lambda_2^{k-m_l} \varphi^*_2(0) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty}_{m_{s+1}}, \\
\end{cases}
$$

(54)
First, we solve this equation for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1}^0$. This means that we consider the problem

$$y_1(k + 1) = \lambda_1 y_1(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} b_{12}^l \varphi_2^*(k - m_1), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1}^0,$$

(55)

$$y_1(0) = \varphi_1^*(0).$$

With the aid of formula (33), we get

$$y_1(k) = \lambda_1^k \varphi_1^*(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} b_{12}^l \varphi_2^*(r - m_1) \right],$$

(56)

$$k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}^1.$$

Now we solve (54) for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}^m$ with initial data deduced from (56); that is, we consider the problem

$$y_1(k + 1) = \lambda_1 y_1(k) + b_{12}^1 \varphi_2^*(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} b_{12}^l \varphi_2^*(k - m_1), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}^m,$$

$$y_1(m_1 + 1) = \lambda_1^{m_1+1} \varphi_1^*(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda_1^{m_1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} b_{12}^l \varphi_2^*(r - m_1) \right].$$

(57)

Applying formula (33) we get (for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}^m$)

$$y_1(k) = \lambda_1^{k-(m_1+1)} y_1(m_1 + 1) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \left[ b_{12}^1 \lambda_2^{r-m_1} \varphi_2^*(0) + \sum_{l=2}^{m_1} b_{12}^l \varphi_2^*(r - m_1) \right]$$

$$= \lambda_1^{k-m_1} \left[ \lambda_1^{m_1+1} \varphi_1^*(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda_1^{m_1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} b_{12}^l \varphi_2^*(r - m_1) \right] \right] + b_{12}^1 \lambda_2^{k-m_1} \varphi_2^*(0)$$

(58)

Now we solve (54) for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}^m$ with initial data deduced from (58); that is, we consider the problem

$$y_1(k + 1) = \lambda_1 y_1(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} b_{12}^l \varphi_2^*(0) + \sum_{l=3}^{m_1} b_{12}^l \varphi_2^*(k - m_1), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}^m,$$

$$y_1(m_2 + 1) = \lambda_1^{m_1+1} \varphi_1^*(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda_1^{m_1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} b_{12}^l \varphi_2^*(r - m_1) \right] + \lambda_1^{m_1} \varphi_2^*(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda_1^{m_1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=3}^{m_1} b_{12}^l \varphi_2^*(r - m_1) \right].$$

(59)

Applying formula (33) yields (for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}^m$)

$$y_1(k) = \lambda_1^{k-(m_1+1)} y_1(m_2 + 1) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \left[ b_{12}^1 \lambda_2^{r-m_1} \varphi_2^*(0) + \sum_{l=2}^{m_1} b_{12}^l \varphi_2^*(r - m_1) \right]$$

$$= \lambda_1^{k-m_1} \left[ \lambda_1^{m_1+1} \varphi_1^*(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda_1^{m_1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=2}^{m_1} b_{12}^l \varphi_2^*(r - m_1) \right] \right] + b_{12}^1 \lambda_2^{k-m_1} \varphi_2^*(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda_1^{m_1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=2}^{m_1} b_{12}^l \varphi_2^*(r - m_1) \right].$$
\[ + b_{12}^* \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} \lambda_1^{m_2-r} \phi_2^* (r-m_1) \right) + \phi_2^* (0) \sum_{r=m_1+1}^{k-1} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \lambda_2^{r-m_1} \]  
\[ + \sum_{r=m_1+1}^{k-1} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{n} b_{12}^l \phi_2^* (r-m_1) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^l \phi_2^* (r-m_1) \right] \]

We solve (54) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_2+1} \) with initial data deduced from (61); that is, we consider the problem

\[ y_1 (k+1) = \lambda_1 y_1 (k) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{12}^l \phi_2^* (k-m_1), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_2+1}, \]

\[ y_1 (m_1 + 1) = \lambda_1^{m_1+1} \phi_1^* (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda_1^{m_1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{n} b_{12}^l \phi_2^* (r-m_1) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^l \phi_2^* (r-m_1) \right] + \phi_2^* (0) \sum_{r=m_1+1}^{m_2} \lambda_1^{m_2-r} \lambda_2^{r-m_1}. \]  

(62)

Applying formula (33) yields (for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_2+1} \))

\[ y_1 (k) = \lambda_1^{k-(m_2+1)} y_1 (m_2 + 1) + \sum_{r=m_2+1}^{k-1} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{n} b_{12}^l \lambda_2^{r-m_1} \phi_2^* (0) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^l \phi_2^* (r-m_1) \right] + \sum_{r=m_2+1}^{k-1} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \lambda_2^{k-r-m_1} \]

(60)

From (56), (58), and (60) we deduce that expected form of the solution of the initial problem for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_2+1} \) with initial data derived from the solution of previous equation for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_2+1} \) is

\[ y_1 (k) = \lambda_1^k \phi_1^* (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{n} b_{12}^l \phi_2^* (r-m_1) \right] + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \lambda_2^{r-m_1} \]

(61)
\[ \begin{align*}
& + \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \\
& \quad + \varphi_2^* (0) \sum_{r=m_l+1}^{m} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \lambda_2^{-m_l} \\
& + \sum_{l=m+1}^{k-1} \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{l2} \varphi_2 (r-m_l) \right) \\
& \quad + \varphi_2^* (0) \sum_{r=m_l+1}^{m} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \lambda_2^{-m_l} \\
& = \lambda_1^k \varphi_1^* (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{l2} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \\
& \quad + \varphi_2^* (0) \sum_{r=m+1}^{m} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \lambda_2^{-m_l} \\
& \quad + \sum_{l=s+1}^{k-1} \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \lambda_2^{-m_l}.
\end{align*} \]

(63)

In the end we solve (54) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}^{\infty} \) with initial data deduced from (63); that is, we consider the problem

\[ \begin{align*}
& y_1 (k+1) = \lambda_1 y_1 (k) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{l2} \lambda_2^{-m_l} \varphi_2^* (0), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}^{\infty}, \\
& y_1 (m_n + 1) = \lambda_1^{m_{n+1}} \varphi_1^* (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{m_n} \lambda_1^{m_{n-r}} b_{l2} \varphi_2 (r-m_n) \\
& \quad + \varphi_2^* (0) \sum_{r=m_n+1}^{m_n} \lambda_1^{m_{n-r}} \lambda_2^{-m_l} \lambda_2^{-m_l}.
\end{align*} \]

(64)

Applying formula (33) yields (for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}^{\infty} \))

\[ \begin{align*}
& y_1 (k) = \lambda_1^{k-(m_n+1)} y_1 (m_n + 1) \\
& \quad + \sum_{r=m_n+1}^{k-1} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{l2} \lambda_2^{-m_l} \varphi_2^* (0) \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{l=m_n+1}^{k-1} \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \lambda_2^{-m_l} \lambda_2^{-m_l} \\
& \quad + \sum_{l=s+1}^{k-1} \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \lambda_2^{-m_l} \\
& = \lambda_1^{k-(m_n+1)} \left( \lambda_1^{m_{n+1}} \varphi_1^* (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{m_n} \lambda_1^{m_{n-r}} b_{l2} \varphi_2 (r-m_n) \\
& \quad + \varphi_2^* (0) \sum_{r=m_n+1}^{m_n} \lambda_1^{m_{n-r}} \lambda_2^{-m_l} \lambda_2^{-m_l} \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{l=m_n+1}^{k-1} \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda_1^{k-1-r} \lambda_2^{-m_l} \varphi_2^* (0).
\end{align*} \]
Summing up all particular cases (56)–(65) we have

$$y_1(k) = \begin{cases} 
\lambda_1^k \varphi_1^*(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda_1^k \lambda_2^r \varphi_2^*(r-m_0) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_0+1}, \\
\lambda_1^k \varphi_1^*(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda_1^k \lambda_2^r \varphi_2^*(r-m_1) + \varphi_2^*(0) \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda_1^k \lambda_2^r \varphi_2^*(r-m_1) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_1+1}, \\
\vdots \end{cases}$$

Now, taking into account (42), formula (47) is a consequence of (53) and (66). Formula (48) can be proved in a similar way.

Finally, we note that both formulas (47), (48) remain valid for $b_{12}^s = b_{21}^s = 0$. In this case, the transformed system
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(1) reduces to a system without delays. This possibility is excluded by condition (2).

2.1.2. Case (37) of Two Complex Conjugate Roots. The necessary and sufficient conditions (13)–(16) take the forms (43), (44), (46), and

\[
\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ b_{12}^l & b_{22}^l \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_{11}^l & b_{21}^l \\ -q & p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11}^l + b_{22}^l \\ q (b_{12}^l - b_{21}^l) \end{bmatrix} = 0,
\]

where \(l, v = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) and \( v > l \).

The system of conditions (43), (44), and (67) gives \( b_{12}^l = b_{21}^l \), \( (b_{11}^l)^2 = -(b_{22}^l)^2 \) and admits only one possibility; namely,

\[
b_{11}^l = b_{12}^l = b_{21}^l = b_{22}^l = 0.
\]

Consequently, \( B^l = \Theta \), \( B^l = \Theta \).

The initial problem (1), (3) reduces to a problem without delay

\[
x(k + 1) = Ax(k), \quad x(k) = \varphi(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{-m}^0
\]

and, obviously,

\[
x(k) = \begin{cases} \varphi(k) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{-m}^0, \\ A^k \varphi(0) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}^0. \end{cases}
\]

From this discussion, the next theorem follows.

**Theorem 6.** There exists no weakly delayed system (1) if \( A \) has the form (37).

Finally, we note that the assumption (2) alone excludes this case.

2.1.3. Case (38) of Double Real Root. In this case we have \( \Lambda = \Lambda_3 \) and \( \Lambda_3^k = \text{diag}((\lambda^l, \lambda^k)). \) For (40), the necessary and sufficient conditions (13)–(16) are reduced to (43), (44), (46), and

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ b_{12}^l & b_{22}^l \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_{11}^l & b_{21}^l \\ -1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} b_{11}^l + b_{22}^l \\ b_{12}^l \end{bmatrix} = 0,
\]

where \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

From (43), (44), and (71), we get \( b_{12}^l b_{21}^l = -(b_{11}^l)^2 \). From the condition (46) we get

\[
b_{11}^l b_{22}^l - b_{12}^l b_{21}^l + b_{12}^l b_{22}^l - b_{21}^l b_{21}^l = 0,
\]

where \( l, v = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) and \( v > l \). Multiplying (72) by \( b_{12}^l b_{21}^l \), we have

\[
b_{11}^l b_{12}^l b_{12}^l - (b_{11}^l)^2 b_{21}^l b_{22}^l + b_{22}^l b_{22}^l - (b_{11}^l)^2 b_{12}^l b_{21}^l = 0.
\]

Substituting \( b_{12}^l b_{21}^l = -(b_{11}^l)^2 \), \( b_{12}^l b_{12}^l = -(b_{22}^l)^2 \) into (73) and using (43) we obtain

\[
-b_{11}^l b_{12}^l b_{12}^l + (b_{12}^l)^2 (b_{11}^l)^2
\]

\[
-b_{12}^l b_{21}^l b_{21}^l + (b_{21}^l)^2 (b_{22}^l)^2 = 0.
\]

The equation (74) can be written as

\[
(b_{12}^l b_{11}^l - b_{21}^l b_{12}^l)^2 = 0,
\]

(75)

\[
b_{12}^l b_{11}^l = b_{12}^l b_{11}^l.
\]

Now we will analyse the two possible cases: \( b_{12}^l b_{21}^l = 0 \) and \( b_{12}^l b_{21}^l \neq 0 \).

For the case \( b_{12}^l b_{21}^l = 0 \), we have from (43), (44) that \( b_{11}^l = b_{22}^l = 0 \) and \( b_{12}^l = 0 \) or \( b_{21}^l = 0 \). For \( b_{12}^l = 0 \) and \( b_{21}^l \neq 0 \), condition (46) gives \( b_{21}^l = 0 \), where \( l, v = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) and \( v > l \). Then, from (43), (44) for \( l = v \), we get \( b_{11}^l = b_{22}^l = 0 \) and \( b_{12}^l \neq 0 \).

For \( b_{12}^l = 0 \) and \( b_{21}^l \neq 0 \), condition (46) gives \( b_{21}^l = 0 \), where \( l, v = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) and \( v > l \), then, from (43), (44) for \( l = v \), we get \( b_{11}^l = b_{22}^l = 0 \) and \( b_{12}^l \neq 0 \).

Now we discuss the case \( b_{12}^l b_{21}^l \neq 0 \). From conditions (43), (44), we have \( b_{12}^l b_{21}^l = -(b_{11}^l)^2 \) and \( b_{11}^l b_{21}^l \neq 0 \). This yields \( b_{11}^l \neq 0, b_{22}^l \neq 0 \) and, from (75), we have \( b_{11}^l \neq 0, b_{12}^l \neq 0 \). By conditions (43), (44) for \( l = v \), we get \( b_{12}^l \neq 0, b_{22}^l \neq 0 \).

From the assumptions \( B^l \neq \Theta \), we conclude that only the following cases ((I), (II), (III)) are possible:

(I) \( b_{11}^l = b_{22}^l = b_{21}^l = 0 \, b_{12}^l \neq 0 \),

(II) \( b_{11}^l = b_{22}^l = b_{12}^l = b_{21}^l = 0 \),

(III) \( b_{12}^l b_{21}^l \neq 0 \),

where \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

2.1.4. Case \( b_{12}^l b_{21}^l = 0 \)

**Theorem 7.** Let (1) be a weakly delayed system, (35) has a twofold root \( \lambda_{1,2} = \lambda \), \( b_{12}^l b_{21}^l = 0 \) and the matrix \( A \) has the form (38). Then the solution of the initial problem (1), (3) is

\[
x(k) = S \varphi(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{-m}^0, \quad \text{where in case } b_{12}^l = 0, \varphi(k) \text{ has the form}
\]
Proof. Case (I) means that \( b_{12}^{s} \neq 0 \). Then (40) turns into the system

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1 (k + 1) &= \lambda y_1 (k) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{12}^{s} y_2 (k - m_l), & k \in \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{\infty} \\
y_2 (k + 1) &= \lambda y_2 (k),
\end{align*}
\]  

(78)

and, if \( b_{21}^{s} \neq 0 \), (40) turns into the system

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1 (k + 1) &= \lambda y_1 (k), \\
y_2 (k + 1) &= \lambda y_2 (k) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{21}^{s} y_1 (k - m_l), & k \in \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{\infty}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(79)

System (78) can be solved in much the same way as the systems (49), (50) if we put \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda \), and the discussion of the system (79) goes along the same lines as that of the systems (51), (52) with \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda \). Formulas (76) and (77) are consequences of (47), (48).

2.1.5. Case \( b_{12}^{s} b_{21}^{s} \neq 0 \). For \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{0} \), we define

\[
\Phi_1^{s} (k) := \begin{cases}
\Phi_1^{s} (k), & k \in \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{0}, \\
\Phi_1^{s} (k) + \frac{b_{12}^{s}}{b_{21}^{s}} \Phi_2 (k) - \frac{(b_{12}^{s})^2}{b_{21}^{s}} \Phi_2 (k), & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{\infty},
\end{cases}
\]  

(80)

Theorem 8. Let system (1) be a weakly delayed system, (35) admits two repeated roots \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda \), \( b_{12}^{s} b_{21}^{s} \neq 0 \) and the matrix \( \Lambda_3 \) has the form (38). Then the solution of the initial problem
Equation (85) is a homogeneous equation with respect to the unknown expression

\[ y_1(k) + \left( \frac{b_{12}^s}{b_{11}^s} \right) y_2(k), \quad (86) \]
	hen, using (33), we obtain

\[ y_1(k) + \left( \frac{b_{12}^s}{b_{11}^s} \right) y_2(k) \]

\[ = \begin{cases} 
\lambda^k \left[ \phi_1^*(0) + \left( \frac{b_{12}^s}{b_{11}^s} \right) \phi_2^*(0) \right] & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_0}^\infty, \\
\phi_1^*(k) + \left( \frac{b_{12}^s}{b_{11}^s} \right) \phi_2^*(k) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_0}^{m_{s+1}}.
\end{cases} \quad (87) \]

With the aid of (87), we rewrite the systems (83), (84) as follows:

\[ \begin{cases} 
\lambda y_1(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{11}^l \left[ \phi_1^*(k - m_l) + \left( \frac{b_{12}^s}{b_{11}^s} \right) \phi_2^*(k - m_l) \right] \\
\phi_1^*(0) + \phi_2^*(0)
\end{cases} \]

\[ = \begin{cases} 
\lambda y_1(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{11}^l \left[ \phi_1^*(k - m_l) + \left( \frac{b_{12}^s}{b_{11}^s} \right) \phi_2^*(k - m_l) \right] \\
\phi_1^*(0) + \phi_2^*(0)
\end{cases} \]

where \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), then, the system (40) reduces to

\[ y_1(k + 1) = \lambda y_1(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left[ b_{11}^l y_1(k - m_l) + b_{12}^l y_2(k - m_l) \right]. \quad (83) \]

\[ y_2(k + 1) = \lambda y_2(k) - \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{b_{11}^l}{b_{12}^l} y_1(k - m_l) + b_{11}^l y_2(k - m_l) \right]. \quad (84) \]

where \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^\infty \). It is easy to see (multiplying (84) by \( b_{12}^s / b_{11}^s \) and summing both equations) that

\[ y_1(k + 1) + \left( \frac{b_{12}^s}{b_{11}^s} \right) y_2(k + 1) = \lambda \left( y_1(k) + \left( \frac{b_{12}^s}{b_{11}^s} \right) y_2(k) \right) \quad (85) \]

\[ k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^\infty. \]
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\[ y_2(k + 1) = \lambda y_2(k) \]

\[ y_2(k + 1) = \lambda y_2(k) \]

\[ + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( b_{11}^{*l} \right)^2 \left[ \phi_{1}^{*} (k - m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*l}}{b_{11}^{*l}} \phi_{2}^{*} (k - m_l) \right] \]

\[ \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1}, \]

\[ \lambda y_2(k) = \frac{\left( b_{11}^{*} \right)^2}{b_{12}^{*}} \lambda^{k-m_1} \]

\[ \times \left[ \phi_{1}^{*} (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*}}{b_{11}^{*}} \phi_{2}^{*} (0) \right] \]

\[ + \sum_{l=2}^{n} \left( b_{11}^{*l} \right)^2 \left[ \phi_{1}^{*} (k - m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*l}}{b_{11}^{*l}} \phi_{2}^{*} (k - m_l) \right] \]

\[ \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}, \]

\[ \lambda y_2(k) = -\sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( b_{11}^{*l} \right)^2 \left[ \phi_{1}^{*} (k - m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*l}}{b_{11}^{*l}} \phi_{2}^{*} (k - m_l) \right] \]

\[ \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_0}, \]

\[ y_2(0) = \phi_{2}^{*} (0). \]

(89)

With the aid of formula (33), we get

\[ y_1(k) \]

\[ = \lambda^{k} \phi_{1}^{*} (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \]

\[ \times \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( b_{11}^{*l} \right)^2 \left[ \phi_{1}^{*} (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*l}}{b_{11}^{*l}} \phi_{2}^{*} (r-m_l) \right] \right), \]

\[ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}, \]

(90)

\[ y_2(k) \]

\[ = \lambda^{k} \phi_{2}^{*} (0) - \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \]

\[ \times \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( b_{11}^{*l} \right)^2 \left[ \phi_{1}^{*} (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*l}}{b_{11}^{*l}} \phi_{2}^{*} (r-m_l) \right] \right), \]

\[ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}. \]

(91)

Now we solve system (88) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_2+1} \); that is, we consider the problem (with initial data deduced from (90), (91))

\[ y_1(k + 1) \]

\[ = \lambda y_1(k) + b_{11}^{*} \lambda^{k-m_1} \left[ \phi_{1}^{*} (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*}}{b_{11}^{*}} \phi_{2}^{*} (0) \right] \]

\[ + \sum_{l=2}^{n} \left( b_{11}^{*l} \right)^2 \left[ \phi_{1}^{*} (k - m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*l}}{b_{11}^{*l}} \phi_{2}^{*} (k - m_l) \right] \]

\[ \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}, \]

\[ y_1(m_1 + 1) \]

\[ = \lambda^{m_2} \phi_{1}^{*} (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{m_2-r} \]

\[ \times \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( b_{11}^{*l} \right)^2 \left[ \phi_{1}^{*} (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*l}}{b_{11}^{*l}} \phi_{2}^{*} (r-m_l) \right] \right), \]
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Formula (33) yields (for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1} \))

\[
y_2 (k+1) = \lambda y_2 (k) - \left( \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{12}^*} \right)^2 \lambda^{k-m_1} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \\
- \sum_{l=2}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{12}^*} \right)^2 \left[ \varphi_1^* (k-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (k-m_1) \right]
\]

if \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_2+1} \).

\[
y_2 (m_1 + 1) = \lambda^{m_1-r} \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] + \sum_{l=2}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{12}^*} \right)^2 \left[ \varphi_1^* (k-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (k-m_1) \right]
\]

(92)

\[
y_1 (k) = \lambda^{k-m_1} y_1 (m_1 + 1) + \sum_{r=m_1+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \\
+ \sum_{l=2}^{n} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \]

(93)

\[
y_2 (k) = \lambda^{k-m_1} y_2 (m_1 + 1) - \sum_{r=m_1+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \\
+ \sum_{l=2}^{n} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \]

(94)
\[
= \lambda^{k-m-1} \left[ \lambda^{m+1} \phi_2^* (0) - \sum_{r=0}^{m} \lambda^{m-r} \right. \\
 \times \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*1}}{b_{12}^{*l}} \right)^2 \phi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \\
\left. + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \right) \\
- \sum_{r=m+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*1}}{b_{12}^{*l}} \lambda^{m-r} \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (0) \\
+ \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*1}}{b_{12}^{*l}} \lambda^{m-r} \phi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \right) \\
- \sum_{r=m+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*1}}{b_{12}^{*l}} \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (0) \right) \\
\times \left( \sum_{l=2}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*1}}{b_{12}^{*l}} \left( \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \right)^2 \phi_1^* (r-m_l) \right) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \\
\right] \\
= \lambda^k \phi_2^* (0) - \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \sum_{l=2}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*1}}{b_{12}^{*l}} \phi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \right) \\
- \sum_{r=m+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*1}}{b_{12}^{*l}} \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (0) \right) \\
- \sum_{l=2}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*1}}{b_{12}^{*l}} \phi_1^* (r-m_l) \right) \\
\right] \\
- \sum_{l=2}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*1}}{b_{12}^{*l}} \phi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \\
- (k-1-m_1) \lambda^{k-m_1} \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*1}}{b_{12}^{*l}} \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (0) \right). \\
(94)
\]

Now we solve (88) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}^m \); that is, we consider the problem (with initial data deduced from (93), (94))

\[
y_1 (k+1) \\
= \lambda y_1 (k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{m-r} \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (0) \right) \\
+ \sum_{l=2}^{n} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{m-r} \phi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \\
+ b_{11}^{*1} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{m-r} \phi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \\
+ \left( m_2 - m_1 \right) \lambda^{m_2-m_1} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{m-r} \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (0) \right),
\]

\[
y_2 (k+1) \\
= \lambda y_2 (k) - \sum_{l=1}^{2} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{m-r} \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (0) \right) \\
- \sum_{l=2}^{n} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{m-r} \phi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \\
+ \left( k - 1 - m_1 \right) \lambda^{k-1-m_1} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{m-r} \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \phi_2^* (0) \right),
\]

if \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}^m \).
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\[ y_2 (m_2 + 1) = \lambda^{m_2+1} \varphi_2^* (0) - \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} \lambda^{m_2-r} \]
\[ \times \left( \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{1i}^*}{b_{i1}^2} \right)^2 \varphi_i^* (r - m_2) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r - m_2) \right) \]
\[ - \left( \frac{b_{11}^*}{b_{11}^2} \right)^2 \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} \lambda^{m_2-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r - m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r - m_1) \right] \]
\[ + (m_2 - m_1) \lambda_2 \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{l=3}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{1l}^*}{b_{11}^2} \right)^2 \varphi_l^* (r - m_2) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} \lambda^{m_2-r} \left[ \varphi_l^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \]
\[ (95) \]

Applying formula (33) yields (for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_2+1}^+ \))

\[ y_1 (k) = \lambda^{k-(m_2+1)} y_1 (m_2 + 1) + \sum_{r=m_2+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-r} \]
\[ \times \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{1i}^*}{b_{i1}^2} \right)^{m_2} \varphi_i^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{l=3}^{n} b_{1l}^* \varphi_l^* (r - m_2) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r - m_2) \]
\[ = \lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{1l}^* \varphi_l^* (r - m_2) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r - m_2) \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=m_2+1}^{k} \lambda^{k-r} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{1i}^*}{b_{i1}^2} \right)^{m_2} \varphi_i^* (r - m_2) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r - m_2) \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{l=3}^{n} \lambda^{k-l} b_{1l}^* \varphi_l^* (r - m_2) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r - m_2) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=m_2+1}^{k} \lambda^{k-r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{1l}^* \varphi_l^* (r - m_2) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r - m_2) \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} \lambda^{m_2-r} \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \]
\[ 
+ b_{11}^{*1} \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (r-m_1) \right] \\
+ (k - 1 - m_1) \lambda^{k-1-m_1} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \\
+ b_{11}^{*2} \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_2) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (r-m_2) \right] \\
+ (k - 1 - m_2) \lambda^{k-1-m_2} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (0) \right], \\
\] \\
\[ 
\sum_{r=0}^{m_2} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (r-m_1) \right] - \\
+ \left( b_{11}^{*1} \right)^2 \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (r-m_1) \right] \\
+ (m_2 - m_1) \lambda^{k-1-m_1} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \\
+ (k - 1 - m_2) \lambda^{k-1-m_2} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \\
\] \\
\[ 
= \lambda^k \varphi_2^* (0) - \sum_{r=0}^{m_0} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (r-m_1) \right] \\
+ \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (r-m_1) \right] \\
+ (m_2 - m_1) \lambda^{k-1-m_1} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \\
+ (k - 1 - m_2) \lambda^{k-1-m_2} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \\
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \lambda^k \varphi_2^* (0) - \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \sum_{l=5}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{11}^*}{b_{12}^*} \right)^2 \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) \\
&\quad + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \\
&- \left( \frac{b_{11}^*}{b_{12}^*} \right)^2 \sum_{r=0}^{k} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \varphi_1^* (r-m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r-m_1) \right) \\
&\quad + (k-1-m_1) \lambda^{k-1-m_1} \\
&\quad \times \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \left( \frac{b_{11}^*}{b_{12}^*} \right)^2 \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \\
&\quad + (k-1-m_l) \lambda^{k-1-m_l} \\
&\quad \times \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \quad \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{s-1}}^{m_{s}+1},
\end{align*}
\]

(97)

We solve (88) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{s+1}}^{m_{s+1}} \) with initial data deduced from (98); that is, we consider the problem

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1 (k+1) &= \lambda y_1 (k) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{11}^* \lambda^{k-m_l} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{11}^* \left[ \varphi_1^* (k-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (k-m_l) \right] \\
&\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{s+1}}^{m_{s+1}},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1 (m_{s+1}) &= \lambda^m \varphi_1^* (0) \\
&\quad + \sum_{r=0}^{m} \lambda^{m-r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{11}^* \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{11}^* \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \\
&\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad + (m_s - m_l) \lambda^{m_s-m_l} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \times \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

(98)

From (93)--(97) we deduce that expected form of the solution of the initial problem for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{s+1}}^{m_{s+1}} \) with initial data derived from the solution of previous equation for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{s-1}}^{m_{s}} \) is

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1 (k) &= \lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \\
&\quad \times \left( \sum_{l=5}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{11}^*}{b_{12}^*} \right)^2 \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \left( \frac{b_{11}^*}{b_{12}^*} \right)^2 \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \\
&\quad + (k-1-m_l) \lambda^{k-1-m_l} \\
&\quad \times \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \quad \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{s-1}}^{m_{s+1}},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1 (k) &= \lambda^k \varphi_2^* (0) \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \\
&\quad \times \left( \sum_{l=5}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{11}^*}{b_{12}^*} \right)^2 \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \left( \frac{b_{11}^*}{b_{12}^*} \right)^2 \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \\
&\quad + (k-1-m_l) \lambda^{k-1-m_l} \\
&\quad \times \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \quad \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{s-1}}^{m_{s+1}},
\end{align*}
\]
\[ y_2 (m_s + 1) = \lambda^{m_{s+1}} \psi_2^* (0) \]
\[ - \sum_{r=0}^{m_s} \lambda^{m_s-r} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{b_{1 l}^{s+1}}{b_{11}^{s+1}} \phi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{11}^{s+1}}{b_{11}^{s+1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \]
\[ - \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \frac{(b_{1 l}^{s+1})^2}{b_{12}^{s+1}} \sum_{r=0}^{m_s} \lambda^{m_s-r} \left[ \phi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{11}^{s+1}}{b_{11}^{s+1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \]
\[ + (m_s-m_l) \lambda^{m_s-m_l} \left[ \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{11}^{s+1}}{b_{11}^{s+1}} \phi_2^* (0) \right]. \]  

(99)

Applying formula (33) yields (for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{s+1}} \))

\[ y_1 (k) = \lambda^{k-m_s} y_1 (m_s + 1) + \sum_{r=m_s+1}^{k} \lambda^{k-r} \]
\[ \times \left( \sum_{l=3}^{s} b_{1 l}^{s+1} \lambda^{m_{s+1}} \left[ \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{s+1}}{b_{11}^{s+1}} \phi_2^* (0) \right] + \sum_{l=3}^{n} b_{1 l}^{s+1} \phi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{11}^{s+1}}{b_{11}^{s+1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \]
\[ = \lambda^{k-m_s} \left[ \sum_{l=3}^{s} b_{1 l}^{s+1} \phi_1^* (0) + \sum_{l=0}^{m_s} \lambda^{m_s-r} \left( \sum_{l=3}^{s} b_{1 l}^{s+1} \phi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{11}^{s+1}}{b_{11}^{s+1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \right] \]
\[ + \sum_{l=3}^{s} b_{1 l}^{s+1} \lambda^{m_s-m_l} \left[ \phi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{11}^{s+1}}{b_{11}^{s+1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \]
\[ + (m_s-m_l) \lambda^{m_s-m_l} \left[ \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{11}^{s+1}}{b_{11}^{s+1}} \phi_2^* (0) \right]. \]  

\[ = \lambda^{k} \phi_1^* (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \]
\[ \times \left( \sum_{l=3}^{s} b_{1 l}^{s+1} \phi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{11}^{s+1}}{b_{11}^{s+1}} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{l=3}^{n} b_{1 l}^{s+1} \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{11}^{s+1}}{b_{11}^{s+1}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[
+ (m_s - m_{s-1}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{s-1}} \\
\times \left[ \varphi^*_1 (0) + \frac{b_{11}^{s-1}}{b_{11}^{s}} \varphi^*_2 (0) \right] \\
+ (k-1-m_s) \\
\times \left( \lambda^{k-1-m_1} b_{11}^{s} \left[ \varphi^*_1 (0) + \frac{b_{11}^{s}}{b_{11}^{s-1}} \varphi^*_2 (0) \right] + \lambda^{k-1-m_2} b_{11}^{s-1} \left[ \varphi^*_1 (0) + \frac{b_{11}^{s-1}}{b_{11}^{s}} \varphi^*_2 (0) \right] + \ldots \\
+ \lambda^{k-1-m_{s-1}^{s-1}} b_{11}^{s-1} \left[ \varphi^*_1 (0) + \frac{b_{11}^{s-1}}{b_{11}^{s}} \varphi^*_2 (0) \right] + \lambda^{k-1-m_{s-1}^{s-1}} b_{11}^{s-1} \left[ \varphi^*_1 (0) + \frac{b_{11}^{s-1}}{b_{11}^{s}} \varphi^*_2 (0) \right] \right) = \lambda^k \varphi^*_1 (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \\
\times \left( \sum_{r=0}^{n} b_{11}^{s} \left[ \varphi^*_1 (r - m_1) + \frac{b_{11}^{s}}{b_{11}^{s-1}} \varphi^*_2 (r - m_1) \right] \right) + b_{11}^{s} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi^*_1 (r - m_1) + \frac{b_{11}^{s}}{b_{11}^{s-1}} \varphi^*_2 (r - m_1) \right] + (k-1-m_1) \lambda^{k-1-m_1} \\
\times \left[ \varphi^*_1 (0) + \frac{b_{11}^{s}}{b_{11}^{s-1}} \varphi^*_2 (0) \right] \right) + b_{11}^{s} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi^*_1 (r - m_2) + \frac{b_{11}^{s}}{b_{11}^{s-1}} \varphi^*_2 (r - m_2) \right] + (k-1-m_2) \lambda^{k-1-m_2} \\
\times \left[ \varphi^*_1 (0) + \frac{b_{11}^{s}}{b_{11}^{s-1}} \varphi^*_2 (0) \right] \right) + \ldots \\
+ b_{11}^{s-1} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m_{s-1}} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi^*_1 (r - m_{s-1}) + \frac{b_{11}^{s}}{b_{11}^{s-1}} \varphi^*_2 (r - m_{s-1}) \right] + (k-1-m_{s-1}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{s-1}} \\
\times \left[ \varphi^*_1 (0) + \frac{b_{11}^{s}}{b_{11}^{s-1}} \varphi^*_2 (0) \right] \right) + b_{11}^{s} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m_{s}} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi^*_1 (r - m_{s}) + \frac{b_{11}^{s}}{b_{11}^{s-1}} \varphi^*_2 (r - m_{s}) \right] + (k-1-m_{s}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{s}} \\
\times \left[ \varphi^*_1 (0) + \frac{b_{11}^{s}}{b_{11}^{s-1}} \varphi^*_2 (0) \right] \right) + \ldots \right)
\]

(100)
\[
\begin{align*}
&\lambda^k \phi_2^*(0) - \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{k-r} \left[ \phi_1^*(r-m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \phi_2^*(r-m_1) \right] \\
&+ (m_s - m_l) \lambda^{m_s-m_l} \\
&\times \left[ \phi_1^*(0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \phi_2^*(0) \right]
\end{align*}
\]
In the end, we solve (88) for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{n+1}}^\infty$ with initial data deduced from (100) and (101); that is, we consider the problem

$$y_1 (k+1) = \lambda y_1 (k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{l1}^* \lambda^{k-m_l} \left[ \phi_1^*(0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \phi_2^*(0) \right]$$

if $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{n+1}}^\infty$,

$$y_1 (m_{n+1}) = \lambda^{m_{n+1}} \phi_1^*(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n}} \lambda^{m_{n-r}} b_{11}^* \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \phi_2^*(0)$$

if $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{n+1}}^\infty$,

$$y_2 (k+1) = \lambda y_2 (k) - \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \frac{b_{l1}^*}{b_{l2}^*} \right)^2 \lambda^{k-m_l} \left[ \phi_1^*(r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \phi_2^*(r-m_l) \right]$$

if $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{n+1}}^\infty$,

$$y_2 (m_{n+1}) = \lambda^{m_{n+1}} \phi_2^*(0) - \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n}} \lambda^{m_{n-r}} \left( \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \right)^2 \frac{b_{11}^*}{b_{12}^*} \phi_2^*(r-m_l)$$

if $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_{n+1}}^\infty$.
Applying formula (33) yields (for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_n+1}^\infty$)

\[
y_1(k) = \lambda^{k-(m_n+1)} y_1(m_n + 1) + \sum_{r=m_n+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \times \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{1l}^r \lambda^{r-m_l} \left[ \varphi^*_l(0) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(0) \right] \right)
\]

\[
= \lambda^{k-m_{n-1}} \left[ \lambda^{m_n+1} \varphi^*_1(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{m_n} \lambda^{m_n-r} b_{11}^m \left[ \varphi^*_1(r-m_n) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(r-m_n) \right] \right]
\]

\[
+ \sum_{l=1}^{m_{n-1}} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n-1}} \lambda^{m_{n-1}-r} \left[ \varphi^*_l(r-m_{n-1}) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(r-m_{n-1}) \right] \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{r=m_{n-1}+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \times \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{1l}^r \lambda^{r-m_l} \left[ \varphi^*_l(0) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(0) \right] \right)
\]

\[
= \lambda^k \varphi^*_1(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{m_n} \lambda^{k-1-r} b_{11}^m \left[ \varphi^*_1(r-m_n) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(r-m_n) \right]
\]

\[
+ \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n-1}} \lambda^{k-1-r} b_{11}^m \left[ \varphi^*_1(r-m_{n-1}) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(r-m_{n-1}) \right]
\]

\[
+ \left( m_n - m_1 \right) \lambda^{k-1-m_1} \times \left[ \varphi^*_1(0) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(0) \right]
\]

\[
+ \sum_{l=1}^{m_{n-1}} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n-1}} \lambda^{k-1-m_1} \left[ \varphi^*_l(r-m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(r-m_1) \right] \right)
\]

\[
+ \left( m_n - m_{n-1} \right) \lambda^{k-1-m_{n-1}} \times \left[ \varphi^*_1(0) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(0) \right]
\]

\[
+ \sum_{r=m_{n-1}+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \times \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{1l}^r \lambda^{r-m_l} \left[ \varphi^*_l(0) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(0) \right] \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n-1}} \lambda^{k-1-r} b_{11}^m \left[ \varphi^*_1(r-m_{n-1}) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(r-m_{n-1}) \right]
\]

\[
+ \left( m_n - m_{n-1} \right) \lambda^{k-1-m_{n-1}} \times \left[ \varphi^*_1(0) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(0) \right]
\]

\[
+ \sum_{l=1}^{m_{n-1}} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n-1}} \lambda^{k-1-m_1} \left[ \varphi^*_l(r-m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(r-m_1) \right] \right)
\]

\[
+ \left( m_n - m_{n-1} \right) \lambda^{k-1-m_{n-1}} \times \left[ \varphi^*_1(0) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(0) \right]
\]

\[
+ \sum_{r=m_{n-1}+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \times \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{1l}^r \lambda^{r-m_l} \left[ \varphi^*_l(0) + \frac{b_{12}^r}{b_{11}^r} \varphi^*_2(0) \right] \right)
\]
\[
= \lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) + b_{11}^{*1} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (r-m_1) \right] \right)
+ b_{11}^{*2} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_2) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (r-m_2) \right] \right)
+ \cdots 
\]
\[
= \lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) 
+ \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{11}^{*l} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \right)
+ (k - 1 - m_l) \lambda^{k-1-m_l}
\times \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (0) \right]
\]
\[
y_2 (k) = \lambda^{k-(m_n+1)} y_2 (m_n + 1) - \sum_{r=m_n+1}^{k} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{(b_{11}^{*l})^2}{b_{12}^{*l}} \lambda^{r-m_l} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \right)
- \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \frac{(b_{11}^{*l})^2}{b_{12}^{*l}} \lambda^{m_l-m_n} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \right)
- \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \frac{(b_{11}^{*l})^2}{b_{12}^{*l}} \lambda^{m_n-m_l} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (0) \right]
\]
\[
= \lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) 
+ \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{11}^{*l} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \right)
+ (k - 1 - m_l) \lambda^{k-1-m_l}
\times \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{*1}} \varphi_2^* (0) \right],
\]
(103)
\[- \sum_{r=m_n+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{(b_{11}^*)^2}{b_{12}^*} \lambda^{r-m_l} \cdot \left[ \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (0) \right] \right) \times \left[ \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (0) \right] \]

\[= \lambda^k \phi_2^* (0) - \sum_{r=0}^{m_n} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \frac{(b_{11}^*)^2}{b_{12}^*} \left[ \phi_1^* (r-m_n) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (r-m_n) \right] \right) \]

\[- \frac{(b_{11}^*)^2}{b_{12}^*} \sum_{r=0}^{m_n} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \phi_1^* (r-m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (r-m_1) \right] \]

\[= \lambda^k \phi_2^* (0) - \frac{(b_{11}^*)^2}{b_{12}^*} \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \phi_1^* (r-m_1) \right]
+ \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (r-m_1) \]

\[\times \left[ \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (0) \right] \]

\[- \frac{(b_{11}^*)^2}{b_{12}^*} \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \phi_1^* (r-m_2) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (r-m_2) \right] \]

\[= \lambda^k \phi_2^* (0) - \frac{(b_{11}^*)^2}{b_{12}^*} \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \phi_1^* (r-m_1) \right]
+ \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (r-m_1) \]

\[\times \left[ \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (0) \right] \]

\[- \frac{(b_{11}^*)^2}{b_{12}^*} \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \phi_1^* (r-m_2) \right]
+ \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (r-m_2) \]

\[- \frac{(b_{11}^*)^2}{b_{12}^*} \sum_{r=0}^{m_3} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \phi_1^* (r-m_3) \right]
+ \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (r-m_3) \]

\[\times \left[ \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (0) \right] \]

\[+ \cdots \]

\[- \frac{(b_{11}^*)^2}{b_{12}^*} \sum_{r=0}^{m_n} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \phi_1^* (r-m_n) \right]
+ \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (r-m_n) \]

\[\times \left[ \phi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \psi_2^* (0) \right] \]

\[+ \cdots \]
\[
\lambda^k \varphi_2^* (0) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(b_{11}^*)^2}{b_{12}^*} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \] 

if \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty}_{-m_n} \),

\[
\lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r - m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r - m_1) \right] \] 

if \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_1+1}_{0} \),

\[
\lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r - m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r - m_1) \right] 
+ (k - 1 - m_1) \lambda^{k-1-m_1} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \] 

if \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_2+1}_{m_1+2} \),

\[
\lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r - m_2) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r - m_2) \right] 
+ (k - 1 - m_2) \lambda^{k-1-m_2} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \] 

if \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_3+1}_{m_2+2} \),

\[
\vdots 
\]

\[
\lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{1-r} \left[ \sum_{i=r+1}^{n} b_{11}^* \left[ \varphi_1^* (r - m_i) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r - m_i) \right] \right] 
+ (k - 1 - m_i) \lambda^{k-1-m_i} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \] 

if \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_{r+1}+1}_{m_r+2} \),

\[
\vdots 
\]

\[
\lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{11}^* \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{m_i} \lambda^{1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^* (r - m_i) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (r - m_i) \right] \right] 
+ (k - 1 - m_i) \lambda^{k-1-m_i} \left[ \varphi_1^* (0) + \frac{b_{12}^*}{b_{11}^*} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \] 

if \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty}_{m_r+2} \),

\[
\vdots 
\]

Summing up all particular cases (90), (93), (96), (100), and (103) we have
and from cases (91), (94), (97), (101), and (104) we conclude that

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_2^*(k) &= 0, \\
\varphi_2^*(0) &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{m} \frac{b_{11}^{*l}}{b_{12}^{l}} \left[ \varphi_1^*(r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*l}}{b_{11}^{l}} \varphi_2^*(r-m_l) \right] \right) \quad \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}^{0}, \\
\varphi_2^*(0) &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \sum_{l=2}^{m} \frac{b_{11}^{*l}}{b_{12}^{l}} \left[ \varphi_1^*(r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*l}}{b_{11}^{l}} \varphi_2^*(r-m_l) \right] \right) \\
&\quad - \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*1}}{b_{12}^{*1}} \right)^2 \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^*(r-m_1) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{1}} \varphi_2^*(r-m_1) \right] \\
&\quad + (k-1-m_1) \lambda^{k-1-m_1} \left[ \varphi_1^*(0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*1}}{b_{11}^{1}} \varphi_2^*(0) \right] \quad \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}^{1}, \\
\varphi_2^*(0) &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \sum_{l=3}^{m} \frac{b_{11}^{*l}}{b_{12}^{l}} \left[ \varphi_1^*(r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*l}}{b_{11}^{l}} \varphi_2^*(r-m_l) \right] \right) \\
&\quad - \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*2}}{b_{12}^{*2}} \right)^2 \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^*(r-m_2) + \frac{b_{12}^{*2}}{b_{11}^{2}} \varphi_2^*(r-m_2) \right] \\
&\quad + (k-1-m_2) \lambda^{k-1-m_2} \left[ \varphi_1^*(0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*2}}{b_{11}^{2}} \varphi_2^*(0) \right] \quad \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+2}^{1}, \\
&\vdots \\
\varphi_2^*(0) &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left( \sum_{l=r+1}^{m} \frac{b_{11}^{*l}}{b_{12}^{l}} \left[ \varphi_1^*(r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*l}}{b_{11}^{l}} \varphi_2^*(r-m_l) \right] \right) \\
&\quad - \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*r}}{b_{12}^{*r}} \right)^2 \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^*(r-m_r) + \frac{b_{12}^{*r}}{b_{11}^{r}} \varphi_2^*(r-m_r) \right] \\
&\quad + (k-1-m_r) \lambda^{k-1-m_r} \left[ \varphi_1^*(0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*r}}{b_{11}^{r}} \varphi_2^*(0) \right] \quad \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+r+1}^{1}, \\
&\vdots \\
\varphi_2^*(0) &= \sum_{l=1}^{m} \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*l}}{b_{12}^{l}} \right)^2 \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \varphi_1^*(r-m_l) + \frac{b_{12}^{*l}}{b_{11}^{l}} \varphi_2^*(r-m_l) \right] \\
&\quad + (k-1-m_l) \lambda^{k-1-m_l} \left[ \varphi_1^*(0) + \frac{b_{12}^{*l}}{b_{11}^{l}} \varphi_2^*(0) \right] \quad \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+r+2}^{1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Formula (81) is now a direct consequence of (105), (106), and (80).

2.1.6. Case (39) of a Double Real Root. If the matrix $\Lambda$ has the form (39), the necessary and sufficient conditions (13)–(16), for (40), are reduced to (43), (44), (46), and

\[
\frac{\lambda}{b_{21}} + \frac{b_{12}}{b_{22}} \frac{b_{11}^{*l}}{b_{12}^{l}} = \lambda \left( \frac{b_{11}^{*l}}{b_{22}} + b_{22}^{*l} - b_{21}^{*l} \right) = 0. \tag{107}
\]

Then (43), (44), and (107) give $b_{11}^{*l} = b_{22}^{*l} = b_{21}^{*l} = 0$.

**Theorem 9.** Let (1) be a weakly delayed system, (35) has a double root $\lambda_{1,2} = \lambda$ and the matrix $\Lambda$ has the form (39). Then
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\(b_{11}^* = b_{22}^* = b_{21}^* = 0\) and the solution of the initial problem (1), (3) is \(x(k) = s' y(k), y(k) = (y_1(k), y_2(k))^T, \) and

\[
y_1(k) = \begin{cases}
\phi_1^*(k) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{-m_n}^0 , \\
\lambda^k \varphi_1^*(0) + k \lambda^{k-1} \varphi_1^*(0) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_n+1}^m ,
\end{cases}
\]

\[
y_2(k) = \begin{cases}
\varphi_2^*(k) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{-m_n}^0 , \\
\lambda^k \varphi_2^*(0) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_n+1}^m .
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** The system (40) can be written as

\[
y_1(k+1) = \lambda y_1(k) + y_2(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^* y_2(k-m_l) ,
\]

\[
y_2(k+1) = \lambda y_2(k) , \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^\infty .
\]

Solving (111), we get

\[
y_2(k) = \begin{cases}
\varphi_2^*(k) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{-m_n}^0 , \\
\lambda^k \varphi_2^*(0) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_n+1}^m .
\end{cases}
\]

then (110) turns into

\[
y_1(k+1) = \begin{cases}
\lambda y_1(k) + \lambda^k \varphi_2^*(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{m} b_{12}^* \varphi_2^*(k-m_l) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^m ,
\end{cases}
\]

Equation (113) can be solved in a way similar to that of (54) in the proof of Theorem 5 using (33).

First we solve (113) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{m_1}. \) This means that we consider the problem

\[
y_1(k+1) = \lambda y_1(k) + \lambda^k \varphi_2^*(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^* \varphi_2^*(k-m_l) \quad \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^m , \quad (114)
\]

\[
y_1(0) = \varphi_1^*(0) .
\]

With the aid of formula (33), we get

\[
y_1(k) = \lambda^k \varphi_1^*(0) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \lambda^r \varphi_2^*(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^* \varphi_2^*(r-m_l) \right] ,
\]
\[
= \lambda^k \varphi_1^*(0) + k \lambda^{k-1} \varphi_2^*(0) \\
+ \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^l \varphi^*_2(r-m_l) \right], \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}.
\] (115)

Now we solve (113) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1} \) with initial data deduced from (115); that is, we consider the problem

\[
y_1(k+1) = \lambda y_1(k) + \lambda^k \varphi_2^*(0) + b_{12}^1 \lambda^{k-m_1} \varphi_2^*(0) \\
+ \sum_{l=2}^{n} b_{12}^l \varphi^*_2(k-m_l), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1},
\]

(116)

Applying formula (33) we get (for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_2+1} \))

\[
y_1(k) = \lambda^{k-(m_1+1)} y_1(m_1+1) \\
+ \sum_{r=m_1+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \lambda^r \varphi_2^*(0) + b_{12}^1 \lambda^{r-m_1} \varphi_2^*(0) \\
+ \sum_{l=2}^{n} b_{12}^l \varphi^*_2(r-m_l) \right]
= \lambda^{k-m_1-1} \left[ \lambda^{m_1+1} \varphi_1^*(0) + (m_1+1) \lambda^{m_2} \varphi_2^*(0) \\
+ \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{m_1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^l \varphi^*_2(r-m_l) \right] \right]
+ \sum_{r=m_1+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \lambda^r \varphi_2^*(0) + b_{12}^1 \lambda^{r-m_1} \varphi_2^*(0) \\
+ \sum_{l=2}^{n} b_{12}^l \varphi^*_2(r-m_l) \right]
= \lambda^{k} \varphi_1^*(0) + (m_1+1) \lambda^{k-1} \varphi_2^*(0) \\
+ \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^l \varphi^*_2(r-m_l) \right]
\]

(117)

Now we solve (113) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_2+1} \) with initial data deduced from (117); that is, we consider the problem

\[
y_1(k+1) = \lambda y_1(k) + \lambda^k \varphi_2^*(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{2} b_{12}^l \lambda^{k-m_1} \varphi_2^*(0) \\
+ \sum_{l=3}^{n} b_{12}^l \varphi^*_2(k-m_l), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_2+1},
\]

(118)

Applying formula (33) yields (for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_2+1} \))

\[
y_1(k) = \lambda^{k-(m_2+1)} y_1(m_2+1) \\
+ \sum_{r=m_2+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \lambda^r \varphi_2^*(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{2} b_{12}^l \lambda^{r-m_1} \varphi_2^*(0) \\
+ \sum_{l=3}^{n} b_{12}^l \varphi^*_2(r-m_l) \right]
\]

(119)
\[= \lambda^{k-m_1-1} \left[ \lambda^{m_1+1} \phi_1^* (0) + (m_2 + 1) \lambda^{m_2} \phi_2^* (0) \right]
\]  
\[+ \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} \lambda^{m-r} \left[ \sum_{l=2}^{n} b_{12}^r \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \]
\[+ b_{12}^1 \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{m_1-r} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \]
\[+ (m_2 - m_1) \lambda^{m_2-m_1} \phi_2^* (0) \]  
\[(121)\]

From (115), (117), and (119) we deduce that expected form of the solution of the initial problem for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{m_1, r+1} \) with initial data derived from the solution of previous equation for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{m_1, r+1} \) is

\[y_1 (k) = \lambda^{k} \phi_1^* (0) + k \lambda^{k-1} \phi_2^* (0)\]
\[+ \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=2}^{n} b_{12}^r \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right] \]
\[+ (k-1-m_1) \lambda^{k-1-m_1} \phi_2^* (0) \]  
\[(120)\]

We solve (113) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{m_1, r+1} \) with initial data deduced from (120); that is, we consider the problem

\[y_1 (k+1) = \lambda y_1 (k) + \lambda^{k} \phi_1^* (0) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{12}^s \lambda^{k-m_1} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[+ \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=2}^{n} b_{12}^r \phi_2^* (k-m_l) \right], \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{m_1, r+1} \]
\[y_1 (m_1 + 1) = \lambda^{m_1} \phi_1^* (0) + (m_1 + 1) \lambda^{m_1} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[+ \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{m_1-r} \left[ \sum_{l=2}^{n} b_{12}^r \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \right]\]
\[+ \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^m \lambda^{m-r} \phi_2^* (r-m_l) \]
\[+ (m_2 - m_1) \lambda^{m_2-m_1} \phi_2^* (0) \]  
\[(121)\]
Applying formula (33) yields (for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m,s+1}^{m_s+1}$)
\[ y_1(k) = \lambda^{k-(m_s+1)} y_1(m_s + 1) + \sum_{r=m_s+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \lambda^r \varphi_2^* (0) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{12}^{r} \lambda^{r-m_s} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] + \sum_{l=s+1}^{m_s+1} \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^{l} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \]
\[ = \lambda^{k-m_s-1} \times \left[ \lambda^{m_s+1} \varphi_1^* (0) + (m_s + 1) \lambda^{m_s} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] + \sum_{r=0}^{m_s} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \lambda^r \varphi_2^* (0) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{12}^{r} \lambda^{r-m_s} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{m_s} \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^{l} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \]
\[ = \lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) + (m_s + 1) \lambda^{k-1} \varphi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \lambda^r \varphi_2^* (0) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{12}^{r} \lambda^{r-m_s} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^{l} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \]
\[ = \lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) + \lambda \lambda^{k-1} \varphi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \lambda^r \varphi_2^* (0) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{12}^{r} \lambda^{r-m_s} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^{l} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \]
\[ = \lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) + \lambda_1 \lambda^{k-1} \varphi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{m_s} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \lambda^r \varphi_2^* (0) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{12}^{r} \lambda^{r-m_s} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{m_s} \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^{l} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \]
\[ = \lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) + \lambda \lambda^{k-1} \varphi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{m_s} \lambda^{k-1-r} \left[ \lambda^r \varphi_2^* (0) + \sum_{l=1}^{s} b_{12}^{r} \lambda^{r-m_s} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{m_s} \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^{l} \varphi_2^* (r-m_l) \]
\[ + b_{12}^* \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \varphi_2^* (r - m_1) \]
\[ + (k - 1 - m_1) \lambda^{k-1-m_1} \varphi_2^* (0) \]
\[ = \lambda^k \varphi_1^* (0) + k \lambda^{k-1} \varphi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \varphi_2^* (r - m_1) \]
\[ + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^l \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \varphi_2^* (r - m_l) \]
\[ + (k - 1 - m_l) \lambda^{k-1-m_l} \varphi_2^* (0) \].

(122)

In the end, we solve (113) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+n+1}^\infty \) with initial data deduced from (122); that is, we consider the problem

\[ y_1 (k + 1) = \lambda y_1 (k) + \lambda^k \varphi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^l \lambda^{k-m} \varphi_2^* (0) \quad \text{if} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+n+1}^\infty, \]
\[ y_1 (m_n + 1) = \lambda^{m_n+1} \varphi_1^* (0) + (m_n + 1) \lambda^{m_n} \varphi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{m_n} \lambda^{m_n-r} b_{12}^n \varphi_2^* (r - m_n) \]
\[ + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^l \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda^{k-1-r} \varphi_2^* (r - m_l) \]
\[ + (m_n - m_l) \lambda^{k-1-m_l} \varphi_2^* (0) \].

(123)

Applying formula (33) yields (for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+n+2}^\infty \))

\[ y_1 (k) = \lambda^{k-(m_n+1)} y_1 (m_n + 1) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=m_n+1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \varphi_2^* (0) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^l \lambda^{k-1-m_l} \varphi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \lambda^{k-m_n-1} \left[ \lambda^{m_n+1} \varphi_1^* (0) + (m_n + 1) \lambda^{m_n} \varphi_2^* (0) \right] \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{m_n} \lambda^{m_n-r} b_{12}^n \varphi_2^* (r - m_n) \]
\[ + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^l \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda^{m_n-r} \varphi_2^* (r - m_l) \]
\[ + (m_n - m_l) \lambda^{m_n-m_l} \varphi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \varphi_2^* (r - m_1) \]
\[ + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^l \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda^{k-1-m_l} \varphi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^l \sum_{r=0}^{m_l} \lambda^{k-1-m_l} \varphi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \ldots \]
\[ + b_{12}^{n-1} \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n-1}} \lambda^{k-1-r} \phi_2^* (r - m_{n-1}) \right] + (k - 1 - m_{n-1}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{n-1}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + b_{12}^{n} \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n}} \lambda^{k-1-r} \phi_2^* (r - m_{n}) \right] + (k - 1 - m_{n}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{n}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \cdots \]
\[ + b_{12}^{n-1} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n-1}} \lambda^{k-1-r} \phi_2^* (r - m_{n-1}) + (k - 1 - m_{n-1}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{n-1}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + b_{12}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n}} \lambda^{k-1-r} \phi_2^* (r - m_{n}) + (k - 1 - m_{n}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{n}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ = \lambda^k \phi_1^* (0) + k \lambda^{k-1} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{12}^{l} \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{m_{l}} \lambda^{k-1-r} \phi_2^* (r - m_{l}) \right] + (k - 1 - m_{l}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{l}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + (k - 1 - m_{l}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{l}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ \text{Summing up all particular cases (115)–(124), we get} \]
\[ \phi_1^* (k) \]
\[ \lambda^k \phi_1^* (0) + k \lambda^{k-1} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{l}} b_{12}^{l} \phi_2^* (r - m_{l}) \]
\[ + (k - 1 - m_{l}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{l}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{-m_1}, \]
\[ \lambda^k \phi_1^* (0) + k \lambda^{k-1} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{l}} b_{12}^{l} \phi_2^* (r - m_{l}) \]
\[ + b_{12}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n}} \lambda^{k-1-r} \phi_2^* (r - m_{n}) \]
\[ + (k - 1 - m_{n}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{n}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}, \]
\[ \lambda^k \phi_1^* (0) + k \lambda^{k-1} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{l}} b_{12}^{l} \phi_2^* (r - m_{l}) \]
\[ + b_{12}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n}} \lambda^{k-1-r} \phi_2^* (r - m_{n}) \]
\[ + (k - 1 - m_{n}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{n}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+2}, \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ \lambda^k \phi_1^* (0) + k \lambda^{k-1} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{l}} b_{12}^{l} \phi_2^* (r - m_{l}) \]
\[ + b_{12}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n}} \lambda^{k-1-r} \phi_2^* (r - m_{n}) \]
\[ + (k - 1 - m_{n}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{n}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}, \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ \lambda^k \phi_1^* (0) + k \lambda^{k-1} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{l}} b_{12}^{l} \phi_2^* (r - m_{l}) \]
\[ + b_{12}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n}} \lambda^{k-1-r} \phi_2^* (r - m_{n}) \]
\[ + (k - 1 - m_{n}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{n}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+2}, \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ \lambda^k \phi_1^* (0) + k \lambda^{k-1} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{l}} b_{12}^{l} \phi_2^* (r - m_{l}) \]
\[ + b_{12}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n}} \lambda^{k-1-r} \phi_2^* (r - m_{n}) \]
\[ + (k - 1 - m_{n}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{n}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+1}, \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ \lambda^k \phi_1^* (0) + k \lambda^{k-1} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1-r} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{l}} b_{12}^{l} \phi_2^* (r - m_{l}) \]
\[ + b_{12}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m_{n}} \lambda^{k-1-r} \phi_2^* (r - m_{n}) \]
\[ + (k - 1 - m_{n}) \lambda^{k-1-m_{n}} \phi_2^* (0) \]
\[ \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1+2}. \]

Formulas (108) and (109) are consequences of (125), (112).
3. Dimension of the Set of Solutions

Since all the possible cases of the planar system (1) with weak delay have been analysed, we are ready to formulate results concerning the dimension of the space of solutions of (1) assuming that initial condition (3) is valid. Although case $b_{11}^{\ast} = b_{21}^{\ast} = 0$ does not lead to a weakly delayed system and is excluded by (2), for completeness of analysis we incorporate such possibility in our analysis (as well (such a case can be considered as a degenerated weakly delayed system). Before formulation we remark that if an assumption in the following theorem is assumed to be valid for the fixed index $l \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$, it is easy to see that it must be valid for all indices $l = 1,2,\ldots,n$.

**Theorem 10.** Let (1) be a weakly delayed system and let (35) having both roots different from zero and $l \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ be fixed. Then the space of solutions, being initially $2(m_n + 1)$-dimensional, becomes on $Z^\infty_{m_n+2}$ only

1. $(m_n + 2)$-dimensional if (35) has
   a. two real distinct roots and $(b_2^{\ast l})^2 + (b_1^{\ast l})^2 > 0$,
   b. a double real root, $b_1^{\ast l} b_2^{\ast l^2} = 0$, and $(b_1^{\ast l})^2 + (b_2^{\ast l})^2 > 0$,
   c. a double real root and $b_1^{\ast l} b_2^{\ast l^2} \neq 0$,

2. $2$-dimensional if (35) has
   a. two real distinct roots and $b_2^{\ast l} = b_2^{\ast l_1} = 0$,
   b. a pair of complex conjugate roots,
   c. a double real root and $b_1^{\ast l} = b_2^{\ast l} = 0$.

**Proof.** We will carefully go through all the theorems considered (Theorems 5–9) adding the case of a pair of complex conjugate roots and our conclusion will hold at least on $Z^\infty_{m_n+2}$ (some of the statements hold on a larger interval).

(a) Analysing the statement of Theorem 5 (case (36) of two real distinct roots), we obtain the following subcases.

1. If $b_1^{\ast l} = b_2^{\ast l} = b_1^{\ast l_1} = b_2^{\ast l_1} = 0$, then the dimension of the space of solutions on $Z^\infty_{m_n+2}$ equals $m_n + 2$ since the last formula in (47) uses only $m_n + 2$ arbitrary parameters:
   
   $\phi_1^{\ast l}(0),\phi_2^{\ast l}(-m_n),\phi_2^{\ast l}(-m_n + 1),\ldots,\phi_2^{\ast l}(0)$.

2. If $b_1^{\ast l} = b_2^{\ast l} = b_1^{\ast l_1} = b_2^{\ast l_1} \neq 0$, then the dimension of the space of solutions on $Z^\infty_{m_n+2}$ equals $m_n + 2$ since the last formula in (48) uses only $m_n + 2$ arbitrary parameters:
   
   $\phi_1^{\ast l}(-m_n),\phi_2^{\ast l}(-m_n + 1),\ldots,\phi_2^{\ast l}(0),\phi_2^{\ast l}(0)$.

3. If $b_1^{\ast l} = b_2^{\ast l} = 0$, then $b_1^{\ast l} = b_2^{\ast l} = 0$ and Theorem 5 is not applicable. The dimension of the space of solutions on $Z^\infty_{m_n+2}$ equals 2 since the solution is determined only by 2 arbitrary parameters:

   $\phi_1^{\ast l}(0),\phi_2^{\ast l}(0)$.

This means that all the cases considered are covered by conclusions (1)(a) and (2)(a) of Theorem 10.

(b) In case (37) of two complex conjugate roots, we have $b_1^{\ast l} = b_2^{\ast l} = b_1^{\ast l_1} = b_2^{\ast l_1} = 0$ (i.e., we deal not with a weakly delayed system, as noted previously) and the formula (70) uses only 2 arbitrary parameters

   $\phi_1^{\ast l}(0),\phi_2^{\ast l}(0)$ (129)

for every $k \in Z^\infty_{m_n+2}$. This is covered by case (2)(b) of Theorem 10.

(c) Analysing the statement of Theorems 7 and 8 (case (38) of a double real root), we obtain the following subcases.

1. If $b_1^{\ast l} = b_1^{\ast l_1} = 0$, then the dimension of the space of solutions on $Z^\infty_{m_n+2}$ equals $m_n + 2$ since the last formula in (76) uses only $m_n + 2$ arbitrary parameters:

   $\phi_1^{\ast l}(0),\phi_2^{\ast l}(-m_n),\phi_2^{\ast l}(-m_n + 1),\ldots,\phi_2^{\ast l}(0)$.

2. If $b_2^{\ast l} = b_2^{\ast l_1} \neq 0$, then the dimension of the space of solutions on $Z^\infty_{m_n+2}$ equals $m_n + 2$ since the last formula in (77) uses only $m_n + 2$ arbitrary parameters:

   $\phi_1^{\ast l}(-m_n),\phi_1^{\ast l}(-m_n + 1),\ldots,\phi_2^{\ast l}(0),\phi_2^{\ast l}(0)$.

3. If $b_1^{\ast l} = b_2^{\ast l_1} = 0$ (degenerated weakly delayed system), then the dimension of the space of solutions on $Z^\infty_{m_n+2}$ equals 2 and solutions are determined only by 2 arbitrary parameters:

   $\phi_1^{\ast l}(0),\phi_2^{\ast l}(0)$.

4. If $b_1^{\ast l} b_2^{\ast l_1} \neq 0$, then the dimension of the space of solutions on $Z^\infty_{m_n+2}$ equals $m_n + 2$ since the last formula in (81) uses only $m_n + 2$ arbitrary parameters:

   $C(-m_n),C(-m_n + 1),\ldots,C(0),\phi_1^{\ast l}(0)$,

where

   $C(k) := \left[ \phi_1^{\ast l}(k) + \frac{b_1^{\ast l} b_2^{\ast l^2}}{b_1^{\ast l_1} b_2^{\ast l_1}} \phi_2^{\ast l}(k) \right], \quad k \in Z^0_{-m_n}$.

The parameter $\phi_1^{\ast l}(0)$ cannot be seen as independent since it depends on the independent parameters $C(0)$ and $C^{\ast l}(0)$.

All the cases considered are covered by conclusions (1)(b), (1)(c), and (2)(c) of Theorem 10.

(d) Analysing the statement of Theorem 9 (case (39) of a double real root), we obtain the following subcases.

1. If $b_1^{\ast l} = b_2^{\ast l} = b_1^{\ast l_1} = b_2^{\ast l_1} = 0$, then the dimension of the space of solutions on $Z^\infty_{m_n+2}$ equals $m_n + 2$ since the last formula in (108) uses only $m_n + 2$ arbitrary parameters:

   $\phi_1^{\ast l}(0),\phi_2^{\ast l}(-m_n),\phi_2^{\ast l}(-m_n + 1),\ldots,\phi_2^{\ast l}(0)$.

2. If $b_2^{\ast l} = b_2^{\ast l_1} \neq 0$, then the dimension of the space of solutions on $Z^\infty_{m_n+2}$ equals $m_n + 2$ since the last formula in (107) uses only $m_n + 2$ arbitrary parameters:

   $\phi_1^{\ast l}(0),\phi_2^{\ast l}(-m_n),\phi_2^{\ast l}(-m_n + 1),\ldots,\phi_2^{\ast l}(0)$.
and the last formula in (109) provides no new information.

(d2) If $b_{11}^* = b_{22}^* = b_{21}^* = b_{12}^* = 0$ (degenerated weakly delayed system), then the dimension of the space of solutions on $\mathbb{Z}_{m_n+2}^{\infty}$ equals 2 since solutions are determined only by 2 arbitrary parameters

$$g_1^*(0), g_2^*(0).$$  \hspace{6cm} (136)

Both cases are covered by conclusions (1)(b) and (2)(c) of Theorem 10.

Since there are no cases other than cases (a)–(d), the proof is finished. \hfill \square

Theorem 10 can be formulated simply as follows.

**Theorem 11.** Let (1) be a weakly delayed system and let (35) have both roots different from zero, then the space of solutions, being initially $2(m_n + 1)$-dimensional, is on $\mathbb{Z}_{m_n+2}^{\infty}$ only

1. $(m_n + 2)$-dimensional if $(b_{12}^*)^2 + (b_{21}^*)^2 > 0$,
2. 2-dimensional if $b_{11}^* = b_{22}^* = 0$.

We omit the proofs of the following two theorems since, again, they are much the same as those of Theorems 5–9.

**Theorem 12.** Let (1) be a weakly delayed system and let (35) have a simple root $\lambda = 0$, then the space of solutions, being initially $2(m_n + 1)$-dimensional, is either $2(m_n + 1)$-dimensional or 1-dimensional on $\mathbb{Z}_{m_n+2}^{\infty}$.

**Theorem 13.** Let (1) be a weakly delayed system and let (35) have a double root $\lambda = 0$, then the space of solutions, being initially $2(m_n + 1)$-dimensional, turns into a 0-dimensional space on $\mathbb{Z}_{m_n+2}^{\infty}$, namely, into the zero solution.

4. Concluding Remarks

To our best knowledge, weakly delayed systems were firstly defined in [9] for systems of linear delayed differential systems with constant coefficients and in [1] for planar linear discrete systems with a single delay (in these papers such systems are called systems with a weak delay). The weakly delayed systems analyzed in this paper can be simplified and their solutions can be found in explicit analytical forms (results obtained generalize those in [1, 2]). Consequently, analytical forms of solutions can be used directly to solve several problems for weakly delayed systems, for example, problems of asymptotical behavior of their solutions, boundary-value problems, or some problems of control theory (using different methods, such problems have recently been investigated e.g., in [10–18]). For an alternative approach to differential-difference equations using the variational iteration method and new analytical and asymptotic methods see, for example, [19–21].

In the case of discrete systems of two equations investigated in this paper, to obtain the corresponding eigenvalues, it is sufficient to solve only a second-order polynomial equation rather than a polynomial equation of order $2m_n$.
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