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We prove the existence of nonradial solutions under some conditions for a semilinear biharmonic Dirichlet problem involving critical Sobolev exponents.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the following Hénon type biharmonic problem:

$$\Delta^2 u = |x|^\alpha |u|^{2^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$, $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-4}$, $\Omega$ is the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 5$, and $n$ denotes the unit outward normal at the boundary $\partial \Omega$.

We consider first the case where $\alpha = 0$, namely, the equation

$$\Delta^2 u = |u|^{2^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$  (2)

It is well known that (2) admits no nontrivial radial solution (see [1], Theorem 3.11, or [2], Theorem 4). The nonexistence of any nontrivial solution to (2) seems to be still unknown; only more restricted results are available. In order to obtain existence results for (2), one should either add subcritical perturbations or modify the topology or the geometry of the domain. For subcritical perturbations, we refer to [2, 3] and references therein. Domains with nontrivial topology are studied in [2, 4]. They demonstrated how domains with topology often carry solutions that cannot be present otherwise. The corresponding second order elliptic problem has been investigated by Bahri and Coron in [5].

Berchio et al. [6], among other things, considered the minimization problem

$$\inf_{u \in H^{2,\text{rad}}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\Delta u|^2 \, dx}{\left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^\alpha |u|^{2^*} \, dx \right)^{2/2^*}},$$

where $H^{2,\text{rad}}(\Omega)$ denotes the subspace of radial functions in $H^2(\Omega)$. Actually, they treated general polyharmonic problem. They proved the infimum in (3) is attained. The minimizers of (3), after rescaling, are a solution of (1). It is natural to ask whether (1) has a nontrivial nonradial solution. We will answer this problem partially here.

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $N \geq 8$ and let $\Omega$ be the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then, for every $\alpha > 0$ large enough, problem (1) admits at least one nonradial solution.

The corresponding second order elliptic problem, namely, the Hénon equation,

$$-\Delta u = |x|^\alpha |u|^{p-1}, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$$
$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,$$

has been studied by many authors, where $p > 1$. Ni [7], among other things, proved the existence of radial positive solutions. However, the nonradial case is less understood.
solutions of (4) for all $p \in (1, (N + 2 + 2\alpha)/(N − 2))$. For the case $p \in (1, (N + 2)/(N − 2))$, there are many works concerning the limiting behavior of the ground state solutions of (4); see, for example, [8–11] and the references therein. In particular, Smets et al. [11] proved that, for every $p \in (1, (N + 2)/(N − 2))$, no minimizer of
\[ \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \left( \int_\Omega |x|^p |u|^p dx \right)^{2/p} \]
(5)
is radial provided $\alpha$ is large enough. Serra [12] studied the case $p = (N + 2)/(N − 2)$ and proved the existence of nonradial positive solutions of (4) for $\alpha$ large. Theorem 1 can be regarded as an extension of Serra’s result to biharmonic problem.

In order to outline the proof of Theorem 1, we introduce some notations. We write $\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{N−2} = C \times \mathbb{R}^{N−2}$ and $\mathcal{X} = (x, y)$. For a given integer $k$, let $G_k$ be the group $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \mathbb{O}(N − 2)$. We consider the action of $G_k$ on $H^2_0(\Omega)$ given by
\[ g(u)(x) = g(u)(z, Ry) = u(e^{2\pi i j/k}z, Ry), \]
where $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k − 1\}$ and $R \in \mathbb{O}(N − 2)$.

Define $H_k = \{ u \in H^2_0(\Omega) : u(e^{2\pi i j/k}z, Ry) = u(z, y), \forall R \in \mathbb{O}(N − 2) \}$.

It is easy to see that functions in $H_k$ are radial in $y$. Since both the numerator and the denominator of the functional $Q_\alpha$ are invariant under the action of $G_k$, the functional $Q_\alpha$ is invariant. So the critical points of $Q_\alpha$ restricted to $H_k$ are critical points of $Q_\alpha$. After scaling, they correspond to weak solution of (1), which are in fact classical solutions by standard elliptic theory (see [13, 14]).

Set
\[ Q_\alpha(u) = \frac{\int_\Omega |\Delta u|^2 dx}{\left( \int_\Omega |x|^\alpha |u|^2 dx \right)^{2/\alpha}}, \]
(8)
\[ \Sigma_k = \inf_{u \in H_k^2(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} Q_\alpha(u). \]
(9)

Notice that since $|x| \leq 1$ in $\Omega$, we have $\Sigma_k \geq S$, the best Sobolev constant for the embedding $H^2_0(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_\alpha^\alpha$; that is,
\[ S = \inf_{u \in H^2_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\Delta u|^2 dx}{\left( \int_\Omega |x|^\alpha |u|^2 dx \right)^{2/\alpha}}. \]
(10)

We now briefly outline the proof of Theorem 1. Firstly, we show that, for every $\alpha > 0$, there exists $k_\alpha > 0$ such that $\Sigma_k < k_\alpha^{4/N}S$ for every integer $k \geq k_\alpha$. Next we prove that if $\Sigma_k < k_\alpha^{4/N}S$, then $\Sigma_k$ is achieved. Finally, we obtain a bound from below for $\inf_{u \in H^2_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} Q_\alpha(u)$ and then prove
\[ \Sigma_k < \inf_{u \in H^2_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} Q_\alpha(u) \]
(11)
for all $\alpha$ large enough, where $H^2_{0,\text{rad}}(\Omega)$ denotes the space of radial functions in $H^2_0(\Omega)$. Therefore, our solution cannot be radial.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish some estimates we will need and investigate the compactness properties of Palais–Smale sequences for $Q_\alpha$. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1. Throughout this paper, the constant $C$ will denote various generic constants.

### 2. Asymptotic Estimates and Analysis of Palais–Smale Sequences

In this section, we first establish the estimate to prove that $\Sigma < k^{4/N}S$ for suitable values of $k$ and $\alpha$.

In what follows, when we need to, we may define the trivial extension of functions $u$ in $H^2_0(\Omega)$ by zero; namely, $u(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$. For any fixed $\lambda > 0, q \in \mathbb{N}^N$, the rescaling $T = T(\lambda, q) : D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \to D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined by
\[ T v(x) = \lambda^{(N−4)/2} v \left( \frac{x}{\lambda} + q \right). \]
(12)
Notice that $T^{-1} = T(1/\lambda, -\lambda q)$; that is,
\[ T^{-1} v(x) = \lambda^{(N−4)/2} v \left( \lambda (x - q) \right). \]
(13)

We choose $r \in (0, 1)$ and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $k$ points $x(j)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \equiv \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^{N−2}$ as
\[ x(j) = (1 − r) e^{2\pi i j/k}, j = 0, 1, \ldots, k − 1. \]
(14)

Notice that the points $x(j)$ are all in $\Omega$. Define
\[ U_{\lambda, x(j)}(x) = T(\lambda, x(j))^{-1} U(x) \]
(15)
\[ = \frac{C_N \lambda^{(N−4)/2}}{(1 + \lambda^2 |x - x(j)|^2)^{(N−4)/2}}, \]
where $C_N = [(N − 4)(N − 2)/N (N + 2)]^{(N−4)/8}$. We recall that $U_{\lambda, x(j)}$ are the unique positive solutions, radial about $x(j)$, of the equation $\Delta^2 U = U^{2−1}$ in $D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta U_{\lambda, x(j)}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_{\lambda, x(j)}^{2^*} dx = S^N/4 \]
for all $\lambda$ and $x(j)$. To fix ideas, we anticipate that we will let $r \to 0, n \to \infty$, and $\lambda \to \infty$, with appropriate relations between $r, n, \lambda$. The functions $U_{\lambda, x(j)}$ are not in $H^2_0(\Omega)$, so we will use instead their projections $P_U_{\lambda, x(j)}$ on $H^2_0(\Omega)$ defined by
\[ \Delta^2 P_U_{\lambda, x(j)} = \Delta^2 U_{\lambda, x(j)} \text{ in } \Omega, \]
(16)
\[ P_U_{\lambda, x(j)} = \frac{\partial P_U_{\lambda, x(j)}}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \]
By Lemma 2.27 in [15], we have $P_U_{\lambda, x(j)}(x) > 0$ in $\Omega$. If we set $\varphi_{\lambda, x(j)} = U_{\lambda, x(j)} - P_U_{\lambda, x(j)}$, then
\[ \Delta^4 \varphi_{\lambda, x(j)} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \]
\[ \varphi_{\lambda, x(j)} = U_{\lambda, x(j)} \text{ on } \partial \Omega, \]
\[ \frac{\partial \varphi_{\lambda, x(j)}}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial U_{\lambda, x(j)}}{\partial n} \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \]
To avoid heavy notation from now on, we will write simply $U_j$ for $U_{\lambda,\gamma}(j)$, $PU_j$ for $PU_{\lambda,\gamma}(j)$, and $\varphi_j$ for $\varphi_{\lambda,\gamma}(j)$.

We set

$$d_{jl} = x^{(j)}_l - x^{(j)}_l,$$

$$d = \frac{1}{2} \min_{l \neq j} |d_{jl}| = \frac{1}{2} |x^{(j)}_l - x^{(j)}_l|,$$

(18)

and we assume that $2d \leq r$ and $\lambda d \geq 1$ for all $\lambda$ under consideration. Note also that due to the definition of $x^{(j)}_l$, we have

$$d = 2 (1 - r) \sin \frac{\pi}{k} \sim \frac{C}{k}$$

(19)

for all $r$ small.

**Lemma 2.**

$$
\int_{\Omega} U^{\gamma-1}_j U_l dx
= \begin{cases} 
S^{N/4} + O\left((\lambda r)^{-N}\right), & j = l, \\
C_N a_0 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda d_l)^{N-2}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda r)^N}\right), & j \neq l,
\end{cases}
$$

(20)

where $a_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{\gamma-1}_l dx$.

**Proof.**

**Case 1.** Consider $j = l$.

Direct computations yield that

$$S^{N/4} \geq \int_{\Omega} U^{\gamma}_j dx
\geq \int_{B(x^{(j)})} U^{\gamma}_j dx
= S^{N/4} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(x^{(j)})} U^{\gamma}_j dx
\geq C_N^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(x^{(j)})} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(N-4)/2}}
\geq C_N^2 \omega_N \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{N-4} d\rho}{(1 + \rho^2)^N}
\leq C(\lambda r)^{-N},$$

(21)

where $\omega_N$ denotes surface area of unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Combining (21), we prove the first case of Lemma 2.

**Case 2.** Consider $j \neq l$.

Writing

$$
\int_{\Omega} U^{\gamma-1}_j U_l dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{\gamma-1}_j U_l dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} U^{\gamma-1}_j U_l dx,
$$

(22)

with the same type of calculation as in the proof of the first case, we see that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{\gamma-1}_j U_l dx
\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{\gamma}_j \right)^{2-1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{\gamma}_l dx \right)^{1/2}
\leq \frac{C}{(\lambda r)^N}.
$$

To estimate the integral over $\mathbb{R}^N$ in (22), we follow exactly the calculation in [5] (see page 279-280). It is easy to see that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{\gamma-1}_j U_l dx
= C_N^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(N+4)/2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x - \lambda d_l|^N)^2} \right).
$$

(24)

We have also

$$
1 + |x - \lambda d_l|^2 = \left( 1 + \lambda^2 |d_l|^2 \right) \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{1 + \lambda^2 |d_l|^2} \right).
$$

(25)

Hence

$$
\left( 1 + |x - \lambda d_l|^2 \right)^{(4-N)/2}
= \left( 1 + \lambda^2 |d_l|^2 \right)^{(4-N)/2}
\times \left[ 1 + \frac{(N-4) \lambda x \cdot d_l}{1 + \lambda^2 |d_l|^2} + O \left( \frac{|x|^2}{1 + \lambda^2 |d_l|^2} \right) \right]
$$

(26)

for $|x| \leq (1/4) |d_l|$. Direct calculations yield

$$
\int_{|x| \leq (1/4) |d_l|} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(N+4)/2}} \left( \int_{|x| \leq (1/4) |d_l|^2} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x - \lambda d_l|^N)^2} \right)^{(N-4)/2}
= \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda^2 |d_l|^2)^{(N-4)/2}}
$$
\[ \int_{\Omega} U^{2^*-1} u_{j} dx = \frac{C_N a_0}{\lambda [d_{j}]^{N-2}} + O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-2} [d_{j}]^{N-2}} \right). \] (35)

Combining (23) and (35), we prove
\[ \int_{\Omega} U^{2^*-1} u_{j} dx = \frac{C_N a_0}{\lambda [d_{j}]^{N-4}} + O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-2} [d_{j}]^{N-2}} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{(\lambda r)^N} \right). \] (36)

We denote by \( G \) Green's function of \( \Delta^2 \); that is,
\[ \Delta^2 G (x, \cdot) = 2 (N - 4) (N - 2) \omega_N \delta_x \quad \text{in } \Omega, \]
\[ G(x, \cdot) = \frac{\partial G(x, \cdot)}{\partial n_y} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega, \] (37)

where \( \delta_x \) denotes the Dirac mass at \( x \), and \( n_y \) is the outer unit normal at \( y \in \partial \Omega \). We also denote by \( H \) the regular part of \( G \); that is,
\[ H(x, y) = |x - y|^{4-N} - G(x, y) \]
for \( (x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega. \] (38)
By Remark 1 in [16], we have
\[
H(x, y) = \left| \frac{x}{|x|} \right| \frac{y - x}{|x|} \frac{4 - N}{2} (1 - |x|^2) \left| y \right| y - \frac{x}{|x|} \frac{2 - N}{0.0001} + \frac{N - 4}{2} (1 - |x|^2) \left| y \right| y - \frac{x}{|x|} \frac{2 - N}{0.0001} .
\] (39)

Lemma 3. Consider
\[
\int \Omega U^{2-1} dx = \begin{cases}
C_N a_0 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{N-4}} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(i)}) + O(\lambda^{N-1-N}), & j = l \\
C_N a_0 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{N-4}} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(i)}) + O((\lambda r)^N), & j \neq l,
\end{cases}
\]
where \(a_0 = \int_{R^N} U^{2-1} dx\).

Proof.

Case 1. Consider \(j = l\).

Set
\[
\Gamma(x) = \varphi_j(x) - C_N a_0 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{N-4}} H(x^{(j)}, x) .
\] (41)

By the definition of \(\varphi_j\) and \(H(x^{(j)}, x)\), we get
\[
\Delta^2 \Gamma(x) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega ,
\]
\[
\Gamma(x) = U_j - C_N a_0 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{N-4}} |x - x^{(j)}|^{4-N}
\]
on \(\partial \Omega\),
\[
\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial n}(x) = \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial n} - C_N a_0 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{N-4}} \frac{\partial |x - x^{(j)}|^{4-N}}{\partial n} \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega.
\] (42)

For each \(x \in \partial \Omega\), we have
\[
\Gamma(x) = C_N a_0 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{N-4}} |x - x^{(j)}|^{4-N}
\]
\[
\times \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^2 |x - x^{(j)}|^2} \right)^{(N-4)/2} - 1 \right].
\] (43)

Thus,
\[
|\Gamma(x)| \leq C_N a_0 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{N-4}} 2^{4-N} \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^2 r^2} \right)^{(N-4)/2} \right]
\]
\[
\leq C \lambda^{-N/2} r^{2-N} ;
\] (44)

consequently,
\[
\Gamma(x) = O \left( \lambda^{-N/2} r^{2-N} \right) , \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \partial \Omega .
\] (45)

For each \(x \in \partial \Omega\), we have
\[
\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial n}(x) = C_N \left( 4 - N \right) \left( 1 - x \cdot x^{(j)} \right)^{\lambda^{(N-4)/2}}
\]
\[
\times |x - x^{(j)}|^{2-N} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^2 |x - x^{(j)}|^2} \right)^{(N-2)/2} - 1 \right].
\] (46)

Since \(1 - x \cdot x^{(j)} \leq 1 - |x| \cdot |x^{(j)}| = r\), we have
\[
\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial n}(x) = O \left( \lambda^{-N/2} r^{1-N} \right) , \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \partial \Omega .
\] (47)

By [15] (page 155), we have the following explicit formula:
\[
\Gamma(x) = \int_{\partial \Omega} K(x, y) \left( U_j(y) - C_N a_0 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{N-4}} \right) |y - x^{(j)}|^{4-N} dS(y)
\]
\[
+ C_N (N - 4) \int_{\partial \Omega} L(x, y) \left( |y|^2 - y \cdot x^{(j)} \right)
\]
\[
\times \left[ \lambda^{N/2} \left( 1 + \lambda^2 |y - x^{(j)}|^2 \right)^{(N-2)/2} - \lambda^{(N-4)/2} \left| y - x^{(j)} \right|^{2-N} \right] dS(y) ,
\] (48)

where
\[
K(x, y) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{N/2}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^2 |x - y|^2} \right)^{N/2} 2 + (N - 4) x \cdot y - (N - 2) |x|^2 ,
\]
\[
L(x, y) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{N/2}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \lambda^2 |x - y|^2} \right)^{N/2} ,
\] (49)

with \(x \in \Omega, y \in \partial \Omega\). Using (45) and (47), we have, for all \(x \in \Omega\),
\[
|\Gamma(x)| \leq C \lambda^{-N/2} r^{2-N} \int_{\partial \Omega} |K(x, y)| dS(y)
\]
\[
+ \lambda^{-N/2} r^{1-N} \int_{\partial \Omega} L(x, y) dS(y)
\]
\[
\leq C \lambda^{-N/2} r^{1-N} \int_{\partial \Omega} L(x, y) dS(y)
\]
\[
\leq C \lambda^{-N/2} r^{1-N} .
\] (50)

Thus,
\[
\Gamma(x) = O \left( \lambda^{-N/2} r^{1-N} \right) , \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega .
\] (51)
We split the term to be estimated as
\[
\int_{\Omega} U_j^{2^*-1} \varphi_j dx
= C_{N} \lambda^{(4-N)/2} \left( \int_{B_{r/2}(x^{(j)})} U_j^{2^*-1} dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{r/2}(x^{(j)})} U_j^{2^*-1} dx \right) \times U_j^{2^*-1} H \left( x^{(j)}, x \right) dx
+ \int_{\Omega} U_j^{2^*-1} \Gamma \left( x \right) dx,
\]
and then for the last integral we have
\[
\left| \int_{\Omega} U_j^{2^*-1} \Gamma \left( x \right) dx \right| \leq O \left( \lambda^{-N/2} r^{-1-N} \right) \int_{\Omega} U_j^{2^*-1} dx \leq O \left( \lambda^{-N/2} r^{-1-N} \right) C_{N} \lambda^{(4-N)/2} = O \left( \lambda^{2-N} r^{1-N} \right).
\]
Concerning the integral over \( \Omega \setminus B_{r/2}(x^{(j)}) \), we first notice that, by (39),
\[
H \left( x^{(j)}, x \right) \leq r^{4-N} + \frac{N-4}{2} r^{-N} (2 - r) \left( 1 - |x|^2 \right) \leq 2(N - 4) r^{3-N}.
\]
Therefore,
\[
C_{N} \lambda^{(4-N)/2} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{r/2}(x^{(j)})} U_j^{2^*-1} H \left( x^{(j)}, x \right) dx \leq C_{N} \lambda^{(4-N)/2} (N - 4) r^{3-N} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{r/2}(x^{(j)})} U_j^{2^*-1} dx
= O \left( \lambda^{2-N} r^{1-N} \right).
\]
As in [5, 12] we expand \( H(x^{(j)}, \cdot) \) up to the fifth order near \( x^{(j)} \), writing
\[
H \left( x^{(j)}, x \right) = H \left( x^{(j)}, x^{(j)} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{5} H_k + R,
\]
where \( H_k \) denotes the \( k \)th order term (e.g., \( H_1 = \nabla H(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)})(x - x^{(j)}) \)). Since \( |R| \leq \sup_{B_{r/2}(x^{(j)})} \| \nabla H(x^{(j)}, \cdot) \| \cdot |x - x^{(j)}|^6 \), using the explicit form of \( H \), it is not difficult to check that
\[
|R| \leq \sup_{B_{r/2}(x^{(j)})} \| \nabla H(x^{(j)}, \cdot) \| \leq \frac{C}{r^{N+2}}
\]
so that
\[
\left| \int_{B_{r/2}(x^{(j)})} U_j^{2^*-1} R \right| \leq \frac{C}{r^{N+2}} \int_{B_{r/2}(x^{(j)})} U_j^{2^*-1} |x - x^{(j)}|^6 dx \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{(N+4)/2} r^{N}}.
\]
Similar to (27), we have
\[
\int_{\Gamma_1 \setminus B_{1/2}(0)} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(N+4)/2}}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{\lambda |d_j|^{N-4}} \int_{\Gamma_1 \setminus B_{1/2}(0)} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(N+4)/2}}
+ \frac{1}{\lambda |d_j|^{N-2}} \int_{\Gamma_2 \setminus B_{1/2}(0)} \frac{|x|^2 dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(N+4)/2}}.
\]

Now with elementary computations we have
\[
\int_{\Gamma_1 \setminus B_{1/2}(0)} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(N+4)/2}}
\]
\[
\leq \int_{R^N \setminus B_{1/2}(0)} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(N+4)/2}} = O((\lambda d)^{-4}),
\]
\[
\int_{\Gamma_2 \setminus B_{1/2}(0)} \frac{|x|^2 dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(N+4)/2}}
\]
\[
\leq \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{|x|^2 dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(N+4)/2}} = \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{|x|^2 dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(N+4)/2}}
+ O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda |d_j|^{N-2}}\right).
\]

Inserting these in (64), we obtain
\[
\int_{\Gamma_1 \setminus B_{1/2}(0)} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(N+4)/2}}
\]
\[
\leq O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda N d^4|d_j|^{N-4}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda |d_j|^{N-2}}\right).
\]

Substituting (33), (32), and (66) into (63), we obtain
\[
\int_{R^N \setminus B_1} U_j^{2-1} U_j dx
\]
\[
\leq O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda N d^4|d_j|^{N-4}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda |d_j|^{N-2}}\right).
\]

By (61), (35), and the inequality above, we get the desired estimate. \(\square\)

**Lemma 5.** Consider
\[
\int_{B_j} U_j^{2-1} \varphi_j dx
\]
\[
\leq \begin{cases} 
\frac{C_N a_j}{\lambda^{N-4}} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)}) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{N-4}}\right), & j = 1, \\
\frac{C_N a_j}{\lambda^{N-4}} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)}) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{N-4} d^N}\right), & j \neq 1,
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.**

**Case 1.** Consider \(j = 1\).

Set
\[
\Gamma(x) = \varphi_j(x) - C_N a_j^{(N-4)/2} H(x^{(j)}, x).
\]

For \(x \in \Omega, y \in \partial \Omega\), we have
\[
2 + (N - 4) x \cdot y - (N - 2) |x|^2 
\]
\[
\leq 2 + (N - 4) |x| - (N - 2) |x|^2 < 0.
\]

By definition of \(K(x, y)\), we get
\[
K(x, y) < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega, \quad y \in \partial \Omega.
\]

By (48), we obtain
\[
\Gamma(x) < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega.
\]

Therefore, we can write
\[
\int_{B_j} U_j^{2-1} \varphi_j dx
\]
\[
= \frac{C_N}{\lambda^{N-4}} \int_{B_j} U_j^{2-1} H(x^{(j)}, x) dx + \int_{B_j} U_j^{2-1} \Gamma dx
\]
\[
\leq \frac{C_N}{\lambda^{N-4}} \int_{B_j} U_j^{2-1} H(x^{(j)}, x) dx
\]
\[
= \frac{C_N a_j}{\lambda^{N-4}} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)}) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{N-4} d^N}\right),
\]
which gives the required estimate.

**Case 2.** Consider \(j \neq 1\).

The computation can be adapted from the ones in the proof of Case 1.

Define
\[
\tilde{u}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P U_j = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (U_j - \varphi_j).
\]

Due to the definition of the points \(x^{(j)}\), we have \(\tilde{u} \in H_k\). Notice that \(\tilde{u}\) depends on \(\lambda, k\), and \(r\) through the choice of the points \(x^{(j)}\). \(\square\)
Lemma 6. As $\lambda d \to \infty$ (i.e., $\lambda \to 0$), one has
\[
\int_{\Omega} \left| \Delta \tilde{u} \right|^2 \, dx = k S^{N/4} - \frac{C_N \alpha_0}{\lambda^{N-4}}
\times \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)}) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} G(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)}) \right\}
+ k^{N-1} O \left( \lambda^{2-N} \right) + k^2 O \left( (\lambda r)^{-N} \right),
\]
\[
\int_{\Omega} |x|^a \tilde{u}^2 \, dx 
\geq (1 - 2r)^a
\times \left[ k S^{N/4} - 2^2 C_N \alpha_0 \right.
\times \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)}) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} G(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)}) \right\}
\left. + k^{N-1} O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-2}} \right) + k^2 O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-1} \rho^N} \right) \right].
\]

Proof. By definition of $u$ (see (75)) and $P_{U_j}$,
\[
\int_{\Omega} \left| \Delta \tilde{u} \right|^2 \, dx
= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} U_j^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} U_j^{2-1} \varphi_j \, dx \right)
+ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} U_j^{2-1} U_j \, dx - \int_{\Omega} U_j^{2-1} \varphi_j \, dx \right).
\]

By Lemmas 2 and 3, we have
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} U_j^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} U_j^{2-1} \varphi_j \, dx \right)
= k S^{N/4} - \frac{C_N \alpha_0}{\lambda^{N-4}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)})
+ k O \left( (\lambda r)^{-N} \right), \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_{\Omega} U_j^{2-1} \varphi_j \, dx
= \frac{C_N \alpha_0}{\lambda^{N-4}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)})
+ \left( k^2 - k \right) O \left( (\lambda r)^{-N} \right).
\]

By the symmetry of the points $x^{(j)}$, we have
\[
\frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |d_{jl}|^{N-2}} = \frac{1}{k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (2 - 2r)^{N-2} \sin^{N-2} \left( \frac{\pi j/k}{2} \right)}
\sim \frac{2k}{(2 - 2r)^{N-2}} \sum_{j=1}^{(k-1)/2} C \frac{N-2}{(j/k)^{N-2}}
\sim Ck^{N-1},
\]
since the series of $j^{-N}$ is convergent. Recall that $r$ will be taken small so that we can always assume $r \leq 1/2$. By (80), we obtain from Lemma 2
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_{\Omega} U_j^{2-1} U_j \, dx
= \frac{C_N \alpha_0}{\lambda^{N-4}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_{\Omega} \left| x^{(j)} - x^{(b)} \right|^{N-4} \, dx
+ k^{N-1} O \left( \lambda^{2-N} \right) + \left( k^2 - k \right) O \left( (\lambda r)^{-N} \right).
\]

Substituting (79) and (81) into (78) and recalling the definition of $G$, we prove (76).

Having completed the estimate of the numerator of $Q_a$, we now go on to estimate the denominator, namely,
\[
\int_{\Omega \setminus \bar{B}_{1-2r}(0)} |x|^a \tilde{u}^2 \, dx.
\]

It is easy to see that
\[
\tilde{u}^2 = \left( \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} P_{U_l} \right)^{2^*}
= \left[ U_j \left( U_j + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (U_j - \varphi_l) - \varphi_j \right) \right]^{2^*}
\geq U_j^{2^*} + 2^* U_j^{2-1} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (U_j - \varphi_l) - \varphi_j \right]^{2^*},
\]
where we have used the inequality
\[
(a + b)^p \geq a^p + pa^{p-1}b,
\]
(84)
for \( p \geq 1, a \geq 0, a + b \geq 0 \). We obtain therefore

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_{B_j} \bar{u}^{2^*} \, dx
\geq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \int_{B_j} U_j^{2^*} \, dx - 2^* \int_{B_j} U_j^{2^*-1} \varphi_j \, dx \right)
\geq k\tilde{S}^{N/4} - \frac{2^*C_{N^0}}{\lambda^{N-4}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)}) + k^{N-1}O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{N-1}}\right).
\]  

(85)

and we estimate the four integrals separately as above.

By the first part of Lemmas 4 and 5, and recalling that \( r > d \sim C/k \) and

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \int_{B_j} U_j^{2^*} \, dx - 2^* \int_{B_j} U_j^{2^*-1} \varphi_j \, dx \right)
\geq k\tilde{S}^{N/4} - \frac{2^*C_{N^0}}{\lambda^{N-4}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)}) + k^{N-1}O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{N-1}}\right).
\]  

(86)

The remainders generated by the second part of Lemma 4 can be dealt with as in (80). We obtain

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{d_j^{N-2}} \sim Ck^{N-1},
\]  

(87)

and

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{d_j^{N-4}} \sim Ck^{N-3}.
\]  

Therefore,

\[
2^* \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_{B_j} U_j^{2^*-1} \varphi_j \, dx \geq \frac{2^*C_{N^0}}{\lambda^{N-4}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{|x^{(j)} - x^{(j)}|^{N-4}} |x^{(j)} - x^{(j)}|^{N-4}
+ k^{N-1}O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{N-2}}\right) + k^{N-1}O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{N-2}}\right)
= \frac{2^*C_{N^0}}{\lambda^{N-4}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{|x^{(j)} - x^{(j)}|^{N-4}}
+ k^{N-1}O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{N-2}}\right),
\]  

(88)

since \( k/\lambda \to 0 \).

Finally, from Lemma 5,

\[
2^* \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_{B_j} U_j^{2^*-1} \varphi_j \, dx \leq \frac{2^*C_{N^0}}{\lambda^{N-4}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)})
+ (k^2 - k)O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{N-1}}\right). \tag{94}
\]

Substituting (86), (88), and (89) into (85) and recalling the definition of \( G \), we obtain the required estimate. \( \square \)

**Proposition 7.** Let \( N \geq 6 \). For every \( \alpha > 0 \), there exists \( k_\alpha > 0 \) such that, for every integer \( k \geq k_\alpha \),

\[
\Sigma_k < k^{4/NS}.
\]  

(90)

**Proof.** The function \( \bar{u} \) constructed in (75) depends on \( k, r, \) and \( \lambda \), and for each \( k \) it belongs to \( H_k \). We show that, for appropriate choice of these parameters, there results \( Q_n(\bar{u}) < k^{4/NS} \). For simplicity, we set

\[
A := \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)}) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} G(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)})
\]

and we begin with an estimate of \( A \), noticing that we can write it as

\[
A = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} H(x^{(j)}, x^{(j)}) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{|x^{(j)} - x^{(j)}|^{N-4}}.
\]  

(92)

Moreover, as in (87),

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{|x^{(j)} - x^{(j)}|^{N-4}} \sim C_2 k^{N-3},
\]  

(94)

so we obtain

\[
A \leq \frac{C_1 k^2}{r^{N-2}} - C_2 k^{N-3}.
\]  

(95)

Note that \( (1 - 2r)^{2a/2^*} \geq 1 - 3\alpha r \), for all \( N \geq 6, \alpha > 0 \) and \( r \)
small enough; we see that, from Lemma 6,

\[
\left( \int_{\Omega} |x^n|^{2^*/2} \, dx \right)^{2^*/2} \geq (1 - 3\alpha r) k^{2/2^*}
\]

\[
\times \left[ \frac{S^{N/4} - 2^*C_{N^0}}{\lambda^{N-4}} \right] A + k^{N-2}O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{N-2}}\right) + kO\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{N-1}}\right) \right]^{2^*/2}.
\]  

(96)
Choose $r = k^{-3(N-5)/4(N-2)}$ and $\lambda = k^{1+\varepsilon}$ with $\varepsilon > 0$ and small. It is easy to see that all the quantities depending on $k$ in the square brackets tend to zero as $\lambda/k \to \infty$; therefore, we obtain

$$Q_\alpha (u) \leq \left( k^{N/4} - \frac{C_N q_0}{\lambda^{N/4}} A + k^{N-1} - 1 \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{N/2}} + k^2 O \left( \frac{1}{(\lambda r)^N} \right) \right) \right) \times \left( 1 + 3 \pi r \right)^{2/1+\varepsilon} \times \left[ \frac{3(N-4)/4}{2} k^{N/4} - \frac{C_N q_0}{\lambda^{N/4}} A \right. $$

$$\left. + k^{N-2} O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{N/2}} + k O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-1} r^N} \right) \right) \right]^{-1}. \quad (97)$$

We must check that, for suitable values of the parameters, the right hand side is strictly less than $k^{3(N-5)/4(N-2)}$. Direct computations show that it is enough to prove

$$R := 3\pi r S^{N/4} + (1 - 6\pi r) \frac{C_N q_0}{\lambda^{N/4}} A + k^{N-2} O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{N/2}} \right)$$

$$- (1 - 3\pi r) S k^{N-2} O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{N/2}} \right)$$

$$+ k^2 \left( \frac{1}{(\lambda r)^N} \right) - (1 - 3\pi r) S k O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-1} r^N} \right) < 0. \quad (98)$$

We take $k$ so large that

$$A \leq - \frac{C_2}{2} k^{N-3}, \quad (99)$$

and this is possible because

$$A \leq \frac{C_2}{2} k^{N-3} - \frac{C_2}{2} k^{N-3} = C_2 k^{2+3/4(N-5)} - C_2 k^{N-3} \quad (100)$$

and $2 + (3/4)(N-5) < N - 3$ for all $N \geq 6$, as one immediately checks. Furthermore, noticing that $3N(N-5)/4(N-2) < N - 2$, we see that

$$\frac{k^2}{(\lambda r)^N} = \frac{k^{2+3N(N-5)/4(N-2)}}{\lambda^N} \leq \frac{k^N}{\lambda^N} \leq \frac{k^{N-2}}{\lambda^{N-2}}, \quad (101)$$

since $k/\lambda \to 0$. Therefore, the third and the last big $O$ is unnecessary in the expression of $R$. We are thus led to

$$R \leq \frac{3 \pi q_0^{N/4}}{k^{3(N-5)/4(N-2)}} - \left( 1 - \frac{6\pi r}{k^{3(N-5)/4(N-2)}} \right) \left[ \frac{C_N q_0}{k^{N-4}} + k^{N-2} O \left( \frac{1}{(\lambda r)^N} \right) \right] \times \left( 1 - \frac{6\pi r}{k^{3(N-5)/4(N-2)}} \right) \left[ \frac{C_N q_0}{(k r)^N} + O \left( \frac{1}{k^{N-2}} \right) \right]. \quad (102)$$

Since $\alpha$ is fixed, we have $R < 0$ for $k$ large (depending on $\alpha$) if we take $\varepsilon$ small enough (essentially $\varepsilon < 3(N-5)/4(N-2)(N-4)$).

Next we show that if $\Sigma_k < k^{4/N}$ then $\Sigma_k$ is achieved. So we are led to analyze what happens if a minimizing sequence in $H_k$ tends weakly to zero in $H^2_k(\Omega)$. Let $u_n \in H_k$ be a minimizing sequence for problem (9) such that $u_n \to 0$ weakly in $H^2_k(\Omega)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $Q'_\alpha (u_n) \to 0$ in $H^2_k(\Omega)$ by Ekeland’s variational principle.

Since $Q_\alpha$ is invariant under the action of $G_k$, we also have $Q'_\alpha (u_n) \to 0$ in $H^2_k(\Omega)$. By homogeneity of $Q_\alpha (u)$, we normalize $u_n$ to obtain a sequence (still denoted by $u_n$) such that as $n \to \infty$,

$$Q_\alpha (u_n) \to \Sigma_k, \quad Q'_\alpha (u_n) \to 0 \quad \text{in } H^2_k(\Omega),$$

$$u_n \to 0 \quad \text{in } H^2_k(\Omega), \quad \int_{\Omega} |x|^{q} |u_n|^2 \, dx = \Sigma_k^{2N/4},$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^2 \, dx = \Sigma_k^{2N/4} + o(1). \quad (103)$$

The corresponding energy functional of problem (1) is defined by

$$J_\alpha (u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^q |u|^2 \, dx, \quad u \in H^2_k(\Omega). \quad (104)$$

By (103), direct computation shows that

$$J_\alpha (u_n) \to \frac{2}{N} \Sigma_k^{2N/4}, \quad J'_\alpha (u_n) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \quad (105)$$

Thus, $\{u_n\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for the functional $J_\alpha$ at level $(2/N)\Sigma_k^{2N/4}$.

Since for all $n$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^2 \, dx \geq \int_{\Omega} |x|^q |u_n|^2 \, dx = \Sigma_k^{2N/4} > 0,$$

by Lemma 10.1 in [17], there exists a sequence of rescaling $\mathcal{T}_n = \mathcal{T}(\lambda_n, q_n)$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}_n u_n \to u \quad \text{weakly in } D^{2,2} (\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u \neq 0. \quad (106)$$

Since $\text{supp} u_n \subset \Omega$, we get $\lambda_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and $q_n \in \mathbb{N}$. We can also assume that $q_n \to q \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Lemma 8.** Let $\mathcal{T}_n = \mathcal{T}(\lambda_n, q_n)$ be the above sequence of rescaling satisfying $\lambda \to \infty, q_n \to q \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathcal{T}_n u_n \to u \neq 0$ weakly in $D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then $\lambda_n \text{dist}(q_n, \partial \Omega) \to \infty, q \neq 0$, and $u$ satisfies

$$\Delta^2 u = |x|^q |u|^{q-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (108)$$
Proof. We first prove $\lambda_n \text{dist}(q_n, \partial \Omega) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Assume to the contrary that $\lambda_n \text{dist}(q_n, \partial \Omega) \leq C < \infty$ for all $n$. Considering $\Omega_n = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x/\lambda_n + q_n \in \Omega \}$, we may regard $\mathcal{T}_n u_n \in H^2_0(\Omega_n) \subset D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. After a rotation of coordinates, we may assume that the sequence $(\Omega_n)$ exhausts the half space $\mathbb{R}^N_+$. For any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$, we have $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_n)$ for all large $n$. Thus, the support of $\mathcal{T}_n^{-1} \psi$ is contained in $\Omega$ for all large $n$.

Set
$$
\phi(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^2 & x \in \Omega, \\ 0 & x \notin \Omega, \end{cases}
$$

$$
\phi_n(x) = \phi \left( \frac{x}{\lambda_n} + q_n \right).
$$

(109)

The fact that $\{u_n\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for $J_\alpha$ implies that
$$
o(1) = \left( J'_\alpha(u_n), \mathcal{T}_n \psi \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta u \psi \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi \, u^{2^-1} \psi \, dx + o(1),
$$

(110)

We have used the fact that $\mathcal{T}_n u_n \to u$ in $D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\phi_n(x) \to \phi(q)$. Since this happens for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$, we see that $u$ satisfies
$$
\Delta^2 u = |q|^a u^{2^-1}, \quad u \in D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ in } \Pi,
$$

$$
u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Pi,
$$

(111)

where $\Pi = \{(x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_N \geq -L \}$ for some constant $L \geq 0$. By Lemma 4 in [2] (also see [4]), (111) has a unique solution $u \equiv 0$, which contradicts $u \not\equiv 0$. Therefore, we must have $\lambda_n \text{dist}(q_n, \partial \Omega) \to \infty$. In this case, we can repeat the above argument and take test function $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$. The above computations imply that $u$ is a nontrivial solution of $\Delta^2 u = |q|^a u^{2^-2} u$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, which also shows that $q \neq 0$ since $u \in D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Remark 9. It is proved in [18] that the radial function
$$
U(x) = C_N \left( 1 + |x|^2 \right)^{-(N-4)/2}
$$

solves $\Delta^2 U = U^{2^-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where $C_N = [(N-4)(N-2)/2]^{(N-4)/8}$. So the function $u$ is not a multiple of $U$. Precisely, $u = |q|^a U$.

Lemma 10. Let $\mathcal{T}_n u_n$ be the sequence constructed above. Then, as $n \to \infty$, one has

(i) $J_\alpha(u_n - \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}(\chi_n u)) = J_\alpha(u_n) - J_{\alpha,q}(u_n) + o(1),$

(ii) $J'_\alpha(u_n - \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}(\chi_n u)) = J'_\alpha(u_n) + o(1)$ in $H^1_0(\Omega)$,

where

$$
J_{\alpha,q}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2^*} |q|^a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 \, dx.
$$

(115)

Moreover, the sequence $\{u_n - \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}(\chi_n u)\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for $J_\alpha$ at level $(2/N)\chi^{N/4}_n - J_{\alpha,q}(u)$; namely, it satisfies

(i) $J_\alpha(u_n - \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}(\chi_n u)) = (2/N)\chi^{N/4}_n - J_{\alpha,q}(u) + o(1),$

(ii) $J'_\alpha(u_n - \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}(\chi_n u)) = o(1)$ in $H^1_0(\Omega)$.

Proof. (i) Set $\omega_n = u_n - \mathcal{T}_n^{-1}(\chi_n u)$; then $\omega_n \in H^2_0(\Omega)$. Since $\mathcal{T}_n u_n \to u$ and $\chi_n u \to u$ in $D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} |\Delta \omega_n|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u_n|^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx + o(1).
$$

(116)
Set \( \phi_n(x) = \phi(x/\lambda_n + q_n) \). Changing variables as in the first part, we have
\[
\int_\Omega |x|^a |u_n|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(x) |u_n|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_n(x) |\nabla u_n - \chi_u u|^2 \, dx.
\]
Since \( \chi_u u \to u \) in \( D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \), we get by the Brézis-Lieb lemma [19]:
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_n(x) |\nabla u_n - \chi_u u|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_n(x) |\nabla u_n - u|^2 \, dx + o(1),
\]
which, combined with (116), yields (i).

(ii) Without loss of generality, we assume that \( u_n - \nabla^{-1}(\chi_u u) \) is nonnegative; otherwise, one replaces its \((2^* - 1)\)th power by \(|u_n - \nabla^{-1}(\chi_u u)|^{2^*-2}(u_n - \nabla^{-1}(\chi_u u))\). Taking \( \psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \),
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi_n(x) (u_n - \nabla^{-1}(\chi_u u)) \Delta \psi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta (u_n - \nabla^{-1}(\chi_u u)) \psi \, dx
\]
which is a Palais-Smale sequence by Lemma 8. Thus, we prove (ii).

An immediate consequence of (i) and (ii) is the sequence \([u_n - \nabla^{-1}(\chi_u u)]\) which is a Palais-Smale sequence for \( I_\alpha \) at level \((2/N)2^{N/4}\). Hence, \( u_n \to \chi_u u \) in \( H_0^2(\Omega) \). Then there is a positive m
(depending only on $\Sigma_k$) such that, for every $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, there exist sequences $\{\lambda_{jn}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and } \{q_{jn}\} \subset \Omega$, with $\lambda_{jn} \to \infty$ and $q_{jn} \to q_j \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ as $n \to \infty$, and there exists a nontrivial critical point $v_j \in D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of $I_{\alpha j1}$ such that (up to subsequence)

$$u_n = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{T}^{-1}_{jn}(v_j) + o(1) \quad \text{in } D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{jn} = \mathcal{T}(\lambda_{jn}, q_{jn})$.

**Proof.** It is clear that there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\lambda_n \to \infty$, a sequence $q_{jn}$ of points of $\Omega$ with $q_{jn} \to q_1 \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}$, and a nontrivial critical point $v_1$ of $I_{\alpha j1}$ such that, setting $\mathcal{T}_{jn} = \mathcal{T}(\lambda_{jn}, q_{jn})$, the sequence

$$u_n := u_n - \mathcal{T}^{-1}_{jn}(\chi_{jn}v_1)$$

is a Palais-Smale sequence for $I_{\alpha j}$ at level $(2/N)\Sigma_k^{N/4} - J_0(v_1)$. We now iterate this scheme. If $\lambda_{1n} \to 0$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then the fact that it is a Palais-Smale sequence implies that $w_{1n} \to 0$ strongly in $D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since also $\chi_{1n}v_1 \to v_1$ strongly in $D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can write

$$u_n = \mathcal{T}^{-1}_{jn}(v_1) + o(1) \quad \text{in } D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and the lemma is proved with $m = 1$. Otherwise, $w_{1n} \to 0$ weakly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ but not strongly. In this case, starting with Lemma 10.1 in [17], we can work on $w_{1n}$ as we did for $u_n$. So we can find sequences $\lambda_{2n} \to \infty, q_{2n} \to q_2 \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ and a nontrivial critical point $v_2$ of $I_{\alpha j2}$ such that the sequence

$$w_{2n} := w_{1n} - \mathcal{T}^{-1}_{2n}(\chi_{2n}v_2)$$

is a Palais-Smale sequence for $I_{\alpha j}$ at level $(2/N)\Sigma_k^{N/4} - J_0(v_1) - J_{\alpha j2}(v_2)$. Once again, if $w_{2n} \to 0$ strongly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then we obtain

$$u_n = \mathcal{T}^{-1}_{jn}(v_1) + w_{1n} = \mathcal{T}^{-1}_{1n}(v_1) + \mathcal{T}^{-1}_{2n}(v_2) + o(1)$$

in $D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

and the lemma is proved with $m = 2$. Otherwise, $w_{2n} \to 0$ weakly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ but not strongly, and we iterate the above argument. This procedure will end after a finite number of steps. Actually, notice that, by Remark 9, for all $j$,

$$J_{\alpha j} = I_{\alpha j2} \left( \int \alpha^{(4-N)/8} U \right) \geq \frac{2}{N} \Sigma_k^{N/4}$$

by definition of $U$, so that, after at most $m := \lceil \Sigma_k^{N/4} \rceil$ steps, the remainder will be a Palais-Smale sequence at level zero; namely, it will be $o(1)$ in $D^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, obtaining the requested representation for $u_n$ and $I_{\alpha}(u_n)$.

**Remark 12.** Checking the process of the proof of Lemma II, it is easy to see that if one does not suppress the cut-off functions $\chi_{jn}$, one can obtain the following representation of $u_n$:

$$u_n = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{T}^{-1}_{jn}(\chi_{jn}v_j) + o(1)$$

in $H^2_0(\Omega)$.

**Lemma 13.** Let $\{u_n\} \subset H_k$ be a minimizing sequence for problem (9) and $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^2_0(\Omega)$. If $u \not\equiv 0$, then $u$ is a minimum point and $u_n \to u$ strongly in $H^2_0(\Omega)$.

**Proof.** Notice first that $u \in H_k$ since $H_k$ is weakly closed in $H^2_0(\Omega)$. Weak convergence and the Brézis-Lieb Lemma yield

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n - \Delta u|^2 \, dx \right) = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^a |u_n|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |x|^a |u_n - u|^2 \, dx \right) = \int_{\Omega} |x|^a |u|^2 \, dx.$$

Therefore,

$$Q_\alpha(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n - \Delta u|^2 \, dx + o(1) \right) \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^a |u_n|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |x|^a |u_n - u|^2 \, dx + o(1) \right)^{2/2^*}.$$

But $\{u_n\}$ is a minimizing sequence so that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^2 \, dx = \Sigma_k \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^a |u_n|^2 \, dx \right)^{2/2^*} + o(1).$$

Since $u_n - u \in H_k$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta (u_n - u)|^2 \, dx \geq \Sigma_k \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^a |u_n - u|^2 \, dx \right)^{2/2^*} + o(1).$$

____

**Abstract and Applied Analysis**
Inserting (136) and (137) into (135), we obtain
\[
Q_\alpha(u) 
\leq \Sigma_k \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n - \Delta u|^2 dx + o(1) \right) 
\times \left( \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n|^2 dx \right)^{2/\gamma} 
- \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta (u_n - u)|^2 dx \right)^{2/\gamma} + o(1) \right)^{-1}.
\] (138)

Since \( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n - \Delta u|^2 dx < \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx \) for \( n \) large enough, it is easy to see that \( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_n - \Delta u|^2 dx \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Otherwise, the right hand side of (138) will be strictly less than \( \Sigma_k \), which contradicts the definition of \( \Sigma_k \). Thus, we have \( u_n \to u \) strongly in \( H^2_0(\Omega) \).

The following proposition is the main result of this section.

**Proposition 14.** If \( \Sigma_k < k^{4/N}S \), then \( \Sigma_k \) is achieved.

**Proof.** Let \( \{u_n\} \subset H_k \) be a (bounded) minimizing sequence for \( Q_\alpha \) over \( H_k \). Then there exists a subsequence (still denoted by \( u_n \)) such that \( u_n \rightharpoonup u \) in \( H^2_0(\Omega) \). If \( u \neq 0 \), by Lemma 13, \( u \) is a minimum point in \( H_k \), and the proof is completed. If, on the contrary, \( u_n \rightharpoonup 0 \) in \( H^2_0(\Omega) \), then, as we did above, without loss of generality we can assume that \( \{u_n\} \) is a Palais-Smale sequence for \( I_\alpha \) at level \( (2/N)\Sigma_k^{N/4} \). The behavior of such sequence is described in Lemma 2. In particular,

\[
J_\alpha(u_n) = \sum_{j=1}^m J_{\alpha,\delta_j}(v_j) + o(1)
= \sum_{j=1}^m |q_j|^2 \left( 2 \frac{N}{S^{N/4}} + o(1) \right) + o(1)
= 2 \frac{m}{N} S^{N/4} + o(1) .
\] (139)

Since \( v_j \) is a multiple of the radial function \( U \), the cut-off function \( \chi_{p_j} \) is also radial, and \( ON(N - 2) \) is a continuous group, we obtain that, for every \( j = 1, \ldots, m, \lambda, \lambda_{mdist}(q_{p_j}, \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}) \to 0 \) as \( n \to 0 \). Otherwise, (133) would be incompatible with the symmetry properties of \( u_n \). Thus, we can replace \( q_{p_j} \) in (133) with its projection on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\} \) so that we can assume that (133) holds in \( H_k \). This means that \( m \) must be a multiple of \( k \); say, \( m = lk \), for some integer \( l \geq 1 \). But, from (139),
\[
\frac{2}{N} S^{N/4} = J_\alpha(u_n) + o(1)
\geq lk \frac{2}{N} S^{N/4} + o(1) \geq \frac{2k}{N} S^{N/4} + o(1) ;
\] (140)

namely, \( \Sigma_k \geq k^{4/N}S \), which is impossible. \( \square \)

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

This last section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 15.** For any \( 2 \leq p \leq 2^* \), there exists \( C > 0 \) depending on \( N \) and \( p \) such that
\[
\inf_{u \in H^2_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} Q_{\alpha,p}(u) \geq C \left( \frac{\alpha + N}{N} \right)^{1+2/p}.
\] (141)

as \( \alpha \to +\infty \),

where \( H^2_0(\Omega) \) denotes the space of radial functions in \( H^2_0(\Omega) \) and
\[
Q_{\alpha,p}(u) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx}{\left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^\alpha |u|^p dx \right)^{2/p}} .
\] (142)

**Proof.** Let \( u \in H^2_0(\Omega) \) and define \( v(|x|) = u(|x|^\beta) \), where \( \beta = N/(\alpha + N) \). Then
\[
\int_{\Omega} |x|^\alpha |u|^p dx = \beta \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^p dx .
\] (143)

It is easy to see that
\[
\Delta v = \beta \left[ \beta u''(\rho) \rho^{2(\beta - 1)} + (N + \beta - 2) u'(\rho) \rho^{\beta - 2} \right] .
\] (144)

We obtain
\[
\beta^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^2 |x|^{(\beta - 1)(N - 4)} dx = N \omega_N \int_0^1 \left[ \beta u''(\rho) + (N + \beta - 2) \frac{u'((\beta - 2) \rho)}{\rho} \right]^2 \rho^{N - 4} d\rho .
\] (145)

Set
\[
F(\beta) = N \omega_N \int_0^1 \left[ \beta u''(\rho) + (N + \beta - 2) \frac{u'((\beta - 2) \rho)}{\rho} \right]^2 \rho^{N - 4} d\rho .
\] (146)

Direct computations yield \( F''(\beta) > 0 \), which shows \( F(\beta) \) is convex. Hence
\[
F(\beta) \leq \max \{ F(0), F(1) \} ;
\] (147)

that is
\[
F(\beta) \leq \max \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^2} dx, \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx \right\} .
\] (148)

By Hardy’s inequality, we have
\[
\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right|^2 dx \geq \frac{(N - 2)^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\partial u/\partial x_j|^2}{|x|^2} dx, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N ;
\] (149)
consequently,
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right|^2 dx \geq \frac{(N-2)^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^2} dx.
\]  
\text{(150)}

Therefore, by (148) and (150), there exists \( C > 0 \) depending only on \( N \) such that
\[
\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx \geq C \beta^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^\beta |x|^{(\beta-1)(N-4)} dx.
\]  
\text{(151)}

By (143), we have
\[
\frac{\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx}{\left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^\beta |u|^p dx \right)^{2/p}} \geq \frac{C \beta^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^\beta |x|^{(\beta-1)(N-4)} dx}{\beta^{2/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^p dx \right)^{2/p}}.
\]  
\text{(152)}

For every \( \beta \in [0, 1] \),
\[
\epsilon_{\beta} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{H}_k^0(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\Delta v|^2 |x|^{(\beta-1)(N-4)} dx}{\left( \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^p dx \right)^{2/p}}.
\]  
\text{(153)}

is achieved by standard arguments. Since \( \epsilon_{\beta} \) is nondecreasing on \([0, 1]\), by (152), we obtain (141).

We are now ready for the main result of the paper.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** For every \( \alpha > 0 \), problem (1) has a solution in some \( H_k \). Indeed, given \( \alpha > 0 \), there exists \( k_\alpha > 0 \) such that, for \( k \geq k_\alpha \),
\[
\Sigma_k < k^{4/N} S.
\]  
\text{(154)}

By Proposition 14, \( \Sigma_k \) is achieved by a function \( u \in H_k \). By invariance, \( u \) is a critical point of \( Q_\alpha \) on \( H_0^2(\Omega) \) which, after scaling, gives rise to a weak solution of (1). By [13], \( u \) is a classical solution. We have to show that, at least for \( \alpha \) large, \( u \) is not radial.

By Lemma 15,
\[
\inf_{u \in \mathcal{H}_k^0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} Q_\alpha (u) \geq C \alpha^{(2N-4)/N},
\]  
\text{(155)}

where the constant \( C \) depends only on \( N \). We now show that the level \( \Sigma_\alpha \) of the solution we find is strictly below this threshold for \( \alpha \) large. To this aim, we must evaluate how large \( k_\alpha \) of Proposition 7 has to be in terms of \( \alpha \). If we choose \( k \) of the order of \( \alpha^{(N-2)/(3(N-5)+1/(N-5))} \), we see that (102) essentially becomes

\[
R \leq \frac{3S^{N/4}}{\alpha^{5/(4N-2)}} \left( 1 - \frac{6}{\alpha^{3/(4N-2)}} \right) C_N a C_2 \frac{\alpha^{-(4(N-2)/(3(N-5)+1/(N-5))(N-4))}}{2} + O \left( \alpha^{-(4(N-2)/(3(N-5)+1/(N-5))(N-2))} \right).
\]  
\text{(156)}

Therefore, \( R \) will be negative for all \( \alpha \) big enough when \( \varepsilon \) is sufficiently small, so we find a solution to (1) in the corresponding \( H_k \). Therefore, we find a solution at level
\[
\Sigma_k < k^{4/N} S \leq C \alpha^{(4(N-3)/3(N-5))}\text{for all } \alpha \text{ large enough since } 4(N-3)/3(N-5) \leq (2N-4)/N \text{ for all } N \geq 8. \text{ Therefore, our solution cannot be radial.}
\]
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