Research Article

Research on RBV Control Strategy of Large Angle Maneuver

Jiangtao Xu,¹ Na Luo,¹ Yu Fu,² Litong Wang,¹ and Xiande Wu¹

¹ Department of Astronautics Engineering, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, China
² Beijing Institute of Astronautical Systems Engineering, Beijing 100076, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jiangtao Xu; hit_xtj@163.com

Received 11 July 2013; Revised 20 October 2013; Accepted 21 November 2013; Published 28 January 2014

1. Introduction

To meet the development of space research, space tourism, and military requirement and achieve the goal of speediness, high reliability, reusability, and low cost, the hypersonic aerospace vehicle especially reusable launch vehicle, RLV, emerges [1–6].

Reusable boosted vehicle (RBV) experiences the subsonic, transonic, and supersonic phase during the whole flight, which the aerodynamic coefficients, dynamic pressure, and flight altitude acute change, especially in the large attitude adjusting phase with supersonic and large angle of attack. Considering the imprecise aerodynamic model, abominable flight condition at the high altitude, great system perturbation, and interference, gain scheduling and PID control strategy based on linearized with small disturbances cannot apply to the flight control system of RBV large attitude adjusting phase design. It is necessary to design RBV control system by using nonlinear control method.

Shtessel designed the sliding mode control system of X-33 based on the time-scale separation principle, which has achieved the great control performance [7–9]. On the basis, the designer estimated the real-time perturbation and interference through introducing the sliding mode observer, which not only could suppress the buffeting, but also could improve the robust performance of system. In case of solving the buffeting problem of sliding mode control method effectively, it could be one of the efficient methods to solve the uncertain nonlinear system.

Linear parameter varying (LPV) control methodology [10] is an extension of $H_{\infty}$ control theory [11, 12] to the linear varying parameter system. To the nonlinear flight control system, many scholars have studied the application of LPV control methodology [13–15]. Nevertheless, using LPV control methodology needs to transform the nonlinear system to the LPV system, there is no uniform evaluation criterion to judging the approximative transform.

Nonlinear dynamic inversion (NDI) is the general nonlinear control strategy and methodology. Snell et al. [16] applied NDI to the supermaneuverable aircraft firstly. Bugajska and Enns [17] designed the universal architecture of flight control system design, the core of which was the structure block. Using the above method designed the control law of the large angle of attack aircraft. The simulation results verified that this methodology could satisfy the performance requirement of supermaneuvering flight control, but it must...
be pointed out that modeling error and serious unusual aerodynamic effect cause the decreased robustness in the NDI control law. Farland and D’Souza [18] designed the attitude control system of lifting body reentry vehicle by NDI. The writer indicated that, to the parameter perturbation and external interference, using robust control theory strengthens the robustness of system under the NDI. NDI control methodology requires the accurate model. In fact it is impossible. Hence, it is necessary to combine the other control methods to eliminate the influence of inaccurate model.

2. Flight Timing and Trajectory of RBV

The RBV in this paper is the reusable vehicle which uses the rocket back to the launch site. Its flight processes as follows: after the separation from the disposable core stage (upper stage), RBV adjusts the attitude under RCS system in the tail during the sliding by inertia itself. When attitude angle of RBV reaches about 180°, the adjust phase finishes, and the head of RBV points to the direction of returning to the launch site. After the adjusting phase, with secondary ignition of RBV rocket engine (restricting the overload of secondary shut-off point), varying thrust regulator is used to adjust the flight velocity to satisfy the constraint condition of reentry. Afterwards, the vehicle experiences the fixed attitude dropping phase and the adjusting attitude phase and then enters the energy management and autonomous landing phase. The flight timing and trajectory of the RBV are shown in Figure 1.

Reusable boosted vehicle (RBV) experiences the subsonic, transonic, and supersonic phase during the whole flight, which the aerodynamic coefficients, dynamic pressure, and flight altitude change acutely. For this, the differences of the dynamic characteristics of RBV are significant during all of the flight phase. Besides that, there is a great different between the aerodynamic characteristics of RBV and the conventional vehicle. This paper focuses on the large attitude adjusting phase after the RBV separating between the core stages. To implement the high reliability and precision of RBV, the nonlinear model is built according to the flight environment.

3. RBV System Modeling

This paper examines the problem of a nonaxisymmetric airframe which is flown in a large angle of attack. In the trajectory tracking problem (see Figure 2, body coordinate system of RBV), and the guidance law produces acceleration commands in the body $y$ and $z$ axes based on nominal trajectory. These acceleration commands can be converted into commands in roll angle and angle of attack, which are...
fed into the autopilot. The task of the controller is to track commands in angle of attack and roll angle, while keeping sideslip angle small. There are many examples of angle of attack autopilots in the literature. The reader is referred to [16, 19] for treatments of autopilots that control angle of attack.

3.1. Dynamic Model for Attitude Adjusting Phase of RBV. Considering the assumption of designing the flight control system of X-33 [20], HOPE-X Series [21–23], the rigid-body nonlinear equations of motion for RBV of constant mass based on the body coordinate system of RBV and According to the coordinate system definition of [24], the rigid-body nonlinear equations of motion for RBV of constant mass is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\mathbf{\Theta}} &= \mathbf{f}_f (\mathbf{\Theta}, \Omega) + \mathbf{g}_{\Theta 2} (\mathbf{\Theta}, \Omega) \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{g}_{\Theta 1} (\mathbf{\Theta}, \Omega) \delta, \\
\mathbf{w} &= \mathbf{f}_f (\mathbf{\Theta}, \Omega, \mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{g}_f (\mathbf{\Theta}, \Omega, \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{M}_c,
\end{align*}
$$

(1)

where $\mathbf{\Theta} = [\alpha \beta \gamma]^T$ and $\mathbf{w} = [w_x \ w_y \ w_z]^T$ are the system state variable and then $\Omega = [\alpha \beta \gamma]^T$ are also the system output; $\delta = [\delta_{\text{aero}} \ \delta_{\text{rca}}]^T$ and $\mathbf{M}_c = [M_{cx} \ M_{cy} \ M_{cz}]^T$ are the control variable; $\mathbf{\Theta} = [V \ \psi \ \theta]$ is the parameter vector; $\mathbf{f}_f (\mathbf{\Theta}, \Omega) = [f_\alpha \ f_\beta \ f_\gamma]^T$ and $\mathbf{f}_f (\mathbf{\Theta}, \Omega, \mathbf{w}) = [f_{\omega_x} \ f_{\omega_y} \ f_{\omega_z}]^T$ are the nonlinear differential function; $\mathbf{g}_{\Theta 2} (\mathbf{\Theta}, \Omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ and $\mathbf{g}_f (\mathbf{\Theta}, \Omega, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ are the control matrix; $\mathbf{g}_{\Theta 1} (\mathbf{\Theta}, \Omega)$ represents the deflections of aerodynamic surfaces and control moment of RCS.

3.2. Aerodynamic Data Model of RBV. In order to design the flight control system of RBV in this paper conveniently, aerodynamic data models of RBV are built in the velocity coordinate system, and body coordinate system respectively [25].

Aerodynamic coefficient in the velocity coordinate system is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_x &= C_{x_0} (\alpha, \beta, Ma) + C_{x\delta} (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta_{\text{aero}}) \delta_{\text{aero}} + \Delta C_x (\alpha, Ma), \\
C_y &= C_{y_0} (\alpha, \beta, Ma) + C_{y\delta} (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta_{\text{aero}}) \delta_{\text{aero}} + \Delta C_y (\alpha, Ma), \\
C_z &= C_{z_0} (\alpha, \beta, Ma) + C_{z\delta} (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta_{\text{aero}}) \delta_{\text{aero}} + \Delta C_z (\alpha, Ma),
\end{align*}
$$

(2)

where $\Delta (\cdot)$ is the term of aerodynamic perturbation; $Ma$ is the Mach number; $\delta_{\text{aero}}$ is the command of aerodynamic surfaces.

Aerodynamic moment coefficient in the body coordinate system:

$$
\begin{align*}
m_x &= m_{x_0} (\alpha, \beta, Ma) \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{b}{2V} m_{xw_x} (\alpha, Ma) w_x + \frac{b}{2V} m_{xw_y} (\alpha, Ma) w_y \right), \\
&\quad + m_{x\delta} (\beta, Ma) \beta + m_{x\delta\delta} (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta_{\text{aero}}) \delta_{\text{aero}} + \Delta m_x (\alpha, Ma), \\
m_y &= m_{y_0} (\alpha, \beta, Ma) + m_{y\delta} (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta_{\text{aero}}) \delta_{\text{aero}} \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{b}{2V} m_{yw_x} (\alpha, Ma) w_x + \frac{b}{2V} m_{yw_y} (\alpha, Ma) w_y \right), \\
&\quad + m_{y\beta} (\beta, Ma) \beta + m_{y\delta\delta} (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta_{\text{aero}}) \delta_{\text{aero}} + \Delta m_y (\alpha, Ma), \\
m_z &= m_{z_0} (\alpha, \beta, Ma) + \frac{c}{2V} m_{zw_x} (\alpha, Ma) w_x, \\
&\quad + m_{z\delta} (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta_{\text{aero}}) \delta_{\text{aero}} + \Delta m_z (\alpha, Ma),
\end{align*}
$$

(3)

where $\Delta (\cdot)$ is the term of aerodynamic perturbation; $b$ is the span; $c$ is the mean aerodynamic chord.

4. Robust Adaptive Inversion Control Based on Neural Network

4.1. Single Hidden Layer Neural Network. The structure of the single hidden layer [26, 27] is shown in Figure 3, the input and output of which are defined:
The general nonlinear system is described as follows: the integrated system under the theory of the linear system. The inverse system of the controlled object and then implement the dynamics of nonlinear system to a linear one through the linear system in (11) through (9)–(10).

Defining the tracking error $\epsilon_i = y_i - y_{di}$ and choosing the pseudocontrol variable:

$$v_i = y_{di} + k_{i1} \epsilon_i^{(r_1)} + \cdots + k_{ip} \epsilon_i^{(r_p)}.$$  

Hence, the dynamic equation of tracking error in the $i$th closed loop subsystem is as follows:

$$\dot{e}_i^{(r)} + k_{i1} e_i^{(r_1)} + \cdots + k_{ip} e_i^{(r_p)} = 0.$$  

Selecting the coefficients $k_{i1}, k_{i2}, \ldots, k_{ip} > 0$ to configure all characteristic roots of $s^r + k_{i1} s^{r_1} + \cdots + k_{ir} = 0$ lying on the open left plane, namely, to ensure the stability of the $i$th closed loop subsystem. The dynamic equation of tracking error in the entire closed loop system is as follows:

$$\dot{E} = -KE,$$

where the definitions of $K$ and $E$ are referenced in [31].

The block diagram of dynamic inverse method is shown in Figure 4.

4.3. System Control Strategy. In (8), there are many inexact factors in the nonlinear system such as unmodeled dynamic, parameter perturbation, and external disturbance. Considering that the nonlinear dynamic inversion needs the accurate system model, the control strategy to counteract the model uncertainty must be proposed to guarantee the system tracking performance based on the nonlinear dynamic inversion method.
Let the nominal value of $F(x, u)$ be $\bar{F}(x, u)$; (10) is:

$$F(x, u) = \bar{F}(x, u) + \Delta(x, u),$$

where $\Delta(x, u)$ represents the error caused by the approximation uncertainty to compensate the uncertain model [31]. Meanwhile, the definition of the robust adaptive inverse control strategy based on neural network is as follows:

$$u = \bar{F}^{-1}(x, v),$$

(15)

$$v = Y^r_d + v_{sc} - v_{ad} + v_{rb},$$

(18)

where $v_{sc} = A\dot{E}$,

(19)

where $u$ is the actual system control input; $v$ is the virtual system control input; $Y^r_d$ is the $r$th order derivative of system reference input, which is similar to the definition in [32]; $v_{sc}$ is the static control compensator; $v_{ad}$ is the neural network output; $v_{rb}$ is the robust adaptive term.

Under effecting of the control strategy (18), the dynamic error equation of closed loop from (19) is

$$\dot{E} = A\dot{E} + B[\dot{v}_{ad} - \dot{v}_{rb} - \Delta(x, v)].$$

(20)

The robust adaptive inversion control based on neural network is shown in Figure 5.

Based on the above theory, the control law design of the entire closed loop system is made up by the following three steps:

1. Firstly, the static compensator $v_{sc}$ designed by nonlinear dynamic inversion method is exponent stable in the nominal neighborhood and satisfies the performance requirements of closed loop system in the nominal condition (i.e., $\Delta = 0$);

2. Secondly, according to the strong nonlinear mapping ability of neural network, the inverse error $\Delta(x, v)$ mapped by uncertainty $\Delta(x, u)$ is approximated by neural network output $v_{ad}$ when uncertainty condition exists.

3. Finally, designing the robust adaptive control term $v_{rb}$ overcomes the influence of approximation error, then a better adaptive robust performance of entire closed loop system is achieved.

5. Analysis and Design of Control System

Based on the control strategy in the above third chapter, the neural network robust adaptive inversion control law is designed. And then, the stability of the closed loop system is proved strictly in the theory. Here, the control strategy (18) is extended to the following form:

$$\dot{E} = A\dot{E} + B[\dot{v}_{ad} - \dot{v}_{rb} - \Delta(x, v)].$$

Figure 5: Robust adaptive inversion control based on neural network.

Assumption 1. Giving arbitrary $\psi^*_e > 0$, there are the ideal neural network weight matrixes $V^*$ and $W^*$ to make the single hidden neural network uniform approximating the inverse error function $\Delta(x, v)$ which is continuous and derivable in the compact set $D_{\bar{x}}$. That is,

$$W^T \sigma (V^T \bar{x}) = \Delta(x, v) - \varepsilon(\bar{x}),$$

where $(x, v) \in D_{\bar{x}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}; \bar{x} = [\bar{x}^T \bar{x}]^T$; $\varepsilon(\bar{x})$ is the approximation error and satisfies

$$|\varepsilon(\bar{x})| \leq \psi^*_e.$$

Assumption 2. Generally speaking, the ideal neural network weight matrixes $V^*$ and $W^*$ are unknown and may be not only. Therefore $V^*$ and $W^*$ can be defined:

$$\{V^*, W^*\} = \arg \min_{V, W} \left\{\sup_{\bar{x} \in D_{\bar{x}}} \|\Delta - v_{ad}\|\right\},$$

where

$$\|V^*\|_F \leq \bar{V}, \quad \|W^*\|_F \leq \bar{W},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_F$ is Frobenius norm and $\bar{V}, \bar{W}$ are the positive constant.
Based on this estimated value, the online neural network approximation $v_{ad}$ is

$$v_{ad} = \tilde{W}^T \sigma (\tilde{V}^T \tilde{x}) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

Now the dynamic error equation of closed loop system is

$$\dot{E} = AE + B \left[ \tilde{W}^T \sigma (\tilde{V}^T \tilde{x}) - W^{*T} \sigma (V^{*T} \tilde{x}) - v_{rb} - \epsilon (\tilde{x}) \right] .$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

As $A$ is Hurwitz matrix, there exists only positive definite matrix $P$ with respect to the following Lyapunov function, which satisfies

$$A^T P + PA = -Q .$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

where $Q$ is an arbitrary positive definite matrix.

Considering the Taylor expansion of $\sigma (V^{*T} \tilde{x})$ in $\tilde{V}^T \tilde{x}$, we have

$$\sigma (V^{*T} \tilde{x}) = \sigma (\tilde{V}^T \tilde{x}) - \sigma' (\tilde{V}^T \tilde{x}) \tilde{V}^T \tilde{x} + \varepsilon (\tilde{V}^T \tilde{x})^2 .$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

Here, let $z = V^{*T} \tilde{x}$, $\tilde{z} = \tilde{V}^T \tilde{x}$

$$\sigma' (\tilde{z}) = \frac{d \sigma (z)}{dz} \bigg|_{z=\tilde{z}} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

Hence, $\tilde{W}^T \sigma (\tilde{V}^T \tilde{x}) - W^{*T} \sigma (V^{*T} \tilde{x})$ in (26) is changed to

$$\tilde{W}^T \sigma (\tilde{V}^T \tilde{x}) - W^{*T} \sigma (V^{*T} \tilde{x}) = \tilde{W}^T \left( \tilde{\sigma} - \tilde{\sigma}' \tilde{V}^T \tilde{x} \right) + \tilde{W}^T \tilde{\sigma}' \tilde{V}^T \tilde{x} + w ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

where

$$w = \tilde{W}^T \tilde{\sigma}' \tilde{V}^T \tilde{x} - W^{*T} \tilde{\sigma}' \tilde{V} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

Synthesizing (30) and (31)

$$w = W^{*T} (\tilde{\sigma} - \sigma) - W^{*T} \tilde{\sigma}' \tilde{V}^T \tilde{x} + \tilde{W}^T \tilde{\sigma}' \tilde{V}^T \tilde{x} ,$$

$$|w| \leq \left\| \right\| \left\| \right\| _F ,$$

$$+ \left\| \right\| \left\| \right\| _F ,$$

$$\leq \left\| \right\| \left\| \right\| _F ,$$

$$\leq \left\| \right\| \left\| \right\| _F ,$$

$$\sigma (z) = \sigma (z) ,$$

$$\sigma (z) = \sigma (z) ,$$

where $\| \|_F$ is Frobenius norm; $\| \|_F$ is Frobenius norm; $\| \|_F$ is Euclid norm; $\| \|_1$ is 1 norm; ones $(1, n_3) \in R^{m_3}$ is the 1 matrix. The upper bound of $w$ is expressed as the following form:

$$|w| \leq \psi_w (\tilde{V}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{x}) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

where

$$\psi_w^* = \max \left\{ \right\} ,$$

$$s_w (\tilde{V}, \tilde{W}, \tilde{x}) = \left\| \tilde{x} \right\| + \left\| \tilde{x} \right\| + \left\| \tilde{x} \right\| + \left\| \tilde{x} \right\| + \left\| \tilde{x} \right\| + \left\| \tilde{x} \right\| + \left\| \tilde{x} \right\| + \left\| \tilde{x} \right\| + \left\| \tilde{x} \right\| .$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

Substituting (26) by Taylor expansion of network approximation inverse error, the dynamic error equation of the closed loop system is as follows:

$$\dot{E} = AE + B \left[ \tilde{W}^T (\tilde{\sigma} - \sigma) \tilde{V}^T \tilde{x} + \tilde{W}^T \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{V}^T \tilde{x} + w + v_{rb} - \epsilon (\tilde{x}) \right] .$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

Introducing the operator vec to the matrix $M \in R^{m \times n}$, in order to analysis the following theory conveniently,

$$\text{vec} M = \left[ \text{col}_1 (M)^T \left| \text{col}_2 (M)^T \ldots \text{col}_m (M)^T \right. \right] \in R^{m n} ,$$

where $\text{col}_i (M)$ is the $i$th column of the matrix $M$.

Let $Z = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{W} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{V} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{V} \end{array} \right]$, $\bar{Z} = \psi - \psi^*_w$.

Assumption 3. $B_R$ is the largest hypersphere in compact set $D_\xi$ and satisfies

$$R > C \sqrt{\lambda_{\text{max}} (T) \lambda_{\text{min}} (T)} \geq C > 0 ,$$

where $\lambda_{\text{max}} (T)$ and $\lambda_{\text{min}} (T)$ are the maximum and minimum characteristics value of the matrix $T$, respectively. And the $T$ is:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} P & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Gamma^{-1} \end{array} \right] ,$$

where $\Gamma W$ and $\Gamma V$ are positive definite matrixes and $\gamma > 0$.

Let $v_R$ be the minimum value given by following function $v_R$ along the bound of hypersphere $B_R$:

$$V = \xi^T T \xi ,$$

$$v_R = \min_{|z|=R} V (\xi, t) = R^2 \lambda_{\text{min}} (T) .$$

Define the following compact set:

$$\Omega_R = \left\{ \xi \in B_R : V \leq v_R \right\} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

Theorem 4. To the nonlinear uncertain system composed by (8), (15), and (18), the following designed control law based on neural network robust adaptive dynamic inversion satisfies
all the error signals $\zeta(t)$ uniformly bounded considering the conditions of Assumptions 1–3 when the initial value $\zeta(0)$ of composite errors belongs to the compact set $\Omega_R$. When $t > T_0$, the trajectory of arbitrary initial error signals from $\Omega_R$ will enter the boundary of $\Omega_C$ in the finite time, the final value of which is $C \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(T)/\lambda_{\min}(T)}$.

$$u = \tilde{F}^{-1}(x, v),$$
$$v = X_{\text{d}} + v_{sc} - v_{ad} + v_{rb},$$

where $u$ is the actual system control input; $v$ is the virtual system control input; $X_{\text{d}}$ is the derivative of system reference input; $v_{sc}$ is the static control compensator; $v_{ad}$ is the neural network output; $v_{rb}$ is the robust adaptive term, and

$$v_{sc} = \Delta E,$$
$$v_{ad} = \tilde{W}^T \sigma (\tilde{V}^T \tilde{X}),$$
$$v_{rb} = \psi s^* \tanh \left( \frac{\xi^T s^*}{\gamma} \right),$$

where $A$ is Hurwitz matrix and $\xi = E^T PB$ and $B = I_m$ satisfy (26). The following neural network adaptive law and robust adaptive law are

$$\dot{V} = -\Gamma_V \left[ \tilde{E} \tilde{W}^T \sigma^* + \lambda_V (\tilde{V} - \tilde{V}_0) \right],$$
$$\dot{W} = -\Gamma_W \left[ (\tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha}') \tilde{V}^T \tilde{X} ) \xi + \lambda_W (\tilde{W} - \tilde{W}_0) \right],$$
$$\psi = \gamma_V \left[ \xi s^* \tanh \left( \frac{\xi^T s^*}{\gamma} \right) - \lambda_V (\psi - \psi_0) \right],$$

where $s^* = 1 + s_w; \psi^*_\text{max} = \max[\psi^*_L, \psi^*_R]; \psi$ is the estimated value of $\psi^*_\text{max}; \psi_0$ is the initial value of $\psi; \psi = \psi - \psi^*_\text{max}; \Gamma_V$ and $\Gamma_W$ are positive definite matrices; $\gamma_V > 0, \lambda_V > 0, \lambda_W > 0$, and $\gamma > 0$.

**Proof.** The Lyapunov function of system is

$$V = \frac{1}{2} E^T P E + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left( W^T \Gamma_W^{-1} W \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left( V^T \Omega_V^{-1} V \right) + \frac{1}{2} \psi^T \Omega_V^{-1} \psi = \zeta^T T \zeta.$$ (45)

Solving the time derivative of (45) and using (27) and (35) yield

$$\dot{V} = \xi \left[ \tilde{W}^T (\tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha}') \tilde{V}^T \tilde{X} + \tilde{W}^T \sigma' \tilde{V}^T \tilde{X} - v_{rb} + w - \epsilon (\tilde{X}) \right]$$
$$- \frac{1}{2} E^T Q E + \text{tr} \left( W^T \Gamma_W^{-1} \dot{W} \right) + \text{tr} \left( V^T \Omega_V^{-1} \dot{V} \right) + \psi^T \Omega_V^{-1} \dot{\psi}.$$ (46)

Substituting the neural network robust adaptive dynamic inversion control law (42)–(45) to (46) yields

$$\dot{V} = -\frac{1}{2} E^T Q E$$
$$+ \xi \left[ \tilde{W}^T (\tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha}') \tilde{V}^T \tilde{X} + \tilde{W}^T \sigma' \tilde{V}^T \tilde{X} - v_{rb} + w - \epsilon (\tilde{X}) \right]$$
$$- \text{tr} \left( W^T (\tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha}') \tilde{V}^T \tilde{X} \right) \xi + \lambda_W \tilde{W}^T (\tilde{W} - \tilde{W}_0)$$
$$+ \tilde{\psi} \left[ \xi s^* \tanh \left( \frac{\xi^T s^*}{\gamma} \right) - \lambda_V (\psi - \psi_0) \right].$$ (47)

Simplifying the above equation,

$$\dot{V} = -\frac{1}{2} E^T Q E - \lambda_W \text{tr} \left( \tilde{W}^T (\tilde{W} - \tilde{W}_0) \right) - \lambda_V \text{tr} \left( \tilde{V}^T (\tilde{V} - \tilde{V}_0) \right)$$
$$+ \tilde{\psi} \left[ \xi s^* \tanh \left( \frac{\xi^T s^*}{\gamma} \right) - \lambda_V (\psi - \psi_0) \right].$$ (48)

Amplifying (48) according to the conditions of $s^* = 1 + s_w$ and $\psi^*_\text{max} = \max[\psi^*_L, \psi^*_R]$ yields

$$\dot{V} \leq -\frac{1}{2} E^T Q E$$
$$- \lambda_W \text{tr} \left( \tilde{W}^T (\tilde{W} - \tilde{W}_0) \right) - \lambda_V \text{tr} \left( \tilde{V}^T (\tilde{V} - \tilde{V}_0) \right)$$
$$+ \| \xi \| \psi^*_\text{max} s^* - \lambda_V \psi^*_\text{max} \left( \frac{\xi^T s^*}{\gamma} \right)$$
$$+ \tilde{\psi} \left[ \xi s^* \tanh \left( \frac{\xi^T s^*}{\gamma} \right) - \lambda_V (\psi - \psi_0) \right].$$ (49)
Simplifying (49) based on the given estimated error \( \tilde{\psi} = \psi - \psi_{\text{max}} \)
yields
\[
\dot{V} \leq \frac{-1}{2} E^T Q E - \lambda_W \text{tr}\left( \tilde{W} (\tilde{W} - W_0) \right) - \lambda_V \text{tr}\left( \tilde{V}^T (\tilde{V} - V_0) \right) - \lambda_\psi \tilde{\psi} (\psi - \psi_0) + \psi_{\text{max}}^* \left[ \|\xi\| s^* - \xi s^* \tanh\left( \frac{\xi^T s^*}{Y} \right) \right].
\]

(50)

Using the following inequality,
\[
0 \leq \|\xi\| - \zeta \tanh\left( \frac{\xi}{Y} \right) \leq \kappa_1 Y.
\]

(51)

Simplifying (50) yields
\[
\dot{V} \leq \frac{-1}{2} \lambda_{\text{min}}(Q) \|E\|^2 - \lambda_W \text{tr}\left( \tilde{W}^T (\tilde{W} - W_0) \right) + \psi_{\text{max}}^* \kappa_1 Y \]
\[
- \lambda_V \text{tr}\left( \tilde{V}^T (\tilde{V} - V_0) \right) - \lambda_\psi \tilde{\psi} (\psi - \psi_0),
\]

where \( \lambda_{\text{min}}(Q) \) is the minimum characteristics value of \( Q \).
Combining with [33, 34]:
\[
\dot{V} \leq \frac{-1}{2} \lambda_{\text{min}}(Q) \|E\|^2 - \lambda_W \frac{\|\tilde{W}\|^2}{2} F + \lambda_V \frac{\|\tilde{V}\|^2}{2} F - \lambda_\psi \frac{\|\tilde{\psi}\|^2}{2}
\]
\[
+ \lambda_W \frac{\|W^* - W_0\|^2}{2} F + \lambda_V \frac{\|V^* - V_0\|^2}{2} F - \psi_{\text{max}}^* \kappa_1 Y.
\]

(53)

Giving definitions as follows:
\[
\tilde{Z} = \frac{\lambda_W}{2} \|W^* - W_0\|^2 F + \frac{\lambda_V}{2} \|V^* - V_0\|^2 F
\]
\[
+ \frac{\lambda_\psi}{2} \|\psi_{\text{max}}^* - \psi_0\|^2 + \psi_{\text{max}}^* \kappa_1 Y,
\]

(54)

\[
\tilde{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{W} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{V} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{\psi} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda_{\text{min}} = \frac{1}{2} \min\left( \lambda_W, \lambda_V, \lambda_\psi \right).
\]

(55)

Equation (55) can be written:
\[
\dot{V} \leq \frac{-1}{2} \lambda_{\text{min}}(Q) \|E\|^2 + \tilde{Z} - \lambda_{\text{min}} \|\tilde{Z}\|^2 F.
\]

(56)

From (56), it is obvious that \( \dot{V} < 0 \) when satisfying the following inequality:
\[
\|E\| > \sqrt{\frac{2Z}{\lambda_{\text{min}}(Q)}} = C_1.
\]

(57)

\[
\|\tilde{Z}\|_F > \sqrt{\frac{Z}{\lambda_{\text{min}}}} = C_2.
\]

\[
\|\xi\| \geq \max\{ C_1, C_2 \} = C.
\]

(58)

From (57), it is obvious that if we satisfy the \( \dot{V} < 0 \), (58) must be established, which indicates that there is a compact set. In the out of the compact set, \( \dot{V} < 0 \) is established. Defining the compact set proves that all of the error signals are ultimately bounded. Defining the following the hypersphere [35] firstly is

\[
B_C = \{ \xi \in B_R : \|\xi\| \leq C \}.
\]

(59)

There is \( \dot{V} < 0 \) beyond the compact set \( B_C \). From (44), it yields
\[
B_C \subset B_R.
\]

(60)
Let $V_C$ be the maximum of function $V$ along the boundary of the hypersphere $B_C$: 

$$V_C = \min_{|k| = C} \min_{t} V(c, t) = C^2 \lambda_{\text{max}}(T). \quad (61)$$

The compact set $\Omega_C$ is 

$$\Omega_C = \{ c \in B_C : V \leq V_C \}. \quad (62)$$

From (38) and Figure 6, it can be proved that $B_C \subset \Omega_C \subset \Omega_R \subset B_R$. If the composite initial errors $c(0) \in \Omega_R$, all of the error signals are ultimately bounded in the closed loop system. According to the Lyapunov stability theorem, when $t > T_0$, the trajectory of arbitrary initial error signals from $\Omega_R$ will enter the boundary of $\Omega_C$ in the finite time, the final value of which is $C \sqrt{\lambda_{\text{max}}(T)}/\lambda_{\text{min}}(T)$. The proof is finished. □
6. Simulation and Validation of RBV

On the basis of robust adaptive dynamic inversion control strategy in the above chapter and the established RBV model, the simulation verifies the proposed control law of neural network robust adaptive inversion validity. The perturbations are added to the simulation. The attitude tracking commands are produced by guidance module in simulation. When considering the uncertainty, the double loop system determined by (1) is described as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_i &= \Omega = f_i + g_{2i}w + \Delta_i, \\
y_i &= \Omega = x_i, \\
\dot{x}_f &= w = f_f + g_fM_c + \Delta_f, \\
y_f &= w = x_f,
\end{align*}
\]

(63)

where \( \Delta_f = [\Delta w_\alpha \Delta w_\beta \Delta w_\gamma]^T \), \( \Delta_i = [\Delta \alpha \Delta \beta \Delta \gamma]^T \) represent the uncertain factors, like the parameter perturbations and external interferences, in the fast loop, and slow loop respectively. To simplifying the design, assuming that \( \Delta_i = 0 \), the neural network robust adaptive inversion control law to the fast loop is given. As the influence of fast loop is greater than the slow loop, the simplification is reasonable. According to the control mode of fast loop and slow loop of nonlinear dynamic inversion and the control law described in (42), the control input and output of fast loop when \( \Delta_f \) exists are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
W_c &= g_{2c}^{-1}(\dot{\theta}_d + w_\Omega e_\Omega - f_f), \\
M_c &= g_f^{-1}(\dot{\omega}_d + \bar{w}_k e_\omega - f_f + v_{rbf} - v_{adf}),
\end{align*}
\]

(64)

where \( \dot{\theta}_d \), \( e_\Omega \), \( w_\Omega \), \( f_f \), \( \bar{w}_k \), \( e_\omega \), \( \bar{w}_k \), and \( e_\omega \) reference [31]. \( g_{2c}^{-1} \) and \( g_f^{-1} \) exist during flight process of RBV; \( v_{rbf} \), \( v_{adf} \) represent the robust adaptive term and approximation output of fast loop, respectively. The structure diagram of RBV control system is shown in Figure 7.

The parameter setting and initial condition of system simulation reference [31]. The simulation results of command angle tracking during large altitude adjusting phase are shown in Figure 8.

Figure 8 indicates the whole flight simulation curves of RBV control system during the turn period and reentry phase the \([\alpha, \beta, \gamma]^T\) produced from guidance module which is the control system total input signals. The subscript “nn” represents the simulation results proposed by neural network robust adaptive inversion control law when aerodynamic parameter perturbation exists. “e” is the initial command value. \( \|V\| \), \( \|W\| \) are the weight norm of neural network matrices.

Figure 8 presents the simulation curves of RBV flight control based on neural network robust adaptive inversion. From the curves of guidance command tracking, the system output can track the change of system input finally. The sideslip angle and roll angle have the tracking errors during the attitude adjusting phase for 46.9 s, but both are in the tolerance range. Besides, after accomplishing the adjusting phase at 140 s, the thrust increasing produces some oscillations, which have great influence on angle of attack, but little to sideslip angle and roll angle. The errors of sideslip angle and roll angle are in the tolerance range, and when it is higher than 80 km, the error of angle of attack has little influence on the final tracking effect. From above figures, it can be proved that the final tracking accuracy is high. Analyzing the weight norm and robust adaptive coefficients, the neural network adaptive law is effective and can eliminate the inverse errors. The network weight coefficients not only represent the parameter perturbation and the influence caused by parameter perturbation with varying as system adaptive change, but also represent the influence of eliminating the inverse errors which tended to be stable.

7. Conclusions

According to the uncertainty of RBV model, the robust adaptive inversion control strategy based on neural network is proposed in this paper. The nonlinear simulation verifies the validity to this methodology. Using Lyapunov theory proves the ultimate uniform boundedness of RBV closed loop control system. The simulation results indicate that when aerodynamic moment parameter perturbation is 30%, this methodology can reduce the requirement of RBV model accuracy and improve the control system robustness during the adjusting phase and reentry phase of RBV.
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