Research Article

Multiple Solutions of Boundary Value Problems for n th-Order Singular Nonlinear Integrodifferential Equations in Abstract Spaces

Yanlai Chen,¹ Tingqiu Cao,¹ and Baoxia Qin²

¹School of Economics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, China

²Department of Mathematics, Qilu Normal University, Jinan, Shandong 250013, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yanlai Chen; yanlaichen@126.com

Received 12 December 2014; Accepted 24 August 2015

Academic Editor: Mufid Abudiab

Copyright © 2015 Yanlai Chen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The authors discuss multiple solutions for the n th-order singular boundary value problems of nonlinear integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces by means of the fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression. An example for infinite system of scalar third-order singular nonlinear integrodifferential equations is offered.

1. Introduction

Singular nonlinear boundary value problems of the ordinary differential equations appeared frequently in applications. With Taliaferro [1] treating the general problem, Callegari and Nachman [2] considered existence questions in boundary layer theory, and Luning and Perry [3] obtained constructive results for generalized Emden-Fowler problems. Results have also been obtained for singular boundary value problems arising in reaction-diffusion theory and in non-Newtonian fluid theory [4]. Singular nonlinear boundary value problems of the ordinary differential equations have made great progress in recent years (please see [5–8]).

In the above papers, singular problems are studied in scalar case. In Chen [9], the boundary value problems of a class of n th-order nonlinear integrodifferential equations of mixed type in Banach space are considered, and the existence of three solutions is obtained by using the fixed point index theory. But such equations do not have singular nonlinear terms. As much as we know, there are a few papers ([10–18]) to consider the singular problems in abstract Banach spaces. In Liu [10], the following singular problems in Banach spaces E

$$\begin{aligned} -x''(t) &= f(t, x(t), x'(t)), \quad \forall 0 < t \leq T; \\ x(0) &= x'(0) = \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

were investigated by constructing a special convex closed set and using Mönch fixed point theorem, where θ denotes the zero element of E . In [10], (1) under certain conditions, there is at least one solution. And, in the methods, under normal circumstances, to investigate the singular problems, at first, one needs to consider the approximation problems which have no singularities. However, in the study of integrodifferential equations in infinite dimensional Banach space, this method is very complicated and difficult.

In this paper, not considering approximative problems, informed by the characteristic of nonlinear term, we construct a new cone, and through the cone we create a new special cone. Moreover, through finding the relations from $\|u\|_c$ to $\|u^{(n-2)}\|_c$ (u belongs to the special cone), we triumphantly overcome the singularity and use the fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression directly to obtain the existence of multiple solutions for singular boundary value problems of nonlinear integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces. Finally, an example of scalar third-order singular nonlinear integrodifferential equations for an infinite system is offered. With the previous methods, one can not get the results in this paper.

Let P be a cone in Banach space E which defines a partial ordering in E by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. Let $P_r = \{u \in P : \|u\| < r\}$ ($r > 0$). P is said to be normal if there exists a positive constant N such that $\theta \leq x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq N\|y\|$,

where θ denotes the zero element of E , and the smallest N is called the normal constant of P . For convenience, in the following, we set P as a normal cone and $N = 1$. Let $P_1 = \{u \in P : u \geq u_0 \|u\|\}$, in which $u_0 \in P$ and $0 < \|u_0\| < 1$. Obviously, P_1 is a normal cone of E , and the normal constant of P_1 also is 1. Cone P_1 is the key to overcome the singular nonlinear term (please see the last example).

We consider the following singular boundary value problem (SBVP for short) for an n th-order nonlinear integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces E :

$$\begin{aligned} & -u^{(n)}(t) \\ & = f(t, u(t), u'(t), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(t), (Tu)(t), (Su)(t)), \\ & 0 < t < 1; \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

$$u^{(i)}(0) = \theta, \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2),$$

$$u^{(n-1)}(1) = \theta,$$

where $J := [0, 1]$, $f \in C([0, 1] \times \underbrace{P_1 \setminus \{\theta\} \times P_1 \setminus \{\theta\} \times \dots \times P_1 \setminus \{\theta\} \times P_1 \times P_1}_{n+1}, P_1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t) &= \int_0^t k(t, s) u(s) ds, \\ (Su)(t) &= \int_0^1 h(t, s) u(s) ds, \\ & \forall t \in J, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with $k \in C[D, R_+]$ ($D = \{(t, s) \in J \times J : t \geq s\}$), $h \in C[J \times J, R_+]$ (R_+ denotes the set of all nonnegative real numbers).

$f(t, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n)$ is singular at $v_i = \theta$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$), $t = 0$, and/or $t = 1$ if

$$\lim_{v_i \rightarrow \theta} \|f(t, v_0, \dots, v_n)\| = +\infty, \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), \quad (4)$$

$\forall t \in (0, 1)$, $v_k \in P_1$ ($k = n-1, n$), $v_j \in P_1 \setminus \{\theta\}$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$),

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|f(t, v_0, \dots, v_n)\| = +\infty, \\ & \text{and/or } \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \|f(t, v_0, \dots, v_n)\| = +\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$\forall v_i \in P_1 \setminus \{\theta\}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$), $v_j \in P_1$ ($j = n-1, n$).

Let $J' = (0, 1)$. A map $u \in C^{n-2}[J, E] \cap C^n[J', E]$ is called a solution of SBVP (2) if it satisfies (2).

2. Preliminaries and Several Lemmas

Denote $C^{n-2}[J, E] := \{u : u \text{ is a map from } J \text{ into } E \text{ and } u^{(n-2)}(t) \text{ is continuous on } J\}$. The norm of $u \in C^{n-2}[J, E]$ is defined by

$$\|u\|_{n-2} = \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-2} \{\|u^{(i)}\|_c\}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\|u^{(i)}\|_c = \max_{t \in J} \{\|u^{(i)}(t)\|\}, \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-2). \quad (7)$$

Obviously $(C^{n-2}[J, E], \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} C^{n-2}[J, P_1] &:= \{u^{(i)} \in C[J, E] : u^{(i)}(t) \in P_1, t \in J, i \\ &= 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Obviously, $C^{n-2}[J, P_1]$ is a cone of $C^{n-2}[J, E]$. For $r > 0$, we write $P_{1r} := \{u \in P_1 : \|u\| < r\}$ and $\overline{P_{1r}} := \{u \in P_1 : \|u\| \leq r\}$.

Let $u : (0, 1) \rightarrow E$ be continuous. We call the abstract generalized integral $\int_0^1 u(t) dt$ convergence if $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_s^1 u(t) dt$ exists. Analogously, we can define the convergence of other kinds of abstract generalized integrals.

We will use α to denote the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness of set in space E . For details of the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness, please see [19].

Lemma 1 (see [19]). *Let H be a bounded set of $C^m[J, E]$. Suppose that $H^{(m)} := \{u^{(m)} : u \in H\}$ is equicontinuous. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_m(H) &= \max_{i=0,1,\dots,m} \{\alpha(H^{(i)}(J))\} \\ &= \max_{i=0,1,\dots,m} \left\{ \max_{t \in J} \{\alpha(H^{(i)}(t))\} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(i)}(J) &:= \{u^{(i)}(t) : t \in J, u \in H\}, \\ & (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$H^{(i)}(t) := \{u^{(i)}(t) : u \in H\}, \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

Lemma 2 (see [19]). *If $H \subset C[J, E]$ is bounded and equicontinuous, then $\alpha(H(t))$ is continuous on J . Moreover,*

$$\alpha\left(\left\{\int_J u(t) dt : u \in H\right\}\right) \leq \int_J \alpha(H(t)) dt. \quad (11)$$

Lemma 3 (see [19]). *Let H be a bounded set of $C^m[J, E]$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_m(H) &\geq \alpha(H(J)), \\ \alpha_m(H) &\geq \alpha(H'(J)), \dots, \alpha_m(H) \geq \alpha(H^{(m-1)}(J)), \\ \alpha_m(H) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \alpha(H^{(m)}(J)), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $H^{(i)}(J)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$) is defined by Lemma 1.

Lemma 4 (the fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression [see [20]]). *P is a cone of real Banach space E . Let*

$$\overline{P_R} \setminus P_r := \{x \in P : r \leq \|x\| \leq R\}, \quad R > r > 0. \quad (13)$$

Suppose that $A : \overline{P_R} \setminus P_r \rightarrow P$ is a strict set contraction such that one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $Ax \not\leq x, \forall x \in P, \|x\| = r$ and $Ax \not\leq x, \forall x \in P, \|x\| = R$;
(ii) $Ax \not\leq x, \forall x \in P, \|x\| = R$ and $Ax \not\leq x, \forall x \in P, \|x\| = r$.

Then, A has at least a fixed point in $\overline{P_R} \setminus P_r$.

3. Main Results and an Example

To continue, let us formulate some conditions.

(H_1) There exist $b \in L[J', R_+]$, $a_i \in L[J', R_+]$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$), $g_i \in C[(0, +\infty), (0, +\infty)]$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$), and $h_i \in C[[0, +\infty), [0, +\infty)]$, ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$), such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(t, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_n)\| \\ & \leq b(t) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_i(t) (g_i(\|v_i\|) + h_i(\|v_i\|)) \\ & \quad + a_{n-1}(t) h_{n-1}(\|v_{n-1}\|) + a_n(t) h_n(\|v_n\|), \\ & \forall t \in (0, 1), v_i \in P_1 \setminus \{\theta\} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), v_{n-1}, v_n \in P_1, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where g_i is nonincreasing and h_i/g_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$) and h_{n-1}, h_n are nondecreasing.

(H_2) For any $R > r > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 s \left(b(s) \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_i(s) g_i \left(\frac{s^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)!} r \right) \left(1 + \frac{h_i(R)}{g_i(R)} \right) \\ & \quad \left. + a_{n-1}(s) h_{n-1}(k^* R) + a_n(s) h_n(h^* R) \right) ds \\ & < +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

And, there exists a $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 s \left(b(s) \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_i(s) g_i \left(\frac{s^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)!} R_0 \right) \left(1 + \frac{h_i(R_0)}{g_i(R_0)} \right) \\ & \quad \left. + a_{n-1}(s) h_{n-1}(k^* R_0) + a_n(s) h_n(h^* R_0) \right) ds \\ & < R_0, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where b, a_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$), g_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$), and h_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) are defined as in condition (H_1), and

$$\begin{aligned} k^* &:= \max_{(t,s) \in D} \{k(t,s)\}, \\ h^* &:= \max_{(t,s) \in J \times J} \{h(t,s)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

(H_3) For any $R > r > 0$, $[a, b] \subset (0, 1)$, f is uniformly continuous on

$$[a, b] \times \underbrace{\overline{P_{1_R}} \setminus P_{1_r} \times \overline{P_{1_R}} \setminus P_{1_r} \times \dots \times \overline{P_{1_R}} \setminus P_{1_r} \times \overline{P_{1_R}} \times \overline{P_{1_R}}}_{n+1}, \quad (18)$$

and there exist $L_i \geq 0$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$), such that

$$\alpha(f(t, B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n)) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n L_i \alpha(B_i), \quad (19)$$

$$\forall t \in (0, 1), B_i \subset \overline{P_{1_R}} \setminus P_{1_r} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), B_{n-1}, B_n \subset \overline{P_{1_R}}.$$

Remark 5. Obviously, condition (H_3) is satisfied automatically when E is finite dimensional.

(H_4) There exist $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, and $\varphi \in P_1^*$ (P_1^* denotes the dual cone of P_1) such that $\varphi(v) > 0$ for $v > \theta$. At the same time, one of the $n-1$ conditions is satisfied

$$\frac{\varphi(f(t, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n))}{\varphi(v_j)} \longrightarrow +\infty, \quad (20)$$

$$\text{as } \varphi(v_j) > 0, v_j \in P_1, \|v_j\| \longrightarrow 0$$

uniformly in $t \in [\alpha, 1 - \alpha]$, with $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-2\}$.

Remark 6. Because $f(t, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ is singular at $v_i = \theta$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$), condition (H_4) is easy to be satisfied. And only one of the $n-1$ conditions is satisfied.

(H_5) There exist $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, and $\varphi \in P_1^*$ (P_1^* denotes the dual cone of P_1) such that $\varphi(v) > 0$ for $v > \theta$. At the same time, one of the following $n-1$ conditions is satisfied:

$$\frac{\varphi(f(t, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n))}{\varphi(v_j)} \longrightarrow +\infty, \quad (21)$$

$$\text{as } \varphi(v_j) > 0, v_j \in P_1, \|v_j\| \longrightarrow +\infty$$

uniformly in $t \in [\alpha, 1 - \alpha]$, with $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-2\}$.

Remark 7. In condition (H_5), only one of the $n-1$ conditions is satisfied.

To avoid singularity, let

$$\begin{aligned} Q &:= \left\{ u \in C^{n-2}[J, P_1] : u^{(i)}(0) \right. \\ &= \theta, \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), u^{(i)}(t) \\ &\geq \frac{t^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)!} u^{(i)}(s) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), t, s \\ &\in J \left. \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Obviously, Q is a normal cone in $C^{n-2}[J, E]$, and the normal constant of Q is 1.

Lemma 8. Suppose $u \in Q$. Then,

$$\|u\|_{n-2} = \|u^{(n-2)}\|_c, \quad (23)$$

$$\|u^{(j)}(t)\| \geq \frac{t^{n-1-j}}{(n-1-j)!} \|u^{(n-2)}\|_c, \quad (24)$$

$$(j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), \quad \forall t \in J.$$

Proof. For any $u \in Q$, that is, $u^{(i)}(0) = \theta$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$),

$$\|u^{(i)}(t)\| = \left\| \int_0^t u^{(i+1)}(s) ds \right\| \leq t \|u^{(i+1)}\|_c \leq \|u^{(i+1)}\|_c, \quad (25)$$

$$(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-3), \quad \forall t \in J.$$

Therefore,

$$\|u^{(i)}\|_c \leq \|u^{(i+1)}\|_c, \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-3), \quad (26)$$

which implies that

$$\|u\|_{n-2} = \|u^{(n-2)}\|_c. \quad (27)$$

Because of $u^{(n-2)}(t) \geq tu^{(n-2)}(s), \forall t, s \in J$, and the normal characters of P , it is easy to get

$$\|u^{(n-2)}(t)\| \geq t \|u^{(n-2)}\|_c, \quad \forall t \in J. \quad (28)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(j)}(t) &= \frac{1}{(n-3-j)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-3-j} u^{(n-2)}(s) ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(n-3-j)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-3-j} s u^{(n-2)}(\tau) ds \\ &= \frac{t^{n-1-j}}{(n-1-j)!} u^{(n-2)}(\tau), \\ &\quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, n-3), \quad \forall t, \tau \in J. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

It follows from (28) and (29) and the normal characters of P that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(j)}(t)\| &\geq \frac{t^{n-1-j}}{(n-1-j)!} \|u^{(n-2)}\|_c, \\ &\quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), \quad \forall t \in J. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

By (27) and (30), the conclusion holds. $\square$

Remark 9. Formula (23) implies that the norm of $u \in Q$ is decided by $(n-2)$ th-order derivative $u^{(n-2)}$.

Remark 10. Inequality (24) implies that $u^{(n-2)}$ controls distance between θ and $u^{(l)}$ ($l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$). This is one of the keys to apart from the singularities of the nonlinear term f .

Lemma 11. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, the following conclusion holds:

$$\frac{t^j (1 - (1-s)^j)}{j!} \leq G_{j+1}(t, s) \leq \frac{(1 - (1-s)^j)}{j!}, \quad (31)$$

where

$$G_j(t, s) := \begin{cases} \frac{t^{j-1} - (t-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1; \\ \frac{t^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

Proof. In fact, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \forall s_0 \in J$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in J} G_{j+1}(t, s_0) \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s_0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{t^j - (t-s_0)^j}{j!}, \sup_{0 \leq t \leq s_0 \leq 1} \frac{t^j}{j!} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{j!} \max \{1 - (1-s_0)^j, s_0^j\}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Consider

$$1 - (1-s_0)^j \geq s_0^j, \quad \forall s_0 \in J. \quad (34)$$

It follows from (33) and (34) that

$$\sup_{t \in J} G_{j+1}(t, s_0) = \frac{1}{j!} (1 - (1-s_0)^j), \quad \forall s_0 \in J; \quad (35)$$

that is,

$$\sup_{t \in J} G_{j+1}(t, s) = \frac{1}{j!} (1 - (1-s)^j), \quad \forall s \in J. \quad (36)$$

On the other hand, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{0 < t \leq 1} \frac{G_{j+1}(t, s)}{t^j} \\ &= \frac{1}{j!} \min \left\{ \inf_{0 \leq s < t \leq 1} \frac{t^j - (t-s)^j}{t^j}, \inf_{0 < t \leq s \leq 1} \frac{t^j}{t^j} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{j!} \min \left\{ \inf_{0 \leq s < t \leq 1} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{s}{t} \right)^j \right), 1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{j!} (1 - (1-s)^j). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

It follows from (36) and (37) that

$$\frac{t^j (1 - (1-s)^j)}{j!} \leq G_{j+1}(t, s) \leq \frac{(1 - (1-s)^j)}{j!}, \quad (38)$$

$$\forall t \in (0, 1].$$

Since for $t = 0$ (38) holds, we get (31). $\square$

Lemma 12. Suppose conditions (H_1) and (H_2) are satisfied. Then, for any $R > r > 0$, $A : \overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r \rightarrow Q$, in which the operator A is defined by

$$(Au)(t) := \int_0^1 G_n(t, s) \cdot f(u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) ds, \quad \forall t \in J, \quad (39)$$

where

$$G_n(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{n-1} - (t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, & 0 \leq s < t \leq 1; \\ \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

Proof. At first, we show that the operator A defined by (39) is reasonable for $u \in \overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r$ with any $R > r > 0$. In fact, for $u \in \overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r$ with $R \geq \|u^{(i)}(t)\| \geq (t^{n-1-i}/(n-1-i)!)r$, by (H_1) and (H_2) ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 s \left(b(s) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_i(s) g_i \left(\frac{s^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)!} r \right) \left(1 + \frac{h_i(R)}{g_i(R)} \right) + a_{n-1}(s) h_{n-1}(k^* R) + a_n(s) h_n(h^* R) \right) ds \\ & < +\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

which implies that $(Au)(t)$ defined by (39) is reasonable for $t \in J$.

Next, we show that $(Au)(t) \in C^{n-2}[J, P_1]$. For $u^{(i)}(t) \in P_1$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$),

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t) &= \int_0^t k(t, s) u(s) ds \geq \int_0^t k(t, s) u_0 \|u(s)\| ds \\ &\geq u_0 \left\| \int_0^t k(t, s) u(s) ds \right\| = u_0 \|(Tu)(t)\|, \\ (Su)(t) &= \int_0^1 h(t, s) u(s) ds \geq \int_0^1 h(t, s) u_0 \|u(s)\| ds \\ &\geq u_0 \left\| \int_0^1 h(t, s) u(s) ds \right\| = u_0 \|(Su)(t)\|, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

which implies $(Tu)(t) \in P_1$ and $(Su)(t) \in P_1$. This together with

$$\begin{aligned} f &\in C \left[(0, 1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \underbrace{P_1 \setminus \{\theta\} \times P_1 \setminus \{\theta\} \times \dots \times P_1 \setminus \{\theta\} \times P_1 \times P_1}_{n+1}, P_1 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} (Au)^{(l)}(t) &= \int_0^1 G_{n-l}(t, s) \cdot f(u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) ds \\ &\geq \int_0^1 G_{n-l}(t, s) \cdot u_0 \|f(u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s))\| ds \\ &\geq u_0 \left\| \int_0^1 G_{n-l}(t, s) \cdot f(u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) ds \right\| \\ &= u_0 \|(Au)^{(l)}(t)\|, \quad \forall t \in J, \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Therefore, $(Au)(t) \in C^{n-2}[J, P_1]$ holds.

Finally, by Lemma 11 and (39) and (40), one can see

$$\begin{aligned} (Au)^{(l)}(0) &= \theta \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), \\ (Au)^{(l)}(t) &= \int_0^1 G_{n-l}(t, s) \cdot f(u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) ds \\ &\geq \frac{t^{n-1-l}}{(n-1-l)!} \int_0^1 G_{n-l}(\tau, s) \cdot f(u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) ds \\ &= \frac{t^{n-1-l}}{(n-1-l)!} (Au)^{(l)}(\tau), \quad \forall t, \tau \in J, \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

It follows from (44) and (45) that $A : \overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r \rightarrow Q$. $\square$

Lemma 13. Let cone P be normal and let conditions (H_1) and (H_2) be satisfied. Then, $u \in \overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r$ is a fixed point of operator A if and only if $u \in C^n[J', E] \cap \overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r$ is a solution for SBVP (2).

Proof. By Lemma 12, $A : \overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r \rightarrow Q$. For $u \in C^n[J, E]$, Taylor's formula with the integral remainder term gives

$$u(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^i}{i!} u^{(i)}(0) + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} u^{(n)}(s) ds, \quad \forall t \in J. \quad (46)$$

Substituting

$$u^{(n-1)}(0) = u^{(n-1)}(1) - \int_0^1 u^{(n)}(s) ds \quad (47)$$

into (46), we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \frac{t^i}{i!} u^{(i)}(0) + \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} u^{(n-1)}(1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left(\int_0^1 t^{n-1} u^{(n)}(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} u^{(n)}(s) ds \right), \quad \forall t \in J. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Let $u \in C^n[(0, 1), E] \cap C^{n-2}[J, E]$ be the solution of SBVP (2). Then, (48) implies

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left[\int_0^1 t^{n-1} f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), \right. \\ &\quad \left. (Su)(s)) ds - \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) ds \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Comparing this with (39) and (40), we have $u(t) = (Au)(t)$, which means $u(t)$ is the fixed point of the operator A in $\overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r$.

On the other hand, let $u(t) \in \overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r$ be the fixed point of the operator A . By (39) and (40),

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(j)}(t) &= (Au)^{(j)}(t) = \frac{1}{(n-1-j)!} \left[\int_0^1 t^{n-1-j} f(s, u(s), u'(s), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) ds - \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-j} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) ds \right], \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. It follows by taking $t = 0$ and $t = 1$ in (50) that

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(j)}(0) &= \theta, \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), \\ u^{(n-1)}(1) &= \theta, \\ u^{(n-1)}(t) &= \int_t^1 f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), (Tu) \\ &\quad \cdot (s), (Su)(s)) ds, \quad t \in J'; \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(n)}(t) &= -f(t, u(t), u'(t), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(t), (Tu) \\ &\quad \cdot (t), (Su)(t)), \quad t \in J'. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Then, (51)-(52) imply that u is the solution for SBVP (2) in $C^n[(0, 1), E] \cap C^{n-2}[J, E]$. $\square$

Lemma 14. Suppose conditions (H_1) – (H_3) are satisfied. Let

$$\begin{aligned} D_l(t) &:= \left\{ \int_0^1 G_{n-l}(t, s) f(s, u(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot (s), (Su)(s)) ds : u \in B \right\}, \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

with $t \in J$, $B \subset \overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(D_l(t)) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} L_i \alpha(B^{(i)}(J)) + L_{n-1} k^* \alpha(B(J)) \\ &\quad + L_n h^* \alpha(B(J)), \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

with $B^{(i)}(J) := \{u^{(i)}(s) : s \in J, u \in B\}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$).

Proof. Apart from the singularities, let

$$\begin{aligned} D_{l,\delta}(t) &:= \left\{ \int_\delta^{1-\delta} G_{n-l}(t, s) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot f(s, u(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) ds : \\ &\quad \left. u \in B \right\}, \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), \quad 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}, \quad t \in J. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

By conditions (H_1) and (H_2) , for any $t \in J$, $u \in B$, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_0^1 G_{n-l}(t, s) f(s, u(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot (s)) ds - \int_\delta^{1-\delta} G_{n-l}(t, s) \\ &\quad \cdot f(s, u(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) ds \left\| \right. \\ &\leq \int_0^\delta s \left(b(s) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_i(s) g_i \left(\frac{s^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)!} r \right) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left(1 + \frac{h_i(R)}{g_i(R)} \right) + a_{n-1}(s) h_{n-1}(k^* R) + a_n(s) \\ &\quad \cdot h_n(h^* R) \left. \right) ds + \int_{1-\delta}^1 s \left(b(s) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_i(s) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot g_i \left(\frac{s^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)!} r \right) \left(1 + \frac{h_i(R)}{g_i(R)} \right) + a_{n-1}(s) \\ &\quad \cdot h_{n-1}(k^* R) + a_n(s) h_n(h^* R) \left. \right) ds, \\ &\quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

By virtue of absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integrable function and (56), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{H(D_{l,\delta}(t), D_l(t))} &\longrightarrow 0, \\ &\text{as } \delta \longrightarrow 0, \quad \forall t \in J, \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

in which $d_{H(D_{l,\delta}(t), D_l(t))}$ denotes the Hausdorff distance between $D_{l,\delta}(t)$ and $D_l(t)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(D_l(t)) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \alpha(D_{l,\delta}(t)), \\ &\quad \forall t \in J, \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Now, we check that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(D_{n-2}(t)) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} L_i \alpha(B^{(i)}(J)) + L_{n-1} k^* \alpha(B(J)) \\ &\quad + L_n h^* \alpha(B(J)). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

For $B \subset \overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r$, it is easy to see that $A(B) \subset C^{n-1}[J, P_1] \subset C^{n-2}[J, E]$ is bounded, which implies that $D_{l,\delta}$ ($l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$) are bounded. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} G_{n-l}(t, s) \\ &\quad \cdot f(s, u(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) ds \\ &\in (1-2\delta) \overline{CO}(G_{n-l}(t, s)) \\ &\quad \cdot f(s, u(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) : s \\ &\quad \in [\delta, 1-\delta], \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(D_{n-2,\delta}(t)) &= \alpha\left(\left\{\int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} G_2(t, s) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \cdot f(s, u(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) ds : \\ &\quad \left. \left. u \in B\right\}\right) \leq \alpha\left((1-2\delta) \overline{CO}(G_2(t, s) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot f(s, u(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) : s \\ &\quad \in [\delta, 1-\delta], u \in B)) < \alpha\left((G_2(t, s) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot f(s, u(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) : s \\ &\quad \in [\delta, 1-\delta], u \in B)) \\ &\leq \alpha\left(f(I_{\delta}, B(I_{\delta}), \dots, B^{(n-2)}(I_{\delta}), (TB)(I_{\delta}), (Su) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot (I_{\delta}))\right), \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where $I_{\delta} = [\delta, 1-\delta]$, $B^{(l)}(I_{\delta}) = \{u^{(l)}(s) : s \in I_{\delta}, u \in B\}$ ($l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$), $(TB)(I_{\delta}) = \{(Tu)(s) : s \in I_{\delta}, u \in B\}$, and $(SB)(I_{\delta}) = \{(Su)(s) : s \in I_{\delta}, u \in B\}$. Take $r_1 = (\delta^{n-1}/(n-1)!)r$, $R_1 = R \max\{1, k^*, h^*\}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} B^{(l)}(I_{\delta}) &\subset \overline{P_{1R_1}} \setminus P_{1R_1}, \\ (l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), (TB)(I_{\delta}), (SB)(I_{\delta}) &\subset \overline{P_{1R_1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Obviously, $(TB) \subset C[J, E]$ and $B \subset C[J, E]$; moreover, both of them are equicontinuous on I_{δ} . It follows from (62), (H_3) , and Lemmas 1 and 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha\left(f\left(I_{\delta}, B(I_{\delta}), \dots, B^{(n-2)}(I_{\delta}), (TB)(I_{\delta}), (Su)(I_{\delta})\right)\right) \\ &= \max_{s \in I_{\delta}} \alpha\left(f\left(s, B(I_{\delta}), \dots, B^{(n-2)}(I_{\delta}), (TB)(I_{\delta}), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. (Su)(I_{\delta})\right)\right) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} L_i \alpha(B^{(i)}(I_{\delta})) + L_{n-1} \alpha((TB)(I_{\delta})) \\ &\quad + L_n \alpha((SB)(I_{\delta})), \\ &\alpha((TB)(I_{\delta})) = \max_{s \in I_{\delta}} \alpha((TB)(s)) \\ &= \max_{s \in I_{\delta}} \alpha\left(\left\{\int_0^s k(s, \tau) u(\tau) d\tau : u \in B\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \int_0^s \alpha(k(s, \tau) B(\tau)) d\tau \leq k^* \alpha(B(J)). \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Analogously, it is easy to get

$$\alpha((SB)(I_{\delta})) \leq h^* \alpha(B(J)). \quad (64)$$

It follows from (H_3) , (61), (63), and (64) that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(D_{n-2,\delta}(t)) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} L_i \alpha(B^{(i)}(J)) + L_{n-1} k^* \alpha(B(J)) \\ &\quad + L_n h^* \alpha(B(J)). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Hence, by (58), we know (59) is true, and the conclusion holds. $\square$

Lemma 15. Let conditions (H_1) , (H_2) , and (H_3) be satisfied. Suppose that $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, in which $\gamma = \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} L_i + L_{n-1} k^* + L_n h^* + 2L_{n-2}$. Then, A is a strict set contraction from $\overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r$ into Q .

Proof. By Lemma 12, (H_1) , and (H_2) , it is easy to see that $A(\overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r) \subset Q$ and A is a bounded operator. We check that $A(\overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r) \rightarrow Q$ is continuous. In fact, let $u_m, u \in \overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r$, $\|u_m - u\|_{n-2} \rightarrow 0$ ($m \rightarrow \infty$). For $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$, it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} R &\geq \|u_m^{(i)}(t)\| \geq \frac{t^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)!} r, \\ R &\geq \|u^{(i)}(t)\| \geq \frac{t^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)!} r, \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

$$\forall t \in J.$$

For $\forall t \in J$, by (31) and (50),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (Au_m)^{(n-2)}(t) - (Au)^{(n-2)}(t) \right\| = \left\| \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) f(s, u_m(s), u'_m(s), \dots, u_m^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu_m)(s), (Su_m)(s)) \right. \\ & \quad \cdot u_m(s), u'_m(s), \dots, u_m^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu_m)(s), (Su_m)(s)) \, ds \\ & \quad - \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) \, ds \\ & \quad \cdot (s), (Su)(s)) \, ds \left\| \leq \int_0^1 s \left\| f(s, u_m(s), u'_m(s), \dots, u_m^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu_m)(s), (Su_m)(s)) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \cdot (s), (Su_m)(s)) - f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) \, ds. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

By (66) and conditions (H_1) and (H_2) , it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(s, u_m(s), u'_m(s), \dots, u_m^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu_m)(s), (Su_m)(s)) \right. \\ & \quad \cdot (s) - f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu)(s), (Su)(s)) \left. \right\| \leq 2s \left(b(s) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_i(s) \right. \\ & \quad \cdot g_i \left(\frac{s^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)!} r \right) \left(1 + \frac{h_i(R)}{g_i(R)} \right) + a_{n-1}(s) \\ & \quad \cdot h_{n-1}(k^*R) + a_n(s) h_n(h^*R) \left. \right). \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

From (67), by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, combined with the equicontinuity of $\{Au_m^{(n-2)}\}_{m=1}^{+\infty}$ and the continuity of $(Au)^{(n-2)}(t)$, we have

$$\left\| (Au_m)^{(n-2)}(t) - (Au)^{(n-2)}(t) \right\| \longrightarrow 0, \quad (69)$$

as $m \longrightarrow \infty$,

uniformly for $t \in J$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (Au_m)^{(n-2)} - (Au)^{(n-2)} \right\|_c \\ & = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \max_{t \in J} \left\| (Au_m)^{(n-2)}(t) - (Au)^{(n-2)}(t) \right\| \\ & = \max_{t \in J} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (Au_m)^{(n-2)}(t) - (Au)^{(n-2)}(t) \right\| = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Combining this with (23), we get

$$\left\| Au_m - Au \right\|_{n-2} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty. \quad (71)$$

Hence, $A : \overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r \rightarrow Q$ is continuous.

Let $B \subset \overline{Q_R} \setminus Q_r$ be bounded, so $A(B) \subset C^{n-1}[J, P_1] \subset C^{n-2}[J, E]$ is bounded. It is easy to prove that $(A(B))^{(n-1)}$ is bounded, so $(A(B))^{(n-2)}$ is equicontinuous. By Lemma 1,

$$\alpha_{n-2}(A(B)) = \max_{l=0,1,\dots,n-2} \left\{ \max_{t \in J} \left\{ \alpha((A(B))^{(l)}(t)) \right\} \right\}, \quad (72)$$

where $\alpha((A(B))^{(l)}(t)) = \alpha(\{(Au)^{(l)}(t) : u \in S\})$ (t is fixed, $l = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$). On account of Lemmas 14 and 3, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha((A(B))(t)) = \alpha(D_0(t)) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} L_i \alpha(B^{(i)}(J)) + L_{n-1} k^* \alpha(B(J)) \\ & \quad + L_n h^* \alpha(B(J)) \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-3} L_i + L_{n-1} k^* + L_n h^* + 2L_{n-2} \right) \alpha_{n-2}(B) \\ & = \gamma \alpha_{n-2}(B). \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Similarly,

$$\alpha((A(B))^{(l)}(t)) \leq \gamma \alpha_{n-2}(B), \quad (l = 1, 2, \dots, n-2). \quad (74)$$

Thus, we get $\alpha_{n-2}(A(B)) \leq \gamma \alpha_{n-2}(B)$ by (72), (73), and (74). Since A is bounded and continuous and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, the conclusion holds. $\square$

Theorem 16. Suppose that the conditions (H_1) , (H_2) , (H_3) , (H_4) , and (H_5) are satisfied. Then, SBVP (2) has at least two solutions u_* and u^* in $C^n[(0, 1), E] \cap C^{n-2}[J, E]$, satisfying

$$0 < \|u_*\|_{n-2} < R_0 < \|u^*\|_{n-2}. \quad (75)$$

Proof. Suppose that the conditions (H_1) , (H_2) , and (H_4) are satisfied. For a $l \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$, $0 < \delta < 1/2$, let $M > (n-1-l)!/\delta^{n-1-l}(1-(1-\delta)^{n-1-l})(1-2\delta)$. There is a $0 < \beta < R_0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(f(t, u(t), u'(t), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(t), (Tu)(t), (Su)(t))) \\ & \geq M \varphi(u^{(l)}(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

$$t \in [\delta, 1-\delta], \quad u^{(i)} \in P_1 \setminus \{\theta\}, \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), \quad \|u\|_{n-2} \leq \beta.$$

Now, for any $0 < r_1 < \beta$, we show that

$$Au \not\leq_1 u, \quad u \in Q, \quad \|u\|_{n-2} = r_1, \quad (77)$$

in which $\leq_1$ is partial ordering defined by Q . In fact, suppose that there is a $u_1 \in Q$, $\|u_1\|_{n-2} = r_1$, such that $Au_1 \leq_1 u_1$. Then, for $l \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$, $t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & u_1^{(l)}(t) \geq (Au_1)^{(l)}(t) = \int_0^1 G_{n-l}(t, s) \\ & \quad \cdot f(s, u_1(s), u'_1(s), \dots, u_1^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu_1)(s), (Su_1)(s)) \, ds \\ & \quad \geq \frac{\delta^{n-1-l} (1 - (1-\delta)^{n-1-l})}{(n-1-l)!} \\ & \quad \cdot \int_\delta^{1-\delta} f(s, u_1(s), u'_1(s), \dots, u_1^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu_1)(s), (Su_1)(s)) \, ds. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

By (76) and (78), one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u_1^{(l)}(t)) &\geq \varphi \left[\frac{\delta^{n-1-l} (1 - (1-\delta)^{n-1-l})}{(n-1-l)!} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} f(s, \right. \\ &\quad \left. u_1(s), u_1'(s), \dots, u_1^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu_1)(s), (Su_1)(s)) ds \right] \\ &= \frac{\delta^{n-1-l} (1 - (1-\delta)^{n-1-l})}{(n-1-l)!} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} \varphi[f(s, u_1(s), \\ &\quad u_1'(s), \dots, u_1^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu_1)(s), (Su_1)(s))] ds \\ &\geq \frac{\delta^{n-1-l} (1 - (1-\delta)^{n-1-l})}{(n-1-l)!} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} M\varphi(u_1^{(l)}(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} \varphi(u_1^{(l)}(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{\delta^{n-1-l} (1 - (1-\delta)^{n-1-l}) (1-2\delta)}{(n-1-l)!} \\ &\quad \cdot M \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} \varphi(u_1^{(l)}(s)) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

Then, it follows from $M(\delta^{n-1-l}(1 - (1-\delta)^{n-1-l})(1-2\delta)/(n-1-l)!) > 1$, $\varphi(u_1^{(l)}(t)) \geq 0$ and (80) that

$$\varphi(u_1^{(l)}(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]. \quad (81)$$

Since $u_1 \in Q$, by (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1^{(l)}(t)\| &\geq \frac{t^{n-1-l}}{(n-1-l)!} \|u_1^{(n-2)}\|_c \\ &\geq \frac{\delta^{n-1-l}}{(n-1-l)!} \|u_1^{(n-2)}\|_c, \quad (\forall t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]), \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

which implies

$$\|u_1^{(n-2)}\|_c = 0. \quad (83)$$

It contradicts $\|u_1\|_{n-2} = r_1 > 0$. Thus, (77) holds.

On the other hand, by conditions (H_1) , (H_2) , and (H_5) , for $l \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$, $0 < \delta < 1/2$, let

$$M > \frac{(n-1-l)!}{\delta^{n-1-l} (1 - (1-\delta)^{n-1-l}) (1-2\delta)}. \quad (84)$$

There exists $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(f(t, u(t), u'(t), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(t), (Tu)(t), (Su)(t))) \\ &\geq M\varphi(u^{(n-2)}(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

$$t \in [\delta, 1-\delta], \quad u^{(i)} \in P_1 \setminus \{\theta\}, \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2), \quad \|u^{(l)}\| \geq \tau.$$

Set

$$R_1 > \max \left\{ \frac{(n-1-l)! \tau}{\delta^{n-1-l}}, R_0 \right\}. \quad (86)$$

We show that

$$Au \not\leq_1 u, \quad u \in Q, \quad \|u\|_{n-2} = R_1. \quad (87)$$

In fact, by (24), if there is $u_1 \in Q$, $\|u_1\|_{n-2} = R_1$ such that $Au_1 \leq_1 u_1$, then

$$\|u_1^{(l)}(t)\| \geq \frac{t^{n-1-l}}{(n-1-l)!} \|u_1^{(n-2)}\|_c, \quad \forall t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]. \quad (88)$$

It is easy to see by (86) and (88) that

$$\min_{t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]} \|u_1^{(l)}(t)\| \geq \frac{t^{n-1-l}}{(n-1-l)!} \|u_1\|_{n-2} = \delta R_1 > \tau. \quad (89)$$

In the same way, similar to the proof of (77), (87) holds.

Finally, by condition (H_2) , one can see

$$Au \not\leq_1 u, \quad u \in Q, \quad \|u\|_{n-2} = R_0. \quad (90)$$

In fact, if there is $u_1 \in Q$, $\|u_1\|_{n-2} = R_0$ such that $Au_1 \geq_1 u_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \leq u_1^{(n-2)}(t) &\leq (Au_1)^{(n-2)}(t) = \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) \\ &\quad \cdot f(s, u_1(s), u_1'(s), \dots, u_1^{(n-2)}(s), (Tu_1) \\ &\quad \cdot (s), (Su_1)(s)) ds, \quad \forall t \in J. \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 = \|u_1\|_{n-2} &\leq \int_0^1 s \left(b(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_i(s) g_i \left(\frac{s^{n-1-i}}{(n-1-i)!} R_0 \right) \left(1 + \frac{h_i(R_0)}{g_i(R_0)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a_{n-1}(s) h_{n-1}(k^* R_0) + a_n(s) h_n(h^* R_0) \right) ds \\ &< R_0. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, (90) is true.

Above all, we set $R = R_1$, $r = r_1$. By (H_1) , (H_2) , (H_3) , and Lemma 15, we know that $A : \overline{Q}_{R_1} \setminus Q_{r_1} \rightarrow Q$ is a strict set contraction. By virtue of (77), (87), and (90), applying Lemma 4 twice, we obtain that operator A has at least one fixed point in $Q_{R_1} \setminus \overline{Q}_{R_0}$ and $Q_{R_0} \setminus \overline{Q}_{r_1}$, respectively. By Lemma 13, SBVP (2) has at least two solutions $u_* \in Q_{R_1} \setminus \overline{Q}_{R_0}$ and $u^* \in Q_{R_0} \setminus \overline{Q}_{r_1}$ satisfying $0 < \|u_*\|_{n-2} < R_0 < \|u^*\|_{n-2}$. $\square$

An application of Theorem 16 is as follows.

Example 17. Consider SBVP of infinite system for scalar nonlinear third-order singular integrodifferential equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 -u_n'''(t) &= \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{t(1-t)}} + \frac{t^4}{6n^{3/2}(u_{2n}(t))^{1/2}} \\
 &+ \frac{t^4\sqrt{u_n(t)}}{4(n+2)} + \frac{1-t^{1/2}}{5n(u'_{(n+1)}(t))^{1/3}} \\
 &+ \frac{1-t^{1/2}}{3(n+1)^2} \sup_{n \in \{1,2,\dots\}} (u'_n(t))^2 \\
 &+ \frac{t^2}{4} \int_0^t \frac{1}{1+(t-s)} u_n(s) ds \\
 &+ \frac{t^5}{6} \int_0^1 (t+s) u_n(s) ds, \quad 0 < t < 1; \\
 u_n(0) &= u'_n(0) = u''_n(1) = 0, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).
 \end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

Conclusion 18. Infinite system (93) has at least two solutions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{u_n(t)\}, \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \\
 \{v_n(t)\}, \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)
 \end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_n(t) &\longrightarrow 0, \\
 v_n(t) &\longrightarrow 0, \\
 &\text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty, \tag{95}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$0 < \sup_n \max_{t \in [0,1]} \{u''_n(t)\} < 1 < \sup_n \max_{t \in [0,1]} \{v''_n(t)\}.$$

Proof. Let $J = [0, 1]$, $E =: C_0 = \{u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, \dots) : u_n \rightarrow 0\}$ with norm $\|u\| = \sup_n |u_n|$, and $P = \{u = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots) \in C_0 : u_n \geq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Obviously P is a normal cone in E and the normal constant $N = 1$. Let $u_0 = (1/4, 1/9, \dots, 1/(n+1)^2, \dots)$. Then, it is easy to see $u_0 \in P$, $0 < \|u_0\| = 1/4 < 1$, and $P_1 = \{u \in P : u_n \geq u_{0n}\|u\|, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Infinite system (93) can be regarded as SBVP of the form (2) in E . In this situation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(t, s) &= \frac{1}{1+(t-s)} \in C[D, R_+], \\
 (D &= \{(t, s) \in J \times J : s \leq t\}),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(t, s) &= t + s \in C[J \times J, R_+], \\
 u &= (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, \dots), \\
 v &= (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, \dots), \\
 w &= (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n, \dots), \\
 x &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots),
 \end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

$f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, \dots)$, with

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(t, u, v, w, x) &= \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{t(1-t)}} + \frac{t^4}{6n^{3/2}(u_{2n})^{1/2}} \\
 &+ \frac{t^4\sqrt{u_n}}{4(n+2)} + \frac{1-t^{1/2}}{5n(v_{(n+1)})^{1/3}} \\
 &+ \frac{1-t^{1/2}}{3(n+1)^2} \|v\|^2 + \frac{t^2}{4} w_n + \frac{t^5}{6} x_n.
 \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

Obviously, for $(t, u, v, w, x) \in (0, 1) \times P_1 \setminus \{\theta\} \times P_1 \setminus \{\theta\} \times P_1 \times P_1$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 6n^{3/2}(u_{2n})^{1/2} &\geq \frac{6n^{3/2}}{2n+1} \|u\|^{1/2} > 0, \\
 \frac{\|u\|^{1/2}}{4(n+2)} &\geq \frac{(u_n)^{1/2}}{4(n+2)} \geq \frac{1}{4(n+2)(n+1)} \|u\|^{1/2}, \\
 5n(v_{(n+1)})^{1/3} &\geq 5n\left(\frac{1}{n+2}\right)^{2/3} \|v\|^{1/3} > 0, \\
 \frac{1}{12} \|v\|^2 &\geq \frac{1}{3(n+1)^2} \|v\|^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

$$w_n \geq \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^2 \|w\|,$$

$$x_n \geq \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^2 \|x\|,$$

$$n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f_n| &\leq \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{t(1-t)}} + \frac{(2n+1)t^4}{6n^{3/2}\|u\|^{1/2}} + \frac{\|u\|^{1/2}t^4}{4(n+2)} \\
 &+ \frac{1-t^{1/2}}{5n(1/(n+2))^{2/3}\|v\|^{1/3}} + \frac{1-t^{1/2}}{3(n+1)^2} \|v\|^2 \\
 &+ \frac{t^2}{4} w_n + \frac{t^5}{6} x_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots
 \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow 0$, $v_n \rightarrow 0$, $w_n \rightarrow 0$, $x_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, one can see that $|f_n| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. That is, $f \in E$. Obviously, $f \in P$. By (99), we can see

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f\| &\leq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{t(1-t)}} + \frac{t^4}{2\|u\|^{1/2}} + \frac{\|u\|^{1/2}t^4}{12} \\
 &+ \frac{3^{2/3}(1-t^{1/2})}{5\|v\|^{1/3}} + \frac{1-t^{1/2}}{12} \|v\|^2 + \frac{t^2}{4} \|w\| \\
 &+ \frac{t^5}{6} \|x\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (97) and (98) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(t, u, v, w, x) &\geq \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{t(1-t)}} + \frac{t^4}{6n^{3/2}\|u\|^{1/2}} \\
 &+ \frac{t^4}{4(n+2)(n+1)}\|u\|^{1/2} \\
 &+ \frac{1-t^{1/2}}{5n(1/(n+2))^{2/3}\|v\|^{1/3}} + \frac{1-t^{1/2}}{3(n+1)^2}\|v\|^2 + \frac{t^2}{4} \\
 &\cdot w_n + \frac{t^5}{6}x_n \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \left(\frac{(n+1)^2}{4n\sqrt{t(1-t)}} \right. \\
 &+ \frac{(n+1)^2 t^4}{6n^{3/2}\|u\|^{1/2}} + \frac{(n+1)t^4}{4(n+2)}\|u\|^{1/2} \\
 &+ \frac{(n+2)^{2/3}(n+1)^2(1-t^{1/2})}{5n\|v\|^{1/3}} + \frac{1-t^{1/2}}{3}\|v\|^2 \\
 &\left. + \frac{t^2}{4}\|w\| + \frac{t^5}{6}\|x\| \right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots
 \end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

It is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{(n+1)^2}{n} &\geq 4, \\
 \frac{(n+1)^2}{n^{3/2}} &\geq 3, \\
 \frac{n+1}{4(n+2)} &\geq \frac{1}{6}, \\
 \frac{(n+2)^{2/3}(n+1)^2}{5n} &\geq 4\frac{3^{2/3}}{5}, \\
 n &= 1, 2, \dots
 \end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

It follows from (100), (101), and (102) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(t, u, v, w, x) &\geq \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \left(\frac{1}{4\sqrt{t(1-t)}} + \frac{t^4}{2\|u\|^{1/2}} \right. \\
 &+ \frac{\|u\|^{1/2}t^4}{6} + 4\frac{3^{2/3}(1-t^{1/2})}{5\|v\|^{1/3}} + \frac{1-t^{1/2}}{3}\|v\|^2 \\
 &\left. + \frac{t^2}{4}\|w\| + \frac{t^5}{6}\|x\| \right) \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\|f\| = u_{0n}\|f\|, \\
 n &= 1, 2, \dots
 \end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

So, $f \in C[(0, 1) \times P_1 \setminus \{\theta\} \times P_1 \setminus \{\theta\} \times P_1 \times P_1, P_1]$ and $f(t, v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3)$ is singular at $v_i = \theta (i = 0, 1)$, $t = 0$, and/or $t = 1$. Thus, by (100), condition (H_1) holds for

$$\begin{aligned}
 b(t) &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{t(1-t)}}, \\
 a_0(t) &= \frac{1}{2}t^4, \\
 a_1(t) &= \frac{1}{2}(1-t^{1/2}), \\
 a_2(t) &= \frac{t^2}{4}, \\
 a_3(t) &= \frac{t^5}{6}, \\
 g_0(y) &= \frac{1}{y^{1/2}}, \\
 g_1(y) &= \frac{1}{y^{1/3}}, \\
 h_2(y) &= h_3(y) = y, \\
 h_0(y) &= y^{1/2}, \\
 h_1(y) &= y^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

For any $R > r > 0$, by (100), we have, with $k^* = 1$ and $h^* = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^1 \left(\frac{s}{4\sqrt{s(1-s)}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}s^4}{2\sqrt{r}}(1+R) \right. \\
 &\left. + \frac{s(1-s^{1/2})}{2\sqrt[3]{sr}}(1+R^{4/3}) + \frac{s^3}{4}R + \frac{s^6}{6}2R \right) ds \\
 &< +\infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

On the other hand, taking $R_0 = 1$, by (105), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^1 \left(\frac{s}{4\sqrt{s(1-s)}} + \sqrt{2}s^4 + \frac{s(1-s^{1/2})}{2\sqrt[3]{s}}(1+1) + \frac{s^3}{4} \right. \\
 &\left. + \frac{s^6}{6}2 \right) ds = \frac{\pi}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{5} + \frac{9}{65} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{21} < 1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

By (105) and (106), condition (H_2) is satisfied.

For any $R > r > 0$, $[a, b] \subset (0, 1)$, it is clear that f is uniformly continuous on

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[a, b] \\
 &\times \underbrace{\overline{P_{1_R}} \setminus P_{1_r} \times \overline{P_{1_R}} \setminus P_{1_r} \times \dots \times \overline{P_{1_R}} \setminus P_{1_r} \times \overline{P_{1_R}} \times \overline{P_{1_R}}}_{n+1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

Let $f = f^1 + f^2 + f^3$, with

$$\begin{aligned} f^1 &= (f_1^1, f_2^1, \dots, f_n^1, \dots), \\ f^2 &= (f_1^2, f_2^2, \dots, f_n^2, \dots), \\ f^3 &= (f_1^3, f_2^3, \dots, f_n^3, \dots), \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^1(t, u, v, w, x) &= \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{t(1-t)}} + \frac{t^4}{6n^{3/2}(u_{2n})^{1/2}} \\ &+ \frac{t^4\sqrt{u_n}}{4(n+2)} + \frac{1-t^{1/2}}{5n(v_{(n+1)})^{1/3}} \\ &+ \frac{1-t^{1/2}}{3(n+1)^2}\|v\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

$$f_n^2(t, u, v, w, x) = \frac{t^4}{4}w_n,$$

$$f_n^3(t, u, v, w, x) = \frac{t^5}{6}x_n,$$

$$n = 1, 2, \dots$$

For any

$$\begin{aligned} z &= (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, \dots) \in f^1(t, B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3) \\ &(\forall t \in [a, b], B_0, B_1 \subset \overline{P_{1R}} \setminus P_{1r}, B_2, B_3 \subset \overline{P_{1R}}), \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

by (99) and (109), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |z_n| &\leq \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{a(1-b)}} + \frac{(2n+1)b^4}{6n^{3/2}r^{1/2}} + \frac{R^{1/2}b^4}{4(n+2)} \\ &+ \frac{1-a^{1/2}}{5n(1/(n+2))^{2/3}r^{1/3}} + \frac{1-a^{1/2}}{3(n+1)^2}R^2, \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

$$n = 1, 2, \dots$$

So, the relative compactness of $f^1(t, B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3)$ in C_0 follows directly from a known result (see [21]): a bounded set X of C_0 is relatively compact if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sup_{z \in X} [\max \{|Z_k| : k \geq n\}] \right\} = 0. \quad (112)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f^1(t, B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3)) &= 0, \\ \forall t \in [a, b], B_0, B_1 &\subset \overline{P_{1R}} \setminus P_{1r}, B_2, B_3 \subset \overline{P_{1R}}. \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

By (109), it is easy to get

$$\alpha(f^2(t, B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3)) \leq \frac{1}{4}\alpha(B_2), \quad (114)$$

$$\forall t \in (0, 1), B_0, B_1 \subset \overline{P_{1R}} \setminus P_{1r}, B_2, B_3 \subset \overline{P_{1R}},$$

$$\alpha(f^3(t, B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3)) \leq \frac{1}{6}\alpha(B_3), \quad (115)$$

$$\forall t \in (0, 1), B_0, B_1 \subset \overline{P_{1R}} \setminus P_{1r}, B_2, B_3 \subset \overline{P_{1R}}.$$

Combining this with (109), (113), and (114), one can see

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f(t, B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3)) &\leq \alpha(f^1(t, B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3)) \\ &+ \alpha(f^2(t, B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3)) \\ &+ \alpha(f^3(t, B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\alpha(B_2) + \frac{1}{6}\alpha(B_3), \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

$$\forall t \in [a, b], B_0, B_1 \subset \overline{P_{1R}} \setminus P_{1r}, B_2, B_3 \subset \overline{P_{1R}}.$$

Therefore, condition (H_3) holds.

For any $u \in P_1$, define φ by $\varphi(u) = u_1$. It is easy to see $\varphi \in P_1^*$, $\|\varphi\| = 1$. Let $[a, b] = [1/4, 3/4]$, for

$$\begin{aligned} (t, u, v, w, x) &\in \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \right] \times P_1 \setminus \{\theta\} \times P_1 \setminus \{\theta\} \times P_1 \\ &\times P_1, \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

and it is easy to see $0 < (1/4)\|u\| \leq u_1 \leq \|u\|$, $0 < (1/4)\|v\| \leq v_1 \leq \|v\|$. Thus, (97), (101), and (102) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(f(t, u, v, w, x))}{\varphi(u)} &= \lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_1(t, u, v, w, x)}{u_1} \\ &\geq \lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1/2^{11}\|u\|^{1/2}}{u_1} \geq \lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2^{11}\|u\|^{3/2}} = +\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (118)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\|v\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varphi(f(t, u, v, w, x))}{\varphi(v)} &= \lim_{\|v\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f_1(t, u, v, w, x)}{v_1} \\ &\geq \lim_{\|v\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|v\|^2}{24v_1} \geq \lim_{\|v\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|v\|}{24} = +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

The conditions (H_4) and (H_5) follow from (118) and (119). It is easy to see that

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{7}{12} < 1 \quad (120)$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= 0, \\ L_1 &= 0, \\ L_2 &= \frac{1}{4}, \\ L_3 &= \frac{1}{6}. \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

Therefore, by Theorem 16, our conclusion holds. $\square$

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contribution

All authors typed, read, and approved the final paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for carefully reading this paper and making valuable comments and suggestions. The project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China (71272119), Taishan Scholar Program of Shandong Province, Major Project of National Social Science Foundation of P.R. China (12&ZD069), Social Science Foundation of Shandong Province (13CJRJ07), and Teaching and Research Projects of Qi Lu Normal University (201306).

References

- [1] S. D. Taliaferro, "A nonlinear singular boundary value problem," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 897–904, 1979.
- [2] A. Callegari and A. Nachman, "Some singular, nonlinear differential equations arising in boundary layer theory," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 96–105, 1978.
- [3] C. D. Luning and W. L. Perry, "Positive solutions of negative exponent generalized Emden-Fowler boundary value problems," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 874–879, 1981.
- [4] A. Nachman and A. Callegari, "A nonlinear singular boundary value problem in the theory of pseudoplastic fluids," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 275–281, 1980.
- [5] R. P. Agarwal, D. Franco, and D. O'Regan, "Singular boundary value problems for first and second order impulsive differential equations," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 69, no. 1-2, pp. 83–96, 2005.
- [6] P. J. Y. Wong and R. P. Agarwal, "On the existence of solutions of singular boundary value problems for higher order difference equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 277–287, 1997.
- [7] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, "Positive solutions for $(p, n - p)$ conjugate boundary value problems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 150, no. 2, pp. 462–473, 1998.
- [8] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, "Multiplicity results for singular conjugate, focal, and (n, p) problems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 170, no. 1, pp. 142–156, 2001.
- [9] Y. Chen, "Multiple solutions for boundary value problems of n th-order nonlinear integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 296038, 7 pages, 2013.
- [10] Y. Liu, "Positive solutions of second order singular initial value problem in Banach space," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 833–845, 2002.
- [11] Y. S. Liu, "Positive solutions of nonlinear singular boundary value problem in Banach space," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 131–140, 2004.
- [12] L. Liu, Z. Liu, and Y. Wu, "Infinite boundary value problems for n th-order nonlinear impulsive integro-differential equations in Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 67, no. 9, pp. 2670–2679, 2007.
- [13] H. Zhang, L. Liu, and Y. Wu, "Positive solutions for n -th-order nonlinear impulsive singular integro-differential equations on infinite intervals in Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 772–787, 2009.
- [14] H. Zhang, L. Liu, and Y. Wu, "A unique positive solution for n th-order nonlinear impulsive singular integro-differential equations on unbounded domains in Banach spaces," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 203, no. 2, pp. 649–659, 2008.
- [15] Y. Chen and B. Qin, "Multiple positive solutions for first-order impulsive singular integro-differential equations on the half line in a Banach space," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2013, article 69, 24 pages, 2013.
- [16] Y. Chen, T. Cao, and B. Qin, "Solutions for n th-order boundary value problems of impulsive singular nonlinear integro-differential equations in Banach spaces," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2014, article 128, 25 pages, 2014.
- [17] D. Guo, "Existence of positive solutions for n th-order nonlinear impulsive singular integro-differential equations in Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 68, no. 9, pp. 2727–2740, 2008.
- [18] D. Guo, "Positive solutions of an infinite boundary value problem for n th-order nonlinear impulsive singular integro-differential equations in Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 5, pp. 2078–2090, 2009.
- [19] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, and X. Liu, *Nonlinear Integral Equations in Abstract Spaces*, vol. 373 of *Mathematics and its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1996.
- [20] D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, vol. 5 of *Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, Boston, Mass, USA, 1988.
- [21] J. Banas and K. Goebel, *Measure of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1980.