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We discuss the Hankel determinants \( H_2(n) = a_n a_{n+2} - (a_{n+1})^2 \) for typically real functions, that is, analytic functions which satisfy the condition \( \text{Im} f(z) \geq 0 \) in the unit disk. Main results are concerned with \( H_2(2) \) and \( H_3(3) \). The sharp upper and lower bounds are given. In general case, for \( n \geq 4 \), the results are not sharp. Moreover, we present some remarks connected with typically real odd functions.

1. Introduction

Let \( \Delta \) be the unit disk \( \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \} \) and let \( \mathcal{A} \) be the family of all functions \( f \) analytic in \( \Delta \) that have the Taylor series expansion \( f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \). In [1, 2] Pommerenke defined \( q \)th Hankel determinant for a function \( f \) as

\[
H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix}
a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\
a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2q}
\end{vmatrix}, \tag{1}
\]

where \( n, q \in \mathbb{N} \).

Recently, the Hankel determinant has been studied intensively by many mathematicians. The research was focused on \( H_2(2) \) for various classes of univalent functions. The papers by Janteng et al. [3, 4], Lee et al. [5], Vamshee Krishna and Ramreddy [6], and Selvaraj and Kumar [7] are worth mentioning here. Janteng et al. derived the exact bounds of \( |H_2(2)| \) for the classes: \( S^* \) of star-like functions (\( |H_2(2)| \leq 1 \)), \( \mathcal{K} \) of convex functions (\( |H_2(2)| \leq 1/8 \)), and \( \mathcal{R} \) of functions whose derivative has a positive real part (\( |H_2(2)| \leq 4/9 \)). Lee et al. [5] investigated the Hankel determinant in the general class \( S^*(\varphi) \) of star-like functions with respect to a given function \( \varphi \). This class was defined by Ma and Minda in [8]. In particular, Lee et al. obtained the results for the following classes: \( S^*(\alpha) \) of star-like functions of order \( \alpha \) (\( |H_2(2)| \leq (1 - \alpha^2)^2 \)), \( \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^* \) of lemniscate star-like functions (\( |H_2(2)| \leq 1/16 \)); for the definition of \( \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^* \), see [9]), and \( \mathcal{S}_R^* \) of strongly star-like functions of order \( \beta \) (\( |H_2(2)| \leq \beta^2 \)). Vamshee Krishna and Ramreddy [6] generalized the result of Janteng et al. They gave the bound of \( |H_2(2)| \) in the class \( \mathcal{K}(\alpha) \) of convex functions of order \( \alpha \). Selvaraj and Kumar [7] proved that the estimate of the second Hankel determinant for the class \( \mathcal{K} \) of close-to-convex functions is the same as that for the class \( S^* \). The question whether this bound is good for the class \( \mathcal{S} \), was not mentioned in the paper. One can find some other results in this direction in [10–14].

Taking different set of parameters \( q \) and \( n \), the research on the Hankel determinant is much more difficult. In [15] Hayami and Owa discussed \( H_q(n) \) for functions \( f \) satisfying \( \text{Re} f(z)/z > \alpha \) or \( \text{Re} f'(z) > \alpha \). On the other hand, Babalola [16] tried to estimate \( |H_2(1)| \) for \( S^*, \mathcal{K}, \) and \( \mathcal{R} \). Shanmugam et al. [17] discussed \( |H_2(1)| \) for the class \( M_\alpha \) of \( \alpha \)-star-like functions defined by Mocanu in [18].

In particular, if \( q = 2 \) and \( n = 1 \) then \( H_2(1) \) is known as a classical functional of Pekete-Szegö. A lot of papers have been devoted to the studies concerning this functional. Because \( H_2(1) \) is not related to the subject of this paper, we omit recalling results obtained in this direction.

The majority of results concerning the Hankel determinants were obtained for univalent functions. In this paper we discuss functions which, in general, are not univalent. We focus our investigation on typically real functions.
2. Class $T$ and the Hankel Determinants for a Selected Functions in $T$

A function $f \in A$ that satisfies the condition $\text{Im} z \text{ Im} f(z) \geq 0$ for $z \in \Delta$ is called a typically real function. Let $T$ denote the class of all typically real functions. Robertson [19] proved that $f \in T$ if and only if there exists a probability measure $\mu$ on $[-1, 1]$ such that the following formula holds:

$$f(z) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{z}{1 - 2zt + z^2} d\mu(t). \quad (2)$$

The coefficients of a function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in T$ can be written as follows:

$$a_n = \int_{-1}^{1} \sin(n \arccos t) \frac{d\mu(t)}{\sin(n \arccos t)} = \int_{-1}^{1} U_{n-1}(t) d\mu(t), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \quad (3)$$

The functions $U_n(t), n = 1, 2, \ldots$, which appear in the above formula, are the well-known Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind.

Since all coefficients of $f \in T$ are real we look for the lower and the upper bounds of $H_2(2)$ instead of the bound of $|H_2(2)|$. At the beginning, let us look at a few examples.

Example 1. All the functions $f_t(z) = z/(1 - 2zt + z^2)$, $t \in [-1, 1]$, are in $S^*$. Since $f_t(z) = z + 2tz + (4t^2 - 1)z^2 + (8t^3 - 4t)z^3 + \cdots$, we have $H_2(n) = -1$ for each $t \in [-1, 1]$. Moreover, $H_2(n) = U_{n-1}(t)U_{n+1}(t) - U_n(t)^2$. This and the Turan identity for Chebyshev polynomials $U_n(t)$ result in $H_2(n) = -1$ for each $n = 2, 3, \ldots$.

Example 2. For a function $f(z) = z(1 + z^2)/(1 - z^2)^2$ having the Taylor series expansion $f(z) = z + 3z^3 + 5z^5 + \cdots$, there is $H_2(4) = -n(n + 1)^2$ for even $n$ and $H_2(n) = (n + 1)^2 - 1$ for odd $n$. In this case, the function $f$ is not univalent; the bound of $|H_2(2)|$ is much greater than the value of the second Hankel determinant for star-like functions or close-to-convex functions.

Example 3. Every Hankel determinant $H_2(n, n) = 1, 2, \ldots$, for a function $f(z) = \log(1/(1 - z)) = z + (1/2)z^2 + (1/3)z^3 + \cdots$ is positive. Namely, $H_2(n) = 1/n(n + 1)^2(n + 2)$.

For a given class $A \subset A$, we denote by $\Omega_n(A), n \geq 1$, the region of variability of the three succeeding coefficients of functions in $A$, that is, the set $(a_n(f), a_{n+1}(f), a_{n+2}(f)) : f \in A$. As it is seen in (3), the coefficients of typically real functions are the Stieltjes integrals of the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind with respect to a probability measure. Hence, $\Omega_n(T)$ is the closed convex hull of the curve $\gamma : [-1, 1] \ni t \rightarrow (U_{n-1}(t), U_n(t), U_{n+1}(t))$ (see, e.g., [20]).

Lemma 4. The functional $T \ni f \rightarrow H_2(n, n) \geq 2$, attains its extreme values on the boundary of $\Omega_n(T)$.

Proof. The only critical point of $h(x, y, z) = xz - y^2$, where $x = a_n$, $y = a_{n+1}$, and $z = a_{n+2}$, is $(0, 0, 0)$. But $h(0, 0, 0) = 0$. Since $h$ may be positive as well as negative for $(x, y, z) \in \Omega_n(T)$, (see Examples 1 and 3), it means that the extreme values of $h$ are attained on the boundary of $\Omega_n(T)$.

3. Bounds of $H_2(2)$ in $T$

In [21] Ma proved so-called generalized Zalcman conjecture for the class $T$:

$$|a_n a_m - a_{n+m-1}| \leq \begin{cases} n + 1, & m = 2, n = 2, 4, 6, \ldots, \\ m + 1, & n = 2, m = 2, 4, 6, \ldots, \\ (n - 1)(m - 1), & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

We apply this result to prove the following.

Theorem 5. If $f \in T$ then $|H_2(2)| \leq 9$.

Proof. The result of Ma and the triangle inequality result in

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_6^2| \leq |a_2 a_4 - a_5| + |a_5 - a_6^2| \leq 5 + 4 = 9. \quad (5)$$

This result is sharp; the equality holds for $f(z) = z(1 + z^2)/(1 - z^2)^2$. Furthermore, we can see the following.

Corollary 6. For $T$ one has

$$\min \{H_2(2) : f \in T\} = -9. \quad (6)$$

For our next theorem let us cite two results. First one is the obvious conclusion from the Carathéodory theorem and the Krein-Milman theorem. We assume that $X$ is a compact Hausdorff space and

$$J_\mu = \int_{X} J(t) d\mu(t). \quad (7)$$

Theorem A (see [22, Thm. 1.40]). If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous then the convex hull of $f(X)$ is a compact set and it coincides with the set $\{J_\mu : \mu \in P_X, |\supp(\mu)| \leq n\}$.

In the above, the symbols $P_X$ and $|\supp(\mu)|$ stand for the set of probability measures on $X$ and the cardinality of the support of $\mu$, respectively.

It means that $\mu$ is atomic measure having at most $n$ steps. More precise information about the relation between the measure and the convex hull is presented in the following theorem. In what follows, $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$ means the scalar product of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$.

Theorem B (see [22, Thm. 1.49]). Let $f : [a, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuous. Suppose that there exists a positive integer $k$, such that for each nonzero $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the number of solutions of any equation $\langle \mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{p} \rangle = \text{ const}$, $a \leq t \leq \beta$, is not greater than $k$. 

Then, for every \( \mu \in P_{[\alpha, \beta]} \) such that \( J(\mu) \) belongs to the boundary of the convex hull of \( J([\alpha, \beta]) \) the following statements are true:

1. If \( k = 2m \) then
   - (a) \( |\text{supp}(\mu)| \leq m \), or
   - (b) \( |\text{supp}(\mu)| = m + 1 \) and \( \{\alpha, \beta\} \subset \text{supp}(\mu) \).

2. If \( k = 2m + 1 \) then
   - (a) \( |\text{supp}(\mu)| \leq m \), or
   - (b) \( |\text{supp}(\mu)| = m + 1 \) and one of the points \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) belongs to \( \text{supp}(\mu) \).

This theorem, in slightly modified version, was published in [23] as Lemma 2.

Putting \( J(t) = [U_1(t), U_2(t), U_3(t)] \), \( t \in [-1, 1] \), and \( \bar{p} = [p_1, p_2, p_3] \), we can see that any equation of the form

\[
p_1 U_1(t) + p_2 U_2(t) + p_3 U_3(t) = \text{const}, \quad t \in [-1, 1]
\]  

(8)

is equivalent to \( W_0(t) = \text{const} \), where \( W_0(t) \) is a polynomial of degree 3. Hence, (8) has at most 3 solutions. According to Theorem B, the boundary of the convex hull of \( J([-1, 1]) \) is determined by atomic measures \( \mu \) for which support consists of at most 2 points. Moreover, one of them has to be \(-1\) or 1. We have proved the following.

**Lemma 7.** The boundary of \( \Omega_2(T) \) consists of points \( (a_2, a_3, a_4) \) that correspond to the following functions:

\[
f(z) = \alpha \frac{z}{1 - 2zt + z^2} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1], \ t \in [-1, 1]
\]  

(9)

\[
or\]

\[
f(z) = \alpha \frac{z}{1 - 2zt + z^2} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{z}{(1 + z)^2}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1], \ t \in [-1, 1].
\]  

(10)

Now, we are ready to prove the following.

**Theorem 8.** For \( T \) one has

\[
\max \{H_2(\alpha) : f \in T\} = 1.
\]  

(11)

**Proof.** By Lemma 7, it is enough to take functions given by (9) or (10). Consider the following:

1. Function (9) has the series expansion

\[
f(z) = z + 2 (1 - \alpha + \alpha t) z^2
\]
\[
+ \left[ 3 (1 - \alpha) + (4t^2 - 1) \alpha \right] z^3
\]
\[
+ 4 \left[ 1 - \alpha + (2t^3 - t) \alpha \right] z^4 + \cdots.
\]  

(12)

Hence, \( H_2(\alpha) = g_1(\alpha, t) \), where

\[
g_1(\alpha, t) = 8 (1 - \alpha + at) \left[ 1 - \alpha + (2t^3 - t) \alpha \right]
\]
\[
- \left( 4t^2 \alpha - 4\alpha + 3 \right)^2
\]  

(13)

\[
\text{if} \quad \alpha \in [0, 1], \ t \in [-1, 1].
\]

From

\[
\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial \alpha} = 8 \left( 1 - t^2 \right) \left( 2(2 - \alpha) t^2 + 1 - 2\alpha \right),
\]
\[
\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial t} = 16\alpha \left( \alpha - 1 \right) \left( 4t^2 - 3t + 3 \right),
\]  

(14)

it follows that the critical points of \( g_1 \) are as follows: \((0, -1), (0, 1), (1, -1), (1, 1), (-1, -1/\sqrt{2}), (1, 1/\sqrt{2})\), and \((1/2, 0)\). Among these points, only \((1/2, 0)\) lies inside the set \([0, 1] \times [-1, 1]\).

If \( \alpha = 0 \) or \( \alpha = 1 \) then functions (9) coincide with \( f_t \) from Example I. If \( t = 1 \) then \( f(z) = z/(1 - z)^2 \). In each case \( H_2(\alpha) = -1 \). For \( t = -1 \), function (9) takes the form

\[
f(z) = \alpha (z/(1 + z)^2) + (1 - \alpha) (z/(1 - z)^2).
\]

Then \( H_2(\alpha) = 8(1 - 2\alpha)^2 - 9 \leq -1 \).

If \( \alpha = 1/2 \) and \( t = 0 \) we have \( H_2(\alpha) = 1 \). It means that the greatest value of \( H_2(\alpha) \) for functions given by (9) is equal to 1.

The extremal function is

\[
f(z) = \frac{z}{2 \left( 1 + z^2 + \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2} \right)}
\]  

(15)

\[
= z + z^2 + z^3 + 2z^4 + 3z^5 + \cdots.
\]

(II) For functions (10), \( H_2(\alpha) \) is equal to \( g_2(\alpha, t) \), where

\[
g_2(\alpha, t) = 8 (-1 + \alpha + at) \left[ -1 + \alpha + (2t^3 - t) \alpha \right]
\]
\[
- \left( 4t^2 \alpha - 4\alpha + 3 \right)^2
\]  

(16)

\[
\text{if} \quad \alpha \in [0, 1], \ t \in [-1, 1].
\]

Moreover, \( g_2(\alpha, t) = g_1(\alpha, -t) \). Taking into account the symmetry of the range of variability of \( t \), we obtain the same result as above also for functions defined by (10). The extremal function is

\[
f(z) = \frac{z}{2 \left( 1 + z^2 + \frac{z}{(1 + z)^2} \right)}
\]  

(17)

\[
= z - z^2 + z^3 - 2z^4 + 3z^5 + \cdots.
\]

\[\square\]

4. **Bounds of** \( H_2(3) \) **in** \( T \)

The proof of the following theorem is obvious.

**Theorem 9.** If \( n \) is odd then

\[
\max \{H_2(n) : f \in T\} = n(n + 2).
\]  

(18)

Hence, one has the following.
Corollary 10. For $T$ one has
\[
\max \{ H_2(3) : f \in T \} = 15. \tag{19}
\]

In similar way, as it was done for Lemma 7, one can prove the following.

Lemma 11. The boundary of $\Omega_3(T)$ consists of points $(a_3, a_4, a_5)$ that correspond to the following functions:
\[
f(z) = \alpha \frac{z}{1-2zt_1 + z^2} + (1-\alpha) \frac{z}{1-2zt_2 + z^2},
\]
\[
\alpha \in [0,1], \ t_1, t_2 \in [-1,1] \tag{20}
\]
or
\[
f(z) = \alpha \frac{z}{(1+z)^2} + \beta \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} + (1-\alpha-\beta) \frac{z}{1-2zt + z^2},
\]
\[
\alpha, \beta \in [0,1], \ alpha + beta \leq 1, \ t \in [-1,1]. \tag{21}
\]

Theorem 12. For $T$ one has
\[
\min \{ H_2(3) : f \in T \} = -\frac{4}{3} + \frac{8}{9} \sqrt{6} = -3.51\ldots. \tag{22}
\]

Proof. By Lemma 11, it suffices to discuss functions given by (20) or (21). Consider the following:

(I) For functions (20), we have
\[
a_3 = (4t_1^2 - 1) \alpha + (4t_2^2 - 1) (1-\alpha),
\]
\[
a_4 = (8t_1^3 - 4t_1) \alpha + (8t_2^3 - 4t_2) (1-\alpha),
\]
\[
a_5 = (16t_1^4 - 12t_1^2 + 1) \alpha
\]
\[
+ (16t_2^4 - 12t_2^2 + 1) (1-\alpha), \tag{23}
\]
and, hence, applying the Turan identity, $H_2(3) = g_3(\alpha, t_1, t_2)$, where
\[
g_3(\alpha, t_1, t_2)
\]
\[
= -\alpha^2 - (1-\alpha)^2
\]
\[
+ 2\alpha (1-\alpha) \left[ 8(t_1 - t_2)^2 (1-t_1^2) (1-t_2^2) - 1 \right],
\]
\[
\alpha \in [0,1], \ t_1, t_2 \in [-1,1]. \tag{24}
\]

The expression in brackets is greater than or equal to $-1$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in [-1,1]$. Hence,
\[
H_2(3) \geq -\alpha^2 - (1-\alpha)^2 - 2\alpha (1-\alpha) = -1. \tag{25}
\]

(II) If function $f$ is given by (21) then
\[
a_3 = 3(\alpha+\beta) + (4t_1^2 - 1) (1-\alpha-\beta),
\]
\[
a_4 = 4(\beta-\alpha) + (8t_2^3 - 4t) (1-\alpha-\beta),
\]
\[
a_5 = 5(\alpha+\beta) + (16t^4 - 12t^2 + 1) (1-\alpha-\beta). \tag{26}
\]

Using the Turan identity, it follows that $H_2(3) = g_4(\alpha, \beta, t)$, where
\[
g_4(\alpha, \beta, t) = -1 + 2(\alpha+\beta) - 2(\alpha+\beta)^2 + 64\alpha\beta
\]
\[
+ 2(1-\alpha-\beta) q(t),
\]
\[
q(t) = (\alpha+\beta) (24t^4 - 8t^2 - 1)
\]
\[
+ (\alpha-\beta) (32t^3 - 16t), \tag{27}
\]
under the assumptions $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1], \alpha+\beta \leq 1, \ t \in [-1,1]$.

Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be fixed. Since
\[
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = 8 (6t^2 - 1) [t(\alpha+\beta) + \alpha-\beta], \tag{28}
\]
the critical points of $q$ are as follows: $-1/\sqrt{6}, 1/\sqrt{6}$, and $(\beta-\alpha)/(\alpha+\beta)$. It is easily seen that all these points are in $[-1,1]$.

Therefore,
\[
\min \{ q(t) : t \in [-1,1] \} = \min \left\{ q(-1), q\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}\right), q(1), q\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}\right) \right\}. \tag{29}
\]

For $t = -1$ or $t = 1$, the functions given by (21) have the form
\[
f(z) = \bar{\alpha} \frac{z}{(1+z)^2} + \bar{\beta} \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta} = 1. \tag{30}
\]

One can show directly from formula (30) that
\[
H_2(3) = -1 + 64\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta} (1-\bar{\alpha}) \geq -1. \tag{31}
\]

For $t = (\beta-\alpha)/(\alpha+\beta)$, there is
\[
H_2(3) = -1 + \frac{64\alpha\beta \left[ 4(\alpha+\beta) \alpha\beta + (\beta-\alpha)^2 \right]}{(\alpha+\beta)^3}; \tag{32}
\]
hence,
\[
H_2(3) \geq -1. \tag{33}
\]

If $t = -1/\sqrt{6}$ or $t = 1/\sqrt{6}$ then $H_2(3)$ is equal to
\[
H_2(3) = -1 + 2(\alpha+\beta) - 2(\alpha+\beta)^2 + 64\alpha\beta
\]
\[
+ (1-\alpha-\beta) \left[ -\frac{10}{3} (\alpha+\beta) - \frac{64}{3\sqrt{6}} (\alpha-\beta) \right], \tag{34}
\]
or
\[
H_2(3) = -1 + 2(\alpha+\beta) - 2(\alpha+\beta)^2 + 64\alpha\beta
\]
\[
+ (1-\alpha-\beta) \left[ -\frac{10}{3} (\alpha+\beta) + \frac{64}{3\sqrt{6}} (\alpha-\beta) \right]. \tag{35}
\]
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respectively. Without loss of generality, we can assume that \( \alpha \geq \beta \). Then, while looking for the minimum value of \( H_2(3) \), we can restrict the research to the first stated above case (since expression (35) is not less than expression (34)).

Transforming (35), we obtain

\[
H_2(3) = -1 + 64 \alpha \beta - \frac{4}{3} (\alpha + \beta) (1 - \alpha - \beta) + \frac{64}{3\sqrt{6}} (\beta - \alpha) (1 - \alpha - \beta). \tag{36}
\]

Taking the smallest possible \( \beta \) (i.e., \( \beta = 0 \)) the second and the forth component of this expression will not increase. The value of the third component does not depend only on \( \beta \); in fact, it depends on \( \alpha + \beta \). For this reason, we can take \( \beta = 0 \).

Combining these facts, it yields that

\[
H_2(3) \geq -1 - \frac{4}{3} (1 - 1) - \frac{64}{3\sqrt{6}} (1 - 1). \tag{37}
\]

The smallest value of the right hand side of this inequality is achieved for \( \alpha = 1/2 \). In this case,

\[
H_2(3) \geq -1 - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{16}{3\sqrt{6}} = -\frac{4}{3} - \frac{8}{9} \sqrt{6} = -3.51 \ldots. \tag{38}
\]

Combining two parts of the proof we obtain the conclusion of the theorem. Furthermore, the above shows that the extremal functions are

\[
f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{z}{(1+z)^2} + \frac{z}{1-2zt_0 + z^2} \right], \tag{39}
\]

\[
f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} + \frac{z}{1+2zt_0 + z^2} \right],
\]

where \( t_0 = 1/\sqrt{6} \). \( \square \)

5. Bounds of \( H_2(n) \), \( n \geq 4 \), in \( T \)

It is easily seen that \( H_2(n) \leq n(n+2) \) for any typically real function. By Theorem 9, this estimate is sharp providing that \( n \) is an odd integer. At the beginning of this section we will prove the following.

**Theorem 13.** For \( T \) one has

\[
\min \{ a_n a_{n+2} : f \in T \} = -1. \tag{40}
\]

**Proof.** The coefficients of the series expansion of function \( f \in T \) can be written as follows:

\[
a_n = \int_0^n \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{\sin \theta} d\nu(\theta), \quad \nu \in P_{[0,\pi]} \tag{41}
\]

Hence,

\[
a_n a_{n+2} = \int_0^n \sin((n+1)\theta - \theta) d\nu(\theta) \cdot \int_0^n \sin((n+1)\theta + \theta) d\nu(\theta) = \left( \int_0^n \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin \theta} \cos \theta d\nu(\theta) \right)^2 - \int_0^n \frac{\cos(n+1)\theta}{\sin \theta} d\nu(\theta)^2 \tag{42}
\]

Since

\[
\int_0^n \cos(n+1)\theta d\nu(\theta) \leq \int_0^n d\nu(\theta) = 1, \tag{43}
\]

we obtain

\[
a_n a_{n+2} \geq -1. \tag{44}
\]

In order to prove that the estimate is sharp, let us take the measure \( \nu \) for which support satisfies condition \( (n+1)\theta = k\pi \), where \( k \) is any positive integer less than or equal to \( n \). From this we conclude that the support of the measure has \( n \) points \( \theta_k = k\pi/(n+1) \) with weights \( \alpha_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), such that \( \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1 \).

The weights satisfy

\[
\left( \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k (-1)^k \right)^2 = 1. \tag{45}
\]

Indeed, if the support of \( \nu \) consists of \( n \) points then \( f \) takes the form

\[
f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{z}{1-2z \cos(k\pi/(n+1)) + z^2}. \tag{46}
\]

Using trigonometric identities we obtain

\[
a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k (-1)^{k+1}, \tag{47}
\]

\[
a_{n+2} = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k (-1)^k,
\]

which results in (45).

Connecting (45) and \( \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1 \) we conclude that \( f \) is of the form

\[
f(z) = \sum_{k=1, k \text{ is odd}}^n \alpha_k \frac{z}{1-2z \cos(k\pi/(n+1)) + z^2} \tag{48}
\]
Table 1: The bounds of the Hankel determinants for functions defined by (51).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bound of $H_2(n)$</th>
<th>Equality in the lower bound for $t$</th>
<th>Equality in the upper bound for $t$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$-9 \leq H_2(2) \leq 1$</td>
<td>$t = 1$</td>
<td>$t = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-3.51 \ldots \leq H_2(3) \leq 15$</td>
<td>$t = 0, 40 \ldots$</td>
<td>$t = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-25 \leq H_2(4) \leq 4.46 \ldots$</td>
<td>$t = 1$</td>
<td>$t = 0, 61 \ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-7.84 \ldots \leq H_2(5) \leq 35$</td>
<td>$t = 0, 72 \ldots$</td>
<td>$t = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-49 \leq H_2(6) \leq 9.67 \ldots$</td>
<td>$t = 1$</td>
<td>$t = 0, 79 \ldots$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Function $f$ has the Taylor series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \text{ is odd}} a_n z^n, \quad a_n = \int_{-1}^{1} U_{n-1}(t) \, dv(t).$$

The following inequalities are obvious:

$$- (n + 1) \leq H_2(n) \leq 0 \quad \text{for even } n,$$

$$H_2(n) \leq (n + 1)^2 - 1 \quad \text{for odd } n;$$

equalities hold for $f(z) = z(1 + z^2)/(1 - z^2)^2$.

For a given class $A \subset \mathcal{A}$, let us denote by $\Psi_n(A)$, $n \geq 1$, the set \{$(a_n, a_{n+2}) : f \in A$\}. From (53) it follows that $\Psi_n(T)$ is the closed convex hull of the curve

$$\lambda : [-1, 1] \ni t \mapsto (U_{n-1}(t), U_{n+1}(t)).$$

From Theorem 13 and from the equivalence

$$(a_n, a_{n+2}) \in \Psi_n(T) \iff (a_n, a_{n+2}) \in \Psi_n(T^{(2)}),$$

we get

$$\min \{ a_n a_{n+2} : f \in T^{(2)} \} = -1.$$  

Hence, for odd $n$, we know that

$$\min \{ H_2(n) : f \in T^{(2)} \} \geq -1.$$  

The equality holds for functions (48) or (49) providing that

$$\alpha_k = \alpha_{n+1-k}.$$

Then, connecting the components of these formulae in pairs, we obtain

$$\alpha_k \frac{z}{1 - 2z \cos (k \pi / (n + 1)) + z^2} + \alpha_{n+1-k} \frac{z}{1 - 2z \cos ((n + 1-k) \pi / (n + 1)) + z^2}$$

$$= 2 \alpha_k \frac{z(1 + z^2)}{(1 + z^2)^2 - 4z^2 \cos^2 (k \pi / (n + 1))}.$$  

With help of the argument given in the proof of Theorem 13, we eventually obtain the odd functions for which $a_n a_{n+2} = -1$.
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