Approximation of Durrmeyer Type Operators Depending on Certain Parameters
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1. Introduction

In approximation theory, the use of quantum calculus (q-calculus) has gained momentum in the last decade. In the year 1987, Lupaş [1] pioneered the work on q-versions of the Bernstein polynomials. After ten years, Phillips gave another q-variant of Bernstein polynomials. Since then, numerous operators have been generalized to their quantum variants and their approximation behaviours have been studied; we indicate the recent books [2, 3] on this topic. Also, see [4–6]. Lately, the further generalization of q-calculus, namely, the postquantum calculus, symbolized by (p,q)-calculus has become very contributing. In [7], Mursaleen et al. proposed the (p,q)-variant of Bernstein polynomials, which was further improved in [8]. Further, generalizations of (p,q)-Bernstein polynomials are due to Kantorovich and Durrmeyer, which were, respectively, studied in [9, 10]. Many papers pertaining to approximation theory and special functions have been presented recently (cf. [11–21]). The first q-variant and (p,q)-variant of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators were given in [22] and [10], respectively. For postquantum calculus, some basic theorems and definitions are as follows (cf. [10, 23–25]).

The (p,q)-number is defined as

\[ [r]_{pq} = \frac{p^r - q^r}{p - q}, \quad p, q \in (0, 1). \tag{1} \]

It has been observed that \([r]_{pq} = p^{r-1}[r]_{q/p}\). By this identity, the results obtained in (p,q)-calculus cannot be obtained directly from q-calculus.

The (p,q)-binomial coefficient is known as

\[ \binom{r}{k}_{pq} = \frac{[r]_{pq}}{[r-k]_{pq}[k]_{pq}}, \quad (0 \leq k \leq r), \tag{2} \]

where

\[ [r]_{pq}! = \prod_{k=1}^{r} [k]_{pq}, \quad r \geq 1, \quad [0]_{pq}! = 1. \tag{3} \]

The (p,q)-analogue of \((x - \omega)^r\) is defined by

\[ (x \ominus \omega)^r_{pq} = (x - \omega) \cdot (px - q\omega)(p^2x - q^2\omega) \cdots (p^{r-1}x - q^{r-1}\omega). \tag{4} \]
The following $\Gamma_{pq}(r + 1)$

$$\Gamma_{pq}(r + 1) = \frac{(p \oplus q)^r_{pq}}{(p - q)^r} = [r]_{pq}!,$$

$$0 < q < p, \ r \geq 0$$

is $(p, q)$-analogue of Gamma function.

The $(p, q)$-analogue of derivative of a function $f$ is defined by

$$D_{pq}f(x) = \frac{f(px) - f(qx)}{(p - q)x}, \ (x \neq 0). \quad (6)$$

In the case when $p = 1$, the $(p, q)$-derivative reduces to known $q$-derivative. Like $q$-derivative, $(p, q)$-derivative has the following properties which are product rules for two functions:

$$D_{pq} (f(x) g(x)) = f(px) D_{pq} g(x)$$

and

$$D_{pq} (f(x) g(x)) = g(qx) D_{pq} f(x)$$

Let $f$ be an arbitrary function and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. The $(p, q)$-integral of $f(x)$ on $[0, \omega]$ (see [24]) is defined as

$$\int_0^\omega f(x) d_{pq}x = (q - p) \omega \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^k}{q^{k+1}} \Gamma_{pq}(k + 1) \omega^k.$$

$$0 < q < p, \ \omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\int_0^\omega f(x) d_{pq}x = (p - q) \omega \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{p^{k+1}} \Gamma_{pq}(k + 1) \omega^k.$$

$$0 < q < p, \ \omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the $(p, q)$-Beta function of first kind be given by

$$B_{pq}(m, n) = \int_0^1 (px)^{m-1} (p \oplus p(qx))_{pq}^{n-1} d_{pq}x.$$

$$0 < q < p, \ m, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

The $(p, q)$-Gamma and $(p, q)$-Beta functions satisfy (see [10])

$$B_{pq}(m, n) = p^{n(2m+n-2)+n-2}/2 \Gamma_{pq}(m) \Gamma_{pq}(n) \Gamma_{pq}(m+n), \quad (10)$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the present article, we extend the studies of [10] and discuss their ordinary approximation properties. These include direct estimates in terms of modulus of smoothness and Voronovskaja type asymptotic formula. Moreover, the convergence behaviour is shown graphically using MATLAB.

2. $(p, q)$-Durrmeyer-Stancu Operators and Moments

The $q$-Durrmeyer operators were considered in [22] and also studied in [26]. Inspired by the work of [10, 27], we consider the postquantum Stancu variant of the eminent Durrmeyer operators. The $(p, q)$-analogue of Durrmeyer-Stancu operators for $x \in [0, 1]$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ and $0 < q < p \leq 1$ is defined as

$$D_{npq}^{\beta \alpha}(f, x) = [n + 1]_{pq} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{p^{n-k} \Gamma_{pq}(n-k+1)}{[n]_{pq}^k + \beta} d_{pq}f,$$

$$\beta \alpha = (\beta - \alpha) \omega,$$

where

$$b_{nk}^{p,q}(p, pq t) = \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right]_{pq} (pq t)^k (p \oplus pq t)^{n-k}, \quad (12)$$

This type of generalization may be useful because of its flexibility and approximation behaviour may differ for different values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. For $\alpha = \beta = 0$, we observe that these operators reduce to the one given in [10].

Lemma 1 (see [28]). For $m \geq 1, e_i = i' (i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$,

$$U_{nm}^{pq}(x) = B_{n,pq} (e_m, x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{nk}^{p,q}(1, x) \left( \frac{p^n \Gamma_{pq}(n)}{[n]_{pq}^k} \right)^m,$$

we have the following recurrence relation:

$$[n]_{pq} U_{nm+1}^{pq}(px) = p^n (1 - px) D_{pq} [U_{nm}^{pq}(x)]$$

$$+ [n]_{pq} px U_{n,m}^{pq}(px). \quad (14)$$

Further,

$$B_{n,pq} (e_0, x) = 1,$$  (15)

$$B_{n,pq} (e_1, x) = x,$$  (16)

$$B_{n,pq} (e_2, x) = x^2 + \frac{p^n x (1 - x)}{[n]_{pq}}, \quad (17)$$

$$B_{n,pq} (e_3, x) = x^3 + \frac{p^{n-1} x^2 (1 - x)}{[n]_{pq}} + 2 \frac{p^{n-2} x (1 - x)(1 - 2xp^{-1})}{[n]_{pq}}, \quad (18)$$
Lemma 2. For $x \in [0, 1]$, $0 < q < p \leq 1$, we have

\begin{align*}
D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}(1, x) &= 1, \quad (20) \\
D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}(t, x) &= \frac{p^n [n]_{p,q} + \alpha [n+2]_{p,q} + q [n]_{p,q} \cdot (1-x)}{[n]_{p,q} + \beta [n+2]_{p,q}} x, \quad (21)
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}(t^2, x) &= \left\{ p^{2n} [2]_{p,q} [n]_{p,q}^2 \\
&+ \alpha [n+2]_{p,q} [n+3]_{p,q} + 3\alpha^2 p^n [n]_{p,q} [n+3]_{p,q} \\
&+ \left\{ p^n q \left( p + 2q \right) \right\} [n]_{p,q}^2 + 2\alpha q [n]_{p,q} [n+3]_{p,q} \right\} x \\
&+ q^3 \left( n \right)_{p,q}^2 \cdot \left\{ \left( [n]_{p,q} + \beta \right) \cdot \left( [n+2]_{p,q} + [n+3]_{p,q} \right) \right\}^{-1}, \quad (22)
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}(t^3, x) &= \left\{ p^{3n} [n]_{p,q}^3 \left( p^3 + 3p^2 q + 2pq^2 + q^3 \right) \\
&+ 3\alpha p^{2n} [2]_{p,q} [n]_{p,q}^2 [n+4]_{p,q} + 3\alpha^2 p^n [n]_{p,q} [n+4]_{p,q} \\
&+ \left\{ p^{n+1} \left( 2p q^3 + 3p^2 q^2 + 3q^4 \right) \right\} [n]_{p,q}^4 \\
&+ 3\alpha^3 [n]_{p,q}^3 [n+4]_{p,q} \\
&+ 3\alpha^2 q [n]_{p,q}^2 [n+3]_{p,q} [n+4]_{p,q} \right\} x \\
&+ \left\{ p^n \left( 2pq^3 + 3p^2 q^2 + 3q^4 \right) \right\} [n]_{p,q}^4 \\
&+ 3\alpha q^n [n]_{p,q}^3 [n+4]_{p,q} \cdot \left\{ x^2 \left( [n]_{p,q} + \beta \right) \right\} x \\
&+ p^n q \left( 1-x \right) \right\} \cdot \left\{ x^2 \left( [n]_{p,q} + \beta \right) \right\} \cdot \left\{ x^3 \left( [n]_{p,q} + \beta \right) \right\}^{-1}, \quad (23)
\end{align*}

Proceeding along the lines of [[10], Lemma 3.1] and using the linearity property of the operators (II), the result follows immediately. We omit the details.

Lemma 3. Denote

\begin{align*}
\beta_{n,\alpha,\beta, m}(x) &= \left[ n + 1 \right]_{p,q} \sum_{k=0}^{n} p^k \left( \frac{1}{n!} \right)^k \beta_{n,k}^{p,q}(1, x) \\
&\cdot \int_{0}^{1} \beta_{n,k}^{p,q}(p, q) \left( \frac{[n]_{p,q} + \alpha}{[n]_{p,q} + \beta} \right)^m d_{p,q}.
\end{align*}

(25)
Then, the central moments are given as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta,1}^{pq}(x) &= \left[ q\left[ n \right]_{pq} - \left( \left[ n \right]_{pq} + \beta \right) \left[ n + 2 \right]_{pq} \right] x + p^n \left[ n \right]_{pq} + \alpha \left[ n + 2 \right]_{pq}, \\
\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta,2}^{pq}(x) &= \left\{ \left( p^n \right)^2 \left[ n \right]_{pq} + \alpha^2 \left[ n + 2 \right]_{pq} \right\} x + 2\alpha p^n \left[ n \right]_{pq} + \alpha \left[ n + 2 \right]_{pq} + x \left( p^n q \right) \left( p + 2q \right) \\
\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta,3}^{pq}(x) &= \left\{ \left( p^n \right)^2 \left[ n \right]_{pq} + \alpha^2 \left[ n + 2 \right]_{pq} \right\} x + 2\alpha p^n \left[ n \right]_{pq} + \alpha \left[ n + 2 \right]_{pq} + x \left( p^n q \right) \left( p + 2q \right) \\
\mu_{n,\alpha,\beta,4}^{pq}(x) &= \left\{ \left( p^n \right)^2 \left[ n \right]_{pq} + \alpha^2 \left[ n + 2 \right]_{pq} \right\} x + 2\alpha p^n \left[ n \right]_{pq} + \alpha \left[ n + 2 \right]_{pq} + x \left( p^n q \right) \left( p + 2q \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(26)
Abstract and Applied Analysis

5

of the sequence of Remark 4.

In order to understand the convergence behaviour

The proof follows immediately by applying Lemma 2.

Remark 4. In order to understand the convergence behaviour of the sequence of \((p, q)\)-Durrmeyer operators, let \(q = (q_n)\) and \(p = (p_n)\), such that \(0 < q_n < p_n \leq 1\) and \(p_n \to 1\), \(q_n \to 1\), \(p_n^a \to a\), \(q_n^a \to b\), \(0 < b < a \leq 1\) for \(n\) sufficiently large. With this restriction, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_{n, \alpha, \beta}^{p_n, q_n} (x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_{n, \alpha, \beta}^{p_n, q_n} (n, \alpha, \beta, p_n, q_n, x) = 0, \quad (30)
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_{n, \alpha, \beta}^{p_n, q_n} (x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_{n, \alpha, \beta}^{p_n, q_n} (n, \alpha, \beta, p_n, q_n, x) = 0. \quad (31)
\]

3. Direct Estimates

Let \(W^2 = \{g \in C[0, 1] : g'' \in C[0, 1]\}\), then \(K\)-functional is defined as

\[
K_2 (f, \delta) = \inf \left\{ \|f - g\| + \delta \left\| g'' \right\| : g \in W^2 \right\},
\]

where \(\delta > 0\) and \(\| \cdot \|\) denotes the uniform norm on \([0, 1]\). Following the acclaimed inequality owing to DeVore and Lorentz [29], there exists a constant \(C > 0\), such that

\[
K_2 (f, \delta) \leq C \omega_2 \left( f, \sqrt{\delta} \right),
\]

where the second-order modulus of smoothness for \(f \in C[0, 1]\) is defined as

\[
\omega_2 (f, \delta) = \sup_{0 < h < \delta} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \left| f(x + 2h) - 2f(x + h) + f(x) \right|.
\]

The usual modulus of continuity for \(f \in C[0, 1]\) is defined as

\[
\omega (f, \delta) = \sup_{0 < h < \delta} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \left| f(x + h) - f(x) \right|.
\]

Our prime result is the subsequent local theorem.

Theorem 6. Let \(n > 3\) be a natural number and \(0 < q < p \leq 1\). Then, there exists a constant \(C > 0\), such that

\[
\left| D_{n, \alpha, \beta}^a (f, x) - f(x) \right|
\]

\[
\leq C \omega_2 \left( f, \sqrt{\frac{p_n^a \omega (p_n, q_n) (x)}{(n, \alpha, \beta) \left( n + 1 \right)}}, \right)^2
\]

\[
+ \omega \left( f, \sqrt{\frac{p_n^a \omega (p_n, q_n) (x)}{(n, \alpha, \beta) \left( n + 1 \right)}}, \right),
\]

where \(f \in C[0, 1]\) and \(x \in [0, 1]\).

Proof. For \(f \in C[0, 1]\), let

\[
D_{n, \alpha, \beta}^a (f, x) = D_{n, \alpha, \beta}^a (f, x) + f(x)
\]

\[
- \left( f \frac{p_n^a \omega (p_n, q_n) (x)}{(n, \alpha, \beta) \left( n + 1 \right)} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{q_n^2 x}{(n, \alpha, \beta) \left( n + 1 \right)}.
\]

Then, using Lemma 2, we immediately get

\[
D_{n, \alpha, \beta}^a (1, x) = D_{n, \alpha, \beta}^a (1, x) = 1,
\]

\[
D_{n, \alpha, \beta}^a (t, x) = D_{n, \alpha, \beta}^a (t, x) + x
\]

\[
- \frac{p_n^a \omega (p_n, q_n) (x)}{(n, \alpha, \beta) \left( n + 1 \right)} \left( (n, \alpha, \beta) \left( n + 1 \right) \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{q_n^2 x}{(n, \alpha, \beta) \left( n + 1 \right)} = x.
\]
Using Taylor’s formula, we obtain

\[ g(t) = g(x) + (t - x) g'(x) + \int_x^t (t - u) g''(u) \, du, \quad (39) \]

\[
D^p_{\alpha, \beta} (g, x) = g(x) + D^p_{\alpha, \beta} \left( \int_x^t (t - u) g''(u) \, du, x \right) 
- \int_x^t \left[ p^\ell[n]_{p,q} + \alpha [n + 2]_{p,q} \right] \left[ n_{p,q} + \beta \right] [n + 2]_{p,q} \cdot g''(u) \, du.
\]

\[
\left( p^\ell[n]_{p,q} + \alpha [n + 2]_{p,q} \left[ n_{p,q} + \beta \right] [n + 2]_{p,q} \right) + q \left[ n_{p,q} x \left[ n_{p,q} + \beta \right] [n + 2]_{p,q} - u \right)
\]

Thus,

\[
|D^p_{\alpha, \beta} (g, x) - g(x)| \leq D^p_{\alpha, \beta} \left( \int_x^t |t - u| |g''(u)| \, du, x \right)
+ \left\{ \mu^p_{\alpha, \beta, 2} (x) + \left( \mu^p_{\alpha, \beta, 1} (x) \right)^2 \right\} \|g''\|.
\]

Furthermore, for \( f \in C[0, 1] \), we have \( D^p_{\alpha, \beta} f \| \leq \|f\| \) and therefore

\[
\left| D^p_{\alpha, \beta} (f, x) - f(x) \right| \leq D^p_{\alpha, \beta} (f, x) + |f(x)|
+ f \left( \left[ p^\ell[n]_{p,q} + \alpha [n + 2]_{p,q} \left[ n_{p,q} + \beta \right] [n + 2]_{p,q} \right) + q \left[ n_{p,q} x \left[ n_{p,q} + \beta \right] [n + 2]_{p,q} \right)
\leq 3 \|f\|
\forall f \in C[0, 1].
\]

Now, for \( f \in C[0, 1] \) and \( g \in W^2 \), we get

\[
\left| D^p_{\alpha, \beta} (f, x) - f(x) \right| = \left| \bar{D}^p_{\alpha, \beta} (f, x) - f(x) \right|
+ f \left( \left[ p^\ell[n]_{p,q} + \alpha [n + 2]_{p,q} \left[ n_{p,q} + \beta \right] [n + 2]_{p,q} \right) + q \left[ n_{p,q} x \left[ n_{p,q} + \beta \right] [n + 2]_{p,q} \right) - f(x) \right| \leq 4 \|f\|.
\]

\[
\|g''\| + \omega(f, \left. \mu^p_{\alpha, \beta, 1} (x) \right),
\]

where we have used (41) and (42).

On taking infimum on the right hand side over all \( g \in W^2 \), we attain

\[
\left| D^p_{\alpha, \beta} (f, x) - f(x) \right|
\leq 4K_2 \left( f, \mu^p_{\alpha, \beta, 2} (x) + \left( \mu^p_{\alpha, \beta, 1} (x) \right)^2 \right)
+ \omega(f, \left. \mu^p_{\alpha, \beta, 1} (x) \right).
\]
Lastly, in view of (33), we have

\[ \left| D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}(f,x) - f(x) \right| \leq C_2 \left[ f \sqrt{p_{n,\alpha,\beta,2}^{p,q}(x)} + \left( p_{n,\alpha,\beta,1}^{p,q}(x) \right)^2 \right] + \omega \left( f, p_{n,\alpha,\beta,1}^{p,q}(x) \right). \] (45)

The theorem is hence proved.

Example 7. We present comparisons and graphs for the convergence of operators $D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}(f,x)$ for various values of the parameters $\alpha$, $\beta$, $p$, and $q$, such that $0 < q < p \leq 1$, and $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$. For $x \in [0,1]$, $\alpha = 5$, $\beta = 20$, $p = 0.8$, and $q = 0.3$, the convergence behaviour of the difference of the operators $D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}(f,x)$ to the function $f(x) = 9 - 6x - 3x^2$, for various values of $n$, is presented in Figure 1 using MATLAB.

Example 8. The convergence behaviour of the operators $D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}(f,x)$ to the function $f(x) = 9 - 6x - 3x^2$ for $\alpha = 0 = \beta$ is illustrated in Figure 2. It may be observed that this shows the convergence for the operators defined in [10].

Example 9. We compute the error of approximation for the operators $D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}(f,x)$ depending upon the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ at certain points from $[0, 1]$ as shown in Table 1. We consider $n = 100$, $p = 0.5$, $q = 0.4$, and $f(x) = 9 - 6x - 3x^2$.

Remark 10. It may be observed from the above example that we may get better convergence depending upon the flexible values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. This is one of the reasons for discussing operators (11).

We now present the Voronovskaja type asymptotic formula.

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{[n]_{\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}}{[n]_{\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}} \left( D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}(f,x) - f(x) \right) = \left\{ a + \alpha - (2b + \beta) x \right\} f'(x) \]

\[ + \left\{ 2ax - (a + b) x^2 \right\} \frac{f''(x)}{2}, \] (46)

\[ f(t) = f(x) + f'(x)(t-x) + \frac{f''(x)}{2} (t-x)^2 + \xi(t,x) (t-x)^2, \] (47)

**Theorem 11.** Assume $f \in C[0,1]$. If $f''$ exists at a point $x \in [a/(\lfloor n \rfloor_{p,q} + \beta), (\lfloor n \rfloor_{p,q} + \alpha)/(\lfloor n \rfloor_{p,q} + \beta)]$, then under the assumptions of Remark 4, we get

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{[n]_{\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}}{[n]_{\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}} \left( D_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{p,q}(f,x) - f(x) \right) \]

\[ = \left\{ a + \alpha - (2b + \beta) x \right\} f'(x) \]

\[ + \left\{ 2ax - (a + b) x^2 \right\} \frac{f''(x)}{2}. \] (46)

\[ f(t) = f(x) + f'(x)(t-x) + \frac{f''(x)}{2} (t-x)^2 + \xi(t,x) (t-x)^2, \] (47)

**Proof.** Employing Taylor’s expansion of $f$, we get

\[ f(t) = f(x) + f'(x)(t-x) + \frac{f''(x)}{2} (t-x)^2 + \xi(t,x) (t-x)^2, \] (47)
where \( \xi(t, x) \rightarrow 0 \) as \( t \rightarrow x \). Applying \( D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} \) to (47), we obtain

\[
D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} (f, x) - f(x) = D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} (t - x, x) f'(x) + \frac{D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} ((t - x)^2, x) f''(x)}{2} + D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} (\xi(t, x) (t - x)^3, x). \tag{48}
\]

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (31), we get

\[
D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} (\xi(t, x) (t - x)^3, x) \leq \sqrt{D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} (\xi^2(t, x), x)} \sqrt{D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} ((t - x)^4, x)} \tag{49}
\]

As \( \xi^2(x, x) = 0 \) and \( \xi^2(x, x) \in C[0, 1] \), we have

\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{p,\alpha,\beta} D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} (\xi^2(t, x), x) = 0, \tag{50}
\]

uniformly with respect to \( x \in [\alpha/(|n|_{p,\alpha,\beta} + \beta), (|n|_{p,\alpha,\beta} + \alpha)/(|n|_{p,\alpha,\beta} + \beta)] \). Therefore, from (49) and (50), we get

\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{p,\alpha,\beta} D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} (\xi(t, x) (t - x)^3, x) = 0. \tag{51}
\]

Thus,

\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{p,\alpha,\beta} \left( D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} (f, x) - f(x) \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{p,\alpha,\beta} \left[ D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} (t - x, x) f'(x) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x) D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} ((t - x)^2, x) + D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} (\xi(t, x) (t - x)^3, x) \right] = |a + \alpha - (2b + \beta)x| f'(x) + \left( 2ax - (a + b)x^2 \right) \frac{f''(x)}{2}. \tag{52}
\]

\textbf{Remark 12.} For the \( q \)-Durrmeyer operators discussed in [22], the recurrence relation was established for \( q \)-Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators (see Theorem 4.3 of [2] and references therein). However, for \( (p, q) \)-Durrmeyer operators \( D^{p,q}_{n,\alpha,\beta} \), it is not analogous and maybe discussed somewhere else.

\textbf{Remark 13.} Let \( I = [0, 1] \). Then, for \( I^2 = I \times I \), let \( C(I^2) \) be the space of all real continuous functions on \( I^2 \) equipped with the norm \( \| f \|_I = \sup_{(x, y) \in I} | f(x, y) | \).

For \( f \in C(I^2) \) and \( 0 < p_{n_1} < 1, 0 < p_{n_2} < 1, 0 < q_{n_1} < 1, \) and \( 0 < q_{n_2} < 1 \); we construct the bivariate extension of the \( (p, q) \)-analogue of Durrmeyer-Stancu operators (II) as

\[
D^{p_{n_1},q_{n_2}}_{n,\alpha,\beta} (f, x, y) = \left[ n_1 + 1 \right]_{p_{n_1},\alpha} \left[ n_2 + 1 \right]_{q_{n_2},\beta} \sum_{k_1=0}^{n_1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{n_2} \binom{n_1}{k_1} \binom{n_2}{k_2} \binom{k_1-1}{s-1} \binom{k_2-1}{t-1} \left( p_{n_1} \right)^{k_1} \left( q_{n_2} \right)^{k_2} \left( p_{n_1} \right)^{s} \left( q_{n_2} \right)^{t-1} f \left( \left[ n_1 \right]_{p_{n_1},\alpha} t + k_1, \left[ n_2 \right]_{q_{n_2},\beta} s + k_2 \right) d_{n_1,\alpha} (t) d_{n_2,\beta} (s). \tag{53}
\]

where \((x, y) \in I^2\) and

\[
b^{p_{n_1},q_{n_2}}_{n_1,n_2,k_1,k_2} \left( p_{n_1}, q_{n_2} \right) \left( n_1 k_1 + n_2 k_2 \right) = \left( n_1 \right)_{p_{n_1},\alpha} \left( n_2 \right)_{q_{n_2},\beta} \exp \left( \psi \right), \tag{54}
\]

The purpose of this study is to obtain approximation properties of the bivariate generalization of \( (p, q) \)-Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators defined by (II). We may discuss the properties elsewhere.

\section{4. Conclusion}

In the paper, we have proposed Bernstein-Durrmeyer type operators based on some certain variants. In the case when \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \), our operators reduce to the acclaimed one as defined in [21]. We have derived some approximation properties of Bernstein-Durrmeyer type operators. From those properties, we have estimated its local approximation and Voronoskaja type asymptotic formula. Finally, we have shown some comparisons and illustrative graphs for the convergence of these operators by using the software MATLAB.
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