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Creep models are mainly used to describe the rheological behaviour of geotechnical materials. An important research focus for studying creep in geotechnical materials is the development of a model with few parameters and good simulation performance. Hence, in this study, by replacing the Newtonian dashpot and spring in the classical Maxwell model with fractional and elastic-plastic elements, a new Maxwell creep model based on fractional derivatives and continuum damage mechanics was developed. One- and three-dimensional (1D/3D) creep equations of the new Maxwell creep model were derived. The 1D creep equation of the new model was used to fit existing creep data of rock salt, and the 3D creep equation was used to fit the creep data of remolded loess. The model curves matched the creep data very well, showing considerably higher accuracy than other models. Furthermore, a sensitivity study was carried out, showing the effects of the fractional derivative order \(\beta\) and exponent \(\alpha\) on the creep strain of rock salt. This new model is simple with few parameters and can effectively simulate the complete creep behaviour of geotechnical materials.

1. Introduction

Creep models are abstract methods for expressing the creep characteristics of geotechnical materials. The creep behaviour of geotechnical materials can be described using differential, integral, or empirical creep equations, and their creep characteristics can be represented using a theoretical creep curve approximating the real creep curve. As a differential creep model, the component model has been applied and expanded by many researchers because of its simple structure and clear physical meaning (e.g., Maxwell, Kelvin, Burgers, and Nishihara models). It is well known that the deformation of geotechnical materials during creep tests can be divided into four stages: instantaneous deformation, transient creep, steady creep, and accelerated creep. These different deformation stages have their own characteristics, and it is difficult to build a model that can simulate all four deformation stages. Therefore, many scholars are looking for new methods to improve the component model for representing all the deformation stages.

Fractional calculus involves derivative and integration operators that are applied to fractional orders. As one of the generalisations of classical calculus, fractional calculus is used widely in various fields of science and engineering. Because of the long history dependence, or the so-called memory effect, fractional operators are powerful tools for modelling the viscoelastic behaviour of materials, particularly for building a time-dependent constitutive model. Because of the pioneering work of Scott-Blair [1], who proposed a fractional element analogous to the classical Newtonian dashpot, many creep models based on fractional calculus have been developed in recent years. Rogers [2], Bagly and Torvik [3, 4], and Koeller [5] have performed remarkable studies on creep models using fractional calculus. Welch et al. [6] proposed a four-parameter creep model to characterise the viscoelastic creep of polymeric
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2. New Maxwell Creep Model

2.1. Fractional Element. From a mathematical perspective, the constitutive equations of spring and dashpot elements can be described as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma(t) &= E \frac{d^0 \varepsilon(t)}{dt^0}, \\
\sigma(t) &= \eta \frac{d^1 \varepsilon(t)}{dt^1},
\end{align*}
\]

As shown in equation (1), the stress of an elastic solid is proportional to the zeroth-order derivative of the strain, whereas the stress of a Newtonian fluid is proportional to the first-order derivative of the strain. Based on the mathematical transformation relations mentioned above, a general constitutive equation for a viscoelastic body may be written as

\[
\sigma(t) = \xi \frac{d^\beta \varepsilon(t)}{dt^\beta}, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 1.
\]

Equation (2) is known as the Scott-Blair [1] fractional element, and it is commonly referred to as the Abel dashpot. The symbolic representation of this element is shown in Figure 1. In equation (2), \(\sigma(t)\) and \(\varepsilon(t)\) are the stress and strain, respectively; \(\xi\) is the viscosity coefficient of the material, with units of (stress-time)\(^\beta\); \(t\) is the time; \(\beta\) is the fractional order, with \(0 < \beta < 1\). Equation (2) degrades to show the constitutive relationship of a spring when \(\beta = 0\) and that of a dashpot when \(\beta = 1\). However, when \(0 < \beta < 1\), the fractional element can exhibit the characteristics of both a spring and dashpot.

Fractional calculus can be defined in many ways, such as Riemann–Liouville and Caputo derivatives [33]. The Riemann–Liouville fractional integration of function \(f(t)\) with order \(\beta\) is defined as

\[
\frac{D^\beta_0 f(t)}{dt^\beta} = \frac{d^\beta f(t)}{dt^\beta} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{t_0}^{t} (t-s)^{\beta-1} f(s) ds,
\]

and the fractional derivative of function \(f(t)\) with order \(\beta\) is defined as

\[
\frac{D^\beta f(t)}{dt^\beta} = \frac{d^\beta f(t)}{dt^\beta} = \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\beta)} \int_{t_0}^{t} (t-s)^{n-\beta} f(s) ds \right],
\]

where \(\beta > 0\) and \(n-1 < \beta \leq n\) (\(n\) is a positive integer), and \(\Gamma(x)\) is the gamma function defined as

\[
\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt, \quad (\text{Re}(x) > 0).
\]

If equation (2) is considered in terms of its physical interpretation, it can be used to characterise the mechanical properties of geotechnical materials ranging between an ideal solid and ideal fluid during creep. Thus, if the stress \(\sigma(t)\) is a constant, the fractional element will describe the change...
2.2. Elastic-Plastic Element. The deformation of geotechnical materials during a creep test can be divided into four phases: instantaneous deformation, transient creep, steady creep, and accelerated creep. Based on previous research experience, many classical component models can simulate the first three deformation stages reliably. However, many of the proposed models have limitations in terms of simulating the accelerated creep stage. Therefore, it is always challenging to simulate the accelerated creep stage; many researchers have tried to address this problem by proposing various nonlinear elements. Through comparison and analysis, a type of elastic-plastic element based on the damage rate change was developed, as shown in Figure 3. This model is referred to as the new Maxwell creep model.

As mentioned previously, the deformation rock during a creep test can be divided into four phases: instantaneous deformation, transient creep, steady creep, and accelerated creep. Therefore, an excellent creep model must have the ability to simulate the intact creep behaviour of rock, especially in the accelerated creep phase. To achieve this objective, a new creep model based on a fractional element and an elastic-plastic element placed in series was developed, as shown in Figure 3. This model is referred to as the new Maxwell creep model.

Based on the connection characteristics of the elements, in the one-dimensional (1D) creep state, this new Maxwell creep model satisfies the following relationship:

\[
\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\sigma}{E} + \frac{t^\beta}{\xi} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} & \sigma < \sigma_s, 0 < \beta < 1, \\
\frac{\sigma}{E} \left(1 - \frac{t}{t_f}\right)^{\alpha} + \frac{t^\beta}{\xi} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} & \sigma \geq \sigma_s, 0 < \beta < 1, 
\end{cases}
\]

where \(E\) and \(\xi\) are the elastic modulus and viscosity coefficient of loess, respectively; \(\alpha\) is a constant pertaining to the properties of the rock; and \(\beta\) is the fractional order.
Equation (9) shows that the new Maxwell creep model will degenerate into spring and fractional elements in series when the loading stress is lower than the critical stress. Thus, the new model can be used to describe instantaneous deformation, transient creep, and steady creep of rock. When the loading stress is larger than the critical stress, this model can be used to describe the entire deformation process, including accelerated creep. This model has the advantage of using only four parameters, thus resulting in a simple structure.

In the 3D stress state, the stress tensor $\sigma_{ij}$ can be decomposed into the stress sphere tensor $\sigma_m$ and partial tensor $\sigma_m$. Similarly, the strain tensor $\varepsilon_{ij}$ can be decomposed into the strain sphere tensor $\varepsilon_m$ and partial tensor $\varepsilon_m$. Their relationship can be expressed as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{ij} &= S_{ij} + \delta_{ij}\sigma_m, \\
\varepsilon_{ij} &= \varepsilon_{ij} + \delta_{ij}\varepsilon_m,
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

where $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker symbol. According to the generalised Hook’s law, the 3D constitutive equation for a Hookan body can be written as

\[
\varepsilon_{ij}^s = \frac{S_{ij}}{2G} + \frac{\alpha E}{G} \delta_{ij}.
\]

(11)

where $\varepsilon_{ij}^s$, $G$, and $K$ represent the strain tensor, shear modulus, and volume modulus of the Hookan body, respectively. Assuming that the material rheology is mainly governed by shear deformation, the 3D constitutive relationship of a viscoelastic body (fractional element) can be expressed as

\[
\varepsilon_{ij}^v = \frac{S_{ij} - \sigma_m}{2\alpha G} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha G} \delta_{ij}.
\]

(12)

where $\varepsilon_{ij}^v$ and $\xi^v$ are the partial strain tensor and shear modulus of the viscoelastic body, respectively.

Based on equations (11) and (12), the 3D creep equation of the new Maxwell creep model can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{ij} &= \frac{S_{ij}}{2G} + \frac{\sigma_m}{3K} \delta_{ij} + \frac{S_{ij}}{2\alpha G} + \frac{S_{ij}}{2\alpha G} \cdot \frac{t^\beta}{(1 + \beta)} & S_{ij} < \sigma_s, & 0 < \beta < 1, \\
\varepsilon_{ij} &= \frac{S_{ij}}{2G} + \frac{\sigma_m}{3K} \delta_{ij} + \frac{S_{ij}}{2\alpha G} + \frac{S_{ij}}{2\alpha G} \cdot \frac{t^\beta}{(1 + \beta)} & S_{ij} \geq \sigma_s, & 0 < \beta < 1,
\end{align*}
\]

(13)

where $G^* = G(1 - D)$.

When the triaxial compression stress state is $\sigma_3 = \sigma_s$ and constant, with all levels of load $\sigma_i$ being constant, then

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_m &= \frac{1}{3} (\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_3), \\
S_{11} &= \sigma_1 - \sigma_m - \frac{2}{3} (\sigma_1 - \sigma_3).
\end{align*}
\]

(14)

and thus equation (13) can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{ij} &= \frac{S_{ij} - \sigma_m}{3G} + \frac{2\sigma_3 + \sigma_1 - \sigma_s}{9K} \cdot \frac{t^\beta}{(1 + \beta)} & \sigma_1 - \sigma_s < \sigma_s, & 0 < \beta < 1, \\
\varepsilon_{ij} &= \frac{S_{ij} - \sigma_m}{3G(1 - (t/t_F)^a)} + \frac{2\sigma_3 + \sigma_1 - \sigma_s}{9K} \cdot \frac{t^\beta}{(1 + \beta)} & \sigma_1 - \sigma_s \geq \sigma_s, & 0 < \beta < 1.
\end{align*}
\]

(15)

3. Analysis of New Maxwell Creep Model in 1D Creep State

3.1. Data Preparation. Based on previous research results [34], typical axial creep experimental data for rock salt in the Changshan Salt Mine (Zigong City, Sichuan Province, China) were used to verify the proposed new Maxwell creep model. Figure 4 shows the axial creep curves for rock salt under loading stresses of 9.93, 14.41, and 14.72 MPa. The creep curve for a loading stress of 14.41 MPa exhibits the three typical creep phases and thus represents the typical intact creep behaviour of rock. The creep curve for a loading stress of 9.93 MPa is characteristic of the case when the loading stress is lower than the yield stress and that for a loading stress of 14.72 MPa is characteristic of the case when the loading stress is larger than the yield stress.

3.2. Parameter Determination. We used the 1D creep equation of the new Maxwell model to fit the experimental data for rock salt shown above. The parameters in Equation (9) can be determined using the Levenberg–Marquardt method, which is a nonlinear least-squares fitting method, and the results are presented in Table 1. The efficacy of the proposed creep model in fitting the rock salt data is shown in Figure 5. To further analyse the simulation capability of the proposed creep model, we compared it to the Burgers and Nishihara models, as shown in Figure 5; the parameters of the Burgers and Nishihara models are also given in Table 1.

From the analysis results presented in Table 1, it is seen that the coefficient of determination $R^2 > 0.9$, indicating that the new Maxwell creep model proposed herein is in good agreement with the experimental data of rock salt. Moreover, Figure 5 shows that the new Maxwell creep model has good consistency with the experimental data, which further proves that the proposed model is effective and reliable. In addition, Figure 5 shows that the new Maxwell creep model provides better simulation of the creep properties of rock salt.
Figure 4: Axial creep curves for Changshan rock salt.

Table 1: Parameters determined through fitting analysis based on creep tests of rock salt.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>(\sigma) (MPa)</th>
<th>(E) (GPa)</th>
<th>(\xi) (GPa-h(^2))</th>
<th>(\beta)</th>
<th>(\alpha)</th>
<th>(\sigma_s) (MPa)</th>
<th>(t_f) (h)</th>
<th>(R^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Maxwell creep model</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>4.544</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>1056</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>3.662</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>0.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burgers model</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>3446.914</td>
<td>63.999</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>1.271</td>
<td>541.794</td>
<td>30.426</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.72</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>2.222</td>
<td>18.242</td>
<td>221.010</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nishihara model</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>79.527</td>
<td>34.214</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>1.271</td>
<td>30.425</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.72</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>2.222</td>
<td>18.242</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Comparison between theoretical and experimental curves of (a) new Maxwell model and Burgers model and (b) new Maxwell model and Nishihara model.
than the Burgers and Nishihara models when the loading stress is larger than the critical stress, especially for the accelerated creep phase; further, when the loading stress is lower than the critical stress, the new Maxwell creep model can also show good simulation ability, like that of the Burgers model. Overall, the new Maxwell creep model proposed in this work is capable of describing the creep properties of rock, and its structure is very simple, containing only four parameters; therefore, it has high potential for practical applications in rock engineering.

3.3. Sensitivity Studies Using the New Maxwell Creep Model. It is clear that the new Maxwell creep model is advantageous in simulating the accelerated creep stage of rock salt under a 1D stress state. These advantages depend on just a few parameters used in this model, as shown in Equation (9), among which the fractional derivative order $\beta$ and exponent $\alpha$ are the most important ones. To obtain a better understanding regarding the effects of these parameters, sensitivity analyses were carried out. Substituting $\sigma = 14.41 \text{ MPa}$, $\sigma_c = 13.5 \text{ MPa}$, $E = 0.590 \text{ GPa}$, $\xi = 3.662 \text{ GPa} \cdot \text{h}^\beta$, $t_p = 1056 \text{ h}$, and $\alpha = 0.191$ (data from Table 1) into equation (9) and letting the fractional derivative order $\beta$ change from 0.2 to 0.3 with an interval of 0.02, we obtained a series of curves, as shown in Figure 6; these indicate that the creep strain and creep rate in the steady creep stage mainly depend on the fractional derivative order. Small increments in the fractional derivative order can cause the creep curve to rise in the steady creep stage, thus leading to a greater steady creep strain and higher steady creep strain rate.

By changing exponent $\alpha$ and keeping the other parameters constant, a series of curves were obtained (Figure 7). It is clear that the strain in the accelerated creep stage and creep rate increase with exponent $\alpha$. Changing $\alpha$ values can realise simulation of the accelerated creep stage with different creep rates.

4. Analysis of New Maxwell Creep Model in 3D Creep State

4.1. Test Conditions and Results. To further explore the ability of the proposed model to simulate the creep behaviour of geotechnical materials under a 3D stress state, the creep results of remolded loess under triaxial conditions were obtained. The loess samples were collected from the L6 loess layer in the new district of Yan’an city; it belongs to the quaternary middle Pleistocene loess, also referred to as the Q$_2$ loess. The undisturbed loess was ground, dried, and sieved through a 2-mm screen to have a moisture content of 10%; it was kept for 24 h without allowing evaporation to allow the water to be uniformly distributed in the loess. By controlling the compactness levels ($k$) at 0.84, 0.89, 0.95, and 0.99, the amount of loess required for forming remolded loess under different compactness levels was prepared. The prepared loess was filled into a cylindrical mold to prepare remolded loess specimens and then compressed as per set compression rates using a sample-making machine. The prepared remolded loess specimens were cylinders with diameters and heights of 39.8 and 80 mm, respectively.

![Figure 6: Sensitivity of the creep strain to fractional derivative order $\beta$ ($\sigma = 14.41 \text{ MPa}$, $\sigma_c = 13.5 \text{ MPa}$, $E = 0.590 \text{ GPa}$, $\xi = 3.662 \text{ GPa} \cdot \text{h}^\beta$, $t_p = 1056 \text{ h}$, and $\alpha = 0.191$).](image)

![Figure 7: Sensitivity of the creep strain to exponent $\alpha$ ($\sigma = 14.41 \text{ MPa}$, $\sigma_c = 13.5 \text{ MPa}$, $E = 0.590 \text{ GPa}$, $\xi = 3.662 \text{ GPa} \cdot \text{h}^\beta$, $t_p = 1056 \text{ h}$, and $\beta = 0.252$).](image)

The SLB-1A stress-strain controlled test machine was used for triaxial creep shear measurements, as shown in Figure 8. This instrument can be stress-loaded through a pneumatic pump, so the set stress can reach a predetermined value in a short time. Before performing the creep tests of remolded loess, the ultimate deviatoric stress values of remolded loess specimens were determined via triaxial consolidated undrained compression tests at a fixed confining pressure of 100 kPa. Under the same confining pressure, the specimens were first consolidated for 24 h; then, indoor creep tests using remolded loess specimens with different compactness levels were conducted under different
axial deviatoric stress levels. The axial deviatoric stress was applied step by step using stress levels of $R = 20, 40, 60, 80, 90, 95,$ and $98\%$ ($R$ denotes the ratio of the deviatoric stress to the ultimate stress), and the axial pressure exerted on the specimens during loading was kept constant with a precision of $\pm 5\text{kPa}$ for 12 h.

A cylindrical specimen was prepared and wrapped on the outside with a rubber film with a tubular mold. Filter paper and permeable stone were placed at both ends of the sample, and the lower end of the sample rubber band was tied to the base and the upper end to the top. Next, the pressure chamber cover was installed and turned on the water switch to fill the pressure chamber with water. At this point, we opened the drain valve and applied the required confining pressure of 100 kPa through stress loading; this pressure was maintained for 24 h to allow the specimen to consolidate. We observed changes in the pore water pressure during consolidation, and we then closed the drain valve and applied axial deviatoric stresses were applied in a stepwise manner at the foregoing levels and recorded axial deformation data using an external strain gauge during creep.

Based on the results of the above creep tests, creep curves at various compactness levels and deviatoric stresses were drawn, as shown in Figure 9. It is evident that axial creep deformations under various compactness levels are roughly similar; the primary, secondary, and tertiary creep stages can be observed under partial deviatoric stress. The specimens at each step during application of the deviatoric stress showed the primary creep stage where the strain rate gradually decreased. After the primary creep stage, the specimens immediately entered the longer secondary creep stage; in this stage, the strain rate tended to be zero under low deviatoric stress; however, under high deviatoric stress, the creep strain increased linearly with time when the strain rate was greater than zero and constant. Next, under a particular high deviatoric stress, the tertiary creep stage developed with time following the secondary creep stage and the axial strain and strain rate significantly increased at this stage.

4.2. Parameter Determination. Considering the creep test results of remolded loess samples with a compactness of 0.95 as an example, we used the 3D creep equation of the new Maxwell model to fit the experimental data. The parameters in equation (15) can also be determined by the Levenberg–Marquardt method, and the results are presented in Table 2. The efficacy of the proposed creep model in fitting the data of remolded loess is shown in Figure 10. To further analyse the ability of the model to describe the accelerated creep stage of remolded loess under a 3D stress state, we selected the creep data corresponding to the last stress loading and compared it to the Burgers and Nishihara models, as shown in Figure 11; the parameters of the Burgers and Nishihara models are given in Table 2.

As observed in Figure 10 and Table 2, the calculated results using the new Maxwell model are in good agreement with the test results obtained under deviatoric stresses of 122, 244, 366, 488, and 550 kPa. The correlation coefficient squares ($R^2$) of the five curves are 0.974, 0.975, 0.954, 0.978, and 0.996, respectively, indicating that the prediction precision of the new Maxwell creep model is high when simulating the 3D creep properties of remolded loess. According to Figure 11 and Table 2, the accuracies of the Nishihara and Burgers models when fitting the creep test data corresponding to the last stress loading of remolded loess are obviously lower than those of the new Maxwell model. According to the analysis presented above, the new Maxwell creep model is capable of describing the complete creep behaviour of remolded loess, especially the accelerated creep phase, under a 3D stress state.

5. Discussion

To describe the time-dependence of the mechanical properties of geotechnical materials in the entire creep process, a new Maxwell creep model based on fractional derivatives and elastic-plastic elements was developed, and creep constitutive equations were established with explanations. Through simulation capability analysis of the model proposed herein, we found that the new model showed good
Table 2: Parameters determined through fitting the analysis based on creep test results of remolded loess.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 ) (kPa)</th>
<th>( G_0 ) (kPa)</th>
<th>( K ) (kPa)</th>
<th>( \xi ) (kPa·h(^{0.5}))</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( \sigma_s ) (kPa)</th>
<th>( t_v ) (h)</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Maxwell creep model</td>
<td>( 122 )</td>
<td>29856.761</td>
<td>742.362</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 244 )</td>
<td>209.192</td>
<td>91.334</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 366 )</td>
<td>5832.610</td>
<td>42.649</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 488 )</td>
<td>90.682</td>
<td>345.728</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 550 )</td>
<td>2702.403</td>
<td>33.217</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burgers model</td>
<td>( \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 ) (kPa)</td>
<td>( G_0 ) (kPa)</td>
<td>( K ) (kPa)</td>
<td>( G_k ) (kPa·h)</td>
<td>( \eta_k ) (kPa·h)</td>
<td>( \eta ) (kPa·h)</td>
<td>( \sigma_s ) (kPa)</td>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 550 )</td>
<td>105.281</td>
<td>48.865</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>25516.903</td>
<td>431.671</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nishihara model</td>
<td>( \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 ) (kPa)</td>
<td>( G_0 ) (kPa)</td>
<td>( K ) (kPa)</td>
<td>( G_k ) (kPa·h)</td>
<td>( \eta_k ) (kPa·h)</td>
<td>( \eta ) (kPa·h)</td>
<td>( \sigma_s ) (kPa)</td>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 550 )</td>
<td>80.301</td>
<td>71.612</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>28047.865</td>
<td>47.019</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9: Axial strain versus time at different compactness levels: (a) \( k = 0.84 \); (b) \( k = 0.89 \); (c) \( k = 0.95 \); (d) \( k = 0.99 \).
agreement with the experimental creep data of rock salt and remolded loess, especially for the accelerated creep phase. Further, we can observe from Figure 5 that the new Maxwell creep model has better accuracy in fitting the experimental data of rock salt under a 1D stress state, especially in the case of the intact creep behaviour, compared with the classical Burgers and Nishihara models. The new Maxwell creep model has only two elements and four parameters, thus making it very simple compared to most other creep models; moreover, by changing parameters $\beta$ and $\alpha$, the steady creep and accelerated creep stages with different creep rates can be simulated effectively. Similarly, as shown in Figures 10 and 11, compared with the Burgers and Nishihara models, the new Maxwell creep model also has a better effect in describing the creep characteristics of remolded loess under a 3D stress state. In general, our results demonstrate that the new Maxwell creep model can characterise the creep behaviour of geotechnical materials with better accuracy and fewer parameters than extant models. Therefore, this model can be used extensively in the field of geotechnical engineering, and it can help in developing and clarifying creep constitutive models.

\[ \omega = 10\%, \sigma_3 = 100\text{kPa}, k = 0.95 \]

\[ \omega = 10\%, \sigma_3 = 10\text{kPa}, k = 0.95 \]

Figure 10: Comparison between theoretical and experimental curves.

![Experimental data](#)

![New Maxwell model](#)

![Burgers model](#)

Figure 11: Comparison between theoretical and experimental curves of (a) new Maxwell model and Burgers model and (b) new Maxwell model and Nishihara model.
6. Conclusions

In this study, we employed fractional and elastic-plastic elements to construct a new Maxwell creep model and derived the corresponding creep functions. The developed model is simple and comprises four parameters and two elements. The fitting results provided by the new Maxwell creep model were also analysed. The results indicate that this model is capable of analysing the creep behaviour of geotechnical materials under different loading conditions. Moreover, it can effectively capture the accelerated creep behaviour of geotechnical materials, which is difficult to observe in most of existing creep models. Additionally, the encouraging results provided by this model can enable other scholars to study the creep models with renewed interest.
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