Mix and Inject: Reaction Initiation by Diffusion for Time-Resolved Macromolecular Crystallography
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Time-resolved macromolecular crystallography unifies structure determination with chemical kinetics, since the structures of transient states and chemical and kinetic mechanisms can be determined simultaneously from the same data. To start a reaction in an enzyme, typically, an initially inactive substrate present in the crystal is activated. This has particular disadvantages that are circumvented when active substrate is directly provided by diffusion. However, then it is prohibitive to use macroscopic crystals because diffusion times become too long. With small micro- and nanocrystals diffusion times are adequately short for most enzymes and the reaction can be swiftly initiated. We demonstrate here that a time-resolved crystallographic experiment becomes feasible by mixing substrate with enzyme nanocrystals which are subsequently injected into the X-ray beam of a pulsed X-ray source.

1. Enzymology

The “unspent vital force” was the historical and metaphysical expression for a biological catalyst. It was eradicated in 1890 by an article worth almost 100 pages by O’Sullivan and Tompson [1], which probably denotes the beginning of what we know now as enzymology. The enzyme was originally thought to be the leaven in sour dough and then materialized as a chemical, a macromolecule, or something that can be manipulated, tested, and investigated. Similarities with chemical catalysts were discovered. This finally led to the nowadays well-established and important field of enzymology. In 1926 Sumner reported the purification and crystallization of the first enzyme, urease [2]. At that time, X-ray structure determination has been established starting in the first decade of the 20th century. Although the father of X-ray crystallography, Max von Laue, did not believe that it would be possible to determine atomic structures of enzymes with X-ray crystallography [3], the first structures of proteins were determined at the end of the 50s and the beginning of the 60s of the last century [4, 5]. Only then biologists learned to think in terms of 3D atomic structure of biological molecules. Structure-function relationships were discovered. Enzymes could be studied by directly seeing how, where, and in which orientation effectors were binding to the enzyme. Phenomena such as allosteric inhibition of enzyme activity first discovered by enzyme kineticists finally could be understood on the atomic length scale. This provided new venues, for example, to design new drugs to cure diseases. However, the atomic structures were still static. Enzymologists knew that catalysis must be accompanied by structural transitions of the catalyst. At thermal equilibrium, in crystallography, conformational heterogeneity and structural fluctuations are hidden in the Debye-Waller factor [6]. The protein is flexible, even in the crystal. Catalytic function, structural flexibility, and heterogeneity are intimately related. Once the atomic structure is locked into place, the enzyme is dead and nonfunctional [7]. However, in most cases protein crystals are catalytically active and the crystalline lattice does not constrain the dynamics of the enzyme that would otherwise impair its function. At the end of the 20th century, crystallography evolved to the point that the catalytic action of proteins could be observed directly in the crystal. Time-resolved crystallography made this possible [8, 9]. Ultrafast time-resolved crystallography is a pump-probe method that requires short, extremely intense X-ray pulses to achieve the best time resolution. Snapshots of a protein in action in the crystal can be taken as a function of time after the reaction is initiated and assembled into a movie. This movie shows how the protein ensemble evolves in the crystal. The crystallographic experiment becomes a kinetic
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The rise and decay of short lived (transient) states can be observed during the reaction. Since it is a crystallographic experiment, the structures of the transient states can be determined from the same data. Chemical, kinetic mechanisms that connect the states can be tested and become falsifiable [10–12]. Each new transient state structure is biologically interesting and may be a new drug target. It can be manipulated to alter the chemical, kinetic mechanism of a specific reaction with the goal, for example, to bring that reaction to a full stop in order to eradicate a pathogen.

2. The Challenge

The long-term goal is to rapidly and routinely investigate all kinds of biologically and pharmaceutically interesting enzymatic reactions with time-resolved crystallography. There are limitations that prevented the maturation of this technology to its full potential so far. The three most prominent ones are (i) the limited pulse intensity at the synchrotron, (ii) limited crystal quality, and (iii) the necessity to mount single crystals for exposure to the X-rays. Whereas the former (i) allows for improvements, for example, by microfocusing to reach single pulse capability even with small crystals, the latter two are by far the most challenging of all, and there is no satisfactory solution for this to date. When a single crystal is mounted, typically in a capillary for room temperature studies, it can be exposed many times to the X-ray pulses. The structure can be solved by rotating the crystal to collect the integrated reflection intensities. However, rotation is almost always prohibitive with fast reactions, simply because the crystal cannot be rotated fast enough through the Ewald sphere. One way out is the usage of the Laue method where a bandwidth of X-rays is used to collect the intensities from stills. With the Laue method one needs good crystals with low mosaicities. Otherwise the reflections become too streaky and their intensities cannot be extracted [13, 14]. Poor crystal quality, which might potentially get worse when the reaction proceeds, already excludes many, otherwise interesting enzymes. If an enzymatic reaction is to be investigated, the reaction can be initiated only once in a particular volume of the crystal, because it is typically noncyclic or irreversible. With fast detectors the reaction might be followed up using subsequent X-ray exposures and readout between the pulses. Readout times of large area detectors are constantly improving [15–17] with the goal of MHz (!) readout rates. However, when the reaction is over, the product must be removed, new substrate loaded and the crystal reset to cover more of reciprocal space to assemble a complete dataset. This can be achieved by either probing another, previously unaffected crystal volume, by using flow cells [18] to wash away product and load new substrate, or by mounting another crystal charged with substrate all together. The latter two methods are tedious and prevent rapid results. For cyclic reactions such as dissociation and geminate rebinding reactions in heme proteins the situation is different. One waits until the reaction comes to an end, resets the crystal, reinitiates the reaction, and repeats the experiment until radiation damage is so severe that either the crystal is destroyed or the kinetics are altered, whichever comes first [19]. These are the reasons that so few reactions that were investigated by time-resolved crystallography are actually enzymatic reactions. Most of them are cyclic reactions in heme proteins and light activated signaling proteins [20–30]. Nevertheless, lessons learned from these experiments are priceless. Structural relaxations become directly accessible and transient state structures explain a vast number of results previously taken by spectroscopic approaches. Most importantly, chemical kinetics are united with structure determination, something that the early crystallographers would not have believed in their wildest dreams. However, approaches to enzymatic reactions remain sparse [31–34]. To change this, it will be shown in the following that any X-ray source can be used that provides enough flux into single ultrashort pulses to probe tiny crystals so that the time-resolved experiments do not depend on the availability of large crystals. In particular, new generation X-ray sources, XFELs, provide sufficient flux and are monochromatic, and pulses are short enough that diffraction patterns become insensitive to radiation damage.

3. Nanocrystallography at XFEL

The 4th generation X-ray sources deliver on the order of $10^{12}$ photons in a single femtosecond, monochromatic X-ray pulse. Since the pulses are so short, diffraction occurs before the specimen is destroyed. Accordingly, the diffraction patterns are free of radiation damage. In addition, the conventional rotation method can be given up in favor of injecting small crystals directly into the beam. During the femtosecond pulse duration all motions of the crystal are frozen in time. One obtains a snapshot of the crystal akin to a still exposure, but with the crystal in random orientation. It has been shown recently that a complete data set with sufficient resolution can be collected by injecting a large number of these small, randomly oriented crystals [35]. Data reduction differs from the conventional rotation method in so far that a large number (>100,000) of randomly oriented diffraction patterns containing only partial reflections are available from which the integrated reflection intensities must be reconstructed [36]. Due to its enormous spatial coherence, the XFEL beam can be focused on a nanometer sized focal spot. In this case crystals with edge length on the order of nanometers can be injected into the beam and yield analyzable diffraction patterns. This allows structure determination even from crystals naturally grown within cells [37, 38]. This technology has been named “serial femtosecond X-ray crystallography” (SFX) [39].

A time-resolved crystallographic experiment may benefit from these ultrashort pulses [40], provided the reaction itself can be initiated on a similar time scale. In these cases the ultimate time resolution given by the X-ray pulse can potentially be reached. Typical examples include the mentioned photoflash experiments on heme proteins, where a small molecule such as carbon monoxide can be flashed away from the heme moiety by a ultra-short laser pulse. With enzymes, however, it is exceptionally difficult to initiate a reaction on this ultrashort time scale. The reason for this is that substrate would have to be provided to the enzyme in an ultrafast
fashion. This turns out to be very difficult if not impossible to do on the sub-μs time scale. In an ideal case, inactive, so-called caged substrate is soaked into the crystals and binds directly to the active center. Then diffusion times are extremely short. The caged substrate is activated by a short laser pulse. Typical activation rates vary widely from nanoseconds to milliseconds [41, 42]. Chemical expertise is necessary to design and produce new caged substances tailored to the reaction to be investigated, which, in many cases, are not routinely available. Also, the quantum yield for activation is typically low and a single laser shot might not be sufficient to activate a significant fraction of the caged substrate. Cryophotolysis combined with temperature-controlled freeze-trap cycles can be used to trap intermediates even in the fastest enzymes [43]. Still, the ultimate goal is to let the reaction in an enzyme advance freely and at ambient temperatures. A number of such experiments were conducted at the synchrotron with the Laue method to date [31, 34, 44–48]. An enzyme that crystallizes excellently as well as a caged substrate whose activation time complies with the time-resolution is required. For routine applications it is highly desirable that the reaction is initiated in a different way than using a sample-specific caged substrate. The simplest way is to mix crystals and active substrate and let the substrate diffuse into the crystal. Once substrate is present at the active site, the reaction starts. Assuming that crystals can be mixed with substrate fast enough, objections to this approach are causally connected: (i) time resolution would depend on diffusion times and (ii) zero time, the point in time where the reaction starts, would be dependent on the position in the crystal. Both objections are void, if diffusion times are much faster than the characteristic lifetimes of the intermediates to be investigated. We will explore this possibility further in the following sections. With methods of linear algebra such as singular value decomposition the time information can be exploited in a sense that it might be possible to correct for the zero time distribution and push time resolution to the limit.

4. Velocities in Enzymes and the ccNIR Reaction

Reaction times in enzymes vary enormously. The fastest enzyme is probably the catalase [49] with catalytic rates $k_{\text{cat}}$ on the order of $10^6$. The turnover time is $1/k_{\text{cat}}$ on the order of a microsecond. Other enzymes such as the hydroxymethylbilane synthetase react on the order of hours [50]. Most other enzymes are lying in between with catalytic rates of 100 l/s or so [31]. This means that at least millisecond time resolution is required to characterize these reactions adequately. In Figure 1 the reaction catalyzed by the enzyme cytochrome-c nitrite reductase (ccNIR) is shown as an example. This enzyme is one of the most important enzymes in the biologically highly relevant nitrogen cycle and reduces nitrite to ammonia in a 6-electron transfer reaction. Three intermediates are formed during the catalytic cycle. These are the ccNIR-nitric oxide, the ccNIR-hydroxylamine, and finally the ccNIR-ammonia complexes, all structures of which are unknown due to the short lifetimes of the corresponding transient states. Recently, we determined the structure of the ccNIR of *Shewanella oneidensis* [52]. Its turnover time at room temperature is about 1.2 ms ($k_{\text{cat}} = 8241$/s). Several intermediates accumulate during the reaction. A transient state experiment needs a time resolution that is on the submillisecond time scale.

The ccNIR of *S. oneidensis* is difficult to work with. Crystals are labile and sensitive to radiation damage. After about 10 monochromatic X-ray exposures, the diffraction patterns fade away. A time-resolved crystallographic experiment on this ccNIR is very challenging. It requires great chemical expertise to produce suitable electron donors attached to the ccNIR in the crystal that can be activated by short laser flashes for the reaction to proceed in a time-resolved fashion. These experiments are underway. However, the following proposes time-resolved crystallographic experiments where chemical expertise is kept at a minimum, the extent of reaction initiation is large, the crystal quality can be moderate, radiation damage is zero, and time resolution is good enough to capture the reaction intermediates. This experiment has the potential to transform enzymology because it produces entire time series (movies) from reactions in enzymes rapidly. Most importantly it has the potential to become a routine procedure to investigate all kinds of enzymatic reactions. The requirement is that micro and nanocrystals can be obtained from the enzyme and that the substrate is soluble, which is true for most enzymes.

5. “Mix and Inject” at a Pulsed X-Ray Source

The traditional approach to enzymology is to mix enzyme with substrate and follow the reaction with time-resolved methods such as time-resolved absorption spectroscopy. For this, special devices are constructed called either continuous-flow or stopped-flow devices [51]. The enzyme is mixed with substrate and injected into a cuvette. The evolution of the mixture in the cuvette is probed, for example, with visible light. Newer mixing devices allow time resolutions as good as about 150 μs [53]. The design of the mixer must be modified to be used for time-resolved crystallography in order to inject nano- and microcrystals into the X-ray beam (Figure 2(a)). The goal is to control both mixing and injecting and synchronize it with the arrival time of the ultrashort X-ray pulse. The time delay $\Delta t$ could be selected by either controlling the residence time of crystal and substrate in the mixer or by varying the distance $\Delta L$ of the mixer from the pulsed X-ray beam given the velocity of the ejected droplet. Consequently, injector technology is a key to this experiment. Injector technology to deliver specimen into the XFEL beam progressed rapidly in the last few years [54–57]. However, a device that performs both mixing and synchronized injection is needed. A prerequisite to the success of this method is that not only mixing can be performed rapidly, but also diffusion times into the crystals are kept at a minimum. If diffusion times are longer than the turnover time of the enzyme, the time resolution becomes so poor that it would be impossible to conduct a transient state kinetic experiment.
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Figure 1: Catalytic cycle of the ccNIR. Initially, nitrite binds to a specific c-heme in the ccNIR. Methylviologen serves as electron donor for the 6-electron transfer reaction to produce ammonia from nitrite. The NO, hydroxylamine, and ammonia complexes are shown. The catalytic rate is 824 l/s at room temperature.

Figure 2: (a) Schematic setup for a mix and inject time-resolved femtosecond serial crystallographic experiment at the XFEL. Nano- and microcrystals are in syringe A and substrate in syringe B. After mixing, the crystal/substrate droplet is injected into the XFEL beam. The crystal might disintegrate after the fs X-ray pulse, but diffraction occurs earlier. The diffraction pattern is damage free. (b) Time-resolved pump-probe experiment with serial femtosecond crystallography. Setup can be used to stimulate photoreceptors for photoflash experiments or to activate caged substrates. Conceptual similarities between (a) and (b) are obvious.

6. Diffusion Times

Diffusion of (a soluble) substrate in solution follows Fick’s 2nd law (1),

$$D \nabla^2 c = \frac{\partial c}{\partial t},$$  

(1)

where $D$ is the diffusion coefficient, and $\nabla^2$ is the Laplace operator, $c$ is the time-dependent concentration of the diffusing species. Equation (1) is a second order partial differential equation that can be solved by separation of variables. In special cases an analytic solution can be found subject to certain boundary conditions. In most other cases solutions are only...
found by numerical methods. The simple case of substrate diffusing into a shoe-box like crystal with sides $2a$, $2b$, and $2c$. The substrate concentration is $C_{\text{out}}$ outside the crystal at any time. Inside, $C_{\text{in}}$ is initially zero and evolves as a function of time.

In a time-resolved experiment, intermediate states can only be observed if they are populated sufficiently by active enzyme molecules. The concentrations of the intermediates are determined by the magnitudes of rate coefficients of the underlying chemical, kinetic mechanism. It is the goal of any time-resolved experiment to determine (i) the physical properties, for example, the atomic structures or the spectra, of the intermediate states, (ii) the time-dependent concentrations of these intermediates, and (iii) the magnitudes of all rate coefficients that specify the chemical, kinetic

![Figure 3: Shoe-box like crystal with sides 2a, 2b, and 2c.](image)

**Figure 3**: Shoe-box like crystal with sides $2a$, $2b$, and $2c$. The substrate concentration is $C_{\text{out}}$ outside the crystal at any time. Inside, $C_{\text{in}}$ is initially zero and evolves as a function of time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal size</th>
<th>$\tau_D$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$400 \times 400 \times 1600 \mu m^3$</td>
<td>16 s (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$300 \times 400 \times 500 \mu m^3$</td>
<td>24 s [60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10 \times 20 \times 30 \mu m^3$</td>
<td>$&lt;1$ min [59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3 \times 4 \times 5 \mu m^3$</td>
<td>9.5 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 2 \times 3 \mu m^3$</td>
<td>15 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \mu m^3$</td>
<td>17 $\mu$s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.1 \times 0.2 \times 0.3 \mu m^3$</td>
<td>1.5 $\mu$s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$With much smaller crystals mixing times might be slower than diffusion times.
$^b$S. oneidensis ccNIR crystals would have about 4000 unit cells.

7. Simulations

In a time-resolved experiment, intermediate states can only be observed if they are populated sufficiently by active enzyme molecules. The concentrations of the intermediates are determined by the magnitudes of rate coefficients of the underlying chemical, kinetic mechanism. It is the goal of any time-resolved experiment to determine (i) the physical properties, for example, the atomic structures or the spectra, of the intermediate states, (ii) the time-dependent concentrations of these intermediates, and (iii) the magnitudes of all rate coefficients that specify the chemical, kinetic
mechanism of the reaction. To illustrate this, a chemical, kinetic mechanism is depicted in Figure 4 that features the free enzyme and 2 intermediate states. Given the magnitude of the rate coefficients in this mechanism, one can now assess how fast diffusion of substrate S into the crystal has to be that both intermediates are well separated in time. Only then can the time information be exploited to assess mixtures, separate these mixtures into pure states, and ultimately determine the structures of the pure, admixture-free intermediates from the time-resolved crystallographic data. Rate coefficient $k_1$ in the mechanism is a second order rate coefficient that accounts for substrate binding to form enzyme-substrate complex 1 (ES)$_1$. $k_2$ and $k_3$ are catalytic rate coefficients for conversion from (ES)$_1$ to (ES)$_2$ and finally to product P and free enzyme, respectively. The free enzyme can reenter the catalytic cycle at any time. Since $k_1$ is a second order rate coefficient the rate itself depends sensibly on both, the concentration of free enzyme and the concentration of substrate. The magnitude of $k_3$ is 1000 L mmol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and is chosen (800 l/s) that it matches approximately the catalytic rate of the ccNIR (see above). It is also assumed that the supply of substrate is constant at $c_0$ = 50 mmol/L. Concentrations of proteins in crystals are up to 100 mmol/L. The concentration of the ccNIR is about 25 mmol/L, which is chosen here for the simulation. The characteristic diffusion time $\tau_D$ is varied by changing the crystal size. For simplicity, the concentration of substrate at the center of the crystal is calculated from $c(t) = c_0(1 - e^{-t/\tau_D})$ with $\tau_D$ given by (3) with $l, m, n = 0$ and the diffusion coefficient of glucose in the crystal mentioned above. $c(t)$ is fed to the free enzyme to start the reaction. A certain time after reaction initiation a steady-state must emerge in the simulations, because the assumption is that $C_{out}$ is constant in time. The rate equations are coupled differential equations, which were solved for the concentrations of the particular intermediates and the free enzyme by numerical methods. Figure 5 shows the result.

Although the simulations are quite simple, the results are illuminating. In Figure 5(a) the reaction initiation is much faster than the turnover time, it is even faster than the binding rate to the free enzyme. This is an ideal case, which requires a caged substrate that can be quickly activated. Free enzyme and the enzyme-substrate complexes (ES)$_1$ and (ES)$_2$ are well separated in time. The steady state forms after one turnover. It consists of 83% (ES)$_2$ which is contaminated by a small amount (17%) of (ES)$_1$. The structures of the pure intermediates in conjunction with a suitable chemical, kinetic mechanism are likely to be extractable from time-resolved crystallographic movie using established methods [11]. In Figure 5(b), diffusion times are comparable to the turnover time. The concentration profiles for free enzyme, (ES)$_1$, and (ES)$_2$, do not differ very much from those in Figure 5(a). This is because only a very little substrate concentration is necessary to bind to the free enzyme. However, the free enzyme is pulled down later (see vertical dashed lines), which is still faster than the turnover time. (ES)$_1$ accumulates properly. As long as the conditions are such that sufficient (ES)$_1$ accumulates before it decays to (ES)$_2$, the intermediates are separable. Again the steady state forms, when changes in the substrate or the free enzyme concentrations are negligible. When diffusion times are much longer than the turnover time (Figure 5(c)), it is not possible to use the time information to separate the intermediates. Formation of (ES)$_1$ is on the same time scale than (ES)$_2$ because the velocity (rate) of the formation of (ES)$_1$ depends on both substrate and free enzyme. The substrate concentration, however, is very small up to 100 ms. At the same time (ES)$_1$ is already decaying, and the ratio (ES)$_1$/(ES)$_2$ is roughly given by $k_3/k_1$ at any time. The steady state is delayed (dashed blue arrow) until the free enzyme concentration is negligible or the substrate reaches a constant level. In all instances, probing the steady state is possible even with conventional monochromatic crystallography. No or very little time-resolution is required. Under steady state conditions the Michaelis complex, which is here a mixture of (ES)$_2$ and (ES)$_1$, can be extracted from the crystallographic data. This is already very valuable information for the enzymologist. The simulations show that for transient state experiments where (ES)$_1$ and (ES)$_2$ states are well separated in time, small crystals must be used. A size of $3 \times 4 \times 5 \mu$m$^3$ is sufficient (Figure 5(b)) whereas the experiment likely fails with crystals that are an order or magnitude larger. Of course, all of this depends on, in addition to the magnitude of the rate coefficients in the kinetic mechanism, the diffusion coefficient of the substrate in the crystal and the highest substrate concentration $C_{out}$ that can be prepared and used under the experimental conditions.

8. Discussion

Figure 2 shows that cyclic (reversible) and noncyclic (non-reversible) reactions as well as mix and inject and pump-probe experiments are on the same footing. With somewhat larger crystals and correspondingly lower time resolution, mix and inject experiments are already at the reach of the synchrotron. Time resolutions on the order of 5 ms could be achieved with 10 $\mu$m sized crystals which would easily tolerate the dose deposited by the 100 ps long synchrotron X-ray pulse [62], and “diffract before destroy” would not be necessary. However, the single pulse capability of the X-ray source mentioned earlier is required. The best time-resolution can only be achieved with the smallest crystals and an XFEL is required. If these crystals are hit by the XFEL beam, they are destroyed. Doses absorbed [35] are several orders of magnitude lower than the dose deposited by the 100 ps long synchrotron X-ray pulse.
magnitude higher than the commonly accepted limit even at cryogenic temperatures, but yet diffraction patterns show no damage [63]. Mix-and-inject transient state kinetic experiments that employ diffusion of substrate into crystals become feasible. Time-resolution is still limited to sub-milliseconds, which is however comparable to using caged substrates. Smaller crystals are also advantageous for pump-probe experiments where reaction is initiated by a femtosecond laser flash (Figure 2(b)). There is only a limited number of photons available in the flash. Since protein crystals are unusually optically thick, only a thin layer of molecules is activated at the surface. If, however, the crystals are very small, the laser flash can initiate a reaction uniformly throughout the entire crystal. For example, photoactive yellow (PYP) protein has a molar absorption coefficient of 45,500 cm$^2$ mmol$^{-1}$ at peak absorbance. Given the concentration of 96 mmol/L of PYP in the crystal, one absorption unit is reached with 2.3 μm crystals. Unlike larger crystals, the wavelength of the exciting laser can be tuned directly into the absorption maximum (449 nm) and, still, reaction initiation would be achieved uniformly. Even if the crystal lattice is not commensurable with the macromolecular reaction and the crystal loses integrity at a certain point in time of the reaction, it would still be possible to up to this point in time, because the crystal is discarded in any case and a new one is injected. This holds for both mix-and-inject as well as for pump-probe experiments at the XFEL and potentially, with larger crystals, at the synchrotron. Since the XFEL pulse is quite monochromatic and all the diffraction patterns are stills, all reflections are partials. For each reflection, the intensity is obtained from a sharp intersection of the Ewald sphere with the, in reciprocal space umbrella shaped crystal mosaicity [14] or, for very small crystals, with their shape transforms [36]. This results in an extremely low reflection overlap, even in the presence of higher mosaicity [61]. Consequently, the time-resolved experiment might also work with relatively low quality crystals. Although some information about the microscopic rate coefficients of the mechanism can be obtained, a
comprehensive mechanism remains underdetermined from only one crystallographic time series. There are more rate coefficients than observables that can be extracted from the time series [64]. An additional parameter such as the temperature can be varied to obtain additional observables. This requires rapid and routine production of entire time series from the beginning to the end of a reaction. With an appropriate design of a mixing device [57] longer time scales become also accessible without sacrificing the advantage of serially providing crystals to the X-ray beam. Before injection, the nanocrystal substrate mixture could reside for an extended period of time within the mixing device’s flow, whose velocity can be controlled over a large range to achieve appropriate time delays [57]. When the temperature in the mixing device is also controlled, five-dimensional crystallography [64] becomes possible, and the energetics of the reaction can be investigated in addition. That includes entropy and enthalpy values of the barriers of activation in the chemical, kinetic mechanism. Free energy landscapes of enzymatic reactions become assessable by the time-resolved and temperature-dependent X-ray data.

When mixing times approach diffusion times, it is not very useful to reduce the crystal size further. Given the assumptions in this paper and the best mixers, this limit would be reached approximately with 0.5 μm–1 μm sized crystals (see Table 1). The ultimate experiment would be a time-resolved experiment with a “crystal” reduced to the size of a single molecule [65–69]. The substrate would be close-by almost instantaneously. The best time-resolution possible is then determined mainly by the mixing time. This suggests that mixers with the fastest mixing times must be available to accommodate such an experiment. Alternatively, caged substrates, which then require appropriate rapid activation rates, can be used in a pump-probe type experiment (Figure 2(b)). To date, no single enzyme molecule was successfully injected into the XFEL beam. The number of photons scattered would be on the order of 200 for a moderately sized enzyme molecule. An extensive number of sparsely populated diffraction patterns must be analyzed with special embedding techniques on an extremely low signal-to-noise level [66, 67]. A small ensemble of single molecules in random orientation may be well prepared in the mixing chamber and injected. There are, indeed, approaches to analyze the diffraction patterns obtained from this ensemble [70, 71]. Angular intensity correlations can be determined from these diffraction patterns [72]. From a large number of correlations, finally, the diffraction volume of a single molecule can be calculated [73]. The time-resolved experiment has the advantage that the structure of the dark or reference state is known, which might be used to facilitate the analysis of these sparse data. However, the experimental and the theoretical framework first have to be implemented and refined (see [74]).
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