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Dendritic patterns frequently arise when a crystal grows into its own undercooled melt. Latent heat released at the two-phase boundary is removed by some transport mechanism, and often the problem can be described by a simple diffusion model. Its analytic solution is based on a perturbation expansion about the case without capillary effects. The length scale of the pattern is determined by anisotropic surface tension, which provides the mechanism for stabilizing the dendrite. In the case of liquid crystals, diffusion can be anisotropic too. Growth is faster in the direction of less efficient heat transport (inverted growth). Any physical solution should include this feature. A simple spatial rescaling is used to reduce the bulk equation in 2D to the case of isotropic diffusion. Subsequently, an eigenvalue problem for the growth mode results from the interface conditions. The eigenvalue is calculated numerically and the selection problem of dendritic growth with anisotropic diffusion is solved. The length scale is predicted and a quantitative description of the inverted growth phenomenon is given. It is found that anisotropic diffusion cannot take the stabilizing role of anisotropic surface tension.

1. Introduction

Dendritic microstructures develop from an interface instability of a crystal growing into its melt. In the simplest models, the growth process is driven solely by thermal diffusion. The liquid has to be cooled externally to keep the system out of equilibrium. Neglecting surface tension, Ivantsov found a continuous family of unstable solutions corresponding to parabolic dendrites with isothermal interfaces [1]. Out of this family, only a discrete set containing a single linearly stable solution survives, if anisotropic surface tension is taken into account. Hence, anisotropic surface tension acts as a singular perturbation. The selected solution is the stable one [2]. The methodology leading to these results is also referred to as “microscopic solvability theory” (MST). It is in good agreement with experiments for substances with weak capillary anisotropy such as ammonium bromide solutions [3], whereas for other materials such as pivalic acid the predictions appear to be less accurate [4, 5].

In view of this situation, the consideration of additional effects seems a worthwhile effort. Recently, the presence of grain boundaries was successfully included into the theory [6]. Furthermore, the selection problem was treated for convective systems, where the flow introduces additional complexity [7–9]. Interfacial patterns have also been observed in liquid crystals at nematic-isotropic boundaries [10, 11], as well as at nematic-smectic-B boundaries [12, 13]. In these materials, the thermal diffusivity can depend significantly on the spatial direction. The special role of anisotropy in MST gives rise to the question whether the presence of anisotropic diffusion can by itself lead to stable growth modes.

The focus of this work is on the two-dimensional case of a smectic-B liquid crystal, growing into its own undercooled nematic phase as considered by Börzsönyi et al. [13]. In the experiments, the smectic-B phase is aligned homeotropically; that is, its director is perpendicular to the growth plane, whereas the nematic director \( \vec{n} \), is in-plane (see Figure 1). Thermal diffusion in the nematic phase is least
is used to describe heat transport, and the thermal diffusivity
\[
\hat{D} = \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & \mu^2 D \end{pmatrix}
\]  
(2)
the problem. The condition ensures heat conservation at the phase transition. It is sometimes also referred to as Stefan condition. Far away from the two-phase boundary, the temperature must take a fixed value $T_{\infty}$, determined by the external cooling:

$$\lim_{|r| \to \infty} T = T_{\infty}. \quad (5)$$

In order to obtain dimensionless equations, the temperature is written as $T \to T_{\infty} + (L/c)T$, and all lengths are measured in units of the tip curvature radius $\rho$. We look for stationary solutions in a moving frame of reference attached to the dendrite tip; that is, we set the growth velocity vector $\mathbf{V} = V\mathbf{e}_y$ and $\mathbf{r} \to \mathbf{r} + Vt\mathbf{e}_y$. A simple but essential step is the spatial rescaling of the $y$-axis:

$$y = \mu \hat{y}. \quad (6)$$

Using $\partial/\partial y = (1/\mu)(\partial/\partial \hat{y})$ and dropping the bar over $\mathbf{r}$. The transformed diffusion equation reads

$$T_{xx} + T_{yy} + P_\mu T_y = 0 \quad (7)$$

and the interface conditions (3) and (4) become

$$T|_{y_s} = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma \kappa \alpha(\theta), \quad (8)$$

$$-\left(T_y - y_s' T_x\right) \varepsilon_y = 1. \quad (9)$$

Here, the interface position is denoted by $y_s(x)$. $\sigma = 2d_0/\rho P_\mu$ is the stability parameter and the dimensionless temperature is measured in units of the effective growth Péclet number $P_\mu = \rho V/\mu D$. The prime in (9) denotes the total derivative with respect to $x$. The far-field boundary condition (5) reads

$$\lim_{|r| \to \infty} T = -\frac{\Delta}{P_\mu} \quad (10)$$

with the dimensionless undercooling $\Delta = c(T_{\infty} - T_{\infty})/L$.

The mathematical structure of problem (7), (8), (9), and (10) is almost the same as in the case of isotropic diffusion [2]. The difference lies in $a(\theta)$ and in the function

$$\kappa = -\frac{\mu y_s''^2}{(1 + \mu^2 y_s'^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (11)$$

which is not the interface curvature anymore in the rescaled coordinate system. Since these terms, appearing in (8), drop out of the problem for $\sigma = 0$, rendering the isotropic and anisotropic diffusion cases mathematically equivalent, there can be no stable solution in the absence of surface tension.

3. Growth Mode Selection

In the case of vanishing surface tension ($\sigma = 0$), the two-phase boundary is located at $y_s^* = (1/2)(1 - x^2)$ and it is isothermal and parabolic. The corresponding solution to (7) is

$$T^0(x, y) = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi P_\mu}{2}} e^{-y^2/2} \text{erfc} \left( \sqrt{\frac{y}{2}} \right), \quad (12)$$

with $\text{erfc}(\cdot)$ denoting the complementary error function. It fulfills the interface conditions $T^0(x, y_s^*) = 0$ (derived from (8)) and $-1 = T^0_y(x, y_s^*) + x T^0_x(x, y_s^*)$ (derived from (9)). Inserting solution (12) into (10) yields the condition

$$\Delta = \sqrt{\frac{\pi P_\mu}{2}} e^{-y_s^2/2} \text{erfc} \left( \sqrt{\frac{y_s^*}{2}} \right), \quad (13)$$

from which a value for $P_\mu$ can be calculated for given undercooling. However, it is not possible to calculate separate values of $V$ and $\rho$ from (13). Moreover, Ivantsov’s solutions are unstable for isotropic diffusion and this must be the case here too, because, for $\sigma = 0$, the original and rescaled models are identical. A stable solution can only be found, if surface tension is taken into account; that is, $\sigma$ is small but nonzero. $T$ is expanded about solution (12) of the unperturbed problem: $T \to T^0 + T$, and the interface position is written as $y_s(x) = y_s^0(x) + \zeta(x)$. Equation (7) also applies to the temperature correction $T$, because it is a linear equation, and in the limit $P_\mu \to 0$ it can be replaced by

$$T_y = \tilde{T}'_x \quad (14)$$

close to the singularity at $x = -i/\mu$ of (8) in the complex plane [8]. The interface conditions (8) and (9) are expanded about $y_s^0$:

$$T|_{y_s^0} = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma \kappa \alpha(\theta) + \frac{\zeta(x)}{1 + x^2}, \quad (15)$$

$$-\left(T_y - y_s'^0 T_x\right) \varepsilon_y = \left[\frac{x \tilde{\zeta}'(x)}{1 + x^2}\right]. \quad (16)$$

In condition (16), a nonlinear term $\partial(\partial \zeta'/\partial y)$ was dropped. It will turn out later that this neglect is indeed justified. Equation (14) is inserted into the interface conditions (15) and (16). When taking the total derivative of (15), we have to differentiate along the interface; that is, $d/dx = (\partial/\partial x) + y_s'^0(\partial/\partial y)$. Some simple manipulations of the resulting linear equation system allow complete elimination of $T_{xx,yy}$, and one finds

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma \kappa \alpha(\theta) = \frac{1 - i x}{1 + x^2} \zeta(x), \quad (17)$$

determining the shape correction function $\zeta(x)$. This is an eigenvalue problem for the stability parameter $\sigma$. The underlying model was reduced to the case of isotropic diffusion.
with the exception of $\kappa$ and $\sigma(\theta)$, which did not have to be inserted until now. Thus, (17) could have also been obtained by following the rigorous classical solution scheme [14], neglecting some nondivergent terms. Here, it was derived in a much simpler manner by using (14). As explained in [15], this leads to the same results as the classical methods. The factor 1/2 in front of $\sigma$ does not occur in the symmetric model but is expected here [16].

Equation (17) is transformed onto a small disk around the singular point $-i/\mu$ of the problem in the complex plane, and the corresponding solution must asymptotically match a solution far from the singularity. The scaling transformation $x = (-i/\mu)(1-\sigma^a t)$, $\zeta(x) = \bar{\sigma}^a \phi(t)$ with $\bar{\sigma} = (1/2\sigma)$ is applied to (17). Here, $\alpha$ is a scaling exponent to be found. We could assume a fourfold anisotropy $a(\theta) = 1 - \bar{b}_4 \cos(4\theta)$ of surface stiffness. But here it is particularly reasonable to use a twofold anisotropy $a(\theta) = 1 - (\bar{b}_2/2) \cos(2\theta)$ of surface tension, because the twofold term is the dominant contribution in the considered system of a homeotropic smectic-B in a planar nematic [13]. One finds

$$\frac{1 + \mu^2 \phi''}{(2\tau)^{3/2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{b_2}{2\tau} \right] = \frac{\phi}{1 + \mu} \tag{18}$$

with $\tau = \mu^2 \phi + t$. The exponent was set as $\alpha = 2/7$ in order to obtain the same leading orders on both sides. This is the same scaling exponent as in the case of isotropic diffusion [14]. $\phi''$ is of the order $\delta(1)$, but $\phi'$ is of the order $\delta^2$. This justifies the linearization in condition (16). It also ensures that $\kappa$ and $a(\theta)$ diverge in the limit $\sigma \to 0$, so the term $\sigma k a(\theta)$ remains large enough to permit its being decisive in selection. The nonlinear eigenvalue $b_2 = \beta_2/\bar{\sigma}^{4/7}$ remains the only free parameter group aside from $\mu$. The factor in square brackets on the left-hand side is the transformed version of $a(\theta)$. Only leading terms of $\bar{\sigma}$ were kept in (18). It should be kept in mind that the stability parameter $\sigma$ has twice the value of $\bar{\sigma}$: $\sigma = 2\bar{\sigma} = 2 \times (\beta_2/b_2)^{4/7}$. $\bar{\sigma}$ would be the right effective stability parameter, if the symmetric model was used. Since $b_2$ can be assumed to be of the order $\delta(1)$, we have $\sigma \propto b_2^{4/7}$. This can be generalized for an $n$-fold anisotropy of surface tension: $\sigma \propto b_2^{4n/7}$. An explicit formula (19) for the growth velocity $V$ can be given:

$$V = \frac{2D_\Delta^4 \mu \sigma(\mu)}{\pi^2 d_0}. \tag{19}$$

Equation (19) holds for small Peclet numbers $P_r$. The dependence on the undercooling is the same as in the case of isotropic heat transport, but there is an additional factor $\mu$.

In fact, (18) can be converted into an equation having the form of the local equation from the corresponding problem with isotropic diffusion. This is achieved by rescaling $t = \mu^{4/7}/(1 + \mu)^2$ and $\phi(t) = \mu^{-4/7}/(1 + \mu)^{2/7} \Psi(\bar{\tau})$. The inner equation becomes

$$\frac{1 + \Psi''}{(2\bar{\tau})^{3/2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\bar{b}_2}{2\bar{\tau}} \right] = \frac{\Psi}{2} \tag{20}$$

with $\bar{\tau}_\mu = \bar{\tau} + \Psi'$, and the nonlinear eigenvalue $\bar{b}_2 = (\beta_2/\sigma^{4/7})^2/2(1 + \mu)^{2/7}$ is expressed as a function of $\bar{\sigma}$ and $\mu$. Here, the prime denotes the derivative with respect to $\bar{\tau}$. Equation (20) is equivalent to (18) at $\mu = 1$. Only the respective eigenvalues $\bar{b}_2$ and $b_2$ are defined differently. Using the definitions of $\bar{b}_2$, $\bar{\sigma}$, and $P_r$, one finds the analytical formulas

$$V = \left( \frac{\beta_2}{\bar{b}_2} \right)^{7/2} \frac{D_0^2 \mu^2}{2 \rho \mu (1 + \mu)}, \tag{21}$$

$$\rho = \left( \frac{\beta_2}{\bar{b}_2} \right)^{-7/2} \frac{D_0^2 \mu^2 (1 + \mu)}{2 P_r}, \tag{22}$$

for $V$ and $\rho$, which can be evaluated once a value for $\bar{b}_2$ is obtained numerically.

4. Numerical Results

For given values of $\Delta$, $\beta_2$, and $\mu$, the set (13), (20) is solved numerically. For details about the numerical method, see [9, 17]. Explicit results are shown for the substance CCH5 (4-n-pentyl-4'-cyano-trans 1,1-bicyclohexane, $T_M = 51.2^\circ C$ [18], $\beta_2 = 0.06 \ldots 0.18$ [13], $\mu = 0.767$, $D = 1.25 \times 10^{-5}$ $\mu m^2/s$, and $d_0 = 5 \times 10^{-6} \mu m$). It is a long organic molecule consisting of a pentyl chain, two cyclohexane rings, and a nitrile group. The values of $\mu$ and $D$ were measured for the substance K15 ($4'-n$-pentyl-4'-cyanobiphenyl) in [19].

But they can be assumed to be similar for CCH5, because they depend almost only on the alkyl chain length [18], which is equal for both substances. The two molecules are depicted in Figure 2. Moreover, the value of $d_0$ is only a rough estimate for nematic-smectic interfaces derived from the surface tension measurements of Buka et al. [20].

From (20), we find the lowest eigenvalue $\bar{b}_2 = 1.6608$, corresponding to the selected growth state. The fourfold surface tension anisotropy version of (18) was also implemented yielding $b_2 = \beta_2 / \sigma^{4/7} = 0.6122$ at $\mu = 1$. This value was also found by Brener and Mel'nikov [14] and Tanveer [17].

Figure 3 reveals the dependence of the observable quantities on the thermal diffusion anisotropy strength $\mu$ at different values of the dimensionless undercooling $\Delta$. These are plots of the functions from (21) and (22). $\mu$ is tunable to a limited amount even in experiments by using substances with different alkyl chain lengths. The lowest undercooling in use here is $\Delta = 0.02$ corresponding to an absolute value of about 0.23 K for CCH5. Börzsönyi et al. [13] observed the onset of the dendritic growth regime already at undercoolings larger than about 0.15 K. Thus, we are in the experimentally relevant range. At the largest undercooling $\Delta = 0.04$ used here, the effective growth Peclet number $P_r$ still hardly exceeds $10^{-3}$. The largest possible value $\beta_2 = 0.18$ consistent with experiments for CCH5 [13] was used. The growth velocity $V$ is a monotonically decreasing function of $\mu$, which may be regarded as a full quantitative description of the “inverted growth” phenomenon observed, for instance, in [12]. The relevant direction for heat transport is perpendicular to
the growth direction. That is, most of the heat is removed sideways (along the $x$ direction) without increasing the temperature in front of the dendrite (in the $y$ direction), and the growth may proceed into cooler regions.

The numerical algorithm is based on a solution of (20) on two perpendicular lines in the complex plane. One line lies on the real axis and the other line is parallel to the imaginary axis. To obtain an analytic solution, the difference $\delta$ of the derivatives $\Psi''$ on both lines is driven to zero at the crossing point. This is achieved by adjusting $\tilde{b}_2$. This procedure leads to the correct eigenvalue in the case of isotropic diffusion [17]. In the case of isotropic surface tension ($\beta_2 = 0$) and isotropic diffusion ($\mu = 1$), there is no solution [14]. But (18) with $b_2 = 0$ and $\mu < 1$ can be solved for the derivative difference $\delta$ as a function of the heat diffusion anisotropy strength $\mu$. This is shown in Figure 4. The graph decays monotonically and it approximately approaches $\delta = 2$ for $\mu > 1.5$. The decay is nonexponential. Nevertheless, there are no zero-crossings. Thus, we find that heat diffusion anisotropy cannot stabilize the dendrite. If selection was supposed to happen analogously to the case of finite $\beta_2$, one would need a factor that becomes singular at $\mu = 1$. Such a factor is not present in the local equation (18) at $b_2 = 0$. It is not clear how $\mu$ could take the stabilizing role of $\sigma$ in this model.

The numerical evidence is complemented by the fact that $\mu$ can be eliminated from (18) by rescaling, as shown at the end of the preceding section. In the case with $b_2 = 0$, this gives

$$\frac{1 + \Psi''}{(2(\Psi' + r))^{3/2}} = \mu.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

But this is the selection problem of the isotropic diffusion case with isotropic surface tension, known not to have a solution [14]. Since $\mu$ has completely scaled out of the equation, it cannot be seen, from a mathematical point of view, how
the sole presence of $\mu < 1$ could lead to a stable dendrite. Heat diffusion anisotropy obviously does not constitute a singular perturbation, which could break the degeneracy of Ivantsov's solution spectrum. In stark contrast to that, for nonzero $\sigma$, the problem is drastically changed by the capillary term in the Gibbs-Thomson condition (8).

5. Conclusions

In this study, we have investigated the influence of anisotropic diffusion on the (two-dimensional) selection problem in dendritic growth.

Our main results may be summarized in three statements. First, anisotropy of diffusion by itself is insufficient to select a stationary operating state for a close-to-parabolic needle crystal. Second, if there is anisotropy of surface tension in addition, we do find a solution to the selection problem, and we obtain analytic expressions for the selected velocity and tip radius as a function of the anisotropy ratio $\mu$ in the full $\mu$ range, containing just a single eigenvalue that has to be calculated numerically. Thirdly, we achieve a quantitative description and explanation of the phenomenon of “inverted growth.”
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