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1. Introduction

Heavy-fermion and mixed valence systems are still active research fields in spite of the major achievements of the past few decades [1, 2]. The discovery of a new critical point in the pressure-temperature phase diagram of CeCu$_2$Ge$_2$ and CeCu$_2$Si$_2$ has attracted much attention both experimentally [3–8] and theoretically [9–14]. It is believed that the appearance of the new critical point is due to the critical valence fluctuations of the Ce ion. The simplest model, which contains the essential physics of rare-earth compounds, is the periodic Anderson model:

\[ H_{PAM} = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} - V \sum_j (\hat{c}_{j\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\downarrow} + \text{H.c.}) + \varepsilon_f \sum_j \hat{n}_{j\sigma}^f + U_f \sum_j \hat{n}_{j\uparrow}^f \hat{n}_{j\downarrow}^f \]

(1)

where the notation is standard and $W = 4t$ is taken as the energy unit. It is known, however, that the mixed valence regime appears always in this model as a smooth crossover, and valence fluctuations do not become critical for any choice of the parameters. A local Coulomb interaction between the conduction and localized electrons is needed for the appearance of a sharp transition and critical valence fluctuations [14]. Therefore we consider the following Hamiltonian:

\[ H = H_{PAM} + U_{cf} \sum_j \hat{n}_{j\uparrow}^f \hat{n}_{j\downarrow}^f \]

(2)

Previous studies revealed how $U_{cf}$ affects the mixed valence regime and it has been shown that a first-order valence transition and a quantum critical point may appear due to $U_{cf}$ [11, 15–17]. The effect of $U_{cf}$ in the Kondo regime has also been addressed both in infinite [18, 19] and one spatial dimensions [20]. Namely, in infinite dimensions the symmetric model for small hybridization ($V \ll W$) displays antiferromagnetic order for small $U_{cf}$ which, however, disappears for large $U_{cf}$ and charge order develops. In contrast, there is no such phase transition in one dimension due to the enhanced quantum fluctuations; however, for small and large $U_{cf}$ the spin-spin and density-density correlation function, respectively, exhibits the slowest decay. Between these two regimes there is a narrow region, where the local singlet formation is significantly enhanced. It is worth noting that, besides $U_{cf}$, the role of the interaction between conduction electrons has also been studied [21, 22]; however, this does not lead to a sharp valence transition.
Our goal in this paper is to investigate the momentum distribution of the electrons in one dimension. It is known that in higher dimensions they exhibit a jump at the Fermi momentum, whose size can be used to extract the energy dependence of the self-energies, from which the many-body enhancement factor of the effective mass can be obtained. Although in one dimension there is no such jump at the Fermi momentum, just a sharp change, they provide direct information about the spatial distribution of the electrons and the content of conduction and \( f \)-electron states in the quasiparticle bands, while the previous quantum information analysis [20] gave only an indirect description of these quantities. We address the question of how they are modified by switching on both in the integer and mixed valence regimes. The density-matrix renormalization-group approach (DMRG) [23–27] is applied, which allows the accurate determination of ground state properties. We have used the dynamic block-state selection algorithm [28, 29] in which the threshold value of the quantum information loss, \( \chi \), is set a priori. We have taken \( \chi = 10^{-3} \). A maximum of 2000 block states is needed to achieve this accuracy, and the largest truncation error was in the order of \( 10^{-6} \). We investigated chains up to a maximum length \( L = 80 \) with open boundary conditions and performed 8–12 sweeps.

2 Results at Half-Filling

The nondegenerate version of the periodic Anderson model can hold up to \( n_{\text{max}} = 4 \) electrons per lattice site, the average number of \( c \) and \( f \) electrons per site, \( n^c \) and \( n^f \), respectively, can vary between zero and two. The filling will refer to the ratio of the total electron density per site \( (n^c + n^f) \). In what follows we consider the symmetric half-filled model, where \( n^f = 1 \), and calculate the momentum distribution of conduction and \( f \) electrons which are defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
n^c (k) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \langle c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} \rangle, \\
n^f (k) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \langle f_{k\sigma}^\dagger f_{k\sigma} \rangle.
\end{align*}
\]

Our DMRG calculation was performed in real space; therefore these quantities can be obtained by Fourier transforming of the corresponding single particle density matrices; namely,

\[
\begin{align*}
n^c (k) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j\alpha} \delta^{(j-l)} \langle c_{j\alpha} c_{l\alpha} \rangle, \\
n^f (k) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j\alpha} \delta^{(j-l)} \langle f_{j\alpha} f_{l\alpha} \rangle,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( k = 2\pi n/L \) and \( n = -L/2 - 1, \ldots, L/2 \). In our case these are symmetric functions; therefore we consider only the nonnegative \( k \) values.

Before going into the details of the numerical results, we briefly recall the case when \( U_f = U_{c,f} = 0 \) which is easily solvable. Thereby the Hamiltonian can be diagonalized by an unitary transformation

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_k^{(-)} &= -\nu_k c_k + u_k f_k, \\
\alpha_k^{(+)} &= u_k c_k + \nu_k f_k,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \alpha_k^{(-)} \) (\( \alpha_k^{(+)} \)) creates a quasiparticle in the lower (upper) hybridized band with mixing amplitudes:

\[
\begin{align*}
u_k^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\epsilon_k - \epsilon_f}{\sqrt{(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_f)^2 + 4V^2}} \right], \\
v_k^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\epsilon_k - \epsilon_f}{\sqrt{(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_f)^2 + 4V^2}} \right];
\end{align*}
\]

in our case \( \epsilon_k = -2t \cos k \) and the Fermi momentum is at the boundary of the Brillouin zone since the lower band is completely filled. It is easily seen that the momentum distribution functions provide information about the mixing amplitudes, namely, the portion of conduction and \( f \) states in the hybridized band:

\[
\begin{align*}
n^c (k) &= \nu_k^2, \\
n^f (k) &= u_k^2.
\end{align*}
\]

The momentum distribution in the noninteracting system is shown in Figure 1 compared with the DMRG results. The small discrepancy between the two results is attributed to the open boundary condition used in DMRG. In the following we investigate how the interactions modify the above results, using again the DMRG method with open

![Figure 1: Momentum distribution functions of the conduction and \( f \) electrons in the noninteracting case \((U_f = U_{c,f} = 0, V/W = 0.1, \epsilon_f = 0)\). The solid and dotted lines are obtained from (6) and (7), respectively. The symbols (bullet and red square) denote the DMRG results for \( L = 50 \).](image-url)
boundary condition. We checked for short systems that the momentum distributions of the interacting system calculated with periodic and open boundary conditions are in good agreement within our error margin.

It has been pointed out [20, 30] that strong $U_f$ leads to localization of the $f$ electrons, since the number of doubly occupied $f$ levels is negligible and the ground state is a collective singlet. This is what we see in Figure 2(a); namely, $n_f(k)$ hardly depends on $k$ in the Kondo regime, while the distribution of conduction electrons is just slightly affected by $U_f$. The quantum information analysis [20] showed that as $U_{cf}$ is switched on more and more doubly occupied $f$ sites are created, therefore the $f$ electrons become less localized in real space. Finally, when $U_{cf}$ is large the $c$ and $f$ electrons tend to avoid each other and the sites are occupied by two $c$ or two $f$ electrons in an alternating fashion. Now we examine how these features are reflected in the momentum distributions. As $U_{cf}$ is switched on, the wave number dependence of the conduction electrons becomes weaker and weaker and therefore less itinerant in real space, while the distribution of the $f$ electrons becomes more and more dispersive as it can be seen in Figures 2(b) and 2(c). Above $U_{cf} \approx U_f/2 + W/4$ both distributions hardly depend on the wave number as it is observed in Figure 2(d). That is, the behavior of the momentum distributions agrees well with the results of the entropy analysis.

3. Away from Half-Filling

In the previous section we considered the half-filled case. Now we discuss what happens when the ground state is metallic and fix the electron density at $n = 1.75$. It has been shown [15, 16] that a stable mixed valence regime appears around $n_f = 2 - n$ in the presence of $U_{cf}$, and strong enough $U_{cf}$ leads to a first-order transition between the Kondo and mixed valence states as $\varepsilon_f$ is varied. One can observe, in Figure 3, that for strong $U_{cf}$ a stable mixed valence regime appears indeed, and the change of the valence becomes

**Figure 2:** Momentum distribution functions of the conduction (bullet) and $f$ (red square) electrons for $L = 50$ and $n = 2$. Panels (a), (b), (c), and (d) correspond to $U_{cf}/W = 0, 1.5, 1.7$, and 3; furthermore $U_f/W = 3, V/W = 0.1$, and $\varepsilon_f = -U_f/2$ in all cases. The lines are guides to the eye.

---

**Figure 3:**

- (a) $n_f(k)$ for $U_{cf}/W = 0$.
- (b) $n_f(k)$ for $U_{cf}/W = 1.5$.
- (c) $n_f(k)$ for $U_{cf}/W = 1.7$.
- (d) $n_f(k)$ for $U_{cf}/W = 3$.
sharp. In the following we investigate how the momentum distribution of the electrons changes due to $U_{cf}$ in the mixed valence regime. This is shown in Figure 4, where $\epsilon_f$, indicated by the circles around the data points in Figure 3, was chosen such that the occupancy of the $f$ level is nearly the same in the two cases. One can clearly see that both $n^c(k)$ and $n^f(k)$ change drastically around the Fermi momentum when $U_{cf} = 0$. This is not surprising since our system is a Luttinger liquid, where a logarithmic singularity is expected to occur at the Fermi momentum. For a finite $U_{cf}$ a significant amount of the conduction and $f$ electrons is scattered above the Fermi momentum and the $k$-dependence of the electron densities is significantly reduced in both cases, which indicates a strongly correlated mixed valence state. It is worth noting that the distribution functions do not tend to zero above the Fermi momentum for strong $U_{cf}$. These results agree well with what has been obtained in infinite dimensions using the Gutzwiller wave function [16]; the main difference is the absence of the discontinuity at the Fermi momentum due to the one-dimensional property of the model.

4. Conclusions

We have investigated an extended periodic Anderson model with an additional Coulomb interaction using the DMRG algorithm to better understand its effect on the momentum distribution of the electrons. In the half-filled, symmetric model (in the Kondo regime), switching on $U_{cf}$ results in the increased itinerancy of the $f$ electrons; however, above a certain value of $U_{cf}$ it tends to localize $f$ electrons again. The itinerancy of the conduction electrons is gradually reduced as $U_{cf}$ is increased. These results agree well with what has been obtained by quantum information analysis [20]. We also investigated what happens when the system is less than half-filled; that is, the ground state is metallic. It has been revealed that in the mixed valence regime $U_{cf}$ makes both the conduction and $f$ electrons more correlated. These findings agree qualitatively well with the properties of the infinite dimensional model, although, as expected for a one-dimensional model, there is no sharp Fermi edge. This could be analyzed further using the momentum space version of the DMRG method [31–34].
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