Cells were collected from prostate hyperplasias ($n=6$) and prostate carcinomas ($n=6$) and subjected to two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE). The resulting polypeptide patterns were analysed with the PDQUEST computer software. Malignant tumors showed significant increases in the level of expression of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), calreticulin, HSP 90 and pHSP 60, oncoprotein 18(v), elongation factor 2, glutathione-S-transferase (GST-π), superoxide dismutase and triose phosphate isomerase. In addition, decreases in the levels of tropomyosin-1 and 2 and cytokeratin 18 were observed in prostate carcinomas compared to prostate hyperplasias. This pattern of alterations is similar to that observed in other carcinomas in our previous studies. All malignant tumors showed simultaneous alterations in 5 or more of 9 markers studied, whereas only one case of benign hyperplasia showed alterations in 5 markers. The EST-data base for prostate tumors available from NCI (CGAP) was searched for the expression of the mRNAs corresponding to proteins identified in our gels. Large differences in the relative expression of mRNAs and proteins were observed. Our data show alterations in the pattern of polypeptide expression in prostate carcinomas which are similar to those observed in other carcinomas.
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1. Introduction

Carcinoma of the prostate is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in men. Prostate carcinoma provides a wide spectrum for risk of death from the disease and clinicians have long sought methods to predict the outcome accurately in individual patients. Traditional prognostic factors, such as histologic grade and stage, remain valuable. Additional clinical and laboratory studies may add to the precision of predicting the natural history of the disease or response to therapy. DNA ploidy and S-phase fraction have been studied on radical prostatectomy specimens and appear to be valuable markers for progression and recurrence of disease [5,8,9,18]. Immunostaining for p53 has been suggested to be an independent predictor of disease progression after radical prostatectomy [4], but reports in the literature are conflicting [7]. Decreased E-cadherin expression has also been associated with poor prognosis [17]. New methods to define pretreatment prognosis may assist clinicians in making therapeutic choices for prostate carcinoma.

The proteome is the expressed protein complement of a genome and proteomics is functional genomics at the protein level [3,6,13]. Proteomics can be divided into expression proteomics, the study of global changes in protein expression, and cell-map proteomics, the study of protein–protein interactions through the isolation of protein complexes. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and mass spectrometry, coupled with searches in protein and EST databases, are the main tools in proteomics.
Proteome analyses of malignant prostate tumors and prostate hyperplasia may lead to the identification of novel prognostic markers. The aim of the present study was to use the technique of two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) to characterize the pattern of polypeptide expression in prostate hyperplasia and prostate cancer.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Tumor tissue samples

Samples preparation and solubilization of the tumors described in Table 1 was performed essentially as previously described [11]. Briefly, all tumors were first examined by a pathologist in order to obtain representative, viable tissue. One part of the tissue was used for preparation for 2-DE and the adjacent tissue was formalin-fixed and paraffin embedded for histological characterization. Cells were collected from the cut surface of non-necrotic tumor tissue by scraping with a sharp scalpel. Cells were collected in 2–5 ml of ice-cold RPMI-1640 medium containing 5% fetal calf serum and 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride/0.83 mM benzamidine. A two-phase nylon mesh filter with 250 and 100 μm pore size was used to remove tissue fragments and connective tissue. Cell suspensions were underlaid with 2 ml of ice-cold Percoll (54.7% in PBS) and centrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min at +4°C. Cells at the interface were collected with a syringe and washed twice with PBS. Extracts were then prepared for 2-DE analysis as described [11].

2.2. Characterization of formalin fixed specimens

Histopathological characterization was carried out using hematoxylin-eosin stained sections of formalin fixed and paraffin embedded specimens. Tumors were classified using the Gleason grading system [14].

2.3. Electrophoresis

2-DE was performed by standard procedures as previously described [11]. Resolyte (2%, pH 4–8, BDH) were used for isoelectric focusing, 10–13% linear gradient SDS-polyacrylamide gels were used in the second dimension. Gels were stained with silver nitrate as described by Rabilloud et al. [16].

2.4. Identification of polypeptides

The identification of various polypeptides in 2-DE patterns has been described previously [10]. Identification was performed by matching with published maps, exchanging samples with other investigators, by co-electrophoresis of purified proteins or by mass spectrometry. Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) was identified by immunoblotting (PC10 mAb, Dakopatt) using a semidry system (Multiphor II Nova Blot, Pharmacia Biotech AB) and ECL detection (Amer sham).

2.5. Scanning and image analysis

2-DE gels were scanned at 100 μm resolution using a Molecular Dynamics laser densitometer. Data was analyzed using PDQUEST™ software [12] obtained from Pharmacia Biotech (Uppsala, Sweden). An “identification reference pattern” was constructed (“matchset”). In subsequent analyses, polypeptide spots were matched to spots in the reference pattern using the PDQUEST™ software. Background was subtracted, peaks located and the individual polypeptide quantities were expressed as ppm of the total integrated optical density.

3. Results

3.1. Examination of expression levels of multiple polypeptide in prostate hyperplasia and in prostate carcinoma

Cells from patients with prostate hyperplasia (n = 6) and prostate carcinoma (n = 6) were analyzed by 2-DE. The histopathological characteristics of the various cases are presented in Table 1. Cells were prepared as previously described [11] and subjected to two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (representative gel shown in Fig. 1). Polypeptide patterns were analyzed by PDQUEST™ software [12] and the levels of individual polypeptides expressed as PPM.

3.2. Expression of marker polypeptides

Previous studies have shown that the levels of some polypeptides varies between benign and malignant breast tumors [10] and benign and malignant ovarian
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Differentiation</th>
<th>Gleason score</th>
<th>Age/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UP-01</td>
<td>Prostate carcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately/highly differentiated adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>4+4</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP-06</td>
<td>Prostate carcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately/highly differentiated adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>5+4</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP-15</td>
<td>Prostate carcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately/highly differentiated adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>4+4</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP-18</td>
<td>Prostate carcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately/highly differentiated adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>3+4</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP-34</td>
<td>Prostate carcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately/highly differentiated adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>3+3</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP-35</td>
<td>Prostate carcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately/highly differentiated adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>3+3</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP-10</td>
<td>Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP-26</td>
<td>Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP-27</td>
<td>Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP-28</td>
<td>Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP-29</td>
<td>Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP-37</td>
<td>Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Two-dimensional gel analysis of cells isolated from benign prostate hyperplasia. Some spots with known identity have been encircled.

Table 1
Clinical characteristics of samples

Tumors [1]. The corresponding spots could be identified in the prostate 2-DE maps by gel matching (encircled in Fig. 1; see Section 2). Similar changes in the expression of these polypeptides were observed in prostate tumors (Fig. 2). All malignant tumors showed a greater than two-fold increase in the level of expression of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), calreticulin, HSP90 and the phosphorylated form of HSP60, oncoprotein 18(v), elongation factor 2, glutathione-S-transferase π, superoxide dismutase and triose-phosphate isomerase. In addition, decreases in the levels of tropomyosin-1 and -2 and a decrease of cytokeratin 18 were observed in prostate carcinomas. Despite the low number of cases, these differences were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$ MW). Data are summarized in Table 2.

Proteins showing qualitative and quantitative differences in their level of expression between prostate hy-
Fig. 2. Expression of 10 polypeptides previously identified to differ in expression between benign and malignant human breast and ovarian tumors [1,10]. Shown is the expression of each marker as a fraction of the total loading on the gel (in ppm).
Fig. 2 (Continued).
perplasias and carcinomas were identified. When all the matched spots among individual gels were examined, twenty polypeptides showed more than 2-fold increases between carcinomas and benign prostate hyperplasias (Fig. 3). Due to the limited amount of clinical material available, it was not possible to obtain sequence information from these polypeptides.

Cut-off levels of the expression of 9 polypeptide markers have previously been defined in ovarian cancer, based on the expression of these polypeptides in benign and malignant cells [1]. We used these cut-off levels and examined the pattern of expression of this set of 9 markers in each prostate tumor (Fig. 4, see legend for details). All six carcinomas showed the malig-
Fig. 4. Scores of the expression of 9 different protein markers in individual tumors. Cut-off values for the classification of expression of each marker to be negative or positive have been previously established for ovarian carcinoma \[1\], and the same cut-offs were used here. The number of proteins expressed in each tumor at levels beyond the cut-off level are indicated (9/9 meaning that all proteins were expressed beyond the cut-off level). The 9 proteins were PCNA, TM-1, TM-2, calreticulin, HSP-60, HSP-90, cytokeratin 18, TPI and elongation factor 2.

The abundance of mRNA transcripts can be evaluated by random sequencing of cDNA clones. Data from the NCI Cancer Gene Anatomy Project (CGAP)

nant pattern of expression of 5 or more of the 9 markers. By contrast, only 1 benign tumor showed the malignant pattern of expression of 5 markers.
is available at the web-site http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ncicgap/. In the CGAP data base, cDNA sequence information from 3 libraries constructed from microdissected prostate carcinomas are presented (libs 283, 513, 527; total of 7747 sequences). We compared the abundance of mRNA transcripts in these 3 libraries and the abundance of the corresponding proteins in the six cases of prostate carcinoma. The results are presented in Table 2 and Fig. 5. There was no apparent correlation between the abundance of proteins in the 2-DE patterns and their occurrence in the libraries.

4. Discussion

We have previously established methods which can be used to obtain high quality polypeptide maps from human tumor material and have now examined the pattern of protein expression in prostate tumors. A set of polypeptides found to be differentially expressed in malignant versus benign breast and ovarian tumors were also found to be differentially expressed in malignant prostate tumors compared to prostatic hyperplasia. The expression of the cell cycle regulated PCNA and OP18 proteins, the stress proteins calreticulin, HSP60 and HSP90 were increased in malignant tumors. Similarly, SOD, elongation factor-2 (EF-2) and triose phosphate isomerase were increased. We observed decreases in the levels of tropomyosin-1 and -2 in all carcinomas compared to benign prostatic hyperplasia. Prasad and coworkers [15] previously showed a progressive loss of expression of tropomyosin isoforms and myosin light chain-2 during prostate tumor progression. As summarized in Fig. 2, the levels of all these proteins were found to be similarly affected in malignant breast, ovarian and prostate tumors, suggesting a high degree of homology in the expression of these proteins among different tumor types of epithelial origin.

We previously established cut-off values for a set of 9 polypeptides and were able to classify ovarian tumors into benign/borderline/malignant [1]. We used the same cut-off values for prostate tumors, and found that the pattern of expression of the 9 polypeptides could be used to classify the tumors (Fig. 4). The use of more refined statistical methods such as principal component analysis and the identification of additional marker polypeptides is likely to result in a more precise classification. We are currently working along those lines.

The protein and mRNA abundance levels were quite different for some gene products expressed in prostate carcinomas. There are several possible explanations for this result. It may reflect a true biological situation with large differences in the turn-over of different proteins, leading to high levels of proteins encoded by lowly abundant mRNAs. The number of clones sequenced so far in the Cancer Gene Anatomy Project...
is limited (< 10,000 cDNA clones from prostate carcinomas), leading to uncertainties in the estimation of mRNA frequencies. It is also possible that the frequencies of different clones in the cDNA libraries are, for various reasons, not proportional to the frequencies of the mRNAs. Finally, it is possible that some estimates of protein abundances are incorrect due to comigration of proteins in the same spots. We conclude that protein and cDNA analyses of gene expression may not necessarily give the same results and that both approaches are therefore warranted.

Anderson and Seilhamer [2] reported that the mRNA abundancies for highly expressed liver proteins were much lower than the protein abundance. Furthermore, the cDNAs of weakly expressed proteins were often not found in the libraries. In their analysis, a correlation coefficient of 0.48 was obtained, compared to 0.0079 in this study. The reason for the weaker correlation between protein and mRNA expression in the tumor material analysed here, compared to normal liver, is not clear.

In conclusion, we have developed methods to obtain good quality 2D maps from prostate tumors and identified tumor-related protein expression patterns comprising both known and unknown proteins. These proteins may possibly be used for diagnosis of human prostate tumors.
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