Different proliferation patterns in breast cancer: AgNOR measurements in ER-negative and ER-positive tumor cells
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The relation between estrogen receptors (ER) and argyrophilic nucleolar organizer regions (AgNORs) in situ within human breast cancer cells was analyzed. For AgNOR measurements in 49 invasive breast carcinomas, a new reproducible staining method for dual demonstration of ER and AgNORs was applied. Quantitative AgNOR variables were determined in ER-positive and ER-negative tumor cells by digital image analysis. The relationships between AgNOR parameters of ER-positive and ER-negative cells and other prognostic factors of breast cancer [Bloom–Richardson-Grading and growth fraction (Ki-67 index)] were investigated. A higher AgNOR content in ER-negative cells and a special clustering phenomenon in ER-positive tumor cells were found. Correlation with other criteria of malignant potential could be exclusively demonstrated for ER-negative cells. ER-negative cells of breast cancer can be characterized as the more malignant and possibly prognosis-dictating cell fraction. Thus, ER-negative cells probably contribute more to the progression of the tumor disease and furthermore to the prognosis than ER-positive cells. We recommend measurement AgNORs exclusively in ER-negative cells of breast cancer.
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1. Introduction

For adequate therapy of tumor disease, an accurate establishment of the prognosis is required. In breast cancer, the most precise and routinely used prognostic factors are (a) tumor staging (TNM), (b) grading according to Bloom and Richardson [1,2] and (c) hormone receptor status [3,4]. Considering both clinical and histological criteria, there are no exact predictors of prognosis in breast cancer so far. Beside the search for new prognostic factors, the improvement of the efficiency of existing parameters is focus of tumor research.

Nucleolar organizer regions (NORs) are dot-like substructures of the nucleolus during the interphase located in the fibrillar nucleolar component. NORs are chromosomal segments containing loops of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) [5]. Histological demonstration of NORs is carried out by staining highly argyrophilic NOR-associated proteins (NORAPs) by a silver staining method [6]. Staining modifications by Ploton et al. 1986 [7] were the starting point of widespread investigations of AgNORs in diagnostic tumor pathology. The application of digital image analysis for measuring AgNORs was first introduced in 1989 [8,9] and is now considered to be the method of choice [10]. By use of an image analysis system, nuclei and the AgNORs inside can be segmented, counted and measured. These measurements are characterized as being highly precise and reproducible [11]. Parameters for the histological quantification of AgNORs describe amount, size and the spatial distribution within the nucleus [9]. AgNOR variables are best assigned to proliferation [12]. Additionally, information on tumor differentiation [12] and prognosis [13,14] is given.

Today, the assessment of the estrogen receptor status is carried out routinely. Estrogen receptors are found in 60–80% of all breast cancers. The expression of hormone receptors is a marker of a higher tumor differen-
tiation [15] and also helps to detect breast cancer patients who can benefit from endocrine therapy [4,16]. Prognostic information is also provided [3,4,17,18]. Immunohistochemical approaches like the Estrogen-Receptor-Immuno-Cytochemical-Assay (ER-ICA) visualize receptors directly within the context of the tissue.

AgNOR parameters and ER-status characterize different and partly reciprocal biological features of the tumor. Whereas a higher AgNOR number is frequently found in fast proliferating and low differentiated tumors, a highly positive ER-status is often associated with more high differentiated tumors of low malignancy [19]. Connections between AgNORs and estrogen receptors have so far been discussed only on the case level but never on the cellular level. Looking at AgNORs and estrogen receptors on the case level a statistically inverse correlation between AgNOR amount and ER-positivity could be found [20]. This is no proof of a direct relationship of both markers on the cellular level. It is unknown if ER-negative breast cancer tumor cells contain more or fewer AgNORs than ER-positive cells. This can be investigated only by independently measuring AgNORs in ER-negative and ER-positive tumor cells.

For combined cellular investigation of both markers, a new staining procedure for a dual demonstration of AgNORs and ER in one histological slide is applied [21]. A method for the quantification of AgNORs in immunohistochemically stained nuclei by means of image analysis is established and the AgNOR content in ER-negative and ER-positive breast cancer tumor cells is compared. Furthermore, the relationship of AgNOR parameters and other prognostic indicators [Bloom–Richardson-Grading, rate of mitosis, growth fraction (Ki-67)] was investigated.

2. Material and methods

A set of 49 invasive (43 ductal; 6 lobular) breast carcinomas (G1: n = 14; G2: n = 26; G3: n = 9) were analyzed. All patients (34–84 years; mean: 62 years) underwent surgery at the University Hospital Charité in 1994 and 1995. None of the patients received chemotherapy preoperatively.

The pathological report includes tumor size, nodal status, Bloom–Richardson-Grading and mitotic rate. Immunohistochemical analyses of estrogen receptors (ER-ICA), progesterone receptors (PR-ICA) and growth fraction (Ki-67) were carried out routinely. The cancer tissue was fixed in formalin 10% and embedded in paraffin. Slides of 3 μm thickness were produced.

ER/AgNOR staining procedure was performed according to protocols published in this issue of ACP [21].

The AMBA software is part of the image analysis system AMBA and was developed at the Laboratory for Automated Image Analysis at the Institute of Pathology, University Hospital Charité, Berlin. The AMBA system is especially designed for histometric and karyometric measurements and consists of a personal computer, a frame grabber (Matrox Comet, Matrox Co. Canada), a color monitor (Sony Multi-scan 17sf), a microscope (Jenaval, Carl Zeiss Jena GmbH, Germany) and a video camera (Sony 3CCD-Color Video Camera DXC-930P, Sony Co., Japan).

The program "norcolor" allows segmentation of AgNORs in immunohistochemically stained nuclei and automatically measures several AgNOR variables (Table 1). However, there had been initial problems in the segmentation of the ER-negative tumor cell nuclei. The RGB color image provided only suboptimal conditions for the segmentation of these yellowish structures. Thus, the three components of the RGB-image were tested for segmentation conditions. The green image demonstrated good segmentation conditions for both ER-negative and ER-positive nuclei. AgNOR segmentation was carried out within the red image. The observer is presented with the RGB-image during the whole measuring procedure.

The classification of tumor cells (ER-positive/ER-negative) was carried out in the RGB color image by the eye of the observer. As ER-positive cells were considered all tumor cell nuclei showing a red color, no matter if the staining was strong or weak. All other tumor cell nuclei were classified ER-negative. 100 tumor cell nuclei of ER-negative and ER-positive cells were measured, respectively.

Statistical analysis was performed using NCSS 5.1 statistics package (copyright 1991 by Dr. Jerry L.
3. Results

3.1. AgNOR content in ER-negative and ER-positive tumor cells

Based on the measurements of AgNORs in ER-negative and ER-positive nuclei of 49 breast cancers a number of significant differences between ER-negative and ER-positive cells in terms of their AgNOR content was found. Basically, ER-negative cells contain a higher number of AgNORs (2.95 ± 0.62) than ER-positive cells (1.49 ± 0.26; p < 0.0001) (Fig. 1). The majority of ER-positive cells contains only one AgNOR or AgNOR cluster (Fig. 2). ER-negative cells mostly contain two, three or four AgNORs.

Total AgNOR area per nucleus (NORAREA) is larger in ER-positive cells (Table 2). At first, this is contradictory to the findings that ER-positive cells contain a lower AgNOR count compared to ER-negative cells. There are both biological and methodological reasons for this. In terms of the area of the biggest AgNOR/AgNOR-cluster (MAXNOR), ER-positive cells clearly have higher values than ER-negative cells. It has to be pointed out that especially in ER-positive cells no single AgNOR dots rather only AgNOR clusters were measured. Single AgNOR dots (MEANAR) in ER-negative cells are smaller than in ER-positive cells.

The correlation of the total AgNOR area per nucleus (NORAREA) and the AgNOR number per nucleus (NORNB) plotted for ER-negative and ER-positive cells (Fig. 3) shows that both cell populations can be clearly distinguished by measuring only these two AgNOR variables.

3.2. Relationship between AgNOR content and ER status of the tumor

A weak inverse correlation was recorded between the AgNOR number per nucleus (NORNB) of the whole tumor and the results of the Estrogen-Receptor-Immu-Cytochemical-Assay (ER-ICA) \( r = -0.289 \) (Fig. 4). Looking at ER-negative and ER-positive cells separately, in ER-negative cells the negative correlation between AgNOR number and ER-positivity of the whole tumor is stronger \( r = -0.653 \). AgNOR number of ER-positive cells shows no correlation to the ER-ICA \( r = -0.008 \).

3.3. Relation between AgNORs and other criteria of malignant potential

The coherence of certain AgNOR variables in a correlation plot (separately viewed for the whole tumor, ER-negative cells and ER-positive cells) with other criteria of malignant potential, such as Bloom–Richardson-Grading \((3, \ldots, 9)\) (Fig. 5), nuclear grading \((1, 2, 3)\), mitotic rate (number/10 image sections) and growth fraction (Ki-67) [%] (Table 3) was investigated. Noticeable correlation was exclusively found in the category of ER-negative cells.
Table 2
Parameters describing AgNOR area: mean (M), standard deviation (SD) and level of significance p (t-test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ER-neg. cells</th>
<th>ER-pos. cells</th>
<th>p (t-test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean and standard deviation (M ± SD)</td>
<td>Mean and standard deviation (M ± SD)</td>
<td>Mean and standard deviation (M ± SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORAREA, total AgNOR area per nucleus [μm²]</td>
<td>3.69 ± 1.11</td>
<td>4.80 ± 1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXNOR, area of the largest AgNOR/AgNOR-cluster per nucleus [μm²]</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.43</td>
<td>3.48 ± 1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEANAREA, area of the single AgNOR-dot [μm²]</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.32</td>
<td>3.22 ± 1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Correlation between total AgNOR area per nucleus (NORAREA) and AgNOR number per nucleus (NORNB) in ER-positive (ER-pos) and ER-negative (ER-neg) cells.

4. Discussion

Since the introduction of the improved one step staining technique by Ploton et al. 1986 [7], AgNORs have become increasingly interesting as prognostic indicators. Many studies on AgNOR parameters in different organ tumors verify their importance [22]. First of all, AgNOR variables characterise the proliferation behaviour of malignant tumors [12]. Derenzini et al. [13,14] demonstrated a strong direct correlation between AgNOR content and proliferation rates in many organ tumors. Moreover, AgNOR parameters provide information on cell differentiation [23]. Although AgNOR number and total AgNOR area are those parameters correlating with the proliferation rate [14], the direct proof of the prognostic predictivity is still pending.

Dual staining of ER and AgNORs leads to a reproducible and specific staining result, since there are no mutual influences of both individual staining methods [21]. It was concluded that the measurement of AgNORs in immunohistochemically marked nuclei is methodologically justified.

4.1. AgNOR content in ER-negative and ER-positive tumor cells

ER-negative breast cancer cells contain more AgNORs than ER-positive cells. Low grade differentiated cells show statistically higher AgNOR numbers. Taking into account the correlation between AgNOR number and tumor proliferation rates [13,14] the ER-negative tumor cells are characterised as the faster proliferating cell population. Conversely, the lower AgNOR numbers in ER-positive cells correspond to a lower tendency of cell division.

The differences in AgNOR area of ER-negative and ER-positive cells are an expression of a more complex context which can be only insufficiently characterised by the measured area variables. How can ER-negative cells contain more AgNORs while having a smaller AgNOR area? A detailed view provides an interpretation model: (a) according to the MAXNOR measurements, more AgNOR clusters can be found in ER-positive cells. AgNOR clustering is basically connected with overestimation of the true area because of staining and measuring reasons. (b) The area of a single AgNOR dot (MEANAREA) in ER-negative cells is lower than in ER-positive cells. Reeves et al. 1984 [23] showed that low differentiated cells have single AgNORs of a smaller area compared to cells with a higher differentiation. This is further proof of a higher malignancy of ER-negative cells.

A special clustering phenomenon in ER-positive tumor cells can be described. A strong clustering of AgNORs exclusively in highly differentiated cells of
urothelium was observed by Rüschoff et al. in 1994 [26]. It is widely accepted that ER-positive cells are better differentiated than ER-negative cells. In our investigations, a marked AgNOR clustering was only found in ER-positive cells. With an increasing ER-positivity of the whole tumor, the AgNOR cluster area becomes larger (Fig. 6). Thus, the clustering of AgNOR dots is considered to be a marker of a higher cell differentiation.

4.2. Relation between AgNOR content and ER status of the tumor

Looking at the relation between AgNOR parameters and ER-positivity of the tumors, it became necessary to differentiate between ER-negative and ER-positive cells (Fig. 4), because only a weak correlation had been reported. The higher the ER-positivity of the tumor, the less AgNORs can be found in ER-negative cells. It is concluded that a higher differentiation of the whole tumor also influences the proliferative activity of the more malignant ER-negative cells. There is no correlation between AgNOR number of ER-positive cells and ER-ICA. The higher the amount of the ER-positive tumor cells, the lower is the tendency for proliferation of the whole tumor. With increasing ER positivity, the proliferation of the ER-negative cells is restricted.

4.3. Relation between AgNORs and other criteria of malignant potential

AgNOR parameters (separately viewed for the whole tumor, ER-negative cells and ER-positive cells) and Bloom–Richardson-Grading, nuclear grading, mitotic rate and growth fraction (Ki-67) (Table 3) were compared. Surprisingly, correlation with all of the histopathological markers could be found exclusively in ER-negative cells. Increasing values of AgNOR number and total AgNOR area per nucleus in ER-negative cells with increasing malignancy of the tumor were
Correlation between AgNOR parameters (separately viewed for whole tumor; ER-neg cells and ER-pos cells) and other criteria of malignancy grade: Bloom–Richardson-Grading, nuclear grading, mitotic rate and growth fraction (Ki-67)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spearman’s ρ</th>
<th>Pearson’s r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NORNB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole tumor</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean AgNOR-number</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per nucleus</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nuclear grading</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitotic rate</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth fraction (Ki-67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3

found. Based on these findings, AgNOR number and AgNOR area of ER-negative cells can be considered as additional parameters of malignancy grade in breast cancer. The fact that the AgNOR content of ER-positive tumor cells is not influenced by the level of tumor malignancy underlines the inferior role of this cell population with regard to tumor progression.

4.4. Relation between ER status and growth fraction of the tumor

The relations between ER-status and growth fraction of the tumor can still only be described indirectly. A significant correlation between AgNOR number or AgNOR area and Ki-67 assay was described by Dervan et al. 1989 [29] and Dernzini et al. 1990 [14]. A similar correlation for the Ki-67 assay was found in this study, but only for the ER-negative cell group. An identity of growth fraction and ER-negative cell group is not very likely. The growth fraction of the tumor cells, however, could be part of the quantity of the ER-negative cells (or vice versa). This requires further investigation.

4.5. Comparison of ER-positive and ER-negative tumor cells

AgNORs in ER-positive cells show a special distribution pattern. But this does not provide useful information: (a) Nuclei of this cell fraction contain fewer AgNORs compared to ER-negative cells. This is a sign of a lower proliferation activity. (b) ER-positive cells are carriers of special markers of differentiation such as estrogen receptors and AgNOR clustering. (c) There is no correlation between AgNOR variables and other criteria of malignancy grade.

AgNORs in ER-negative cells of human breast cancer have special characteristics. In relation to other features these cells can be characterised as the more malignant and possibly prognosis-dictating cell fraction:
(a) The ER-negative cell fraction has a higher tendency to proliferate compared to the ER-positive cells due to higher AgNOR numbers. (b) Correlation between AgNOR parameters of ER-negative cells and other criteria of malignancy such as Bloom–Richardson-Grading and growth fraction (Ki-67) support these findings. (c) In ER-negative cells special markers of differentiation such as ER and AgNOR clustering are missing. (d) There is a possible relationship between ER-negative cells and the growth fraction (Ki-67). Thus, ER-negative cells probably contribute more to the progression of the tumor disease and furthermore to the prognosis than ER-positive cells.

Proof of the prognostic relevance could not be derived from this material. This requires further investigation. It seems to be useful to isolate a cell population, which dictates the prognosis of the tumor disease. Thus, it is suggested that AgNORs be measured exclusively in ER-negative cells of breast cancer.
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