Expression of the cell adhesion molecule CD146/MCAM in non-small cell lung cancer
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Abstract. Expression of MCAM is observed in a variety of human malignancies. We aimed to determine the rate of MCAM expression in our non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) collection and to clarify its correlation with clinicopathological parameters and patient survival. 85 NSCLC were analysed immunohistochemically using a monoclonal MCAM antibody (clone N1238) on an NSCLC tissue micro array. The staining was semiquantitatively scored. We found MCAM expression in 51% of NSCLC, preferentially squamous cell carcinomas \( (p = 0.004) \). No other correlations to tumour size, grade, or stage were found. Univariate survival analysis showed no significant differences of MCAM positive and negative tumours. In adenocarcinomas however, MCAM positivity was significantly associated with shorter patient survival \( (p = 0.016) \). We conclude, that MCAM is expressed in a high proportion of NSCLC and might be predictive of shortened patient survival in adenocarcinomas of the lung.
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1. Introduction

Lung cancer is a major cause of death from neoplastic malignancy in the western world with 169,400 new cases and 154,900 expected deaths in the United States for 2002 alone [4]. The molecular biology of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), the largest lung cancer subgroup, is under intense international investigation in order to characterise new molecular marker genes which might be helpful in diagnosis or therapy. Although cell adhesion molecules are a focus of interest in malignancies, however, little is known about CD146 (Melanoma Cell Adhesion Molecule/MCAM, Mel-CAM, MUC18) in NSCLC. MCAM is a cell adhesion molecule of the immunoglobulin (Ig) superfamily sharing considerable sequence homology with CD166/ALCAM [2,3]. Physiological CD146 expression is described, e.g., in endothelial, thymic epithelial cells and dermal keratinocytes but also in a variety of malignancies including melanoma cell lines and malignant melanoma, sarcomas, placental site trophoblastic tumours, choriocarcinomas, breast and prostate cancer. The CD146 ligand is yet unidentified and the biological role of CD146 in malignancy is largely unclear: In breast cancer CD146 was found to function as a tumour suppressor gene whereas in malignant melanoma and prostate cancer CD146 expression appeared to correlate with disease progression [1,5,6,8–15,18–20].

We aimed to evaluate CD146 protein expression in our set of NSCLC patients and to investigate the association of expression determined by immunohistochemistry with clinicopathological parameters, disease stage according to UICC and patient survival.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Tumour samples

Our collective consisted of 85 patients between age 34 and 80 years (mean 62) who underwent thoracotomy for resection of NSCLC in the Department of Surgery of Charité University Hospital from 1995–1997 and of whom clinical follow-up data was available. No adjuvant radiotherapy or chemotherapy was
applied before surgery. Table 1 summarises the clinicopathological characteristics according to TNM criteria of the UICC [16]. The histopathological diagnosis was established in every case according to the WHO guidelines [17].

2.2. Tissue array generation

A multiple tissue array was constructed as previously described [7]. Briefly, suitable areas for tissue retrieval were marked on standard hematoxylin–eosin (HE) sections, punched out of the paraffin block and inserted into a recipient block using the tissue arayer from Beecher Instruments (Woodland, USA). The punch diameter was 0.6 mm. The lung tumour array consisted of 127 tissue samples, 89 tumours and 38 normal tissues (either lung parenchyma or peritumoural tissue). To assure homogeneous distribution of CD146, conventional paraffin sections were cut from additional 16 cases.

2.3. Immunohistochemistry

The tissue array block was freshly cut (4 µm), sections were mounted on superfrost slides (Menzel-Gläser, Braunschweig, Germany) and dewaxed with xylene and gradually hydrated. Antigen retrieval was achieved by pressure cooking in 0.01 M citrate buffer for 5 minutes. The MCAM-antibody (clone N1238, Novocastra, UK) was diluted 1:25 using a background reducing dilution buffer (DAKO, Hamburg, Germany). No other blocking agents were employed. The primary antibody was incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Detection took place by the conventional labelled-streptavidin–biotin method (LSAB-kit, DAKO, Hamburg, Germany) with alkaline phosphatase as the reporting enzyme according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Fast-Red (Sigma-chemicals) served as chromogen, afterwards the slides were briefly counterstained with hematoxylin and aqueously mounted.

The staining was independently examined by two clinical pathologists (GK, YY) and was semiquantitatively scored as absent, weak and strong (0, 1+, 2+) for each case.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Fishers exact test which is especially suited for small sets of data was used to determine the strength of association between the investigated parameters. To compare the staining intensities with clinicopathological parameters, 2 × 2 contingency tables (e.g., no MCAM staining vs. weak or strong MCAM staining and G1&G2 vs. G3) were set up and the resultant p-value was calculated. Cumulative survival curves were calculated according to the Kaplan–Meier method, differences in survival time were assessed with the log-rank test. P-values < 0.05 were considered significant. All calculations were performed using the statistical software package SPSS, version 10.
3. Results


3.1. Immunohistochemistry

Normal lung parenchyma showed a strong staining pattern of capillaries and smooth muscles, whereas pneumocytes were negative. The basal cell layer of bronchial epithelium was positive, mature secretory epithelium was negative. In tumour tissue, MCAM immunoreactivity was observed with a cytoplasmic and membraneous staining pattern. The 16 conventional whole mount sections showed a homogeneous staining of the tumours which is a necessary condition to obtain reliable data from small tissue array punches (data not shown).

During the staining process of the tissue micro array 4 cases were lost. 42 cases (49%) exhibited no MCAM staining (Fig. 1A). Twenty-nine cases (34%) showed a weak staining intensity, and 14 cases (17%) had a strong staining signal as shown in Fig. 1B&C. Altogether, 51% of tumours showed an expression of MCAM in this study (Table 1).

3.2. Statistical analysis

MCAM expression was significantly higher in squamous cell carcinomas (Table 1, \( p = 0.004 \)). No correlation was found with tumour grading (\( p = 0.280 \)), tumour size (\( p = 0.407 \)), nodal status (\( p = 0.509 \)) or disease stage according to UICC (\( p = 0.663 \)).

For survival analysis we compared Kaplan–Meier curves resulting from MCAM positive and negative tumours. For all tumours, we found no statistically significant differences of survival times: patients of MCAM negative tumours had a median survival time of 38 months (mean survival time 35 months), opposed to a median of 24 months (mean survival time 28 months) for MCAM positive (1+, 2+) tumours (\( p = 0.486 \), log rank test).

We repeated this analysis comparing tumours with high MCAM expression (2+) to no or low MCAM expression. Again, the differences of median survival times were insignificant, with 32 (mean 35) months for tumours with high MCAM expression and 20 (mean 20) months for the countergroup (\( p = 0.185 \)).

Finally, we stratified the data according to histology, grading and nodal status: high MCAM expression was associated with shorter survival times in adenocarcinomas (Fig. 2, \( p = 0.016 \)), in tumours with good or moderate grading (\( p = 0.007 \)) and as a trend in nodal negative tumours (\( p = 0.104 \)).
4. Discussion

MCAM/CD146 is a 113 kDa cell adhesion molecule of the immunoglobulin superfamily containing the characteristic V-V-C2-C2-C2 immunoglobulin-like subgroup structure in the extracellular domain. It is involved in heterotypic cell–cell interaction by binding to a ligand, which is yet unidentified. Upon activation, MCAM phosphorylates several cytoplasmic proteins, as p125FAK and it also associates with p59fyn and paxillin. Like many other cell surface proteins, MCAM is highly glycosylated, with apparently cell type specific carbohydrate moiety patterns. In addition to cell adhesion, MCAM appears to participate in cell signaling and possibly in the remodeling of the cytoskeleton and thus cell shape. Additionally, it might play a role in cell migration and proliferation [14,15].

In this study we describe the expression of MCAM in the largest tumour collection of NSCLC published so far. Shih et al. examined MCAM in 18 NSCLC using a monoclonal MCAM antibody (clone MN-4). They found an expression rate of 30% (3/10) of squamous cell carcinomas and no MCAM expression in 10 adenocarcinomas. Due to their small tumour sample, no clinicopathological correlations were feasible. We confirm, that MCAM expression is significantly more frequent in squamous cell carcinomas (35% of SCC). Additionally, we found MCAM expression in 14% of adenocarcinomas, which is a new finding. Due to their small tumour sample, no clinicopathological correlations were feasible.

In summary, we found that MCAM is expressed in 51% of NSCLC, mostly squamous cell carcinomas, but appears to be prognostic of shortened patient survival in adenocarcinomas of the lung. For the other tumour entities investigated for MCAM expression so far, sufficient survival analyses were not conducted. Unfortunately, our number of adenocarcinomas (n = 40) included in this study does not allow for a multivariate analysis. The prognostic impact of MCAM expression in adenocarcinomas hints at a possible role of MCAM in tumour progression or dissemination of adenocarcinomas of the lung.

MCAM expression or upregulation has been implicated in tumour progression in trophoblastic, vascular and smooth muscular neoplasms, in malignant melanoma, and prostate cancer [6,11,19,20]. In contrast, MCAM was found downregulated in breast cancer, which could indicate different MCAM functions in different tumour entities [13]. To our knowledge, our study is the first to indicate, that MCAM expression might also have prognostic value in certain tumours, as shown for adenocarcinomas of the lung. For the other tumour entities investigated for MCAM expression so far, sufficient survival analyses were not conducted.

In summary, we found that MCAM is expressed in 51% of NSCLC, mostly squamous cell carcinomas, but appears to be prognostic of shortened patient survival in adenocarcinomas of the lung. For the other tumour entities investigated for MCAM expression so far, sufficient survival analyses were not conducted. Unfortunately, our number of adenocarcinomas (n = 40) included in this study does not allow for a multivariate analysis. The prognostic impact of MCAM expression in adenocarcinomas hints at a possible role of MCAM in tumour progression or dissemination of adenocarcinomas of the lung.

In summary, we found that MCAM is expressed in 51% of NSCLC, mostly squamous cell carcinomas, but appears to be prognostic of shortened patient survival in adenocarcinomas of the lung. For the other tumour entities investigated for MCAM expression so far, sufficient survival analyses were not conducted. Unfortunately, our number of adenocarcinomas (n = 40) included in this study does not allow for a multivariate analysis. The prognostic impact of MCAM expression in adenocarcinomas hints at a possible role of MCAM in tumour progression or dissemination of adenocarcinomas of the lung.
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