Potential biomarkers of colorectal adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma progression: mRNA expression profiling and in situ protein detection on TMAs reveal 15 sequentially upregulated and 2 downregulated genes

Orsolya Galamb a,b,∗, Ferenc Sipos a,∗, Sándor Spisák a, Barnabás Galamb a, Tibor Krenács c, Gábor Valcz a, Zsolt Tulassay a,b and Béla Molnár a,b

a 2nd Department of Medicine, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary
b Molecular Medicine Research Unit, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary
c 1st Department of Pathology and Experimental Cancer Research, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary

Abstract. Background: As most colorectal cancers (CRC) develop from villous adenomas, studying alterations in gene expression profiles across the colorectal adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence may yield potential biomarkers of disease progression.

Methods: Total RNA was extracted, amplified, and biotinylated from colonic biopsies of 15 patients with CRC, 15 with villous adenoma and 8 normal controls. Gene expression profiles were evaluated using HGU133Plus2.0 microarrays and disease progression associated data were validated with RT-PCR. The potential biomarkers were also tested at the protein level using tissue microarray samples of 103 independent and 16 overlapping patients.

Results: 17 genes were validated to show sequentially altered expression at mRNA level through the normal–adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma progression. Prostaglandin-D2 receptor (PTGDR) and amnionless homolog (AMN) genes revealed gradually decreasing expression while the rest of 15 genes including osteonectin, osteopontin, collagen IV–alpha 1, biglycan, matrix GLA protein, and von Willebrand factor demonstrated progressively increasing expression. Similar trends of expression were confirmed at protein level for PTGDR, AMN, osteopontin and osteonectin.

Conclusions: Downregulated AMN and PTGDR and upregulated osteopontin and osteonectin were found as potential biomarkers of colorectal carcinogenesis and disease progression to be utilized for prospective biopsy screening both at mRNA and protein levels. Gene alterations identified here may also add to our understanding of CRC progression.
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1. Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most frequent cancers in the world with a very high mortality rate even after surgical resection, radio- and chemotherapy [5]. It seems evident that CRC frequently follows and develops from adenomatous polyps [22]. Analyzing alterations of gene expression of the colorectal adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence may help understanding the molecular background of disease progression and reveal biomarkers for prospective diagnostic screening [13].

The colorectal adenoma–carcinoma sequence is featured by the gradual development of in situ carcinoma from adenoma through dysplasia with accumulating mutations and associated changes in the expression of genes regulating the Wnt/Wingless, K-ras, TGF-beta, and p53 pathways [4,9,17,22,41]. Microarray-
based molecular analysis of malignancy in colon adenoma and CRC samples were described using 36 [27], 32 [35,40], 10 [1,20], 9 [23,24], 4 [30], 2 [21] and 1 [28] adenoma samples compared to adenocarcinoma and normal colonic tissues. Consistent with the observation that APC mutation is an early event in colon carcinogenesis, MYC and claudin 1 transcripts displayed an increased expression in adenomas [20]. In general, expression of Wnt target genes was found elevated in adenomatous samples compared to normal tissue [35]. The genes markedly upregulated in adenomas compared to normal tissues were generally associated to pathways of mitosis, DNA replication and spindle organization. Downregulated genes were predominantly involved in host immune defense, inorganic anion transport, organ development, and inflammatory response [35]. Nosho et al. identified gene expression alterations involved in the adenoma–carcinoma sequence including the upregulation of insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2), IGF1, Ki-67 and the downregulation of p21, heat shock protein 90, and caspase-7 genes [28, 29]. According to cDNA microarray and immunohistochemical analyses of Mori et al. increased JAK3 kinase, matrix metalloproteinase 13, heat shock protein 60 and MDM2 mRNA and protein expression correlates with the progression of CRC [26]. Several abnormalities (such as upregulated MGSA, BIGH3, matrixlysin and downregulated guanylin, hevin) present in the carcinomas were already detectable in adenomas suggesting that these genes and their transcripts may play a role at a relatively early stage of colorectal carcinogenesis [20,30]. A number of genes discriminating carcinoma from adenoma were either relevant to hypoxia [24], or involved in apoptosis regulation and tumor suppression [21].

Genome-wide mRNA expression profiling studies using microarrays may have the potential to reveal the molecular background and support the diagnostic, prognostic and treatment decisions in human disorders including cancers. In the gastrointestinal tract, biopsy samples are routinely taken during the endoscopic examination with minimal intervention [11,12,14,35,40]. These are suitable for mRNA expression analysis for identifying potential biomarkers of early signs of malignant transformation and progression. Gene expression profiles of the whole colorectal normal–adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence using biopsy samples and whole genomic microarrays have not been analyzed yet.

The aim of this study was to identify differentially expressed genes associated with colorectal cancer development and progression.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Patients and samples

After obtaining informed consent of untreated patients – who received neither chemo- nor radiotherapy at the time of sample collection – colon biopsy samples were taken during endoscopic intervention at the 2nd Department of Internal Medicine, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary. Altogether 327 tissue samples of 141 patients were analyzed in this study. Some of the datasets of the 38 patients’ samples including 15 tubulovillous/villous adenomas, 15 CRC, and 8 healthy controls, hybridized to Affymetrix microarrays, were used and published in an earlier study investigating different aspects of colorectal disease and cancer [11] and are available in the Gene Expression Omnibus database (series accession number: GSE4183). Furthermore, formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue samples of 103 independent and 16 overlapping patients were also analyzed using tissue microarrays. The diagnostic groups and the number of patients are summarized in Table 1. Detailed patient specification is described in Supplementary Table 1.

Table 1
Number of patients per disease group participating in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Original set (n = 38)</th>
<th>Independent set (n = 103)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma with low-grade dysplasia</td>
<td>Affymetrix microarray</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Taqman RT-PCR</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tissue microarray</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-grade dysplastic adenoma</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC Dukes B</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC Dukes C–D</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total patient numbers</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.1. mRNA expression microarray analysis

Total RNA was extracted using RNeasy Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen Inc., Germantown, US). DNase I digestion was performed in order to remove the genomic DNA. Quantity and quality of the isolated RNA were tested by measuring the absorbance, agarose gel electrophoresis or/and capillary gel electrophoresis using 2100 Bioanalyzer and RNA 6000 Pico Kit (Agilent Inc., Santa Clara, US). Biotinylated cRNA probes were synthesized from 5–8 µg total RNA and fragmented according to the Affymetrix manual guidelines (https://www.affymetrix.com/support/downloads/manuals/express-sion_s2_manual.pdf), using cDNA synthesis reagents, Sample Cleanup Module and Enzo BioArray High-Yield RNA Transcript Labelling Kit. Total RNA was extracted using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc., Santa Clara, US), DNase I digestion was performed in order to remove the genomic DNA. Quantiﬁcation controls (1.5 pM BioB, 5 pM BioC, 25 pM BioD and 100 pM Cre), and 50 pM B2 oligonucleotide orientation controls. The slides were washed and stained using Fluidics Station 450 and antibody ampliﬁcation staining (using EuKGE_Ws_2v4 ﬂuidic protocol and 10 µg/ml streptavidin–phycoerythrin; Invitrogen/Molecular Probes, Carlsbad, US) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Affymetrix). The ﬂuorescent signals were detected by a GeneChip Scanner 3000 (Affymetrix).

2.1.2. Statistical evaluation of mRNA expression profiles

Pre-processing and quality control. Quality control analyses were performed according to the suggestions of the Tumor Analysis Best Practices Working Group [39]. Scanned images were inspected for artifacts, percentage of present calls (> 25%) and control of the RNA degradation were evaluated. Based on the evaluation criteria all biopsy measurements fulﬁlled the minimal quality requirements. RNA background correction, quantile normalization and median polish summarization were applied. The datasets for further analysis are available in the Gene Expression Omnibus databank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), series accession number: GSE4183.

Determination of genes involved in the adenoma-dysplasia–carcinoma sequence. Kendall’s rank correlation analysis was performed for quantification of association between the expression level and the disease stages in case of both microarray and Taqman quantitative RT-PCR data. The correlation of the two variables was described by Kendall’s τ, its value is between −1 and 1. Higher proximity of the τ value to the terminal values indicates stronger correlation between the two variables. The p-value of τ under the null hypothesis of no association is computed by in the case of no ties using an exact algorithm described by Best and Gipps [2]. The R-environment was used for statistical analysis.

2.1.3. Taqman quantitative RT-PCR

TaqMan quantitative real-time PCR was used to measure the expression of increasing or decreasing expression tendency showing genes using an Applied Biosystems Micro Fluidic Card System. Using Taqman Reverse Transcription Kit, 400 ng/sample total RNA was reverse transcribed (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, US). The quality of the cDNA samples was checked by CK20/PBGD real-time PCR. The expression analysis of the selected genes was performed from 100 ng/sample cDNA template, using Taqman Low-Density Array for Gene Expression; Format 96a and Taqman Universal PCR Master Mix. The measures were performed using an ABI PRISM® 7900HT Sequence Detection System as described in the products User Guide (http://www.appliedbiosystems.com). For data analysis the SDS 2.2 software was used. The extracted delta Ct values (which represent the expression normalized to the ribosomal 18S expression) were clustered according to the histological groups. Then the Student’s t-test was performed to compare the expression values between groups.

2.1.4. Tissue microarray analysis

Cores of 1 mm diameter were collected from selected areas of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue blocks prepared from 37 colorectal adenoma, 44 dysplastic adenoma, 89 early CRC (stage Dukes B), 44 dysplastic adenoma, 89 early CRC (stage Dukes B),
57 advanced CRC (stage Dukes C and D) and 53 normal colon samples of 119 patients and inserted into 4 recipient blocks taking 70 samples each. 5 µm thick tissue sections were cut from the blocks and immunostained. Protein products of the 17 significantly up- and downregulated genes (see later) were tested using commercially available antibodies. Four of them, which could be set up to work reliably in formalin–paraffin sections following antigen retrieval, were chosen for systematic screening of normal–adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence on TMA. Slides were stained using rabbit anti-human antibodies for amnionless homolog (1:200 dilution, Atlas Antibodies, Stockholm, Sweden, code: HPA000817), prostaglandin D2 receptor (1:500 dilution, Chemicon, Temecula, US, code AB9255), osteopontin (1:2000 dilution, Chemicon, Temecula, US) and osteonectin antibody (1:1000 dilution, Chemicon, code AB1858) for 1 h at room temperature. Antibodies were detected by using the EnVision+ system (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) followed by DAB-hydrogen peroxidase chromogen-substrate kit (Dako). Immunostained TMA slides were digitalized using high-resolution MIRAX DESK instrument (Zeiss, Gottingen, Germany), and analyzed with the MIRAX TMA Module software (Zeiss). Protein expression was evaluated using an empirical scale considering intensity and proportion of positive cytoplasmatic staining of epithelial/carcinoma cells. Scores were given for PTGDR: −2 for no staining; 0 for weak, 1 for moderate, 2 for strong diffuse immunostaining; and for AMN, osteopontin and osteonectin: −2 for no or weak staining, 0 for moderate apical cytoplasmatic, 1 for strong apical and weak basal cytoplasmatic, 2 for strong diffuse cytoplasmatic staining; Pearson’s Chi-test and Fischer exact test were done for revealing if the staining difference in progression groups was significant (p < 0.05). Also, contingency tables and association plots were constructed from the two categorical variables (group and score) [25].

3. Results

3.1. mRNA expression microarray screening

Relative expression values were determined for each gene using the preprocessed microarray data in each disease group (normal, low-grade dysplastic adenoma, high-grade dysplastic adenoma, early stage CRC, advanced stage CRC). The association between gene expression level and disease group was demonstrated with the Kendall’s rank correlation analysis using 0.4 as a cut-off value (when absolute value of tau was 0.4 or higher). Along the transition of colorectal adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence, the gradual downregulation of 382 genes (p < 0.002) and progressive overexpression of 918 genes (p < 0.002) were detected. The list of these genes with complete annotation, tau and p-values are shown in Suppl. Table 2 (http://www.gub.ac.uk/isco/JCO).

Several sequential marker genes were represented on the microarray by more than one probe set including some of the progressively differentially expressed genes with different tau and p values (such as MCAM, collagen type IV–alpha 1, biglycan, interleukin 8, TIMP3, calumenin, SERPINE1). 3.2. Taqman quantitative RT-PCR validation of differentially expressed genes

TaqMan quantitative real-time RT-PCR was performed to measure the expression changes of a selected set of 20 genes (2 continuously downregulated and 18 continuously overexpressed genes). Selection criteria for the genes were the significant progressive under- or overexpression in oligonucleotide microarray analysis in association with the normal–adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence and the availability of validated TaqMan probes. Although the tau value of VEGF was found to be lower than 0.4, it was also selected, because of its previously reported role as a CRC related gene. The complete results of the TaqMan measurements are presented in Suppl. Table 3 (http://www.gub.ac.uk/isco/JCO). The mRNA expression of 17 of the 20 selected genes showed a significant association with the disease stage in both systems, based on the 0.4 or higher absolute value of the tau (p < 0.01). The amnionless homolog and prostaglandin D2 receptor genes showed significantly decreasing expression tendency (p < 0.05) (Table 2,) in association with the normal–adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence progression (Fig. 1).

Fifteen genes were identified showing significant and progressively increasing gene expression along with the adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence progression in both mRNS expression analyses (p < 0.05) (Table 2). The development and progression of colorectal cancer were characterized by the elevated expression of genes mainly involved in cell proliferation (vascular endothelial growth factor /VEGF/, CXCL1 chemokine ligand, tissue inhibitor of metal-
Genes showing a continuous progressively increasing or decreasing expression tendency along with the adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence progression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Probe set ID</th>
<th>mRNA expression in normal–adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma</th>
<th>Taqman tau</th>
<th>Taqman p-value</th>
<th>Affymetrix tau</th>
<th>Affymetrix p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTGDR</td>
<td>Prostaglandin D2 receptor</td>
<td>215894_at</td>
<td>DOWN</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−0.423</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMN</td>
<td>Amnionless homolog (mouse)</td>
<td>223587_s_at</td>
<td>DOWN</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>−0.42</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCAM</td>
<td>Melanoma cell adhesion molecule</td>
<td>210869_s_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.604</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL4A1</td>
<td>Collagen IV–alpha 1</td>
<td>211980_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.604</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGN</td>
<td>Biglycan</td>
<td>213905_s_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.586</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL8</td>
<td>Interleukin 8</td>
<td>202859_x_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.505</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGP</td>
<td>Matrix Gla protein</td>
<td>202291_s_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.499</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THBS2</td>
<td>Thrombospondin 2</td>
<td>203083_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.493</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VWF</td>
<td>Von Willebrand factor</td>
<td>202112_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.481</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1</td>
<td>Osteopontin</td>
<td>209875_s_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.47</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>Vascular endothelial growth factor</td>
<td>210512_s_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.47</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMP3</td>
<td>Tissue metalloproteinase inhibitor 3</td>
<td>201150_s_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.464</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPARC</td>
<td>Osteonectin</td>
<td>200665_s_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL1</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1</td>
<td>204470_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.429</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALU</td>
<td>Calumenin</td>
<td>214845_s_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.411</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMP1</td>
<td>Tissue metalloproteinase inhibitor 1</td>
<td>201666_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.4</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL1RN</td>
<td>Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist</td>
<td>212657_s_at</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>−0.4</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Protein expression and localization of selected markers in tissue microarray

Downregulated amnionless homolog and prostaglandin D2 receptor proteins were tested with immunohistochemistry in a TMA series of archived tissues. Strong diffuse epithelial cytoplasmatic PTGDR and AMN immunostaining was found in the epithelial cells in healthy colon tissue. In adenomas, the number of AMN and PTGDR positive cells gradually decreased near the luminal surface. During disease progression the intensity of immunostaining was further reduced in dysplastic epithelium followed by the appearance of only weak apical cytoplasmatic epithelial immunostaining in CRC samples (Fig. 2A–F). Image quantification made on digital slides also confirmed the gradually reduced expression of these antigens along the disease progression (Fig. 3).

Moderate cytoplasmatic osteopontin and osteonectin staining was found in TMA samples at the apical cytoplasm of the epithelial cells in healthy colon tissue. In adenomas, the number of osteopontin and osteonectin positive cells gradually increased near the luminal surface accompanied with mild-moderate basal cytoplasmatic staining in some of them. The intensity of antigen expression was elevated further during disease progression in CRC samples (Fig. 2G–L).

4. Discussion

It is thought that colorectal cancer usually develops from villous adenomas, which transition is associated with obvious phenotypic changes due to altered gene and concomitant protein expression [9,17,20,22,26,28,29,35]. Revealing these molecular changes, they may be exploited for clinical applications, e.g. for predicting potential disease progression in prospective biomarker testing. In this study, we analyzed the gene expression profile of the adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence using 38 colorectal biopsy specimen hybridized on whole genomic HGU133 Plus 2.0 microarray system to identify disease associated progression biomarkers that show continuous quantitative alter-
Fig. 1. Amnionless homolog and prostaglandin D2 receptor mRNA expression sequentially decreases during the normal–adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma transition.

In CRC development, we found a series of potential progression markers at the mRNA level, 17 of which altered significantly and gradually through the normal–adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence. PTGDR and amnionless homolog mRNA were downregulated, while 15 others, including osteopontin, osteonectin, collagen IV–alpha 1, biglycan, matrix GLA protein and von Willebrand factor, were upregulated, which was also verified with RT-PCR. The progressive alterations in PTGDR and amnionless homolog expression, and of those of osteopontin and osteonectin expression were also verified at the in situ protein level, suggesting that altered levels of these biomarkers may be associated with colorectal cancer development and progression.

The whole genomic microarray analyses of biopsy samples in this study provided highly standard and reproducible results regarding the array sensitivity, present percentage and GAPDH 3′/5′ ratio. From the array data, only those transcripts were considered for further analysis as potential progression biomarkers, which could be validated with real-time PCR method. The expression of selected CRC-associated genes was validated also at the protein level, using immunohistochemistry on TMA collection of samples from 16 overlapping patients and an independent set of 103 pa-
Fig. 2. Immunostaining for downregulated PTGDR and AMN, and upregulated osteopontin and osteonectin in TMA sections. Amnionless homolog/prostaglandin D2 receptor expression in normal colonic (A/D), dysplastic adenomatous (B/E) and CRC (C/F) samples. Osteopontin/osteonec tin expression in normal colonic (G/J), dysplastic adenomatous (H/K) and CRC (I/L) samples. White arrows in figure G and J show apical cytoplasmatic staining in normal colonic epithelial cells. White arrows in figure H and K show moderate basal cytoplasmatic staining. Arrows in figure I and L show diffusely stained tumorous epithelium.
Fig. 3. Association plots representing amnionless homolog and prostaglandin D2 receptor protein expression detected by TMA in the subgroups. To measure the association of two variables (expression and disease stage) the Chi-square test statistic – the sum of the squared Pearson residuals – was used. When the difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$), more detailed analysis was visualized on the basis of the Pearson residuals. The darker the intensity of the blue columns, the stronger the statistical significance at the given immunostaining intensity score. The height of the blue columns represents the number of cases belonging to the given score.

Immuno-staining scores: In case of PTGDR: $-2 = \text{no staining}$; $0 = \text{weak staining}$; $1 = \text{moderate staining}$; $2 = \text{strong diffuse epithelial cytoplasmatic immunostaining}$; in case of AMN: $-2 = \text{no or weak apical epithelial cytoplasmatic staining}$; $0 = \text{moderate apical cytoplasmatic staining}$; $1 = \text{strong apical and weak basal cytoplasmatic staining}$; $2 = \text{strong diffuse epithelial cytoplasmatic immunostaining}$. AMN = amnionless homolog, PTGDR = prostaglandin D2 receptor, CRC-CD = colorectal cancer Dukes C or D stage, CRC-AB = colorectal cancer Dukes A or B stages, AD-hdg = adenoma with high-grade dysplasia, AD-ldg = adenoma with low-grade dysplasia.

tients making up a total of 289 samples. Using digital slides and a dedicated software for scoring, TMA technique allowed the efficient and standardized analysis of large number of samples. Nowadays, antibodies recognizing a wide range of proteins in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues are available which offer good chances for further validating new biomarkers, such as those we found in this study, in the prospective diagnostic setting.

Genes showing a progressively decreasing expression during colorectal carcinogenesis in this study have received relatively little attention so far. Prostaglandin D2 receptor (PTGDR) G-protein-coupled receptor has been shown to function as a prostanoid DP receptor. The activation of this receptor plays an important role in allergic inflammation by modulating immune cell functions [6]. It is expressed in a series of tissues including several types of leukocytes, the vasculature, retina, nasal mucosa, lung and intestine [36]. PTGDR has been demonstrated to have anti-proliferative activity against human CRC cells in vitro [16]. PTGDR mRNA expression has been detected in normal colon but not in several neoplastic colorectal epithelial cell lines [16]. Amnionless homolog transmembrane protein has been hypothesized to modulate bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) receptor function by serving as an accessory co-receptor to either facilitate or hinder BMP binding [19]. It is known that the mouse AMN gene is expressed in the extraembryonic visceral endodermal layer during gastrulation but it was found to be mutated in amnionless mouse [19]. The function of AMN is otherwise unknown, however it is highly expressed in cubilin-expressing tissues, including the kidney, intestine, and mouse visceral yolk sac [10,19]. This is the first study to show a significant association of the gradually decreasing AMN and prostaglandin D2 receptor mRNA and protein expression with the colorectal adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence.

The protein expression of two genes showing progressively increasing mRNA levels during colorectal carcinogenesis were also validated. Osteopontin has been shown to bind to cells via integrins as well as CD44 [37]. Although the biological functions of osteopontin are not fully understood, it has been implicated in malignancy, immune function, and vascular remodeling, as well as in bone remodeling [31,33,37]. The matricellular glycoprotein SPARC (osteonectin) has been assigned a major role in the regulation of cell
adhesion and proliferation, as well as tumorigenesis and metastasis. SPARC transcripts were found to be overexpressed in primary CRCs and their liver metastases compared to non-neoplastic mucosa using Northern blot analysis and in situ hybridization [34]. However, this is the first study to show a significant association of the progressively increasing osteonectin mRNA and protein expression with the colorectal adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence.

Additional markers validated at the mRNA level are involved in cell proliferation (VEGF, CXCL1, TIMP1), apoptosis (VEGF, TIMP3), angiogenesis (VEGF, VWF, IL8), cell adhesion (MCAM, THBS2, VWF), transport (COL4A1), cell differentiation (MGP) and immune response (IL8, CXCL1, IL1RN). The exact cellular function of biglycan and calumenin gene products has not yet been determined.

In line with published findings comparing normal and CRC samples, we also found CXCL1, osteopontin, osteonectin, collagen type IV alpha 1, biglycan, interleukin 8, thrombospondin 2, VEGF, von Willebrand factor and TIMP1 overexpressed in CRC [1,3,7,8,15,18,30,32,34,38,42]. However, most studies compared expression features only pairwised and not in the full sequence of disease progression. Only two papers tested the adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence specific gene expression alterations [1,21]. One of them, also showed the expression of osteopontin, osteonectin, biglycan, TIMP1, −3 and CXCL1 genes to progressively increasing with CRC stage [1]. As far as we are aware, the rest of the markers we identified and validated in this study including amnionless homolog, prostaglandin D2 receptor, collagen IV–alpha 1, matrix GLAprotein, and von Willebrand factor. Validated genes of significantly decreasing expression were prostaglandin D2 receptor and amnionless homolog (p < 0.01) including osteonectin, osteopontin, collagen IV–alpha 1, biglycan, matrix GLAprotein, and von Willebrand factor. Validated genes of significantly decreasing expression were prostaglandin D2 receptor and amnionless homolog (p < 0.01) including osteonectin, osteopontin, collagen IV–alpha 1, biglycan, matrix GLAprotein, and von Willebrand factor. Validated genes of significantly decreasing expression were prostaglandin D2 receptor and amnionless homolog (p < 0.01) including osteonectin, osteopontin, collagen IV–alpha 1, biglycan, matrix GLAprotein, and von Willebrand factor.

In summary, in this study we searched for potential biomarkers of colorectal adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma progression using mRNA expression and tissue microarrays in biopsy specimen. 15 genes were identified and validated at mRNA level showing a significantly and progressively increasing expression during the normal–adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence progression (p < 0.01) including osteonectin, osteopontin, collagen IV–alpha 1, biglycan, matrix GLAprotein, and von Willebrand factor. Validated genes of significantly decreasing expression were prostaglandin D2 receptor and amnionless homolog (p < 0.01). In line with these, amnionless homolog and prostaglandin D2 receptor protein expression showed a strong negative correlation, while osteopontin and osteonectin protein expression showed a strong positive correlation with the colorectal normal–adenoma–dysplasia–carcinoma sequence, in situ on TAMs. Our findings suggest that these factors may be used as biomarkers for predicting colorectal cancer progression, which needs further validation in prospective diagnostic setting. Considering the positive regulatory roles of osteopontin in cell invasion, in vascular remodeling and its immunological (it is a key cytokine regulating tissue repair and inflammation) effects, and of osteonectin in the regulation of cell adhesion and proliferation, these proteins might be candidates for anticancer target treatment. Investigating the regulatory pathways of AMN and PTGDR may also reveal novel molecular targets for the same purpose.
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