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1. Introduction

Fuzzy set theory was introduced by Zadeh in 1965 as an extension of the classical notion of set theory [1]. In 1971, Rosenfeld wrote his seminal paper on fuzzy subgroups in [2]. This paper has provided sufficient motivations for researchers to study the fuzzy subalgebras of different algebraic structures, like rings, modules, vector-spaces, lattices, and more recently in MS-algebras, universal algebras, pseudo-complemented semilattice, and so on (see [3–26]).

Zadeh defined a fuzzy subset of a nonempty set $X$ as a function from $X$ to unit interval $[0, 1]$ of real numbers. Goguen in [27] generalized the fuzzy subsets of $X$, to $L$-fuzzy subsets, as a function from $X$ to a lattice $L$. Swamy and Swamy [5] initiated that complete lattices satisfying the infinite meet distributivity are the most appropriate candidates to have the truth values of general fuzzy statements.

In the literature, we have found several types of ideals and filters of a poset which are generalizations of ideals and filters of a lattice (see [28–33]). Halás and Rachůnek in [34] introduced the notions of prime ideals in a poset, and Khart and Mokbel [35] introduced the concept of a semiprime ideal in general poset.

In [36, 37], the authors of this paper introduced several types of $L$-fuzzy ideals and filters of a partially ordered set whose truth values are in a complete lattice satisfying the infinite meet distributive law. In addition, in [38], we have introduced and presented certain comprehensive results on the notion of $L$-fuzzy prime ideals and maximal $L$-fuzzy ideals of a poset by applying the general theory of algebraic fuzzy systems introduced in [39, 40].

Initiated by the above ideas and concepts, in this paper, we introduce and develop the concepts of $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal in a general partially ordered set. Characterizations of $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideals in posets as well as sufficient conditions of an $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal to be $L$-fuzzy prime ideal are observed. Also, $L$-fuzzy prime ideals in a poset are characterized.

2. Preliminaries

For the necessary concepts, terminologies, and notations, we refer to [41, 42].

A pair $(Q, \leq)$ is called a partially ordered set or simply a poset if $Q$ is a nonempty set and “$\leq$” is a partial order on $Q$. An element $x \in Q$ is called a lower bound of $S$ if $x \leq s$ for all $s \in S$. An upper bound is defined dually. The set of all lower bounds of $S$ is denoted by $S^\downarrow$ and the set of all upper bounds of $S$, by $S^\uparrow$.

By the sets $S^{\downarrow}$ and $S^{\uparrow}$, we mean $\{S^{\downarrow}\}$ and $\{S^{\uparrow}\}$, respectively. For any $a, b \in Q$, the sets $\{a\}$ and $\{a, b\}$ are
denoted by $a'$ and $(a,b]$, respectively. Furthermore, for subsets $S,T$ of $Q$, $S \cup T$ is denoted by $\{S,T\}$ and the set $[S \cup \{a\}]$ is denoted by $[S,a]$. Similar notations are used for the set of all upper bounds of a poset $Q$.

For any subsets $S,T$ of a poset $Q$, we note that $S \subseteq S'$ and $S \subseteq S''$, and if $S \subseteq T$ in $Q$, then $S' \supseteq T'$ and $S'' \supseteq T''$. In addition, $[a]^{\mathbb{N}} = a'$ and $[a]^\mathbb{N} = a''$. An element $x_0$ in $Q$ is called the greatest lower bound of $S$ or infimum of $S$, denoted by $\inf S$, if $x_0 \in S'$ and $x \leq x_0$ for all $x \in S'$. Dually, we have the concept of the least upper bound of $S$ or supremum of $S$ which is denoted by $\sup S$.

For $x,y \in Q$, we write $x \wedge y$ (read as “$x$ meet $y$”) in place of $\inf\{x,y\}$ if it exists and $x \vee y$ (read as “$x$ join $y$”) in place of $\sup\{x,y\}$ if it exists. An element $q_0$ in $Q$ is called the smallest (respectively, the largest) element of a poset $Q$ if $q_0 \leq x$ (respectively, $x \leq q_0$) for all $x \in Q$. The smallest (respectively, the largest) element if it exists in $Q$ is denoted by $0$ (respectively, by $1$). A poset $(Q \leq)$ is called bounded if it has $0$ and $1$.

A poset $Q$ is is said to satisfy the ascending chain condition (ACC), if every nonempty subset of $Q$ has a maximal element. Dually, we have the concept of descending chain condition (DCC) [35].

**Definition 1** (see [43]). A poset $Q$ is called distributive if for all $a,b,c \in Q$,

$$\{(a,b)^\mathbb{N},c\} = \{(a,c)^\mathbb{N},(b,c)\}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

**Definition 2** (see [24]). A subset $I$ of a poset $(Q, \leq)$ is called an ideal in $Q$ if $(a,b)^{\mathbb{N}} \subseteq I$ whenever $a,b \in I$.

Now, we consider the concept of a semiprime ideal introduced by Khart and Mokbel in a poset and by Rav in a lattice, as given in the following.

**Definition 3** (see [28]). A proper ideal $I$ of a poset $Q$ is called a semiprime ideal of $Q$ if for all $x,y,z \in Q$, $(x,y)^{\mathbb{N}} \subseteq I$ and $(x,z)^{\mathbb{N}} \subseteq I$ imply $[x, y \vee z]^{\mathbb{N}} \subseteq I$.

Dually, we have the concept semiprime filter of a poset $Q$.

**Definition 4** (see [44]). A proper ideal $I$ of a lattice $X$ is called a semiprime ideal of $X$ if for all $x,y,z \in X$, $x \wedge y \in I$ and $x \wedge z \in I$ together imply $x \wedge (y \vee z) \in I$.

Dually, we have the concept semiprime filter of a lattice $X$.

For an ideal $I$ and an element $a$ in a poset $Q$, define a set $I : a$ by

$$I : a = \{x \in Q : (a,x)^{\mathbb{N}} \subseteq I\}.$$  

**Definition 5** (see [28]). An element $i$ in a poset $Q$ is called an $I$-atom with respect to an ideal $I$ of $Q$ if $i \notin I$ and for any $x \in Q$ with $x < i$ implies $x \in I$.

Throughout this paper, $L$ stands for a complete lattice satisfying the infinite meet distributive law and $Q$ stands for a poset with $0$.

By an $L$-fuzzy subset $\mu$ of a poset $Q$, we mean a mapping from $Q$ into $L$. We denote the set of $L$-fuzzy subsets of $Q$ by $L^Q$. For each $a \in L$ and $\mu \in L^Q$, the $a$-level subset of $\mu$, which is denoted by $\mu_a$, is a subset of $Q$ given by $\mu_a = \{x : \mu(x) \geq a\}$. For fuzzy subsets $\mu$ and $\sigma$ of $Q$, we write $\mu \subseteq \sigma$ to mean $\mu(x) \leq \sigma(x)$ for all $x \in Q$ in the ordering of $L$.

It can be easily verified that “$\subseteq$” is a partial order on the set $L^Q$ and is called the point-wise ordering. We write $\mu \cap \sigma$ if $\mu \subseteq \sigma$ and $\mu \neq \sigma$.

The following notions and results in this section are from the authors’ work in [29, 31].

**Definition 6.** $\mu \in L^Q$ is said to be an $L$-fuzzy semi-ideal of $Q$ if $\mu(0) = 1$ and for any $a \in Q$, $\mu(x) \geq \mu(a)$, for all $x \in a'$.

**Definition 7.** $\mu \in L^Q$ is said to be an $L$-fuzzy ideal of $Q$ if $\mu(0) = 1$ and, for any $a,b \in Q$,

$$\mu(x) \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b), \quad \text{for all } x \in (a,b)^{\mathbb{N}}. \quad (3)$$

An $L$-fuzzy ideal $\mu$ of $Q$ is called a $\mu$-$L$-fuzzy ideal if, for any $a,b \in Q$, there exists $x \in (a,b)^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mu(x) = \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b)$.

**Lemma 1.** $\mu \in L^Q$ is an $L$-fuzzy ideal of $Q$ if and only if $\mu_a$ is an ideal of $Q$, for all $a \in L$.

**Lemma 2.** If $\mu$ is an $L$-fuzzy ideal of $Q$, then $\mu$ is anti-tone.

Note that, for any $\beta \in L$ the constant $L$-fuzzy subset of $Q$ which maps all elements of $Q$ onto $\beta$, is denoted by $\beta$.

**Definition 8.** An $L$-fuzzy ideal $\mu$ of a poset $Q$ is called proper, if $\mu \neq \mathbb{T}$, where $1$ is the largest element in $L$.

**Definition 9.** A proper $L$-fuzzy ideal $\mu$ of a poset $Q$ is called an $L$-fuzzy prime, if, for any $a,b \in Q$,

$$\inf[\mu(x) : x \in (a,b)^{\mathbb{N}}] = \mu(a), \text{ or } \mu(b). \quad (4)$$

**Definition 10.** A proper $L$-fuzzy ideal $\mu$ of a poset $Q$ is said to be maximal if $\mu$ is a maximal element in the set of all proper $L$-fuzzy ideals of $Q$.

### 3. $L$-Fuzzy Semiprime Ideals of a Poset

In this section, we introduce and develop the notions of $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal of a poset and give several characterizations of it. We shall begin with its definition.

**Definition 11.** An $L$-fuzzy ideal $\mu$ of a poset $Q$ is called an $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal if for all $a,b,c \in Q$,

$$\mu(z) \geq \inf[\mu(x) \wedge \mu(y) : x \in (a,b)^{\mathbb{N}}, y \in (a,c)^{\mathbb{N}}], \quad \forall z \in (a,b)^{\mathbb{N}}. \quad (5)$$

The following result characterizes any $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal of $Q$ in terms of its level subsets.
Lemma 3. An L-fuzzy ideal $μ$ of $Q$ is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal of $Q$ if and only if if $μ_a$ is a semiprime ideal of $Q$ for all $a \in L$.

Proof. Suppose that $μ$ is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal and $a \in L$. Then, clearly, $μ_a$ is an ideal of $Q$. Let $a, b, c \in Q$ such that $(a, b)^I \leq μ_a$ and $(a, c)^I \leq μ_a$ and $z \in [a, (b, c)^I]$. Then, $μ(z) \geq a \lor x \in (a, b)^I$ and $μ(y) \geq a \lor y \in (a, c)^I$. This implies that

$$\inf \{μ(x) : x \in (a, b)^I \} \geq a,$$

$$\inf \{μ(y) : y \in (a, c)^I \} \geq a.$$  (6)

Therefore, $\inf \{μ(x) \land μ(y) : x \in (a, b)^I, y \in (a, c)^I \} \geq a$. Since $μ$ is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal and $z \in [a, (b, c)^I]$, we have

$$μ(z) \geq \inf \{μ(x) \land μ(y) : x \in (a, b)^I, y \in (a, c)^I \} \geq a.$$  (7)

This implies that $z \in μ_a$ for all $z \in [a, (b, c)^I]$ and hence, $(a, (b, c)^I) \leq μ_a$. Therefore, $μ_a$ is a semiprime ideal of a poset $Q$.

Conversely, suppose that $μ_a$ is a semiprime ideal of $Q$ for all $a \in L$. Then, clearly, $μ$ is an L-fuzzy ideal of $Q$. Let $a, b, c \in Q$ and put

$$α = \inf \{μ(x) \land μ(y) : x \in (a, b)^I, y \in (a, c)^I \}.$$  (8)

Then,

$$\inf \{μ(x) : x \in (a, b)^I \} \geq α,$$

$$\inf \{μ(y) : y \in (a, c)^I \} \geq α.$$  (9)

That is, $μ(x) \geq α \lor x \in (a, b)^I$ and $μ(y) \geq α \lor y \in (a, c)^I$. This implies that $(a, b)^I \leq μ_a$ and $(a, c)^I \leq μ_a$. Thus, since $μ_a$ is a semiprime ideal of $Q$, we have $[a, (b, c)^I] \leq μ_a$. Therefore, $μ(z) \geq α = \inf \{μ(x) \land μ(y) : x \in (a, b)^I, y \in (a, c)^I \}$ for all $z \in [a, (b, c)^I]$, and hence $μ$ is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal of $Q$.

Corollary 1. A subset $I$ of a poset $Q$ is a semiprime ideal of $Q$ if and only if its characteristic map $χ_I$ is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal of $Q$.

Definition 12. An L-fuzzy ideal $μ$ of a lattice $Q$ is called an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal, if for all $a, b, c \in Q$,

$$μ(a \land (b \lor c)) = μ(a \land b) \land μ(a \land c).$$  (10)

Dually, we have the concept of L-fuzzy semiprime filter of a lattice $Q$.

Lemma 4. Let $μ$ be an L-fuzzy ideal of $Q$. Then, for any $a, b \in Q$,

$$\inf \{μ(x) : x \in (a, b)^I \} = μ(a \land b),$$  (11)

whenever $a \land b$ exists in $Q$.

The following theorem shows that an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal of a poset is a natural generalization of an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal of a lattice.

Theorem 1. Let $(Q, \leq)$ be a lattice. Then, an L-fuzzy ideal $μ$ of $Q$ is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal in the poset $Q$ if and only if it is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal in the lattice $Q$.

Proof. Let $μ$ be an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal in the poset $Q$ and $a, b, c \in Q$. Then, since $a \land (b \lor c) = \inf \{μ(x) : x \in (a, b)^I, y \in (a, c)^I \}$, we have

$$μ(a \land (b \lor c)) \geq \inf \{μ(x) \land μ(y) : x \in (a, b)^I, y \in (a, c)^I \} = \inf \{μ(x) : x \in (a, b)^I \} \land \inf \{μ(y) : y \in (a, c)^I \} = μ(a \land b) \land μ(a \land c).$$  (12)

Again, since $a \land b \leq a \land (b \lor c)$, $a \land c \leq a \land (b \lor c)$ and $μ$ is antitone, we clearly have

$$μ(a \land (b \lor c)) \leq μ(a \land b) \land μ(a \land c).$$  (13)

Therefore, $μ$ is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal in the lattice $Q$.

Conversely, suppose that $μ$ is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal in the lattice $Q$. Let $a, b, c \in Q$ and $z \in [a, (b, c)^I]$. Then, $z \leq a$ and $z \leq b$, for all $t \in (a, b)^I$. Since $a \lor b = (a, b)^I$, we have $z \leq a \lor b$. This implies that $z \leq a \land (b \lor c)$ and hence

$$μ(z) \geq \inf \{μ(x) \land μ(y) : x \in (a, b)^I, y \in (a, c)^I \} = \inf \{μ(x) \land μ(y) : x \in (a, b)^I, y \in (a, c)^I \}.$$  (14)

So, $μ$ is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal in the poset $Q$.

The following result establishes a connection between L-fuzzy prime ideals and L-fuzzy semiprime ideals of a poset $Q$.

Lemma 5. Every L-fuzzy prime ideal of a poset $Q$ is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal.

Proof. Let $μ$ be an L-fuzzy prime ideal of $Q$. Let $a, b, c \in Q$. Then since $μ$ is an L-fuzzy prime ideal of $Q$, we clearly have

$$\inf \{μ(x) : x \in (a, b)^I \} = μ(a),$$

or $μ(b)$,

$$\inf \{μ(y) : y \in (a, c)^I \} = μ(a),$$

or $μ(c)$.

Let $z \in [a, (b, c)^I] = a \land (b, c)^I$. Then, $z \leq a$ and $z \leq (b, c)^I$. Now, if $\inf \{μ(x) : x \in (a, b)^I \} = μ(a)$ or $\inf \{μ(y) : y \in (a, c)^I \} = μ(a)$, then we have

$$μ(z) \geq \inf \{μ(x) \land μ(y) : x \in (a, b)^I, y \in (a, c)^I \} = \inf \{μ(x) \land μ(y) : x \in (a, b)^I, y \in (a, c)^I \}.$$  (16)

Again if $\inf \{μ(x) : x \in (a, b)^I \} \neq μ(a)$ and $\inf \{μ(y) : y \in (a, c)^I \} \neq μ(a)$, then we have...
\[ \inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (a, b)^1 \} = \mu(b), \]
\[ \inf \{ \mu(y) : y \in (a, c)^1 \} = \mu(c). \]

Now since \( z \in (b, c)^{id} \) and \( \mu \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal, we have
\[ \mu(z) \geq \mu(b) \land \mu(c) = \inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (a, b)^1 \} \land \{ \mu(y) : y \in (a, c)^1 \} \]
\[ = \inf \{ \mu(x) \land \mu(y) : x \in (a, b)^1, y \in (a, c)^1 \}. \]

Hence, in either cases, we have
\[ \mu(z) \geq \inf \{ \mu(x) \land \mu(y) : x \in (a, b)^1, y \in (a, c)^1 \}, \quad \text{for all } z \in \{a, (b, c)^{id}\}. \]  

Therefore, \( \mu : x \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy semi-ideal. Again, for all \( y \in Q \), we have
\[ (\mu : x)(y) = \inf \{ \mu(z) : z \in (x, y)^1 \} \geq \mu(y). \]

Hence, \( \mu \subseteq \mu : x \).

Note that, for any \( x, y \in Q \), observe that
\[ (\mu : x)(y) = (\mu : y)(x). \]

**Remark 2.** For an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal \( \mu \) of a poset \( Q \), \( \mu : x \) need not be an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( Q \) for all \( x \in Q \). For example, consider the poset \( (Q, \leq) \) depicted in Figure 2.

Define a fuzzy subset \( \mu : Q \rightarrow [0, 1] \) by
\[ \mu(0) = 1, \]
\[ \mu(a) = \mu(b) = \mu(c) = \mu(d) = 0.2. \]

Then, \( \mu \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( Q \). Define \( \mu : d \) is a fuzzy subset of \( Q \) given by
\[ (\mu : d)(0) = (\mu : d)(b) = (\mu : d)(c) = (\mu : d)(d) = 0.2. \]

Observe that \( e \in (a, b)^{id} \) but \( (\mu : d)(e) = 0.2 \neq 0.8 = (\mu : d)(a) \land (\mu : d)(b) \). This implies that \( \mu : d \) is not an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( Q \).

**Lemma 6.** Let \( \mu \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( Q \) and \( x \in Q \). Then, \( \mu : x \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy semi-ideal containing \( \mu \).

**Proof.** Now
\[ (\mu : x)(0) = \inf \{ \mu(z) : z \in (x, 0)^1 \} \]
\[ = \inf \{ \mu(z) : z = 0 \} \]
\[ = \mu(0) = 1. \]

Therefore, \( (\mu : x)(0) = 1 \). Again, let \( a \in Q \) and \( y \in a'. \) Now
\[ (\mu : x)(a) = \mu(a) \cdot x, \quad \text{for any } a \in L. \]
Lemma 8. Let $\mu$ be an $L$-fuzzy ideal of a poset $Q$ and $x \in Q$. Then, the following hold:

1. $\inf\{\mu(x) : y \in (a, b)^l\} = \mu\inf\{y : (x, a, b)^l\}$
2. $\inf\{\mu(x) : y \in (a, b)^u\} = \inf\{\mu(y) : y \in (x, a, b)^u\}$
3. $\mu(x) = 1$ if and only if $\mu(x) = 1$ on $Q$

Proof

(1) Put $A = \{\mu(y) : y \in (x, a, b)^l\}$ and $B = \{(\mu(x) : y \in (a, b)^u\}$. Now we claim that $\inf A = \inf B$. Let $a = \inf A$. Then,

$$a \leq \mu(y), \quad \forall y \in (x, a, b)^l \implies (x, a, b)^l \subseteq \mu_y$$

$$\implies (a, b)^l \subseteq \mu_y : x = (\mu : x)$$

Hence, the claim is true.

(2) The proof is similar to 1.

3. Suppose $\mu(x) = 1$. Then, $(\mu(x) : y = 1)$, for all $y \in Q$. Thus, in particular, $(\mu : x)(x) = 1$:

$$\implies \mu(y) = 1, \quad \forall y \in (x, x)^l$$

$$\implies \mu(x) = 1 \ldots \text{(since } x \in (x, x)^l, \text{ )}$$

Conversely suppose that $\mu(x) = 1$. Now since, for any $y \in Q$, $(\mu(x) : y = \inf\{\mu(z) : z \in (x, y)^l\} \geq \mu(x) = 1$, we have $(\mu : x)(y) = 1$ for all $y \in Q$. Therefore, $\mu(x) = 1$.

Now, we present a characterization of an $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal of a poset $Q$ in terms of $\mu : x$ where $\mu$ is an $L$-fuzzy ideal of $Q$ and $x \in Q$.

Theorem 2. An $L$-fuzzy ideal $\mu$ of a poset $Q$ is an $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal if and only if $\mu : x$ is an $L$-fuzzy ideal for all $x \in Q$, in fact, an $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal for all $x \in Q$.

Proof. Let $\mu$ be an $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal of $Q$ and $x \in Q$. First, let us show that $\mu : x$ is an $L$-fuzzy ideal of $Q$. Since $(\mu : x)(0) = \inf\{\mu(y) : y \in (x, 0)^l\} = \mu(0) = 1$, we have $(\mu : x)(0) = 1$. Again, let $a, b \in Q$ and $z \in (a, b)^u$. Then,
Again, since \( z \in (a, b)^{\forall} \) implies \((x, z) \subseteq [x, (a, b)^{\forall}]\), we have \((\mu : x)(a) \wedge (\mu : x)(b) \leq \mu (t)\) for all \( t \in (x, z)\). This implies that
\[
(\mu : x)(a) \wedge (\mu : x)(b) \leq \inf \{\mu (t) : t \in (x, z)\} = (\mu : x)(z).
\]

(34)

Therefore, \( \mu : x \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( Q \) for all \( x \in Q \).
Now, we show that \( \mu : x \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy semiprime ideal of \( Q \).
Let \( a, b, c \in \) and \( z \in [a, (b, c)^{\forall}]\). Now,
\[
\inf \{(\mu : x)(u) \wedge (\mu : x)(w) : u \in (a, b)^{\forall}, w \in (a, c)^{\forall}\}
\]
\[
= \inf \{(\mu : x)(u) : u \in (a, b)^{\forall}\} \wedge \inf \{(\mu : x)(w) : w \in (a, c)^{\forall}\}
\]
\[
= \inf \{(\mu : b)(u) : u \in (x, a)^{\forall}\} \wedge \inf \{(\mu : c)(w) : w \in (x, a)^{\forall}\}
\]
\[
= \inf \{(\mu : b)(s) \wedge (\mu : c)(s) : s \in (x, a)^{\forall}\}
\]
\[
\leq \inf \{(\mu : b)(s) \wedge (\mu : c)(s) : s \in [x, a, (b, c)^{\forall}]\}
\]
\[
\leq (\mu : b)(s) \wedge (\mu : c)(s) \forall s \in [x, a, (b, c)^{\forall}]
\]
\[
= (\mu : b)(s) \wedge (\mu : c)(s) \forall s \in [x, a, (b, c)^{\forall}]
\]
\[
= (\mu : s)(s) \forall s \in [x, a, (b, c)^{\forall}]
\]
\[
= \mu (z) \forall z \in [a, (b, c)^{\forall}]\).
\]

(35)

\[
\inf \{\mu (x) \wedge \mu (y) : x \in (a, b)^{\forall}, y \in (a, c)^{\forall}\} \leq \mu (z), \quad \text{for all } z \in [a, (b, c)^{\forall}]\).
\]

(39)

Therefore, \( \mu \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy semiprime ideal of \( Q \).
The next result is a characterization of an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal to be an \( L \)-fuzzy prime ideal in a poset \( Q \).

**Theorem 3.** Let \( \mu \) be a proper \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of a poset \( Q \). Then, \( \mu \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy prime ideal of \( Q \) if and only if \( \mu : a = \mu \) for all \( a \in Q \) such that \( \mu (a) \neq 1 \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \mu \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy prime ideal of \( Q \) and let \( a \in Q \) such that \( \mu (a) \neq 1 \). Then, by Lemma 5 and Theorem 2, it is clear that \( \mu : a \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( Q \). Now we claim that \( \mu : a = \mu \).

Now, for any \( x \in Q \), we have \((\mu : a)(x) = \mu (x) \lor \mu (a)\). However, as \( \mu : a \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( Q \), \((\mu : a)(x) \neq \mu (a)\). Thus, \((\mu : a)(x) = \mu (x)\) for all \( x \in Q \) and hence \( \mu : a = \mu \).

Conversely, suppose that the given condition holds. Let \( a, b \in Q \). Now, we claim that
\[
(\mu : x)(a) \wedge (\mu : x)(b) = \inf \{\mu (w) : w \in (x, a)^{\forall}\} \wedge \inf \{\mu (u) : u \in (x, b)^{\forall}\}
\]
\[
= \inf \{\mu (u) : u \in (x, a)^{\forall}\} \wedge \inf \{\mu (w) : w \in (x, b)^{\forall}\}
\]
\[
\leq \mu (v) \text{ for all } v \in [x, (a, b)^{\forall}]^{\forall}.
\]

(33)

Now, \( z \in [a, (b, c)^{\forall}]^{\forall} \) implies that \((x, z) \subseteq [x, (a, b, c)^{\forall}]^{\forall}\) for all \( x \in Q \). Thus, we have
\[
\inf \{(\mu : x)(u) \wedge (\mu : x)(w) : u \in (a, b)^{\forall}, w \in (a, c)^{\forall}\}
\]
\[
\leq \mu (s), \quad \text{for all } s \in (x, z).
\]

(36)

Thus,
\[
\inf \{(\mu : x)(u) \wedge (\mu : x)(w) : u \in (a, b)^{\forall}, w \in (a, c)^{\forall}\}
\]
\[
= \mu (s), \quad \text{for all } s \in (x, z).
\]

(37)

This implies that
\[
\inf \{(\mu : x)(u) \wedge (\mu : x)(w) : u \in (a, b)^{\forall}, w \in (a, c)^{\forall}\}
\]
\[
\leq \inf \{(\mu : x)(u) \wedge (\mu : x)(w) : u \in (a, b)^{\forall}, w \in (a, c)^{\forall}\}
\]
\[
= \mu (z) \forall z \in [a, (b, c)^{\forall}]^{\forall}.
\]

(38)

Thus,
\[
(\mu : a)(b) = \mu (a), \text{ or } \mu (b).
\]

(40)

Suppose that \((\mu : a)(b) \neq \mu (a)\). Then, \(\inf \{\mu (x) : x \in (a, b)^{\forall}\} \neq \mu (a)\). This implies that \(\mu (a) \neq 1\). Thus, by hypothesis, we have \(\mu : a = \mu \) and hence \((\mu : a)(b) = \mu (b)\).

Therefore, \( \mu \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy prime ideal of \( Q \).
Now before we prove some other characterizations of \( L \)-fuzzy primeness and \( L \)-fuzzy semiprimenes in the case of a poset satisfying \( DCC \), we introduce the concept of a \( \mu \)-atom of an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal \( \mu \) of a poset.

**Definition 14.** Let \( \mu \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of a poset \( Q \) and \( a \in L \). An element \( i \) in \( Q \) is called a \( \mu \)-atom with respect to \( a \), if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. \( a \not\in \mu (i) \)
2. \( a \leq \mu (x) \) whenever \( x < i \)
Example 1. Consider the poset depicted in Figure 3. Define a fuzzy subset $\mu : Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu(0) &= \mu(a) = 1, \\
\mu(b) &= 0.7, \\
\mu(c) &= 0.6, \\
\mu(d) &= 0.8, \\
\mu(a') &= \mu(b') = \mu(c') = \mu(d') = \mu(1) = 0.2.
\end{align*}
$$

(41)

Then, it is easy to see that $\mu$ is an $L$-fuzzy ideal of $Q$ and $a'$ is a $\mu$-atom with respect to $\alpha = 0.6$ in $[0, 1]$.

Lemma 9. There always exists a $\mu$-atom for every proper $L$-fuzzy ideal $\mu$ in a poset $Q$ satisfying DCC with respect to some $\alpha \in L$.

Proof. Let $Q$ be a poset satisfying DCC and $\alpha$ be a proper $L$-fuzzy ideal of $Q$. Then, there exists $a \in Q$ such that $\mu(a) \neq 1$. This implies that there exists $\alpha \in L$ such that $\alpha \notin \mu(a)$. Put $I = \{x \in Q : \mu(x) \geq \alpha\}$. Since $\alpha \notin 1, I$ is a proper ideal of $Q$ and $Q - I$ is a nonempty subset of $Q$. Since $Q$ is satisfying DCC, $Q - I$ has a minimal element, say $i$, such that $i \leq a$. Now we claim that $i$ is a $\mu$-atom with respect to $\alpha$. Since $i \in Q - I$, we have $\alpha \notin \mu(i)$. Let $x \notin i$. Then, by the minimality of $i, x \notin Q - I$ and hence $\mu(x) \geq \alpha$. Hence, the claim is true.

Remark 3. Lemma 9 gives a guarantee that if $\mu$ is an $L$-fuzzy ideal of a poset $Q$ satisfying DCC and $\alpha \notin \mu(a)$ for some $a \in Q$ and $\alpha \in L$, then there exists a $\mu$-atom $i$ in $Q$ with respect to $\alpha$ such that $i \leq a$.

Lemma 10. Any two distinct $\mu$-atoms of an $L$-fuzzy ideal $\mu$ of a poset $Q$ with respect to $\alpha \in L$ are incomparable.

Proof. Let $\mu$ be an $L$-fuzzy ideal of $Q$ and $i$ and $j$ be any two distinct $\mu$-atoms with respect to $\alpha \in L$. Then, by definition, we have $\alpha \notin \mu(i)$ and $\mu(x) \geq \alpha$ whenever $x \notin i$ and $\alpha \notin \mu(j)$ and $\mu(y) \geq \alpha$ whenever $y \notin j$. Now we show that $i$ and $j$ are incomparable. Suppose not. Then $i \notin j$ or $j \notin i$, i.e., $\mu(i) \geq \alpha$ or $\mu(j) \geq \alpha$, which is a contradiction to the fact that $\alpha \notin \mu(i)$ and $\alpha \notin \mu(j)$. Hence, $i$ and $j$ are incomparable.

Remark 4. From Lemma 10, we can conclude that if $i$ and $j$ are $\mu$-atoms in a poset $Q$ with respect to some $\alpha \in L$ such that $i \leq j$, then $i = j$.

Lemma 11. Let $\mu$ be an $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal of a poset $Q$ satisfying DCC. Then, $\mu : i$ is a $u$-$L$-fuzzy ideal for every $\mu$-atom $i$ in $Q$ with respect to $\alpha \in L$.

Proof. Let $i$ be a $\mu$-atom in $Q$ with respect to $\alpha \in L$. Since $\mu$ is an $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal, by Theorem 2, $\mu : i$ is an $L$-fuzzy ideal of $Q$. Now we show that $\mu : i$ is a $u$-$L$-fuzzy ideal. Suppose on the contrary that $\mu : i$ is not a $u$-$L$-fuzzy ideal. Then, there exist $a, b \in Q$ such that

$$
(\mu : i)(a) \wedge (\mu : i)(b) \neq (\mu : i)(x) \forall x \in (a, b]^u.
$$

(42)

This implies that there exists $y \in (i, x)^u$ such that

$$
(\mu : i)(a) \wedge (\mu : i)(b) \neq (\mu : i)(y) \forall y \in (a, b]^u.
$$

(43)

Thus, by Remark 3, there exists a $\mu$-atom, say $j$, with respect to $\alpha = (\mu : i)(a) \wedge (\mu : i)(b)$ such that $j \leq y$. Since $j \leq i$ and $y \in (i, x)^u$, we have $j \leq i$ and hence $\mu(j) \geq \mu(i)$. This implies that $\alpha \notin \mu(i)$. Again, let $z \in i$. Then, $\mu(z) = 1 \geq \alpha$. Therefore, $i$ is also a $\mu$-atom with respect to $\alpha$. Also since $j \leq y \leq i$, by Remark 4, we have $y = i$. This implies that $i \in (i, x)^u$ and hence $\mu(x) \leq \alpha$. Hence, $\mu(i)$ is an ideal, we have

$$
\alpha = (\mu : i)(a) \wedge (\mu : i)(b) \leq (\mu : i)(i) = \mu(i).
$$

(44)

which is a contradiction to the fact that $\alpha \notin \mu(i)$. Therefore, $\mu : i$ is a $u$-$L$-fuzzy ideal.

Theorem 4. Let $\mu$ be an $L$-fuzzy ideal of a poset $Q$ satisfying DCC. Then, $\mu$ is an $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal of $Q$ if and only if $\mu : i$ is an $L$-fuzzy ideal, in fact, an $L$-fuzzy prime ideal of $Q$ for every $\mu$-atom $i$ in $Q$ with respect to $\alpha \in L$.

Proof. Let $\mu$ be an $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal of a poset $Q$ satisfying DCC and $i$ be a $\mu$-atom in $Q$ with respect to $\alpha \in L$. Then, by Lemma 11, $\mu : i$ is a $u$-$L$-fuzzy ideal. Now, we have to show that $\mu : i$ is an $L$-fuzzy prime ideal of $Q$. Since $\mu(i) \neq 1$, by Lemma 8, $\mu : i \neq \top$. Hence, $\mu : i$ is proper. Let $a, b \in Q$ and suppose that

$$
\inf\{\mu : i)(x) : x \in (a, b)^u\} \neq (\mu : i)(a).
$$

(45)

Put $\alpha = \inf\{\mu : i)(x) : x \in (a, b)^u\}$. Since $\mu : i)(a) = \inf\{\mu(y) : y \in (i, a)^u\}$, there exists $y_1 \in (i, a)^u$ such that $\alpha \notin \mu(y_1)$. Then, by Remark 3, there exists a $\mu$-atom, say $j$, in $Q$ with respect to $\alpha$ such that $j \neq y_1$. It is also clear that $i$ is also a $\mu$-atom with respect to $\alpha$. Since $j \leq y_1 \leq i$, by Remark 4, we must have $y_1 = i$, and therefore $i \leq a$. Hence, $\mu(i) = \mu(y_1)$ is a $\mu$-atom. Thus, we have

$$
\inf\{\mu : i)(x) : x \in (a, b)^u\} = \inf\{\mu(y) : y \in (i, a)^u\} = (\mu : i)(i).
$$

(46)
This proves that $\mu : i$ is an $L$-fuzzy prime ideal for every $\mu$-atom $i \in Q$.

Conversely, suppose that $\mu : i$ is an $L$-fuzzy ideal for any $\mu$-atom $i$ with respect to 1 in $L$. Let $a, b, c \in Q$. Now, we claim that
\[
\inf \{ \mu(x) \land \mu(y) : x \in (a, b) \}, \quad y \in (a, c) \} \leq \mu(z),
\]
for all $z \in [a, (b, c)^\dagger]$. \hfill (47)

Suppose not. Then, there exists $z_1 \in [a, (b, c)^\dagger] = a' \cap (b, c)\text{d}l$ such that
\[
\inf \{ \mu(x) \land \mu(y) : x \in (a, b) \}, \quad y \in (a, c) \} \notin \mu(z_1). \quad \hfill (48)
\]

Hence, by Remark 3, there exists a $\mu$-atom $j$ in $Q$ with respect to $\alpha = \inf \{ \mu(x) \land \mu(y) : x \in (a, b) \}, \quad y \in (a, c) \} \} \} \in L$ such that $j < z_1$. Then, by hypothesis, $\mu : j$ is an $L$-fuzzy ideal. Again, since $(j, b) \subseteq (a, b)$ and $(j, c) \subseteq (a, c)$, we have $\alpha = \inf \{ \mu(x) \land \mu(y) : x \in (a, b) \}, \quad y \in (a, c) \} \} = \inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (a, b) \} \land \inf \{ \mu(y) : y \in (a, c) \} \}
\]
\[
\leq \inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (j, b) \} \land \inf \{ \mu(y) : y \in (j, c) \} \}
\]
\[
(\mu : j)(\alpha) \leq (\mu : j)(\alpha) \quad \text{since} \quad j \in (b, c)^\dagger \}
\]
\[
= \mu(j),
\]
which is a contradiction to the fact that $j$ is a $\mu$-atom with respect to $\alpha$. Therefore, $\mu$ is an $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal of $Q$.

The following result gives another characterization for $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideals to be $L$-fuzzy prime.

**Theorem 5.** Every maximal $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal of a poset $Q$ is an $L$-fuzzy prime ideal.

**Proof.** Let $\mu$ be a maximal $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal of a poset $Q$, that is, maximal among all proper $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideals of a poset $Q$. Let $a, b \in Q$. Then, by Theorem 2, $\mu : b$ is an $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal. Since $\mu \subseteq \mu : b$, by maximality of $\mu$, we have either $\mu = \mu : b$ or $\mu : b = \top$. If $\mu : b = \top$, then, by Lemma 8, $\mu(b) = 1$. Thus,
\[
\inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (a, b) \} = (\mu : b)(a) = \top(a) = 1 = \mu(b).
\]
\hfill (50)

Again, if $\mu = \mu : b$, then we have $\inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (a, b) \} = (\mu : b)(a) = \mu(a)$. Thus, in either cases, we have $\inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (a, b) \} = \mu(a) or \mu(b)$, for all $a, b \in Q$. \hfill (51)

Hence, $\mu$ is an $L$-fuzzy prime ideal of $Q$.

As a consequence, we have the following corollary. \hfill \square

**Corollary 2.** Let $\mu$ be a maximal $L$-fuzzy ideal of $Q$. Then, $\mu$ is an $L$-fuzzy semiprime ideal $Q$ if and only if $\mu$ is an $L$-fuzzy prime ideal.

The following is a characterization of an $L$-fuzzy ideal to be $L$-fuzzy prime ideal in terms of a $\mu$-atom in a poset $Q$ satisfying DCC.

**Theorem 6.** Let $\mu$ be an $L$-fuzzy ideal of a poset $Q$ satisfying DCC. Then, $\mu$ is an $L$-fuzzy prime ideal $Q$ if and only if $\mu$ has exactly one $\mu$-atom with respect to some $\alpha$ in $L$.

**Proof.** Let $\mu$ be an $L$-fuzzy prime ideal of a poset $Q$ satisfying DCC. Since $\mu$ is proper, by Lemma 9, there exists a $\mu$-atom in $Q$ with respect to some $\alpha$ in $L$. Now, we claim that $\mu$ has exactly one $\mu$-atom with respect to $\alpha$ in $L$. Suppose not. Let $i, j \in Q$ be any distinct $\mu$-atoms in $Q$ with respect to $\alpha$ in $L$. Then, by Lemma 10, $i, j$ are incomparable and $\mu(x) \geq \alpha$ for all $x < i$ and $\mu(y) \geq \alpha$ for all $y < j$. This implies that $\inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (i, j) \} \geq \alpha$. Since $\inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (i, j) \} = \mu(i) or \mu(j)$, we have $\mu(i) \geq \alpha or \mu(j) \geq \alpha$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mu$ has exactly one $\mu$-atom with respect to $\alpha$ in $L$.

Conversely suppose that $Q$ has exactly one $\mu$-atom, say $i$, with respect to some $\alpha$ in $L$. Now, we show that $\mu$ is an $L$-fuzzy prime ideal. Since $\alpha \notin \mu(a)$, we have $\mu(i) \neq 1$ and hence $\mu$ is proper. Now, we show that for any $a, b \in Q$,
\[
\inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (a, b) \} = \mu(a),
\]
\hfill (52)
or $\mu(b)$.

Suppose not. Thus, there exist $a, b \in Q$ such that
\[
\inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (a, b) \} \notin \mu(a),
\]
\hfill (53)
\[
\inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (a, b) \} \notin \mu(b).
\]

Then, there exist $\mu$-atoms $i, j \in Q$ with respect to $\alpha = \inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (a, b) \} \}$ such that $i \leq a$ and $j \leq b$. Then, by hypothesis, we have $i = j$ and hence $i \in (a, b)^\dagger$. Therefore $\alpha = \inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (a, b) \} \leq \mu(a)$, which is a contradiction to the fact that $i$ is a $\mu$-atom with respect to $\alpha = \inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (a, b) \} \}$. Therefore, $\mu$ is an $L$-fuzzy prime ideal. \hfill \square

**Lemma 12.** Let $\mu$ be a proper $L$-fuzzy ideal of a poset $Q$ satisfying DCC and $A = \{ i \in Q : i is a \mu - atom \}$. Then, $\mu = \cap A : \mu : i$.

**Proof.** We show that $\cap A : \mu : i$ as the converse inclusion always holds. Suppose that $\cap A : \mu : i \notin \mu$. This implies that there exists $a \in Q$ such that $\cap A : \mu : i (a) \notin \mu (a)$. Thus, there exists a $\mu$-atom $j \in Q$ with respect to $\alpha = \cap A : \mu : i (a)$ such that $j \leq a$.

Then, we have $j \in A$, and hence,
\[
\left( \bigcap_{i \in A} : \mu : i \right) (a) \leq \mu : j (a)
\]
\hfill (54)
\[
= \inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (j, a) \}
\]
\[
= \inf \{ \mu(x) : x \in (j, a) \}
\]
\[
= \mu(j),
\]
which is a contradiction to the fact that \( j \) is a \( \mu \)-atom with respect to \( \alpha = (\cap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i) \). Hence, \( \alpha = (\cap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i) \). Therefore, \((\cap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i) \subseteq \mu \).

**Lemma 13.** The intersection of any nonempty family of L-fuzzy prime ideals of \( Q \) is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal of \( Q \).

**Proof.** Let \( \{ \mu_i : i \in \Delta \} \) be a nonempty family of L-fuzzy prime ideals of \( Q \). Put \( \mu = \cap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i \). Then, clearly, \( \mu \) is an L-fuzzy ideal of \( Q \). Let \( a, b, c \in Q \) and \( z \in \{ a, b, c \}_w \). Now, \( \inf \{ \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y) : x \in (a, b), y \in (a, c) \} \) = \( \inf \{ \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y) : y \in (a, c) \} \leq \inf \{ \mu_i(x) : x \in (a, b) \} \wedge \inf \{ \mu_i(y) : y \in (a, c) \} \), for each \( i \in \Delta \).

\[ = \mu_i(a) \lor \mu_i(b) \lor \mu_i(c) \], for each \( i \in \Delta \).

\[ \leq \mu_i(z), \text{ for each } i \in \Delta. \quad (55) \]

This implies that
\[ \inf \{ \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y) : x \in (a, b), y \in (a, c) \} \leq \left( \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i \right)(z) = \mu(z), \text{ for all } z \in \{ a, b, c \}_w. \quad (56) \]

Therefore, \( \mu = \cap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i \) is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal of \( Q \). As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4, Lemma 12, and Lemma 13 in the case of posets satisfying DCC, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 7.** Let \( \mu \) be a proper L-fuzzy ideal of a poset \( Q \) satisfying DCC. Then, \( \mu \) is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal of \( Q \) if and only if \( \mu \) is expressed as an intersection of L-fuzzy prime ideals of \( Q \).

In the following, we characterize the distributive posets in terms of L-fuzzy semiprime ideals in the following results.

**Theorem 8.** A poset \( Q \) is distributive if and only if \( \chi_{(x)} \) of \( Q \) is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal of \( Q \) for each \( x \in Q \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( Q \) is distributive poset and \( x \in Q \). Now to show \( \chi_{(x)} \) is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal of \( Q \), by Corollary 1, it is enough to show that \( \{ x \} \) is a semiprime ideal of \( Q \). Let \( a, b, c \in Q \) such that \( (a, b)^l \subseteq \{ x \} \) and \( (a, c)^l \subseteq \{ x \} \). Let \( z \in \{ a, b, c \}_w \). Then, \( z \leq a \) and \( z \leq b \). This implies that \( z'' = \{ z, b, c \}_w \subseteq \{ a, b, c \}_w \). Since \( z \leq a \), we have \( \{ z, b, c \}_w \subseteq (a, b) \) and \( (z, c')_w \subseteq (a, c) \).

This implies that \( \{ z, a \} \cup \{ z, b \} \subseteq \{ x \} \). Thus, \( z \in \{ z, a \} \cup \{ z, b \} \subseteq (x)^u = (x) \). Therefore, \( \chi_{(x)} \) is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal of \( Q \).

Conversely, suppose that \( \chi_{(x)} \) is an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal of \( Q \) for each \( x \in Q \). Then, by Corollary 1, it is clear that \( \{ x \} \) is semiprime ideal of \( Q \) for each \( x \in Q \). Let \( a, b, c \in Q \). It is enough to prove that \( \{ a, (b, c)^u \} \subseteq \{ (a, b)^l, (a, c)^l \} \). Since \( a \leq a \), \( b \leq b \), and \( c \leq c \), \( \{ a, (b, c)^u \} \subseteq (a, b)^l \). Hence, \( \{ a, (b, c)^u \} \subseteq \{ (a, b)^l, (a, c)^l \} \).

4. Conclusion

In this work, we introduce the notions of L-fuzzy semiprime ideal in general posets. Characterizations of L-fuzzy semiprime ideals in posets as well as characterizations of an L-fuzzy semiprime ideal to be L-fuzzy prime ideal are obtained by introducing the concept \( \mu \)-atom elements in a poset. Also, L-fuzzy prime ideals in a poset are characterized. Our future work will focus on the relations between the L-fuzzy semiprime (resp., L-fuzzy prime) ideals of a poset and the L-fuzzy semiprime (resp., L-fuzzy prime) of the lattice of all ideals of a poset. We will also extend and prove an analogue of Stone’s theorem for finite posets using L-fuzzy semiprime ideals.
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