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We study quantum features of the Dirac oscillator under the condition that the position and the momentum operators obey generalized commutation relations that lead to the appearance of minimal length with the order of the Planck length, \( \Delta x_{\text{min}} = \hbar \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \beta + \beta'} \), where \( \beta \) and \( \beta' \) are two positive small parameters. Wave functions of the system and the corresponding energy spectrum are derived rigorously. The presence of the minimal length accompanies a quadratic dependence of the energy spectrum on quantum number \( n \), implying the property of hard confinement of the system. It is shown that the infinite degeneracy of energy levels appearing in the usual Dirac oscillator is vanished by the presence of the minimal length so long as \( \beta \neq 0 \). Not only in the nonrelativistic limit but also in the limit of the standard case \( (\beta = \beta' = 0) \), our results reduce to well-known usual ones.

1. Introduction

It is widely accepted that the consideration of a minimal length scale in nature, which is usually expected to be of the order of the Planck length, \( l_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}} \sim 10^{-35} \text{ m} \), is necessary for a consistent formulation of quantum theory of gravity. According to this, the development of mathematical manipulation to handle the minimal length became a central issue in modern quantum gravity. The several research fields in which the concept of an observable minimal length plays an important role in their complete description are string theory [1–5], loop quantum gravity [6], noncommutative geometry [7], noncommutative field theories [8–10], and black-hole physics [11, 12]. Standard formulation of quantum mechanics with minimal length for these systems has been carried out starting from the modified Heisenberg algebra with a deformed commutation relation between position and momentum operators, which arises from intrinsic noncommutativity in geometries [13, 14]. Other similar constructions that accompanies the concept of minimal length scale have also been initiated by some authors [15–18]. The introduction of minimal length scale leads to a generalization of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle in a way that it incorporates gravitationally induced uncertainty [19]. Kempf et al. introduced, through a series of their papers [20–23], a deformed quantum mechanics on the basis of modified commutation relations between position and momentum operators. These commutation relations are characterized with non-zero minimal length and lead to a generalized uncertainty principle (GUP) which includes some corrections from the ordinary Heisenberg uncertainty relation. There are plentiful reports for the consequences of the GUP. Among them, we quote the works of Kempf et al. [21, 22] and Chang et al. [24] that are relevant to the study for solving the Schrödinger equation in momentum space for the harmonic oscillator in D-dimensions. Besides, the effects of the minimal length on the energy spectrum and momentum wave functions of the Coulomb potential in one dimension and three dimensions have been studied, respectively, in [25] and [26–28]. The quantization scheme in the presence of a minimal length
is also applied to the problem of the Casimir force for the electromagnetic field [29, 30], the magnetization of electron [31], the Pauli equation for a charged particle in a magnetic field [32], and the cosmological constant problem [33, 34]. Further noteworthy studies in this direction include the high temperature properties of the one-dimensional Dirac oscillator (DO) [35] and supersymmetric quantum mechanics of the three-dimensional Dirac oscillator [14]. The Dirac oscillator plays an important role in the description of relativistic many-body problems and supersymmetric relativistic quantum mechanics [36–41]. Dirac oscillator representation is also proposed in quantum chromodynamics, particularly, in connection with quark confinement models in mesons and baryons [42].

The purpose of this work is to investigate the mathematical formulation of the Dirac oscillator problem and its consequences by solving fundamental equations in the framework of relativistic quantum mechanics with minimal length. In Section 2, we give a brief summary of the main features of quantum mechanics with generalized commutation relations. We solve, in Section 3, the three-dimensional Dirac oscillator equation in momentum space completely and derive the corresponding wave functions and the spectrum of quantized energy for \( s = 1/2 \) (spin up) and \( s = -1/2 \) (spin down). The main consequences in the nonrelativistic limit are discussed and it is shown that our results reduce to the standard ones when we remove the scale of the minimal length. The concluding remarks are given in Section 4 which is the last section.

2. Quantum Mechanics with Minimal Length

The presence of a minimal length as a reflection of dynamical phenomenon stems from the fundamental fluctuations of the background metric at the Planck scales. The Planck length should be taken into account when we want to describe gravitational fields with its quantum fluctuations. Let us start this section with a brief review of deformed quantum mechanics in 3D. Indeed, following [14, 21], we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j & = i\hbar \{ \delta_{ij} (1 + \beta \mathbf{p}^2) + \beta^* \mathbf{p}_i \mathbf{p}_j \}, \quad (1) \\
\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i \hat{\mathbf{x}}_j & = -i\hbar \left( 2\beta - \beta^* + (2\beta + \beta^*) \beta \mathbf{p}^2 \right) \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{\mathbf{L}}_k, \quad (2) \\
\{ \mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j \} & = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \beta \) and \( \beta^* \) are two very small nonnegative parameters. The components of the angular momentum are given by

\[
\hat{\mathbf{L}}_i = \left( 1 + \beta \mathbf{p}^2 \right)^{-1} \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_j \hat{\mathbf{p}}_k, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.
\]

These satisfy the usual commutation relations of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \hat{\mathbf{L}}_i, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_j \} & = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_k, \\
\{ \hat{\mathbf{L}}_i, \mathbf{p}_j \} & = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \mathbf{p}_k.
\end{align*}
\]

The GUP can be established in this context if we take into account the presence of the minimal length scale. Considering physical states with \( \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle = 0 \) and the fact that the momentum uncertainties \( \Delta \mathbf{p}_i \) are isotropic, we see from (1) and (2) that the Heisenberg uncertainty principle takes a modified form (GUP) that is given by

\[
\Delta \mathbf{x}_i \Delta \mathbf{p}_j \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( 1 + 3\beta (\Delta \mathbf{p}_i)^2 + \beta^* (\Delta \mathbf{p}_i)^2 \right). \quad (6)
\]

Hence, the specific correction of the quantum commutation relations between \( \mathbf{x}_i \) and \( \mathbf{p}_i \) leads to the extension of the usual uncertainty relations clarifying a lower bound. The additional terms in this principle are the consequence of the modification of ordinary space of position and momentum, which is nonnegligible especially in the high energy quantum regime with the energy comparable to the Planck mass \( \sqrt{\hbar c/G} \sim 10^{19} \text{ GeV} \). Such GUP is also obtained by analyzing the Gedanken experiment [43, 44].

By minimizing position uncertainty with respect to \( \Delta \mathbf{p}_i \) in the limit that GUP is saturated, we obtain an isotropic minimal length such that

\[
\Delta \mathbf{x}_{\min} = \hbar \sqrt{3\beta + \beta^*}. \quad (7)
\]

This relation implies that there is a loss of the notion of localization in the position space. Since we are going to work in momentum space, it is convenient to use the following representation of the position and momentum operators:

\[
\mathbf{x}_i = i\hbar \left( 1 + \beta \mathbf{p}^2 \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_i} + \beta^* \mathbf{p}_i \mathbf{p}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_j} + \gamma \mathbf{p}_i,
\]

\[
\mathbf{p}_i = \mathbf{p}_i,
\]

\[
\mathbf{L}_i = -i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \mathbf{p}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_k}.
\]

The parameter \( \gamma \) can be taken arbitrarily, and it just modifies the squeezing factor of the momentum space measure without affecting the commutation relations. In fact, the inner product is now defined as

\[
\int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{\left[ 1 + (\beta + \beta^*) \mathbf{p}^2 \right]^{1 - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \beta^*/(\beta + \beta^*))}} | \mathbf{p} \rangle \langle \mathbf{p} | = 1. \quad (9)
\]

3. The Dirac Oscillator with Minimal Length

The modification of the wave function and the quantum energy that has taken place due to the existence of minimal length will be investigated here by a rigorous procedure for their evaluation. To do this, we consider momentum space problem which is more easier than that in position space due to the fact that position operators do not commute each other [see, (2)]. The replacement \( \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p} - i \hat{\beta} \mathbf{m} \mathbf{\omega} \) in the Dirac equation for a free particle gives the Dirac oscillator equation [42] such that

\[
\left[ c \hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \left( \mathbf{p} - i \hat{\beta} \mathbf{m} \mathbf{\omega} \right) + \hat{\beta} \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}^2 \right] \psi = W \psi, \quad (10)
\]

where \( m \) is the rest mass, \( \omega \) is the frequency of the Dirac oscillator, \( \hat{\alpha} \) and \( \hat{\beta} \) are the Dirac matrices, and \( \psi = (\psi_v^\dagger \psi_v) \) is a two-component spinor.
Using the following representation of $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$:
\[
\tilde{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma \\ \sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
we get the following two simultaneous equations:
\[
\begin{align*}
W\psi_a(p) &= c\sigma(p + im\omega)\psi_b(p) + mc^2\psi_a(p), \\
W\psi_b(p) &= c\sigma(p - im\omega)\psi_a(p) - mc^2\psi_b(p).
\end{align*}
\]
This system gives the following factorized equation for the large component $\psi_a(p)$:
\[
\begin{align*}
(W^2 - m^2c^4)\psi_a(p) &= c^2\left\{ p^2 + m^2\omega^2r^2 + im\omega [\sigma r, \sigma p] + im^2\omega^2(r \wedge r) \right\} \psi_a(p).
\end{align*}
\]
Using (1) and (2), we easily show that
\[
[\sigma r, \sigma p] = i\hbar(1 + (\beta + \beta')p^2)\left(\frac{2\sigma L}{\hbar} + 3\right),
\]
\[
(\beta^2 - \beta' + (2\beta + \beta')\beta p^2) L.
\]

The first two terms in the right-hand side constitute the Hamiltonian of the 3-dimensional harmonic oscillator. The third term is the spin-orbit contribution with a momentum dependent coupling strength. The fourth term is a momentum-dependent shift function which affects both the energy levels and wave functions. It is obvious that the usual momentum-dependent shift function which affects both the energy levels and wave functions.

3.1. Wave Functions. Let us now derive wave functions of the Dirac oscillator in momentum space by solving (15). We make the decomposition of the wave function into radial part and a spin-angular part as
\[
\psi(p) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_a(p) \\ \psi_b(p) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} G(p) \varphi^m_\kappa(p) \\ F(p) \varphi^m_\kappa(p) \end{pmatrix},
\]
where $\hat{p} = p/|p|$ is a unit vector. For further evaluation, we need the square of the position operator:
\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{r}^2 &= -\hbar^2 \left\{ \left[ (1 + (\beta + \beta')p^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{2}{p} + 2(\beta + \gamma) p \right] \times \left[ (1 + (\beta + \beta')p^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right] - \frac{L^2}{p^2} - \left(2\beta L^2 - 3\gamma\right) \right. \\
&\left. + \left[ \gamma (3\beta + \beta' + \gamma) - \beta^2 L^2 \right] p^2 \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]
The action of $(\sigma L)$ on the spin-angular function $\varphi^m_\kappa(p)$ results in [45]:
\[
\sigma L\varphi^m_\kappa(p) = \hbar c\varphi^m_\kappa(p),
\]
where the quantum number $\kappa$ is equal to $\lfloor 2j + 1 \rfloor - 1$. By substituting (17) and (18) into (15), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{c^2} (W^2 - m^2c^4 + 2m\omega\kappa c^2)
&+ 3m\omega c^2 - m^2\omega^2\hbar^2\kappa c^2 \left(2\beta - \beta'\right) F(p) \\
&= -m^2\omega^2\hbar^2
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\times \left\{ \left[ (1 + (\beta + \beta')p^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{2}{p} + 2(\beta + \gamma) p \right] \right.
\]
\[
\times \left[ (1 + (\beta + \beta')p^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right] - \frac{L^2}{p^2} - \left(2\beta L^2 - 3\gamma\right) \\
&+ \left[ \gamma (3\beta + \beta' + \gamma) - \beta^2 L^2 \right] p^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{m\omega} \left(2\kappa + 3\right) (\beta + \beta') \\
&- \left(2\beta + \beta'\right) \beta k \left[p^2 \right] F(p).
\]

Although this is a very complicated equation at a glance, we can simplify it via the following standard procedure. Let us first introduce a useful parameter $\xi$ in the form
\[
\xi = \frac{p}{\sqrt{m\omega}}.
\]
where
\[
\rho = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta + \beta'}} \arctan \rho \sqrt{\beta + \beta'}.
\]
(21)
Then, it is able to change the variable from $p \in (0, \infty)$ to $\xi \in (0, \pi/(2\sqrt{\kappa})$ where
\[
k = \sqrt{m\omega} (\beta + \beta').
\]
Notice that the relation between $\xi$ and $k$ is as follows:

$$\xi = \frac{1}{k} \arctan \left( \rho \sqrt{\beta + \beta'} \right). \quad (23)$$

Now, (19) can be rewritten as

$$- \frac{\zeta}{k^2} F(p) = \left\{ \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{2m \omega h}{k^2} \cot \left( \rho \sqrt{\beta + \beta'} \right) \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{m \omega h}{k^2} (\beta + \beta') L^2 \times \left[ \cot \left( \rho \sqrt{\beta + \beta'} \right) \right]^2$$

$$- \frac{m \omega h}{k^2} \left( 2 \beta L^2 - 3 \gamma \right) + \frac{m \omega h}{k^2} (\beta + \beta') \times \left[ - \frac{1}{m^2 \omega^2 \hbar^2} + \gamma (3 \beta + \beta' + \gamma) - \beta^2 L^2 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{m \omega h} \left( 2 \kappa + 3 \right) \beta \kappa \times \left[ \tan \left( \rho \sqrt{\beta + \beta'} \right) \right]^2 \right\} F(p), \quad (24)$$

where

$$\zeta = \frac{W^2 - m^2 c^4}{m \omega h c^2} + 2 \kappa + 3 - m \omega h (2 \beta - \beta'). \quad (25)$$

For further simplifications, we put

$$F = C^{1+5} f(S), \quad (26)$$

where $S$ and $C$ are given by

$$S = \sin (k \xi), \quad C = \cos (k \xi). \quad (27)$$

and $\lambda$ is a constant that will be determined later, while $\delta = \gamma /(\beta + \beta')$. From substitution of (26) into (24), we get

$$\left( 1 - S^2 \right) f'' - \left[ (2 \lambda + 1 + 2 (1 - \eta)) S - \frac{2}{S} \right] f'$$

$$+ \left\{ \frac{\zeta}{k^2} (2 \eta - 1) \times L^2 - 3 \lambda \right\}$$

$$- \frac{L^2}{S^2} + \left[ \lambda^2 - \lambda (1 + 2 \eta) - \eta^2 L^2 + \frac{(2 \kappa + 3)}{k^2} \right. \left. - \eta (\eta + 1) \kappa - \frac{1}{k^4} \right] \frac{S^2}{C^2} \right\} f = 0, \quad (28)$$

where $\eta = \beta / (\beta + \beta')$. At this stage, we eliminate the centrifugal barrier by imposing a condition that $\lambda$ satisfies equation

$$\lambda^2 - \lambda (1 + 2 \eta) - \eta^2 L^2 + \frac{(2 \kappa + 3)}{k^2} - \eta (\eta + 1) \kappa - \frac{1}{k^4} = 0. \quad (29)$$

The corresponding solutions are

$$\lambda = \frac{1 + 2 \eta}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left( \frac{1 + 2 \eta}{2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{2 \kappa + 3}{k^2} + \eta (\eta + 1) \kappa + \frac{1}{k^4} \right)} \quad (30)$$

To proceed further, it is convenient to introduce a parameter as $z = 2S^2 - 1$ and to choose $f(S) = S f(S)$. Then (28) becomes

$$\left( 1 - z^2 \right) g''(z) + [(b - a) - (a + b + 2) z] g'(z)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{\zeta}{k^2} - 2 \eta L^2 - (2 \kappa + 3) \lambda + l (2 \eta - 1) \right] g(z) = 0, \quad (31)$$

where the parameters $a$ and $b$ are defined by

$$a = \lambda_+ - \eta - \frac{1}{2}, \quad b = \frac{1}{2} + l. \quad (32)$$

Notice that $a$ is written in terms of $\lambda_+$ given in (30), and it will be justified later. To solve this differential equation, we set

$$\frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{\zeta}{k^2} - 2 \eta L^2 - (2 \kappa + 3) \lambda + l (2 \eta - 1) \right] = n' \left( n' + a + b + 1 \right), \quad (33)$$

where $n' = (n - l)/2$. This is the spectral condition from which we can extract the energy spectrum. Thus, we obtain

$$\left( 1 - z^2 \right) g''(z) + [(b - a) - (a + b + 2) z] g'(z)$$

$$+ n' \left( n' + a + b + 1 \right) g(z) = 0, \quad (34)$$

whose corresponding solution is given in terms of the Jacobi polynomials:

$$g(z) = N P_n^{(a,b)} (z), \quad (35)$$

with a normalization constant $N$.

Then, the large radial component momentum wave function is given by

$$F(z) = N Z^{- (a + \eta + \delta + 1)/2} \left( 1 - z \right)^{-(a + \eta + \delta + 1)/2} \left( 1 + z \right)^{(b - 1)/2} f_n^{(a,b)} (z). \quad (36)$$
Upon returning to the old variable $p$, we have
\[ F(p) = N \left[ 1 + \left( \beta + \beta' \right) p^2 \right]^{-(\alpha + B + \eta - \delta)/2} \left( \sqrt{\beta + \beta'} p \right)^{b-(1/2)} \times P_{n'}^{(a,b)} \left( \frac{\left( \beta + \beta' \right) p^2 - 1}{\left( \beta + \beta' \right) p^2 + 1} \right), \]  
(37)
which leads to the large component of the DO wave function:
\[ \psi_a(p) = N \left[ 1 + \left( \beta + \beta' \right) p^2 \right]^{-(\alpha + B + \eta - \delta)/2} \left( \sqrt{\beta + \beta'} p \right)^{b-1/2} \times P_{n'}^{(a,b)} \left( \frac{\left( \beta + \beta' \right) p^2 - 1}{\left( \beta + \beta' \right) p^2 + 1} \right) \varphi_{m_l}^m(p). \]  
(38)

Let us now turn to the calculation of the small component of the DO wave function $\psi_b(p)$ given by
\[ \psi_b(p) = \frac{c}{W + mc^2} \varphi(p - im\omega) \psi_a(p). \]  
(39)

With the aid of the following relations [14]:
\[ \sigma = i\hbar \sigma \left( \frac{1 + \beta p^2}{\partial \partial p} + \beta' \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right), \]
\[ \sigma_p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \sigma_p = \sigma_p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \sigma_p, \]
\[ \sigma_p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \sigma_p = \sigma_p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \sigma_p, \]
where $\sigma_p = (\sigma \cdot p)/p$, and using (18), one finds
\[ \psi_b(p) = \frac{c}{W + mc^2} \varphi_p \left[ p + m\omega \left( \frac{1}{\partial \partial p} \left( \frac{1 + \beta p^2}{\partial \partial p} \right) \right) \right] \psi_a(p). \]  
(40)

Through the introduction of the following property:
\[ \sigma_p \varphi_{m_l}^m = -\varphi_{-m_l}^m, \]  
(42)
the small radial wave function $G(p)$ is represented as follows:
\[ G(p) = \frac{-m\omega c}{W + mc^2} \left( \frac{1}{m\omega} - \beta \hbar \right) p \]
\[ + \left( 1 + \left( \beta + \beta' \right) p^2 \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( \frac{\hbar \kappa}{p} \right) F(p). \]  
(43)

At this stage we use the following decomposition, which will be useful in the calculation of both $G(p)$ and the normalization constant:
\[ F(p) = \frac{1}{p} f^{-\left(\delta + \eta - 1\right)/2} R_1(p), \]  
(44)
\[ G(p) = \frac{1}{p} f^{-\left(\delta + \eta - 1\right)/2} R_2(p), \]  
(45)
where $f(p) = 1 + \left( \beta + \beta' \right) p^2$. By comparing (44) with (37), one immediately gets
\[ R_1(p) = N \sqrt{\beta + \beta'} p^{b-1/2} f^{-\left(\alpha + a + b + 1\right)/2} \varphi_{m_l}^m (p) \]  
(46)

In the meantime, $R_2(p)$ is different depending on spin. Using the properties of the Jacobi polynomials given in [46], we have the following results for each spin:

(i) $s = 1/2$
\[ R_2(p) = \frac{-m\omega c N \sqrt{\beta + \beta'}}{W + mc^2} \]
\[ \times \left[ f \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + \left( \frac{1}{m\omega} - \beta \hbar \kappa \right) p - \frac{\hbar \kappa}{p} \right] \]
\[ \varphi_{m_l}^m (p) \]  
(47)

(ii) $s = -1/2$
\[ R_2(p) = \frac{-m\omega c N \sqrt{\beta + \beta'}}{W + mc^2} \]
\[ \times \left[ f \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + \left( \frac{1}{m\omega} - \beta \hbar \left( \kappa + 1 \right) \right) p - \frac{\hbar \left( \kappa + 1 \right)}{p} \right] \]
\[ \varphi_{m_l}^m (p) \]  
(48)
\[
\times \left[ (1 + z) \frac{d}{dz} + b \right] P_{n'\ell'}^{(a,b)}(z) = -2m\omega h c \left( b + n' \right) W + mc^2 \\
\times p^{b-1/2} f^{(a+b+1)/2} P_{n'\ell'}^{(a+b-1)}(z).
\]

(48)

Now, the normalization constant \(N\) can be calculated using the modified closure relation

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{f(p)} \left( |R_1(p)|^2 + |R_2(p)|^2 \right) = 1.
\]

(49)

If we substitute (46)–(48) into (44) and (45), the final expressions of the radial components of normalized wave functions are obtained as follows:

\[
F(p) = \left( \frac{W + mc^2}{2W} \right)^{1/2} \\
\times A^{(n')} (a, b) p^{b-1/2} f^{(1/2)(a+b+\eta+\delta)} P_{n'\ell'}^{(a,b)}(z),
\]

(50)

\[
G(p) = -\epsilon \left( \frac{W - mc^2}{2W} \right)^{1/2} \\
\times A^{(n')} (a, b) p^{b-1/2} f^{(1/2)(a+b+\eta+\delta)} P_{n'\ell'}^{(a,b)}(z),
\]

(51)

where

\[
A^{(n')} (a, b) = \frac{2(\beta+\beta')^{b+1}(a+b+2n'+1)}{\Gamma(a+n'+1)\Gamma(b+n'+1)} (a+b+n'+1)^{1/2}
\]

and \(n' = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\), except when \(s = 1/2\) and \(e = -1\), in which case \(n' = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\).

At this stage, we turn back to the problem of justifying that \(a\) is expressed in terms of \(\lambda_+\) as shown in (32). It was pointed in [21] that the normalization condition alone does not always guarantee physically relevant wave functions for the case considered the existence of minimal length. However, the physical wave functions of our system must be recognizable in the domain of momentum since \(p_i\) and \(p_j\) commute mutually as shown in (3). This physically means that there is a finite uncertainty in momentum, leading to the following condition:

\[
\langle p^2 \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{f(p)} \left[ |R_1(p)|^2 + |R_2(p)|^2 \right] < \infty,
\]

(52)

or

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{p^2 dp}{f(p)} |R_1(p)|^2 < \infty, \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{p^2 dp}{f(p)} |R_2(p)|^2 < \infty.
\]

(53)

It is easy to see that the integrand with the small radial wave function behaves like \(p^{-(2\lambda+2\eta+1)}\) for \(p \to \infty\), which requires \(\lambda > \eta + (1/2)\). This condition can only be fulfilled by choosing the upper sign in (30). For the case \(\lambda = \lambda_+\), the integrand with the large radial wave function behaves like \(p^{-(2\lambda+2\eta)}\), and then the convergence criterion requires \(\lambda_+ > \eta - (1/2)\) which is automatically satisfied in our treatment.

Let us derive the nonrelativistic limit of the Dirac oscillator equation with minimal length by setting \(W = mc^2 + E\) with \(E \ll mc^2\) and dividing by \(2m\) from (13). Then, one finds

\[
E\Psi_a(p) = \left[ \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 r^2 \mu_{SL}(p) L - \frac{3}{2} h\omega \left( 1 + (\beta + \beta')^2 \right) p^2 \right] \psi_a(p),
\]

(54)

where

\[
\mu_{SL}(p) = \frac{\omega}{\hbar} \left( 1 + (\beta + \beta')^2 \right) p^2
\]

and

\[
-\frac{\omega^2}{4} (2\beta - \beta' + (2\beta + \beta') \beta p^2).
\]

(55)

We observe that, besides the usual shifted 3D harmonic oscillator, the Hamiltonian contains an additional interaction term that is originated from the presence of the minimal length. Unlike the usual Dirac oscillator, the second term in (54) exhibits a momentum-dependent spin-orbit coupling strength given by \(\mu_{SL}(p)\). As it is well known, the spin-orbit interaction is generated by the scalar potential in the usual case. In our setup such a scalar potential can be attributed to a gravitation-like field generated by the perturbation of the space in the presence of the minimal length.

3.2. Energy Spectrum. The existence of a nonvanishing minimal length implies the modification of energy level for the usual treatment of the Dirac oscillator. In order to derive the energy spectrum of the Dirac oscillator with minimal length, we substitute the expressions of \(n', a, b, \lambda_+, \) and \(\xi\) into (33) and solve for \(W\). Indeed, after a straightforward calculations, we obtain

\[
W^2 - m^2 c^4
\]

\[
= m\omega h c^2 \left[ 2 \left( n + \frac{3}{2} \right) \right.
\]

\[
\times \left[ 1 + (m\omega h)^2 \left( \frac{(\beta' + 3\beta)^2}{4} + \beta'^2 L^2 \right) - \epsilon_{a1} \right]^{1/2}
\]

\[
+ m\omega h \left( (\beta + \beta') \left( n + \frac{3}{2} + (\beta - \beta') \right) \right.
\]

\[
\times \left( L^2 + \frac{9}{4} \right) \left[ \frac{3}{2} \beta' \right] - \epsilon_{a2} \left. \right),
\]

(56)
where
\[ \epsilon_{\kappa 1} = 3 \left( 1 + \frac{2}{3} \kappa \right) m \omega h (\beta + \beta') - m^2 \omega^2 h^2 (2\beta + \beta') \kappa, \]
\[ \epsilon_{\kappa 2} = 3 \left( 1 + \frac{2}{3} \kappa \right) - m \omega h (2\beta - \beta'). \]

which are the terms expressing the spin-orbit coupling. Notice that (56) does not depend on the parameter \( \gamma \), but depends on \( \beta \) and \( \beta' \). By expanding (56) up to first order in \( \beta \) and \( \beta' \), we get
\[ W^2 = m^2 c^4 + m \omega h c^2 \times \left\{ 2 \left[ (n-j+\frac{1}{2}) \left[ 1 + \frac{m \omega h \beta}{2} (n-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right] + \frac{m \omega h \beta'}{2} (n-j+\frac{7}{2}) + m \omega h \beta' (-4n-2nj-3) \right] \right\}, \]
for \( j = l + \frac{1}{2} \), and
\[ W^2 = m^2 c^4 + m \omega h c^2 \times \left\{ 2 \left[ (n+j+\frac{3}{2}) \left[ 1 + \frac{m \omega h \beta}{2} (n+j+\frac{3}{2}) \right] + \frac{m \omega h \beta'}{2} (n+j+\frac{7}{2}) + m \omega h \beta' (-2n+2nj-3) \right] \right\}, \]
for \( j = l - \frac{1}{2} \).

In the standard setup where \( \beta, \beta' \to 0 \), we can easily show that the following equations recover the usual energy spectrum of the Dirac oscillator [45]:
\[ W^2 - m^2 c^4 = 2m \omega h c^2 \left\{ n - j + \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \quad j = l + \frac{1}{2}, \]
\[ W^2 - m^2 c^4 = 2m \omega h c^2 \left\{ n + j + \frac{3}{2} \right\}, \quad j = l - \frac{1}{2}, \]
which shows a degeneracy of all the states with \( n \pm q \) and \( j \pm q \) for \( j = l + (1/2) \), where \( q \) is an integer, and of all the states with \( n \pm q \) and \( j \pm q \) for \( j = l - (1/2) \). As we can see from (58) and (59), a remarkable feature of the incorporation of the minimal length in the DO equation is that this degeneracy is completely removed when \( \beta' \neq 0 \). From Figure 1, we see that the intervals between adjacent energy levels that are degenerated under \( \beta' = 0 \) become large as \( \beta' \) grows.

It is important to note that the energy spectrum contains additional terms proportional to \( n^2 \), which indicates hard confinement. This behavior is expected since the original problem has been mapped into the motion of a point particle near the surface of a sphere which is in essence a motion in potential wells. In our setup the boundaries of the well are localized at 0 and \( \pi/(2 \sqrt{m \omega h (\beta + \beta')}) \).

The nonrelativistic limit of the energy spectrum in the presence of minimal length is obtained from (56) by setting \( W = mc^2 + W_{nr} \) with the assumption that \( W_{nr} \ll mc^2 \). From a little calculation, we get
\[ W_{nr} = \hbar \omega \left\{ \left( n + \frac{3}{2} \right) \times \left[ 1 + (m \omega h) \left( \frac{(\beta' + 3\beta)^2}{4} + \beta^2 L^2 \right) - \epsilon_{\kappa 1} \right] + \frac{m \omega h}{2} \left[ (\beta + \beta') \left( n + \frac{3}{2} \right)^2 + (\beta - \beta') \right] \times \left( L^2 + \frac{9}{4} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \beta' \right] - \epsilon_{\kappa 2} \right\}^{1/2}. \]

If we ignore the contribution of the spin-orbit coupling \( \epsilon_{\kappa 1} \) and \( \epsilon_{\kappa 2} \), this exactly coincides with the 3D harmonic oscillator energy in deformed space with minimal length [24].

In order to compare our results with the ones considered up to the first order in \( \beta \), that is obtained in [14], using supersymmetric quantum mechanical methods, let us expand (61) to the first order in \( \beta \) and \( \beta' \) such that
\[ W_{nr} = \hbar \omega \left\{ \left( n - j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \times \left[ 1 + \frac{m \omega h \beta}{2} (n-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right] + \frac{m \omega h \beta'}{4} (n+j+\frac{9}{2}) - m \omega h \beta' \right. \times \left( 2n+nj+\frac{3}{2} \right), \quad j = l + \frac{1}{2}, \]
\[ W_{nr} = \hbar \omega \left\{ \left( n + j + \frac{3}{2} \right) \times \left[ 1 + \frac{m \omega h \beta}{2} (n+j+\frac{3}{2}) \right] + \frac{m \omega h \beta'}{4} (n-j+\frac{7}{2}) - m \omega h \beta' \right. \times \left( n-nj+\frac{3}{2} \right), \quad j = l - \frac{1}{2}, \]
respectively. Clearly, in the limit \( \beta' = 0 \), these equations become identical with the ones obtained in [14]. The discrepancy when \( \beta' \neq 0 \) can be attributed to the method used by the
authors of [14] where there is a manifest distinction between large and small values of \( j \).

Now, by setting \( \beta' = 0 \) and \( \beta = 0 \) from (62), one obtains the energy levels of the standard Dirac oscillator in the nonrelativistic limit as follows:

\[
W_n = \hbar \omega \left( n - j + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad j = l + \frac{1}{2},
\]

\[
W_n = \hbar \omega \left( n + j + \frac{3}{2} \right), \quad j = l - \frac{1}{2}.
\]

Using \( n = 2n' + l \), we confirm that the average energy between the up-spin and the down-spin states is \( \bar{W}_n = \hbar \omega (2n' + l + (1/2)) \) which differs from the usual nonrelativistic harmonic oscillator eigenvalue by \( \hbar \omega \), which is attributed to the spin-orbit coupling. In the presence of the minimal length, the following can be shown:

\[
\bar{W}_n = \hbar \omega \left\{ \left( 2n' + l + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right. \\
\times \left[ 1 + \frac{m\omega h \beta}{2} \left( 2n' + l + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \\
- \frac{m\omega h \beta'}{2} \left( 2n' + l - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left\} , \right.
\]

where additional spin-orbit-like contribution proportional to \( \beta \) and \( \beta' \) appears.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have exactly solved the Dirac oscillator equation in 3 dimensions in the framework of relativistic quantum mechanics with minimal length. Quantum features of the system such as wave functions and the energy spectrum are investigated using common techniques for noncommutative geometry algebra.

Although our wave functions for \( \beta \neq 0 \) and \( \beta' \neq 0 \) exactly coincide with the ones obtained in [14] using supersymmetric quantum mechanical (SUSYQM) formalism, it is shown that the energy spectrum we have obtained here is different from theirs. An important point of our result, that distinguishes it from previous works, is that the presence of the minimal length reveals a quadratic dependence of the energy spectrum on quantum number \( n \), as well as the appearance of the usual term that is linearly dependent on \( n \), implying the property of hard confinement of the system. However, for \( \beta' = 0 \), the energy spectrum to the first order in \( \beta \) becomes the same as the one obtained in [14].

An interesting feature that we have shown in this work is that the well-known usual infinite degeneracy of the usual Dirac oscillator energy levels is completely removed by the presence of the minimal length in the case \( \beta' \neq 0 \). We see from Figure 1 that all of degenerated energies under \( \beta' = 0 \) are splitting in the presence of \( \beta' \), and the interval between split energies becomes large as \( \beta' \) increases. It is also confirmed that, when we ignore the spin-orbit coupling, the nonrelativistic expression of the energy levels exactly reduces to that for the energy of 3D harmonic oscillator with the minimal length scale [24].

Finally, it is important to note that our results were obtained using a noncovariant deformed algebra given by (1)–(3), and it is legitimate to ask whether the different properties of the energy spectrum obtained in this work remain valid if one uses a covariant deformed algebra like the one introduced in [47, 48]. This issue will be addressed in our forthcoming contribution on the subject of this context. Indeed, the concept of minimal length became one of common factors for many different formulations of quantum mechanics with general relativity, due to the fact that minimal length is an intrinsic scale characterizing the physically meaningful finite minimal size in string theory.
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