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In the presence of a minimal length, physical objects cannot collapse to an infinite density, singular, matter point. In this paper, we consider the possible final stage of the gravitational collapse of “thick” matter layers. The energy momentum tensor we choose to model these shell-like objects is a proper modification of the source for “noncommutative geometry inspired,” regular black holes. By using higher momenta of Gaussian distribution to localize matter at finite distance from the origin, we obtain new solutions of the Einstein equation which smoothly interpolates between Minkowski’s geometry near the center of the shell and Schwarzschild’s spacetime far away from the matter layer. The metric is curvature singularity free. Black hole type solutions exist only for “heavy” shells; that is, \( M \geq M_e \), where \( M_e \) is the mass of the extremal configuration. We determine the Hawking temperature and a modified area law taking into account the extended nature of the source.

1. Introduction

Relativistic, self-gravitating matter shells have been thoroughly investigated in different sectors of theoretical physics: “…from cosmic inflation to hadronic bags” [1]. Remarkable applications of gravitational shell models can be found in the framework of inflationary cosmology, where both the “birth” and the evolution of vacuum bubbles can be effectively described in terms of the dynamics of the boundary surface engulfing a false vacuum domain [1–4]. Matter shells in general relativity are modeled as “zero-thickness” membranes endowed with some characteristic tension determined by the underlying classical, or quantum, physics. Neglecting the real width of the mass-energy distribution affects Einstein’s equations by introducing a surface of discontinuity in the background spacetime. This approximation allows to encode all the dynamics in the matching condition between the inner and outer geometries [5, 6]. Furthermore, contracting matter shells provide useful analytic toy models of collapsing massive bodies leading to black hole formation. In the spherically symmetric case, the only dynamical degree of freedom is given by the shell radius and the system can be quantized according to the standard principle of quantum mechanics.

In this framework, self-gravitating quantum shells open a window over the still “murky” quantum features of evaporating mini black holes [7–11].

In this paper, we are going to investigate the static, final stage of collapsed matter shell in the presence of a fundamental minimal length forbidding the shell to contract into a singular matter point. The emergence of a minimal length, as a new fundamental constant of nature on the same ground as \( c \) and \( \hbar \), is a general feature of different approaches to quantum gravity [12–14].

In recent years, we showed that the very concept of “point particle” is meaningless if there exists a lower bound to physically measurable lengths. For instance, in a series of papers the repercussions of a natural ultraviolet cutoff have been analyzed in the context of quantum field theory [15–20]. This basic notion can be also encoded into the Einstein equations through a proper choice of the energy momentum tensor. The most remarkable outcomes of this procedure are the disappearance of curvature singularities in the solutions of the Einstein equations [21], a regular behavior of the Hawking temperature which allows to determine the physical character of the evaporation remnant [22–26], and a different form of the relation between entropy and area of
the event horizon reproducing the celebrated area law for
large, semiclassical black holes [27, 28].

The procedure has been applied in the whole array of
physically meaningful black hole solutions like the neutral,
nonrotating case [29–32], the charged, nonrotating case
[33, 34], the “dirty,” neutral, and nonrotating case [35], and
the spinning, neutral [36] and charged cases [37]. In addition,
the regularity of the above metrics has been exploited in
several complementary contexts like the decay of the de Sitter
universe by quantum black hole nucleation [38] and the case
of dimensionally reduced spacetimes [39]. The procedure we
followed has been also recognized as a special result [40] of
recently formulated nonlocal gravity proposals [41, 42] with
important cross-fertilization in the AdS/CFT paradigm [43].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will
derive new solutions of Einstein’s equations describing spheri-
cally symmetric, static, self-gravitating, thick matter layers in
the presence of a fundamental minimal length. These types
of objects are modeled by means of a proper modification of
the source for “noncommutative geometry inspired,” regular
black holes. We replace the Gaussian profile of mass-energy,
peaked around the origin, with higher moments of Gaussian
distribution with maxima shifted at finite distance from the
origin. The finite width of the distribution is determined
by the minimal length. In the case of lump-type objects,
the minimal length measures the spread of mass-energy
around the origin and removes the curvature singularity.
For finite width matter layers, with energy density vanishing at
short distance, not only the central curvature singularity is
removed, but the extrinsic curvature discontinuity between
“inner” and “outer” geometry is cured as well. Spacetime
geometry is continuous and differentiable everywhere and
smoothly interpolates between Minkowski’s metric near the
center and Schwarzschild’s spacetime far away from the
matter layer.

As in the cases previously discussed, black hole type
solutions exist only for “heavy” shells; that is, \( M \geq M_c \), where
\( M_c \) is the mass of the extremal configuration. “Light” matter
layers with \( M < M_c \) will settle down in a smooth solitonic
type configuration with no horizons or curvature singularity.

In Section 3, we study the thermodynamic properties
of black hole solutions and determine both the Hawking
temperature, \( T_H \), and the relation between entropy and area
of the horizon. We recover the celebrated area law in the
limit of large, semiclassical black holes. On a general ground,
we find the leading term is one-fourth of the area but in
units of an “effective” gravitational coupling constant, \( G_N(r_e) \),
depending on the radius of black hole. For “large” \( r_e \), the
Newton constant is recovered. Finally, in Section 4, we will
draw the conclusions.

## 2. Thick Shells

In a previous series of papers we solved the Einstein equations
including the effects of a minimal length on a proper energy-
momentum tensor

\[
R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = 8\pi G_N T_{\mu\nu} \tag{1}
\]

where \( T_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(\rho, p_r, p_\perp, p_\perp) \). The energy density is a min-
imal width Gaussian distribution

\[
\rho = \rho_0 (r) \equiv \frac{M}{(4\pi \theta)^{3/2}} e^{-r^2/4\theta}, \tag{2}
\]

representing a “blob-like” object, centred around the origin,
with a characteristic extension given by \( l \propto \sqrt{\theta} \). A simple way
to derive the above energy profile is based on the following
considerations. At classical level point-like objects in spheri-
cal coordinates are described by a profile

\[
\rho_\delta (r) = \frac{M}{4\pi r^2} \delta (r), \tag{3}
\]

where \( \delta (r) \) is the Dirac delta. We recall that a Dirac delta
function can be represented as the derivative of a Heaviside
step-function \( \Theta (r) \)

\[
\delta (r) = \frac{d}{dr} \Theta (r). \tag{4}
\]

However, in the presence of a minimal length the very con-
cept of sharp step is no longer meaningful. Rather we expect
the local loss of resolution to sweeten the step. Accordingly,
it can be shown that in the framework of a minimal length
a modified step function can be defined through an integral
representation of the Heaviside function without taking the
limit \( \sqrt{\theta} \to 0 \) [44]:

\[
\Theta (r) \longrightarrow P_\theta (r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi \theta^{3/2}}} \int_0^r x^2 e^{-x^2/4\theta} dx. \tag{5}
\]

The Gaussian profile (2) is therefore obtained as

\[
\rho_0 (r) = \frac{M}{4\pi r^2} \frac{d}{dr} P_\theta (r). \tag{6}
\]

In order to solve Einstein’s equations, we need to determine
the remaining components of the energy-momentum tensor.
The radial pressure \( p_r \) is fixed by the equation of state
\( p_r = -\rho \) reproducing the de Sitter “vacuum” equation of state
at short distance. This is a key feature to build up a regular,
stable configuration, where the negative pressure balances the
gravitational pull. In other words, the singularity theorem is
evaded by a violation of null energy condition triggered by
the short distance vacuum fluctuations.

Finally, the tangential pressure \( p_\perp \) is obtained in terms of
\( \rho \) by the divergence-free condition \( \nabla \mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \).

As the source is static and spherically symmetric, the line
element can be cast in the form

\[
ds^2 = -f (r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f (r)} + r^2 \Omega^2 \tag{7}
\]

with

\[
f (r) = 1 - \frac{2G_N m (r)}{r}. \tag{8}
\]

The cumulative mass distribution \( m (r) \) is given by

\[
m (r) = 4\pi \int_0^r dr' (r')^2 \rho_0 (r'). \tag{9}
\]
We notice that the parameter $M$ corresponds to the total mass energy of the system; namely,

$$M = \lim_{r \to \infty} m(r).$$

The above profile cures the usual Dirac delta (singular) distribution associated to a point particle, and leads to a family of regular black hole solutions [29–39].

A crucial point at the basis of the above line of reasoning is the modification of the step function in the presence of a minimal length. We notice that the profile $(5)$ is just one of the possible choices one can have to account for the loss of resolution of the edge of the step [44]. In other words, there exist alternative representations of the Heaviside function for which one can deliberately avoid the limit $\sqrt{\theta} \to 0$. For instance, the family of distributions

$$\Theta(r) \longrightarrow P_k(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}^{k+1/2}} \frac{(k+1)!}{[2(k+1)]!} \int_0^r x^{2k+2} e^{-x^2/\sqrt{\theta}} dx$$

account for minimal length effects growing with the index $k$, where $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ is a natural number (see Figure 1). This can be seen by the limit $r/\sqrt{\theta} \gg 1$

$$P_k(r) = 1 - \frac{4^{k+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{(k+1)!}{[2(k+1)]!} \left( \frac{r}{2\sqrt{\theta}} \right)^{2k+1} e^{-r^2/\theta}$$

which shows that the distributions $P_k(r)$ reach the plateau (i.e., $P_k \approx 1$) more slowly as $k$ increases.

Here, we want to explore the nature of the solutions emerging for the above admissible profiles for a modified step function. By replacing $P_0$ with a generic $P_k$ in (6), we derive the following energy density profile:

$$\rho_k(r) = M \frac{r^{2k} e^{-r^2/\theta}}{4^{k+1} \pi \theta^{(k+3)/2} \Gamma(k+3/2)},$$

which corresponds to higher moments of the Gaussian.

For $k = 0$, the function $\rho_k$ turns into the Gaussian distribution, centred around the origin, while for $k \geq 1$ the matter distribution is more and more diluted near the origin, being peaked at $r_M = 2\sqrt{k\theta}$. As a result, the density function (13) describes a whole family of “mass-degenerate” shells, with the same $M$, but concentrated at a distance given by $r_M$ (see Figure 2). Equation (13) discloses further properties of the minimal length, which assumes a new intriguing meaning. In the case of point particle, $\sqrt{\theta}$ represents the spread of the object around the origin. For matter shells, we see that $\sqrt{\theta}$ relates to the shell thickness or, in other words, a measure of the intrinsic fuzziness of the layer. Just as a particle cannot be exactly localized at a single point, we cannot have zero-width layers as well. Thus, as the matter distribution is smooth everywhere, there is no discontinuity in the extrinsic curvature between the “inner” and “outer” geometries. No matching condition is required and we can look for a single, smooth, metric inside, across, and outside the matter layer.

We can define the distance between two shells corresponding to different moments as the distance between the peaks:

$$\frac{\Delta r_M}{2\sqrt{\theta}} = \sqrt{k+1} - \sqrt{k}.$$

We see that for higher moments $\Delta r_M$ vanishes as we are at length scale much larger than $\sqrt{\theta}$ and the relative distance cannot be resolved anymore.

A stable solution of the Einstein equations can be obtained by sourcing the gravitational field by the energy momentum tensor of an anisotropic fluid; the choice $\rho_k = -\rho_k$ for the matter equation of state allows to have $g_{00} = -\delta_{rr}$. Furthermore, the hydrodynamic equilibrium equation will

![Figure 1: Plot of the function $P_k(r)$ as a function of $r/\sqrt{\theta}$ for $k = 0$ (purple), $k = 1$ (cyan), $k = 2$ (blue), $k = 7$ (red), $k = 8$ (pink), $k = 20$ (green), and $k = 21$ (yellow). For comparison, the Heaviside function is plotted in black.](image1)

![Figure 2: Plot of the radial density profile $\rho(r)$ as a function of $r$ in $\sqrt{\theta}$-units for $k = 1$ (cyan), $k = 2$ (blue), $k = 7$ (red), $k = 8$ (pink), $k = 20$ (green), and $k = 21$ (yellow). The function $\rho(r)$ has been normalized so that its integration over a spherical volume gives 1.](image2)
Figure 3: Plot of $g_{00}(r)$ as a function of $r$ in $\sqrt{\theta}$-units for fixed value of $k = 1$ and different values of the mass $M$; that is, $M = 1$ (blue), $M = M_e$ (magenta), and $M = 5$ (brown). Here, $M_e \approx 2.36976$ is the extremal mass for the case $k = 1$.

give $p_+$ in terms of $\rho_+$, while the metric itself results in being independent from $p_+$. The solution of the Einstein equations reads in geometric units, $c = 1, G_N = 1$:

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r}\right)dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2,$$

$$m(r) \equiv 4\pi \int_0^r d\rho \rho^2 \rho(r') = M \frac{\gamma(k + 3/2; r^2/4\theta)}{\Gamma(k + 3/2)},$$

where $\gamma(k + 3/2; r^2/4\theta)$ is the lower, incomplete Euler Gamma function. By taking into account the asymptotic form of $\gamma(k + 3/2; r^2/4\theta)$ for small argument, one finds that the metric (15) is essentially flat near the origin, the contrary to what happens for the case $k = 0$ in which a regular de Sitter core forms.

Indeed, one finds that

$$f(r) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2k + 3} \left[\frac{4M}{\Gamma(k + 3/2)}\right] \left(\frac{r^2}{4\theta}\right)^{k+3/2},$$

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - O\left(r^{2k+2}\right)\right)dt^2 + (1 - O\left(r^{2k+2}\right))dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2.$$}

The asymptotic behavior (18) can be seen as a generalization of the “Gauss Theorem”; inside an empty, classical, thin shell of matter, the Newtonian gravitational field is zero; that is, spacetime is flat. Introducing a finite width density, smoothly decreasing both toward the origin and space-like infinity, causes small deviations from perfect flatness.

Going back to (15), the presence of event horizons is read from the zeroes of $g_{rr}^{-1}$ (see Figure 3). Due to the nontrivial structure of the metric, it is more convenient to look at the “horizon equation” in the form

$$M \equiv U(r_H), \quad U(r_H) \equiv \frac{r_H}{2} \frac{\Gamma(k + 3/2)}{\gamma(k + 3/2; r_H^2/4\theta)}.$$}

The problem of finding the horizons in the metric (15) is mapped in the equivalent problem of determining the turning points for the motion of a “test particle” of energy $M$ subject to the “potential” $U(r_H)$. The intersection(s) between a line $M = \text{const.}$ and the plot of the function $U(r_H)$, in the plane $M$-$r_H$, represent the allowed radii of inner/outerevent horizons (see Figure 4). The asymptotic behavior of $U(r_H)$ is obtained from the corresponding approximate forms of $\gamma$:

$$U(r_H) \approx \frac{r_H}{2}, \quad r_H \gg \sqrt{\theta},$$

Thus, $U(r_H)$ is a convex function with a single minimum determined by the condition

$$\frac{dU}{dr_H} = 0 \rightarrow \frac{\gamma(k + 3/2; r^2/4\theta)}{2^{k+1} \sqrt{\theta}} = \gamma \left(\frac{3}{2}; \frac{r^2}{4\theta}\right)e^{r^2/4\theta}.$$}

The radius $r_e$ represents the size of an extremal configuration with a couple of degenerate horizons: $r_- = r_+ \equiv r_e$. Once $r_e$ is numerically determined from (21), one gets the corresponding mass $M_e$ from the potential:

$$M_e = U(\rho_e) = U_{\text{min}}.$$}

An order of magnitude estimate for $r_e$ and $M_e$ can be obtained by keeping only the leading theta term:

$$r_e \sim \sqrt{\theta} \rightarrow M_e \sim \frac{\sqrt{\theta}}{L_{\text{Pl}}} M_{\text{Pl}},$$}

where $L_{\text{Pl}}$ is the Planck length; that is, $L_{\text{Pl}} = M_{\text{Pl}}^{-1} = \sqrt{G_N}$. This estimate suggests that (near/extremal) configurations are close to a full quantum gravity regime. As such, they are appropriate candidates to describe the endpoints of the Hawking evaporation process where the semiclassical description breaks down.

In summary

(i) for $M > M_e$, we find a geometry with noncoincident inner and outer horizons $r_- < r_e$. It is worth to remark that there is no curvature singularity in $r = 0$. Spacetime is flat near the origin;
(ii) for $M = M_e$, we have an extremal configuration with a pair of degenerate horizons, $r_+ = r_+ = r_e$.

(iii) for $M < M_e$ there are neither horizons nor curvature singularities. The metric is smooth and regular everywhere. The shell is too light and diluted to produce any relevant alteration of the spacetime fabric. After collapse, it will settle into a sort of solitonic object with no horizon of curvature singularity.

Massive layers will produce horizons, but no curvature singularities. This is a crucial point which marks a departure with respect to all the existing literatures. Our approach must not be confused with previous contributions in which generalized matter shells containing polytropic and Chaplygin gas cannot ultimately resolve the emergence of singularities [45].

We can estimate the size of these objects by solving iteratively (19) and truncating the procedure at the first order in the expansion parameter $\exp(-M^2/\theta)$:

$$r_+ = 2M \left[ 1 - \frac{(M^2/\theta)^{k+1/2}}{\Gamma(k+3/2)} e^{-M^2/\theta} \right]. \quad (24)$$

This quantity can be compared with the shell mean radius

$$\langle r \rangle \equiv \frac{4\pi}{M} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \rho(r) = 2 \sqrt{\theta} \frac{\Gamma(k+2)}{\Gamma(k+3/2)}. \quad (25)$$

We notice that for $k \gg 1$ the leading contribution to the ratio $r_+/\langle r \rangle$ is given by

$$\frac{r_+}{\langle r \rangle} = \frac{M}{\sqrt{\theta}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}}. \quad (26)$$

Thus, for an assigned $M$ the ratio decreases with $k$. The horizon radius is determined by the total mass energy $M$ and is weakly $k$ dependent. On the contrary, $\langle r \rangle \propto \sqrt{k+1} \sqrt{\theta}$ and grows with $k$, which means that for higher moments the horizon is surrounded by a cloud of matter.

### 3. Thermodynamics

Massive shells will collapse into black holes described by the line element (7), (8). This is not the end of story as these objects are semiclassically unstable under Hawking’s emission. We are now ready to study the thermodynamic properties of these solutions starting from the Hawking temperature:

$$T_H = \frac{1}{4\pi r_+} \left[ 1 - \frac{r_+^{2k+3}}{2^{k+1} \theta^{k+3/2}} \frac{e^{-r_+^2/4\theta}}{(k+3/2; r_+^2/4\theta)} \right]. \quad (27)$$

It can be easily verified that $T_H$ is vanishing for the extremal configuration $T_H(r_+ = r_e) = 0$. For $k = 0$, we obtain the temperature of the black hole as in [31]. The behavior of the temperature can be found in Figure 5 and Table I. We notice that the regularity of the manifold for any $k$ leads to a cooling down of the horizon in the terminal phase of the Hawking process. At $r_+ = r_{\text{max}}$, the presence of a maximum temperature corresponds to an infinite discontinuity of the heat capacity which is usually interpreted as the signal of a “change of state” for the system. For $r_+ > r_{\text{max}}$, the black hole is thermodynamically unstable and increases its temperature by radiating away its own mass. After crossing $r_{\text{max}}$, that is, for $r_+ < r_{\text{max}}$, the black hole enters a stability phase asymptotically ending into a degenerate (zero-temperature) extremal configuration. By increasing $k$, we just lower down the maximum temperature. As a result, the solution is unaffected by any relevant quantum back reaction as already proved for the case $k = 0$ in [31].

A further important consequence of $T_H \leq T_{\max}$ is that (in extradimensional models) the black hole mainly radiates on the brane [46], it never becomes hot enough to warm up the bulk in a significant way.

The area-entropy law can be recovered from the relation

$$dM = T_H dS, \quad (28)$$

where $dS$ is the horizon entropy variation triggered by a variation $dM$ in the total mass energy $M$. In order to translate the first law of black hole thermodynamics (28) into a relation involving the area of the event horizon, we need to write mass energy variation as

$$dM = \frac{\partial U}{\partial r_+} dr_+, \quad (29)$$

and to take into account that the minimum of $U(r_+)$ is the mass of the extremal black hole. Thus, when integrating
(29), the lower integration limit is the radius of the extremal configuration

\[ S = \int_{r_s}^{r_0} dx \frac{1}{T_H} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(k+3/2)} \gamma(k+3/2; r^2/4\theta) \frac{r}{\gamma(k+3/2; r^2/4\theta)} \]

where we have inserted (27) and (29) into (30). By performing the integral, one gets

\[ S = 2\pi\Gamma\left( k + \frac{3}{2} \right) \int_{r_s}^{r_0} dr \frac{r}{\gamma(k+3/2; r^2/4\theta)} \]

\[ + \pi\Gamma\left( k + \frac{3}{2} \right) \int_{r_s}^{r_0} drr^2 \frac{v}{\gamma(k+3/2; r^2/4\theta)} \]

The first term can be written in terms of the area of the event horizon as

\[ S = \frac{1}{4G_N} \Gamma\left( k + \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{A_H}{\gamma(k+3/2; r^2/4\theta)} \frac{A_H}{\gamma(k+3/2; r^2/4\theta)} + \cdots \]

and represents the "area law" in our case. We have reinserted the Newton constant into (32) for reasons to become clear in a while. The standard form, which is one-fourth of the area, is recovered in the large black hole limit, \( r_H \gg \sqrt{\theta} \).

Once (32) is written in natural units, we can define an effective Newton constant as

\[ G_N \rightarrow G_N \left( r_H \right) \equiv G_N \frac{\gamma(k+3/2; r^2/4\theta)}{\Gamma(k+3/2)} \]

and introduce a "modified" area law as

\[ S\left( r_H \right) = \frac{\pi r^2_H}{4G_N \left( r_H \right)} \]

The interesting feature of \( G_N\left( r_H \right) \) is to be "asymptotically free" in the sense that it is smaller than \( G_N \), which represents the asymptotic value of the gravitational coupling for large black holes only (it is interesting to remark that if we apply the same reasoning to the Newton constant in (8), and replace \( r_H \) with the radial coordinate \( r \), we find a radial distance-dependent gravitational coupling, which is quickly vanishing in the limit \( r \rightarrow 0 \). This asymptotically free behavior of our model, at short distance, provides an alternative explanation for the absence of curvature singularity in \( r = 0 \).

Finally, the second term in (31) gives exponentially small corrections to the leading area term. It can be analytically computed for \( k \gg 1 \), but it does not introduce any relevant new effect.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we derived new static, spherically symmetric regular solutions of Einstein's equations, describing the final state of collapsing matter shells in the presence of an effective minimal length. This derivation is the result of a long path starting with the quest of quantum gravity regularized black hole solutions [21, 29–40]. We showed that our previous discoveries of noncommutative geometry inspired solutions correspond to the simplest case within a larger class of regular gravitational objects. This class, the family of gravitational shells here presented, has peculiar properties which descend from the common key feature of the absence of curvature singularities. Such properties are the existence of extremal configurations, characterized by a minimal mass below which horizon cannot form, even in the case of neutral, nonrotating black holes: the occurrence of a phase transition from a thermodynamically unstable classical phase to a thermodynamically stable cooling down in the final stage of the horizon evaporation. As for the case of regular black holes, these matter shells correspond to final configurations of dynamical processes of gravitational collapse in which matter cannot be compressed below a fundamental length scale. The above properties are also common to other models of quantum geometry that have been derived by means of different formalisms. Specifically the shell profile of the energy density resembles what one has in the case of loop quantum black holes, with consequent thermodynamic similarities [47–50].

The study of these objects is far from being complete. We believe that these new solutions can have repercussions in a variety of fields. Here we just mention that these regular shells could affect the stability of the de Sitter space, at least during inflationary epochs [38] and they could lead to new insights into the physics of nuclear matter via the gauge/gravity duality paradigm as well [43].
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