Exact Analytical Solution of the N-Dimensional Radial Schrödinger Equation with Pseudoharmonic Potential via Laplace Transform Approach

Tapas Das¹ and Altuğ Arda²

¹Kodalia Prasanna Banga High School (H.S), South 24 Parganas, Sonarpur 700146, India
²Department of Physics Education, Hacettepe University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey

Correspondence should be addressed to Tapas Das; tapasd20@gmail.com
Received 16 September 2015; Revised 1 December 2015; Accepted 7 December 2015

The second-order N-dimensional Schrödinger equation with pseudoharmonic potential is reduced to a first-order differential equation by using the Laplace transform approach and exact bound state solutions are obtained using convolution theorem. Some special cases are verified and variations of energy eigenvalues \( E_n \) as a function of dimension \( N \) are furnished. To give an extra depth of this paper, the present approach is also briefly investigated for generalized Morse potential as an example.

1. Introduction

Schrödinger equation has long been recognized as an essential tool for the study of atoms, nuclei, and molecules and their spectral behaviors. Much effort has been spent to find the exact bound state solution of this nonrelativistic equation for various potentials describing the nature of bonding or the nature of vibration of quantum systems. A large number of research workers all around the world continue to study the ever fascinating Schrödinger equation, which has wide application over vast areas of theoretical physics. The Schrödinger equation is traditionally solved by operator algebraic method [1], power series method [2, 3], or path integral method [4].

There are various other alternative methods in the literature to solve Schrödinger equation such as Fourier transform method [5–7], Nikiforov-Uvarov method [8], asymptotic iteration method [9], and SUSYQM [10]. The Laplace transformation method is also an alternative method in the list and it has a long history. The LTA was first used by Schrödinger to derive the radial eigenfunctions of the hydrogen atom [11].

Later Englefield used LTA to solve the Coulomb, oscillator, exponential, and Yamaguchi potentials [12]. Using the same methodology, the Schrödinger equation has also been solved for various other potentials, such as pseudoharmonic [13], Dirac delta [14], and Morse-type [15, 16] and harmonic oscillator [17] specially on lower dimensions.

Recently, N-dimensional Schrödinger equations have received focal attention in the literature. The hydrogen atom in five dimensions and isotropic oscillator in eight dimensions have been discussed by Davtyan and coworkers [18]. Chatterjee has reviewed several methods commonly adopted for the study of N-dimensional Schrödinger equations in the large \( N \) limit [19], where a relevant \( 1/N \) expansion can be used. Later Yáñez et al. have investigated the position and momentum information entropies of N-dimensional system [20]. The quantization of angular momentum in N-dimensions has been described by Al-Jaber [21]. Other recent studies of Schrödinger equation in higher dimension include isotropic harmonic oscillator plus inverse quadratic potential [22], N-dimensional radial Schrödinger equation...
with the Coulomb potential [23]. Some recent works on N-dimensional Schrödinger equation can be found in the references list [24–31].

These higher dimension studies facilitate a general treatment of the problem in such a manner that one can obtain the required results in lower dimensions just dialing appropriate N. The pseudoharmonic potential is expressed in the form [32]

\[ V(r) = D_s \left( \frac{r}{r_c} - \frac{r_c}{r} \right)^2, \quad (1) \]

where \( D_s = (1/8)K_cr_c^2 \) is the dissociation energy with the force constant \( K_c \) and \( r_c \) is the equilibrium constant. The pseudoharmonic potential is generally used to describe the rotovibrational states of diatomic molecules and nuclear rotations and vibrations. Moreover, the pseudoharmonic potential and some kinds of it for N-dimensional Schrödinger equation help to test the powerfulness of different analytical methods for solving differential equations. To give an example, the dynamical algebra of the Schrödinger equation in N-dimension has been studied by using pseudoharmonic potential [33]. Taseli and Zafer have tested the accuracy of the product of these two is the Laplace transform of the convolution \((G*H)(y)\), where

\[ (G * H)(y) = \int_0^y G(y - \tau)H(\tau) \, d\tau. \tag{5} \]

So the convolution theorem yields

\[ \mathcal{L}(G * H)(y) = g(s)h(s). \tag{6} \]

Hence

\[ \mathcal{L}^{-1} \{ g(s) h(s) \} = \int_0^y G(y - \tau)H(\tau) \, d\tau. \tag{7} \]

If we substitute \( \omega = y - \tau \), then we find the important consequence \( G * H = H * G \).

3. Bound State Spectrum

The N-dimensional time-independent Schrödinger equation for a particle of mass \( M \) with orbital angular momentum quantum number \( \ell \) is given by [39]

\[ \left[ \nabla_N^2 + \frac{2M}{\hbar^2} (E - V(r)) \right] \psi_{n\ell m}(r, \Omega_N) = 0, \tag{8} \]

where \( \nabla_N^2 \) is the energy eigenvalues and potential. \( \psi_{n\ell m}(r, \Omega_N) \) denotes the nth state eigenfunctions of angular variables \( \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \ldots, \theta_{N-2}, \phi \). The Laplacian operator in hyperspherical coordinates is written as

\[ \nabla_N^2 = \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^{N-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{\Lambda_{N-1}^2}{r^2}, \tag{9} \]

where

\[ \Lambda_{N-1}^2 = - \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_{k+1}} \frac{\sin^2 \theta_{k+1}}{\sin^2 \theta_{N-1} \sin^2 \phi} \cdot \left( \sin^2 \theta_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k} + \frac{1}{\sin^{N-2} \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \cdot \sin^{N-2} \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}. \tag{10} \]

\( \Lambda_{N-1}^2 \) is known as the hyperangular momentum operator.
We chose the bound state eigenfunctions $\psi_{n\ell m}(r, \Omega_N)$ that are vanishing for $r \to 0$ and $r \to \infty$. Applying the separation variable method by means of the solution $\psi_{n\ell m}(r, \Omega_N) = R_{n\ell}(r) Y_{\ell m}^{n}(\Omega_N)$, (8) provides two separated equations:

$$L_{N-1} \psi_{n\ell m}(\Omega_N) = \ell (\ell + N - 2) \psi_{n\ell m}(\Omega_N),$$  

(11)

where $Y_{\ell m}^{n}(\Omega_N)$ is known as the hyperspherical harmonics, and the hyperradial or in short the “radial” equation

$$\left[ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\ell (\ell + N - 2)}{r^2} - \frac{2M}{\hbar^2} \left[ V(r) - E \right] \right] R_{n\ell}(r) = 0,$$

(12)

where $\ell (\ell + N - 2)$ is the separation constant \[40, 41\] with $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

In spite of taking (1) we take the more general form of pseudoharmonic potential \[42\]

$$V(r) = a_1 r^2 + a_2 \frac{1}{r^2} + a_3,$$

(13)

where $a_1, a_2,$ and $a_3$ are three parameters that can take any real value. If we set $a_1 = D_1 r_1^2$, $a_2 = D_2 r_2^2$, and $a_3 = -2D_3$ (13) converts into the special case which we have given in (1). Taking this into (12) and using the abbreviations

$$\nu = \ell (\ell + N - 2) + \frac{2M}{\hbar^2} a_2,$$

$$\mu^2 = \frac{2M}{\hbar^2} a_1,$$

$$\epsilon^2 = \frac{2M}{\hbar^2} (E - a_3),$$

(14)

we obtain

$$\left[ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\nu}{r^2} - \mu^2 r^2 + \epsilon^2 \right] R_{n\ell}(r) = 0.$$

(15)

In order to get an exact solution of the above differential equation we remove the singular term by imposing the condition

$$k (k + 1) - k (N - 1) - \nu (\nu + 1) = 0,$$

(17)

So we have

$$y \frac{d^2 \chi}{dy^2} - \left( k_{\ell N} - \frac{N}{2} \right) \frac{dy}{dy} - \frac{1}{4} (\mu^2 y - \epsilon^2) \chi = 0,$$

(18)

where $k_{\ell N}$ is taken as the positive solution of $k$, which can be easily found by little algebra from (17). It is worth mentioning here that the condition given by (17) is necessary to get an analytical solution because otherwise only approximate or numerical solution is possible. Introducing the Laplace transform $F(s) = \mathcal{L} \{ \chi(y) \}$ with the boundary condition $f(0) \equiv \chi(0) = 0$ and using (4), (18) can read

$$\left( s^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{4} \right) \frac{dF}{ds} + \left( \eta_{TN} s - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \right) F = 0,$$

(19)

where $\eta_{TN} = k_{\ell N} - N/2 + 2$. This parameter can have integer or noninteger values and there will be integer or noninteger term(s) in energy eigenvalue according to values of $\eta_{TN}$ which can be seen below.

The solution of the last equation can be written easily as

$$F(s) = C \left( s + \frac{\mu}{2} \right)^{-\eta_{TN}/2 - \epsilon/4\mu} \left( s - \frac{\mu}{2} \right)^{-\eta_{TN}/2 + \epsilon/4\mu},$$

(20)

where $C$ is a constant. The term $\mu = \sqrt{2M \alpha_1 / \hbar}$ is a positive real number as we restrict ourselves to the choice $\alpha_1 > 0$. Now, since $s$ is positive and $\mu > 0$, then the second factor of (20) could become negative if $\mu/2 > s > 0$ and thus its power must be a positive integer to get singled valued eigenfunctions. This will also exclude the possibility of getting singularity in the transformation. So we have

$$\frac{\epsilon^2}{4\mu} - \frac{1}{\mu^2} \eta_{TN} = n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$

(21)

Using (21), we have from (20)

$$F(s) = C \left( s + \frac{\mu}{2} \right)^{-\eta_{TN}/2 - \epsilon/4\mu} \left( s - \frac{\mu}{2} \right)^{-\eta_{TN}/2 + \epsilon/4\mu} h(s),$$

(22)

where $a = \eta_{TN} + n$ and $b = -n$. In order to find $\chi(y) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \{ F(s) \}$, we find [43]

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left( s + \frac{\mu}{2} \right)^{-a} = G(y) = \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} y^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\mu/2)y},$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left( s - \frac{\mu}{2} \right)^{-b} = H(y) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(\beta)} y^{\beta-1} e^{(\mu/2)y}.$$

(23)

Therefore using (7) and (23), we have

$$\chi(y) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \{ F(s) \} = C \big( G \ast H \big) (y)$$

$$= C \int_0^y G (y - \tau) H(\tau) d\tau$$

(24)

$$= \frac{C \epsilon^{-(\mu/2)y}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^y (y - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{\beta-1} e^\tau d\tau.$$
The integration can be found in [44], which gives
\[
\int_0^\gamma (y - r)^{a-1} r^{b-1} e^{\mu r} dr = B(a, b) y^{ab-1} {}_1F_1(b, a + b, \mu y),
\]
where \( {}_1F_1 \) is the confluent hypergeometric function. Now using the beta function \( B(a, b) = \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)/\Gamma(a + b) \), \( \chi(y) \) can be written:
\[
\chi(y) = \frac{C}{\Gamma(a + b)} e^{-(\mu/2)y} y^{ab-1} {}_1F_1(b, a + b, \mu y).
\]
(26)

So we have the radial eigenfunctions
\[
R_{\text{rad}}(r) = r^{-k_{\text{en}}} f(r)
\]
\[
= C_{\text{rad}} e^{-\mu r^2} r^{\eta_{\text{et}} - N/2} {}_1F_1(-n, \eta_{\text{en}}, \mu r^2),
\]
(27)
where \( C_{\text{rad}} \) is the normalization constant. It should be noted that because of the "quantization condition" given by (21), it is possible to write the radial eigenfunctions in a polynomial form of degree \( n \), as \( {}_1F_1(b, a + b, \mu y) \) converges for all finite \( \mu y \) with \( b = -n \) and \( (a + b) \) is not a negative integer or zero.

Now the normalization constant \( C_{\text{rad}} \) can be evaluated from the condition [45]
\[
\int_0^\gamma |R_{\text{rad}}(r)|^2 r^{-N-1} dr = 1.
\]
(28)

To evaluate the integral, the formula \( {}_1F_1(-q, p + 1, z) = (q!p!/(q + p)!)^{L_n^\mu}(z) \) is useful here, where \( L_n^\mu(u) \) are the Laguerre polynomials. It should be remembered that the formula is applicable only if \( q \) is a positive integer. Hence identifying \( q = n, p = \eta_{\text{en}} - 1 \), and \( z = \mu r^2 \) we have
\[
{}_1F_1(-n, \eta_{\text{en}}, \mu r^2) = \frac{n! (\eta_{\text{en}} - 1)!}{\Gamma(\eta_{\text{en}} + n + 1)!} L_n^{\eta_{\text{en}} - 1}(\mu r^2).
\]
(29)

So using the following formula for the Laguerre polynomials,
\[
\int_0^\gamma x^A e^{-x} \left[L_n^\mu(x)\right]^2 dx = \frac{\Gamma(A + B + 1)}{B!},
\]
(30)
we write the normalization constant
\[
C_{\text{rad}} = \sqrt{2^|A| \mu^{(1/2)|\eta_{\text{en}}|}} \frac{n! (\eta_{\text{en}} + n - 1)!}{\Gamma(\eta_{\text{en}} + n)! n! (\eta_{\text{en}} - 1)!}.
\]
(31)

Finally, the energy eigenvalues are obtained from (21) along with (17) and (14):
\[
E_{\text{rad}} = \hbar^2/2M \ell^2 + a_3
\]
\[
= a_3 + \sqrt{8a_1 a_3/3} \left[ n + 1 + \frac{1}{4} (N + 2\ell - 2)^2 + \frac{8Ma_3}{\hbar^2} \right].
\]
(32
and we write the corresponding normalized eigenfunctions as
\[
R_{\text{rad}}(r) = \sqrt{2^|A| \mu^{(1/2)|\eta_{\text{en}}|}} \frac{n! (\eta_{\text{en}} + n - 1)!}{\Gamma(\eta_{\text{en}} + n)! n! (\eta_{\text{en}} - 1)!} e^{-\mu r^2} r^{\eta_{\text{et}} - N/2} {}_1F_1(-n, \eta_{\text{en}}, \mu r^2),
\]
or
\[
R_{\text{rad}}(r) = \sqrt{2^|A| \mu^{(1/2)|\eta_{\text{en}}|}} \frac{n!}{\Gamma(\eta_{\text{en}} + n)!} e^{-\mu r^2} r^{\eta_{\text{et}} - N/2} L_n^{\eta_{\text{en}} - 1}(\mu r^2).
\]
(34)

Finally, the complete orthonormalized eigenfunctions of the \( N \)-dimensional Schrödinger equation with pseudoharmonic potential can be given by
\[
\psi(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{n, \ell, m} C_{\text{rad}} C_{\text{en}} R_{\text{rad}}(r) Y^m_{\ell, \phi} \left( \theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{N-2}, \phi \right).
\]
(35)

We give some numerical results about the variation of the energy on the dimensionality \( N \) obtained from (32) in Figure 1. We summarize the plots for \( E_N(N) \) as a function of \( N \) for a set of physical parameters \((a_1, a_2)\) by taking \( a_3 = 0 \), especially.

### 4. Results and Discussion

In this section we have shown that the results obtained in Section 3 are very useful in deriving the special cases of several potentials for lower as well as for higher dimensional wave equation.

#### 4.1. Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator

(I) Three Dimensions \((N = 3)\). For this case \( a_1 = (1/2)M\omega^2 \) and \( a_2 = a_3 = 0 \) which gives from (32)
\[
E_{n\ell\ell_3} = \hbar\omega \left( 2n + \ell + \frac{3}{2} \right),
\]
(36)

where \( \omega \) is the circular frequency of the particle. From (14) and (17) we obtain \( k_{\ell_3} = \ell + 1 \). This makes \( \eta_{\ell_3} = \ell + 3/2 \) and we get radial eigenfunctions from (34). The result agrees with those obtained in [22].

(2) Arbitrary \( N \)-Dimensions. Here \( N \) is an arbitrary constant and as before \( a_1 = (1/2)M\omega^2, a_2 = a_3 = 0 \). We have the energy eigenvalues from (32):
\[
E_{n\ell\ell_3} = \hbar\omega \left( 2n + \ell + \frac{N}{2} \right).
\]
(37)

Solving (17) with the help of (14) we have \( k_{\ell N} = \ell + N - 2 \) and one can easily obtain the normalization constant from (31):
\[
C_{\text{rad}} = \sqrt{2^|A| \mu^{(1/2)|\eta_{\text{en}}|}} \frac{n!}{\Gamma((\ell + N/2) + n)!} \frac{1}{n! (\ell + (N - 2)/2)!}.
\]
(38)
where $\eta_{\ell N} = \ell + N/2$. The radial eigenfunctions are given in (34) with the above normalization constant. The results obtained here agree with those found in some earlier works [22, 46, 47].

4.2. Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator Plus Inverse Quadratic Potential

1) Two Dimensions ($N = 2$). Here we have $a_1 = (1/2)M\omega^2$, $a_2 \neq 0$, and $a_3 = 0$, where $\omega$ is the circular frequency of the particle. So from (31) we obtain

$$C_{n\ell^2} = \left[ \frac{2(M\omega/\hbar)^{k_{\ell^2} + 1} n!}{\Gamma(k_{\ell^2} + n + 1)} \right]^{1/2} \frac{(k_{\ell^2} + n)!}{n!k_{\ell^2}!}, \quad (39)$$

where $\eta_{\ell^2} = k_{\ell^2} + 1. k_{\ell^2}$ can be obtained from (14) and (17) as $k_{\ell^2} = \sqrt{\nu^2 + (2M/\hbar^2)\alpha_2}$. Hence (32) gives the energy eigenvalues of the system

$$E_{n\ell^2} = \hbar \omega (2n + k_{\ell^2} + 1). \quad (40)$$

This result has already been obtained in [22, 48].

2) Three Dimensions ($N = 3$). Here $a_1 = (1/2)M\omega^2$, $a_2 \neq 0$, and $a_3 = 0$ which gives the energy eigenvalues as

$$E_{n\ell^3} = \frac{\hbar \omega}{2} \left[ 4n + 2 + \sqrt{(2\ell + 1)^2 + \frac{8Ma_3}{\hbar^2}} \right]. \quad (41)$$

Solving (17) we get $k_{\ell^3} = \nu + 1$ and hence $\eta_{\ell^3} = \nu + 3/2$. The radial eigenfunction can hence be obtained from (34) which also corresponds to the result obtained in [22].
4.3. 3-Dimensional Schrödinger Equation with Pseudoharmonic Potential. Here (17) gives \( k_{n3} = \nu + 1 \) and this makes \( \eta_{n3} = \nu + 3/2 \). So (31) provides

\[
C_{n3} = \mu^{(1/2)(\nu+3/2)} \left[ \frac{2\Gamma(\nu+n+3/2)}{n!} \right] \left[ \Gamma \left( \nu + \frac{3}{2} \right) \right]^{-1},
\]

and hence the normalized eigenfunctions become

\[
R_{n3}(r) = \mu^{(1/2)(\nu+3/2)} \left[ \frac{2\Gamma(\nu+n+3/2)}{n!} \right] \left[ \Gamma \left( \nu + \frac{3}{2} \right) \right]^{-1} \cdot e^{-(\nu/2)r^2} r^n F_1 \left( -n, \nu + \frac{3}{2}, m \right),
\]

with the energy eigenvalues

\[
E_{n3} = a_3 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} e^2 = a_3 + \frac{8\hbar^2 a_1}{M} \left[ n + 1 + \frac{1}{4} \left( 2\ell + 1 \right)^2 + \frac{8Ma_1}{\hbar^2} \right].
\]

This result corresponds exactly to the ones given in [13].

5. Short Review of Generalized Morse Potential: An Example

The generalized Morse potential [49] in terms of four parameters \( V_1, V_2, V_3, \) and \( \lambda > 0 \) is

\[
V(r) = V_1 e^{-\lambda(r-r_e)} + V_2 e^{-2\lambda(r-r_e)} + V_3,
\]

where \( \lambda \) describes the characteristic range of the potential, \( r_e \) is the equilibrium molecular separation, and \( V_1, V_2, \) and \( V_3 \) are related to the potential depth. In this short section we will only show Laplace transformable differential equation like (18) can also be achieved if the exponential and singular terms \( 1/r \) and \( 1/r^2 \) are properly handled. Looking back to the solution given by (34) we predetermine the solution for (8) for the potential given by (45) as

\[
\psi_{n0m}(r, \Omega_N) = r^{-(N-1)/2} R_{n0}(r) Y_{\ell m}^{m} (\Omega_N).
\]

This substitution facilitates following easier differential equation (without the \( 1/r \) term) similar to (12) of Section 3:

\[
\left[ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2M}{\hbar^2} (E - V(r)) - \frac{A_{n}^\ell}{r^2} \right] R_{n0}(r) = 0,
\]

where \( A_{n}^\ell = (N + 2\ell - 1)(N + 2\ell - 3)/4 \).

Let us introduce a new variable \( x = (r - r_e)/r_e \). Hence inserting (45) into (47) we have

\[
\left[ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{2Mr_e^2}{\hbar^2} \left( E - V_1 e^{-\alpha x} - V_2 e^{-2\alpha x} - V_3 \right) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{A_{n}^\ell}{(1 + x)^2} \right] R(x) = 0,
\]

where \( \alpha = \lambda r_e \).

It is possible to expand the term \( (1/(1+x)^2) \) in power series as within the molecular point of view \( x \ll 1 \). So

\[
\frac{1}{(1 + x)^2} = 1 - 2x + 3x^2 - O(x^3).
\]

This expansion can also be rewritten as

\[
\frac{1}{(1 + x)^2} \approx C_0 + C_1 e^{-\alpha x} + C_2 e^{-2\alpha x}.
\]

By comparing these two it is not hard to justify that \( C_0 = 1 - 3/\alpha + 3/\alpha^2; C_1 = 4/\alpha - 6/\alpha^2; C_2 = -1/\alpha + 3/\alpha^2 \).

Inserting (50) into (48) and changing the variable as \( y = e^{-\alpha x} \) one can easily get

\[
\left[ y^2 \frac{d^2g}{dy^2} + y \frac{dg}{dy} + \frac{e}{\alpha^2} + \frac{B_2}{\alpha^2} y + \frac{B_3}{\alpha^2} y^2 \right] R(y) = 0.
\]

Now further assuming the solution of the above differential equation \( R(y) = y^{-\alpha} e^{y} \), as we did in the previous section with proper requirement for bound state scenario, finally we can construct the following differential equation just like (16) for the perfect platform for Laplace transformation:

\[
\frac{y^2 d^2g}{dy^2} + (1 - 2\omega) \frac{dg}{dy} + \frac{\omega^2 - \epsilon/\alpha^2}{y} g + \left( \frac{B_1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{B_3}{\alpha^2} y \right) g = 0,
\]

where

\[
\frac{e}{2M r^2} (E - V_3) = c_0 A_{N0}^\ell, \quad B_1 = c_1 A_{N0}^\ell - \frac{2Mr_e^2}{\hbar^2} V_1, \quad B_2 = c_2 A_{N0}^\ell - \frac{2Mr_e^2}{\hbar^2} V_2.
\]

Imposing the condition \( \omega^2 - \epsilon/\alpha^2 = 0 \) as previously and approaching the same way as we did in Section 3 one can obtain the energy eigenvalues and bound state wave functions in terms of confluent hypergeometric function. We have investigated that the results are exact match with [15] for \( V_1 = -2D, V_2 = D, \) and \( V_3 = 0 \), where \( D \) describes the depth of the potential.

6. Conclusions

We have investigated some aspects of \( N \)-dimensional hyper-radial Schrödinger equation for pseudoharmonic potential by Laplace transformation approach. It is found that the energy eigenfunctions and the energy eigenvalues depend on the dimensionality of the problem. In this connection we have furnished few plots of the energy spectrum \( E_n(N) \) as a function of \( N \) for a given set of physical parameters \( a_1, a_2, \epsilon, \) and \( n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \) keeping \( a_3 = 0 \). The general results obtained in this paper have been verified with earlier reported results,
which were obtained for certain special values of potential parameters and dimensionality.

The Laplace transform is a powerful, efficient, and accurate alternative method of deriving energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of some spherically symmetric potentials that are analytically solvable. It may be hard to predict which kind of potentials is solvable analytically by Laplace transform, but in general prediction of the eigenfunctions with the form like \( R_j(r) = r^k f(r) \) always opens the gate of the possibility of closed form solutions for a particular potential model. This kind of substitution is called Universal Laplace transformation scheme. In this connection one might go through [50] to check out how Laplace transformation technique behaves over different potentials, specially for Schrödinger equation in lower dimensional domain. It is also true that the technique of Laplace transformation is useful if, inserting the potential into the Schrödinger equation and using Universal Laplace transformation scheme via some suitable parametric restrictions, one is able to get a differential equation with variable coefficient \( r^j \) (\( j \neq 1 \)). This is not easy to achieve every time. However, for a given potential if there is no such achievement, iterative approach facilitates a better way to overcome the situation [51]. The results are sufficiently accurate for such special potentials at least for practical purpose.

Before concluding we want to mention here that we have not succeeded in developing the scattering state solution for the pseudoharmonic potential. If we could develop those solutions using the LTA that would have been a remarkable achievement. The main barrier of this success lies on the realization of complex index in (20), because at scattering state situation, that is, \( E > \lim_{r \to \infty} V(r) \), \( \mu \) should be replaced with \( \mu = \xi \), where \( \xi = \sqrt{2M \alpha_1 / h^2} \). Maybe this cumbersome situation would attract the researchers to study the subject further and we are looking forward to it.
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