Asymmetric Velocity Distributions from Halo Density Profiles in the Eddington Approach

J. D. Vergados

1TEI of Western Macedonia, 50100 Kozani, Greece
2University of Ioannina, 45110 Ioannina, Greece

Correspondence should be addressed to J. D. Vergados; vergados@uoi.gr

Received 9 July 2014; Accepted 11 September 2014

1. Introduction

The combined MAXIMA-1 [1–3], BOOMERANG [4, 5], DASI [6], and COBE/DMR cosmic microwave background (CMB) observations [7] imply that the Universe is flat [8] and that most of the matter in the Universe is Dark [9], that is, exotic. These results have been confirmed and improved by the recent WMAP [10] and Planck [11] data. Combining the data of these quite precise measurements one finds the following:

\[ \Omega_b = 0.0456 \pm 0.0015, \quad \Omega_{\text{CDM}} = 0.228 \pm 0.013, \quad \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.726 \pm 0.015 \]  

The more recent Planck data yield a slightly different combination \( \Omega_{\text{CDM}} = 0.274 \pm 0.020, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.686 \pm 0.020 \). It is worth mentioning that both the WMAP and the Plank observations yield essentially the same value of \( \Omega_m h^2 \), but they differ in the value of \( h \); namely, \( h = 0.704 \pm 0.013 \) (WMAP) and \( h = 0.673 \pm 0.012 \) (Planck). Since any “invisible” nonexotic component cannot possibly exceed 40% of the above \( \Omega_{\text{CDM}} \) [12], exotic (nonbaryonic) matter is required and there is room for cold dark matter candidates or WIMPs (weakly interacting massive particles).

Even though there exists firm indirect evidence for a halo of dark matter in galaxies from the observed rotational curves, see for example, the review [13], it is essential to directly detect such matter in order to unravel the nature of the constituents of dark matter. The possibility of direct dark matter detection, however, depends on the nature of the dark matter constituents (WIMPs). At present, there exists a plethora of such candidates: the LSP (lightest supersymmetric particle) [14–23], technibaryon [24, 25], mirror matter [26, 27], Kaluza-Klein models with universal extra dimensions [28, 29], and so forth.

Since the WIMP is expected to be very massive, \( m_\chi \gtrsim 30 \text{ GeV} \), and extremely nonrelativistic with average kinetic energy \( T \approx 50 \text{ KeV} (m_\chi/100 \text{ GeV}) \), it cannot excite the nucleus, except in some very exceptional cases. It can, thus, be directly detected mainly via the recoiling of a nucleus.
(A, Z) following WIMP-nucleus elastic scattering. The event rate for such a process can be computed following a number of steps [30]. In the present work, we will focus on one of the ingredients entering the computation of the event rates, namely, the WIMP density in our vicinity and its velocity distribution.

In the past, various velocity distributions have been considered. The one most commonly used is the isothermal Maxwell-Boltzmann (M-B) velocity distribution. Extensions of the M-B distribution were also considered; in particular, those that were axially symmetric with enhanced dispersion in the galactocentric direction [31–34]. In all such distributions, an upper cutoff \( u_{\text{esc}} = 2.84 \sigma_0 \) was introduced by hand, in the range obtained by Cochanek [35]. In a different approach, Tsallis type functions, derived from simulations of dark matter densities, were employed; see for example recent calculations [36] and references there in.

Nonisothermal models have also been considered, like the late infall of dark matter into the galaxy, that is, caustic rings [37–41], dark matter orbiting the Sun [42], and Sagittarius dark matter [43].

The more correct approach in our view is to consider the Eddington approach [44], which allows one to relate the dark matter density and the corresponding velocity distribution in a self-consistent way. Furthermore, this approach has the advantage that the upper velocity cut off is not imposed by hand, but it comes in naturally. It has, thus, been used by Merritt [45] and applied to dark matter by Ullio and Kamionkowski [13] and by us [46, 47].

It is the purpose of the present paper to extend the previous work and obtain a dark matter velocity distribution, which need not be spherically symmetric, even though it may originate from density profiles that are spherically symmetric. To this end, we have considered a one-parameter family of self-consistent star clusters that are spherically symmetric but anisotropic in velocity space. These were computed modifying the distribution (DF) by including suitable angular momentum factors along the lines suggested by Wojtak et al. [48] and more recently by Fornasa and Green [49]. Also a one-parameter family of self-consistent star clusters that are spherically symmetric was shown to be anisotropic in velocity space [50] (see also [51]). The last model was constructed first in the Newtonian limit and then, after the first, post-Newtonian corrections were computed. Anisotropic velocity distributions obtained by adopting an ansatz for the dark matter phase space distribution. This allows one to construct self-consistent halo models, which feature a degree of anisotropy as a function of the radius such as suggested by the simulations [52]. Furthermore this has been applied [53] in the case of the NFW halo profile to obtain the asymmetry parameter.

To clarify some of the issues involved in these approaches, we will concentrate on some cases amenable to analytic solutions like the celebrated Plummer solution [54]. We will show how this method can be used to obtain, in a self-consistent fashion, asymmetric velocity distributions with asymmetry parameter \( \beta \). For detailed applications to dark matter searches realistic velocity distributions are necessary, but we leave this case to be discussed in a future publication.

We believe that even the prelude of such searches, as discussed here, falls within the novel subject of The Frontiers of Intensities and Very High Sensitivities.

2. The Dark Matter Distribution in the Context of the Eddington Approach

One assumes that the system is in steady state. This may not be exactly true, since simulated halos contain substructures corresponding to streams [35] and, more recently, to non-completely phase-mixed DM, dubbed "debris flow" [56]. This, however, may be a reasonable assumption at the solar radius. Thus, in this approach, one starts with a phase space dark matter distribution function \( f_p(E, L) \), which is a function of the energy \( E \) and the angular momentum \( L \) with the goal of obtaining the dark matter velocity distribution \( f_r(u) \). The function \( f_p(E, L) \) is factorized into a function \( f(E) \), which depends on the energy only, and a function of the angular momentum \( F_r(L) \). This factorization has been tested qualitatively by Wojtak et al. [48] and it has subsequently been discussed and used by [49]. Furthermore, these authors used the ansatz,

\[
F_r(L) = \left( 1 + \frac{L^2}{2L_0^2} \right)^{-\beta_0 + \beta_0 L} L^{-2\beta_0},
\]

in terms of three new parameters. \( L_0 \) is an angular momentum parameter. This ansatz showed that the thus obtained self-consistent solutions match the radial dependence of the anisotropy parameter \( \beta(r) \) (see below). The parameter \( \beta_0 \) affects the anisotropy in the central region of the halo density, while \( \beta_\infty \) has an effect at large distances [48]. To see this, we consider the limits previously considered; that is,

\[
F_r(L) = \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{L}{L_0} \right)^{-2\beta_0} & L \ll L_0 \\
\left( \frac{L}{L_0} \right)^{-2\beta_\infty} & L \gg L_0,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \beta_0 \) is the the central anisotropy of the system. This means that, if this parameter is zero, there is no asymmetry in this region. In fact, it can be shown that \( \beta_0 \leq \gamma / 2 \), where \( \gamma \) is the halo density at the center. In the popular halo density profile [57], \( \beta_0 \leq 1 / 2 \). In our analytically soluble model, we can better see the effect of these parameters on the asymmetry parameter.

2.1. The Distribution Is a Function of the Total Energy Only.

The introduction of the matter distribution can be given [47] as follows:

\[
dM = 2\pi f(\Phi(r), v_r, v_t) \, dx dy dz v_r dv_r dv_t,
\]

where the function \( f \) depends on \( r \) through the potential \( \Phi(r) \) and the tangential and radial velocities \( v_r \) and \( v_t \). We will limit ourselves in spherically symmetric systems. Then, the density of matter \( \rho(|r|) \) satisfies the following equation:

\[
d\rho = 2\pi f(\Phi(|r|), v_r, v_t) \, v_r dv_r dv_t.
\]
The energy is given by \( E = \Phi(r) + \frac{v^2}{2} \). Then,

\[
\rho(r) = 4\pi \int f \left( \Phi(r) + \frac{v^2}{2} \right) v^2 dv = 4\pi \int_0^\Phi f(E) \sqrt{2(E - \Phi)} d\Phi.
\]

This is an integral equation of the Abel type. It can be inverted to yield

\[
f(E) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ \int_0^E d\Phi \frac{d\rho}{\sqrt{\Phi - E} d\Phi} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Phi - E} d\Phi} \right\}. \quad (8)
\]

The potential \( \Phi(r) \) for a given density \( \rho(r) \) is obtained by solving Poisson’s equation. In order to proceed further, it is necessary to know the density as a function of the potential, treating, for example, \( r \) as a parameter. Only in few cases, this can be done analytically.

Once the function \( f(E) \) is known, we can obtain the needed velocity distribution \( f_r(v) \) in our vicinity \( (r = r_s) \) by writing

\[
f_r(v') = \mathcal{N} f \left( \Phi(r) |_{r=r_s} + \frac{v'^2}{2} \right), \quad (9)
\]

where \( \mathcal{N} \) is a normalization factor.

2.2. Angular Momentum Dependent Terms. As we have already mentioned in the phase distribution function, one introduces additional angular dependent terms. The presence of such terms can introduce asymmetries in the velocity dispersions.

In such an approach \([48]\), we get

\[
\rho(r) = \iiint f(E) \left( 1 + \frac{L^2}{2L_0^2} \right)^{-\beta_\omega + \beta_\rho} L^{-2\beta_\rho} d^2\mathbf{v}. \quad (10)
\]

Introducing the new parameters \( L \) and \( E \) in terms of \( v_i \) and \( v_r \) via

\[
v_i = \frac{L}{r}, \quad v_r = \sqrt{\frac{2}{E - \Phi} - \frac{L^2}{r^2}},
\]

or

\[
v_i = \frac{L_0}{r} \sqrt{2\lambda}, \quad v_r = \sqrt{\frac{L_0^2}{r^2} \frac{2}{\sqrt{x - \lambda} - \lambda}} = \frac{L}{2L_0^2}, \quad (11)
\]

we can perform the integration in cylindrical coordinates and get

\[
\rho(r) = 2^{1/2 - \beta_\rho} L_0^{-2\beta_\rho} \frac{L_0}{r} \int_0^E f(E) dE \int_0^\Phi \frac{2}{\sqrt{x - \lambda}} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{\beta_\rho} (\lambda + 1)^{\beta_\omega + \beta_\rho}}. \quad (12)
\]

In the above expressions, \( x = \frac{r^2}{L_0^2} (\Phi - E) \).

Before proceeding further, we prefer to write the above formula in terms of dimensionless variables \( \Phi = \Phi_0 \xi, \rho = \rho_0 \eta, E = \Phi_0 e, \) and \( f(E) = \rho_0 \Phi_0^{-3/2} \tilde{f}(e) \). Thus, the last equation becomes

\[
\eta = 2^{1/2 - \beta_\rho} L_0^{-2\beta_\rho} \frac{L_0}{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{\eta}}} \int_\xi^0 \tilde{f}(e) d\xi \int_0^\Phi \frac{2}{\sqrt{x - \lambda}} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{\beta_\rho} (\lambda + 1)^{\beta_\omega + \beta_\rho}} \quad (13)
\]

with \( \alpha = r^2 \Phi_0^2 / L_0^2 \) and \( x = a(\xi - e) \).

The second integral can be done analytically to yield

\[
\sqrt{\pi} x^{1/2 - \beta_\rho} F_1(1 - \beta_0) \frac{1}{\Gamma(3/2 - \beta_0)} \int_\xi^0 \tilde{f}(e) de x^{1/2 - \beta_\rho}
\]

\[
\times F_1(1 - \beta_0, -\beta_0 + \beta_\omega, 3/2 - \beta_0, -x). \quad (14)
\]

In the limit in which \( \beta_0 - > 0, L_0 - > \infty \), the last expression is reduced to (6).

Equation (10) allows the calculation of moments of the velocity. In particular, following the procedure of \([48]\), one finds

\[
< v_i^2 > = 2 \left( \frac{L_0}{r} \right)^2 (2 - \beta_0)
\]

\[
\times \int_\xi^0 \tilde{f}(e) de x^{3/2 - \beta_\rho} F_1(2 - \beta_0, -\beta_0 + \beta_\omega, 5/2 - \beta_0, -x)
\]

\[
\times \int_\xi^0 \tilde{f}(e) de x^{3/2 - \beta_\rho} F_1(1 - \beta_0, -\beta_0 + \beta_\omega, 3/2 - \beta_0, -x). \quad (16)
\]

The extra factor of 2 in the case of the tangential velocity can be understood, since there exist two such components. The moments of the velocity are, of course, functions of the three parameters of the model. The model clearly can accommodate asymmetries in the velocity dispersion, even if the density is spherically symmetric.

Equation (12) can be inverted to yield the distribution function \( \tilde{f}(e) \), even though this is technically more complicated than in the standard Eddington approach without...
the angular momentum factors. Given the function \( \bar{f}(e) \), we define the quantities
\[
\Lambda t = (2 - \beta_0) \times \int f(\epsilon) d\epsilon \frac{2}{2} (2 - \beta_0 - \beta_0 + \beta_\infty, 5/2 - \beta_0, -\epsilon),
\]
\[
\Lambda r = (1 - \beta_0) \times \int f(\epsilon) d\epsilon \frac{1}{2} (1 - \beta_0 - \beta_0 + \beta_\infty, 5/2 - \beta_0, -\epsilon).
\]
Then, the asymmetry parameter \( \beta \) defined by
\[
\beta = 1 - \frac{< u^2_e >}{\Lambda t}, \quad \beta = 1 - \frac{\Lambda t}{\Lambda r}.
\]

The axially symmetric velocity distribution, with respect to the center of the galaxy, is, thus, obtained from \( f(E) \) as described in the Appendix, for a number of cases, some of which, to the best of our knowledge, have not been obtained before in analytic form.

Clearly, for a given matter density profile, both the distribution function \( \bar{f}(e) \) and the integrals \( \Lambda t \) and \( \Lambda r \) are functions of \( r_s \), \( \beta_0 \), \( \beta_\infty \), and \( L_0 \). So is the asymmetry parameter \( \beta \). The above equations get simplified in the following cases.

1. In the limit in which \( \beta_0 = 0 \) and \( \beta_\infty = -1 \),
\[
\eta = 4\pi \int f(\epsilon) d\epsilon \sqrt{(2 - \epsilon - \xi)} \left( 1 + 2 a (\epsilon - \xi) \right),
\]
\[
a = \frac{r^2 \Phi_0}{L_0},
\]
\[
< u^2_e > = \frac{2}{15} \left( \frac{\int f(\epsilon) d\epsilon \sqrt{(2 - \epsilon - \xi)} (5 + 4a (\epsilon - \xi))}{\int f(\epsilon) d\epsilon \sqrt{(2 - \epsilon - \xi)} (1 + 2a (\epsilon - \xi))} \right).
\]
\[
< u^2_r > = \frac{1}{15} \left( \frac{\int f(\epsilon) d\epsilon \sqrt{(2 - \epsilon - \xi)} (5 + 4a (\epsilon - \xi))}{\int f(\epsilon) d\epsilon \sqrt{(2 - \epsilon - \xi)} (1 + 2a (\epsilon - \xi))} \right).
\]

2. \( \beta_\infty = 1, \beta_0 = 0 \).
In this case,
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \chi^{1/2 - \beta_0} F_{r_{s}} (1 - \beta_0 - \beta_0 + \beta_\infty, 3/2 - \beta_0, -\epsilon)
\]
\[
\rightarrow 1 \frac{\sinh^{-1} (\sqrt{x})}{\sqrt{a} \sqrt{1 + x}}.
\]

This function is very complicated to handle. Note, however, that, for sufficiently small values of \( a \), one finds that the above expression for \( x = a (\epsilon - \xi) \) is reduced to
\[
2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{3} a (\epsilon - \xi)}.
\]

We, thus, recover the previous formula with just a change of sign in \( a \). The corresponding expressions for the velocity dispersions become
\[
\Lambda t \equiv 2 \left( \sqrt{x} - \frac{\sinh^{-1} (\sqrt{x})}{\sqrt{1 + x}} \right),
\]
\[
\Lambda r \equiv 4 \left( -\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1 + x} \sinh^{-1} (\sqrt{x}) \right).
\]

In the limit of small \( a \), we again recover the previous expressions with \( a \rightarrow -a \).

3. Asymmetries in the Velocity Distribution

Proceeding as above, we get the function \( f(\beta_0, \beta_\infty, L_0) (E) \). We, then, proceed to construct a velocity distribution, which is characterized by the same asymmetry in velocity dispersion along lines similar to those previously adopted [58], that is, by considering models of the Osipkov-Merritt type [45, 59, 60]. Thus, the velocity distribution in our vicinity \( r = r_s \) is written as
\[
f_{r_{s}} (v) = \mathcal{N} (1 + \alpha_s) f_{0,0,0} (\Phi (r_s) + \frac{u^2_{r_{s}}}{2} + (1 + \alpha_s) \frac{u^2_{e}}{2}),
\]
where \( u^2_{r_{s}} \) and \( u^2_{e} \) are the radial, that is, outwards from the center of the galaxy, and the tangential components of the velocity, with respect to the center of the galaxy. The parameter \( \alpha_s = \beta (1 - \beta) \) can be determined by calculating the moments of the velocity as above; that is, it is a function of the parameters \( L_0, \beta_0 \), and \( \beta_\infty \). Since these parameters
are usually treated as phenomenological parameters, we will treat $\beta$ phenomenologically. We note that this function is only axially symmetric and the normalization constant $N$ is a normalization constant, the same as in the case of $\alpha_s = 0$. The isotropic case follows as a special case in the limit $\alpha_s \to 0$.

The characteristic feature of this approach is that the velocity distribution automatically vanishes outside a given region specified by a cut-off velocity $v_m$, given by $v_m = \sqrt{2|\Phi(r_s)|}$.

4. A Simple Test Density Profile

Before proceeding further, we will examine a simple model, amenable to analytic solution, that is, the famous Plummer solution [54], and leave the case of realistic density profiles, like, for example, those often employed [13, 47, 57], for a future publication. It is well known that a spherical density profile and is expressed in terms of two variables, the latter depends on the parameters describing the angular momentum function $F_\alpha(L)$. In order to get a feeling of what to expect in realistic calculations, we exhibit in Figure 6 the dependence on the asymmetry $\beta$ of the angular average of the distribution function obtained in our simple model. The values of $\beta$ employed were related to $a$ as above. The results shown here exhibit the same trends as those obtained by using, for example, Tsallis functions (see [36]).

Our next task is to transform the velocity distribution from the galactic to the local frame. The needed equation, see, for example, [62], is

$$y \rightarrow y + \vec{v}_s + \delta (\sin \alpha \vec{x} - \cos \alpha \cos \gamma \vec{y} + \cos \alpha \sin \gamma \vec{v}_s),$$

with $y = \pi/6$, $\vec{v}_s$ being a unit vector in the Sun's direction of motion, $\vec{x}$ a unit vector radially out of the galaxy in our position, and $\vec{y} = \vec{v}_s \times \vec{x}$. The last term in the first expression of (36) corresponds to the motion of the Earth around the Sun with $\delta$ being the ratio of the modulus of the Earth's velocity around the Sun divided by the Sun's velocity around the center of the Galaxy; that is, $v_0 \approx 220$ km/s and $\delta \approx 0.135$.

5. The Velocity Distribution in WIMP Searches

The asymmetric velocity distribution in the galactic frame can be written as

$$g(\beta, y') = \frac{1}{1-\beta} f_{0,\infty}(\Phi(r_s) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1-\beta} (y'^2 - \beta y'^2) \right)).$$

This function depends, of course, on the assumed density profile and is expressed in terms of two variables, the solar coordinate $r_s$ and the asymmetry parameter $\beta$. The latter depends on the parameters describing the angular momentum function $F_\alpha(L)$. In order to get a feeling of what to expect in realistic calculations, we exhibit in Figure 6 the dependence on the asymmetry $\beta$ of the angular average of the distribution function obtained in our simple model. The values of $\beta$ employed were related to $a$ as above. The results shown here exhibit the same trends as those obtained by using, for example, Tsallis functions (see [36]).
Figure 1: We show the properly normalized velocity distribution obtained in our simple model for various values of \( a \) for the value \( \xi(xs) = \sqrt{3}/2 \) (a) and a larger, perhaps more realistic, value \( \xi(xs) = 10 \) (b). The obtained velocity distribution depends mildly on \( a \).

Figure 2: The asymmetry parameter \( \beta = \Lambda_r/\Lambda_r \) as a function of \( \xi \) for values of \( a = 0, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.0, 1.25, 1.50 \) increasing downwards.

The above formula assumes that the motion of both the Sun around the Galaxy and the Earth around the Sun is uniformly circular. The exact orbits are, of course, more complicated [63, 64], but such deviations are not expected to significantly modify our results. In (36), \( \alpha \) is the phase of the Earth (\( \alpha = 0 \) around June 3rd). (One could, of course, make the time dependence of the rates, due to the motion of the Earth, more explicit by writing \( \alpha \approx (6/5)\pi (2(t/T) - 1) \), where \( t/T \) is the fraction of the year).

5.1. Standard Nondirectional Experiments. We have seen that, in the galactic frame, in the presence of asymmetry \( \beta \), the relevant quantity is

\[
y_x^2 + \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left( y_y^2 + y_z^2 \right) = \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left( y_x^2 - \beta y_x^2 \right).
\]

(37)

In the local frame, the components \( y_x, y_y, y_z \) of the velocity vector \( y \) are, thus, given by

\[
y_r = y_x = \frac{1}{sc} \left( y \cos \phi \sin \theta + \delta \sin \alpha \right),
\]

\[
y_t = \sqrt{y_x^2 + y_y^2}
\]

\[
y_y = \frac{1}{sc} \left( y \sin \theta \sin \phi - \delta \cos \alpha \cos \gamma \right),
\]

\[
y_z = \frac{1}{sc} \left( y \cos \theta + \delta \cos \alpha \sin \gamma + 1 \right),
\]

(38)

where \( sc \) is a suitable scale factor to bring the WIMP velocity into units of the Sun's velocity, \( v = v/v_0 \); that is, \( sc = \sqrt{|\Phi_0|/v_0} \). One finds

\[
\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left( y_x^2 - \beta y_x^2 \right) \rightarrow y^2
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{sc^2} \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left( -\beta \delta \sin(\alpha) + y \cos(\phi) \sin(\theta) \right)^2
\]

\[
+ \left( y \cos(\theta) + \delta \cos(\alpha) \sin(y) + 1 \right)^2
\]

\[
+ (\delta \cos(\alpha) \cos(y) - y \sin(\theta) \sin(\phi))^2 \right).
\]

(39)

Thus, the velocity distribution for the standard (nondirectional) case becomes

\[
g_{nond} \left( y \right) = \frac{1}{1-\beta} f_{0,0,\infty} \left( \Phi(r_s) + \frac{1}{2} y^2 \right).
\]

(40)
5.2. Directional Experiments. In the Eddington theory the asymmetric velocity distribution is given by

\[ g_{\text{dir}}(X) = \frac{1}{1 - \beta f_{0,\theta,\phi}} \left( \Phi(r_s) + \frac{1}{2} X^2 \right), \]  

where \( f \) is the symmetric normalized velocity distribution with respect to the center of the galaxy, \( \beta \) is the asymmetry parameter, and \( X \) is given, \([65]\), by

\[ X^2 = \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)^2} \left( \sqrt{3} \phi \cos \alpha \cos \Phi - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \sin \phi + 2 \xi \sin \alpha \sin \Phi \right)^2 \times \left( \sqrt{3} \phi \cos \alpha \cos \Phi - \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \sin \phi + 2 \xi \sin \alpha \sin \Phi \right) \]

where \( \phi = \cos \theta \) and \( \Phi \). The direction of observation is specified by the angles \( \Theta \) and \( \Phi \).

The direction of the WIMP velocity is specified by \( \xi = \cos \Theta \) and \( \phi \). The direction of observation is specified by the angles \( \Theta \) and \( \Phi \).
6. Discussion and Conclusions

In the present work, we studied how one can construct the velocity distribution in the Eddington approach starting from dark matter density profiles. This is very important in the case of using this distribution for calculating the event rates expected in direct dark matter searches. First, because it allows a consistency between the velocity distribution employed and the WIMP density in our vicinity. Second, because the upper cut-off in the velocity distribution comes out of the model and is not put in by hand as is common practice. It is, therefore, interesting to generalize the Eddington approach in order to obtain asymmetric velocity distributions.

With this in mind, we have seen that, by modifying the phase space distribution function by suitable angular momentum functions $F_L(L)$, one can obtain asymmetric velocity distributions as well, with an asymmetry parameter $\beta$, which is described in terms of the parameters specifying $F_L(L)$. We clarified some of the issues involved in this approach by considering a simple model, which can yield analytic solutions.

Results of realistic calculations for dark matter searches, employing the present technique and using realistic density profiles [13, 47, 57], will appear elsewhere [66]. We do not expect the effects of the asymmetry on the standard nondirectional rates to be very different from those obtained in a more phenomenological treatment [36], that is, negligible in the case of time averaged events and small in the case of time dependent rates (modulation effect due to the motion of the Earth). We expect, however, the effects of asymmetry to be very important in the case of directional experiments, that is, experiments measuring not only the energy but also the direction of the recoiling nucleus. Even though velocity distributions without asymmetry [65] were employed, it has been found that there is a strong dependence of the event rates on the angle of observation relative to the direction of the velocity of the Sun, for both the time averaged and the modulated events.

Appendix

Analytic Solutions of Some Integral Equations

Consider an integral equation of the following form:

$$ \int_0^x f(y) K(x-y) dy = g(x). \quad (A.1) $$

Applying the Laplace transform on both sides, this is reduced to

$$ L(f) L(K(t)) = L(g) \implies L(f) = L(g) \frac{L(K(t))}{L(L(t))}. \quad (A.2) $$

The solution can be obtained if we can find a function $\tilde{K}(t)$ such that $L(\tilde{K}) = 1/L(K)$. This, however, cannot be done analytically except in very few cases. Some cases of interest are as follows:

1. $K(t) = \left( \frac{\Gamma(1-v)}{\Gamma(3/2-v)} \right) t^{1/2-v}$. Then, one can show that

$$ L \left( \frac{x^{v-5/2}}{\Gamma(1-v) \Gamma(v-3/2)} \right) = \left( \frac{\Gamma(1-v)}{\Gamma(3/2-v)} \right)^{1/2-v} \quad (A.3) $$

or

$$ L \left( \frac{t^{-2-v}}{\Gamma(-1-v) \Gamma(1+v)} \right) = \frac{1}{L(t^v)}. \quad (A.4) $$

Thus,

$$ g(x) = \int_0^x f(y) (x-y)^v dy \implies f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-1-v) \Gamma(1+v)} \int_0^x (x-y)^{-2-v} g(y) \quad (A.5) $$

or better still

$$ \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+v) \Gamma(1-v)} s L(t^v) s = \frac{1}{L(t^v)}, \quad -1 < \Re(v) < 1, \quad (A.6) $$

where

$$ s \iff \frac{d}{dt} \iff L \left( \frac{d u}{dt} \right) = s L(u), \quad u(0) = 0. \quad (A.7) $$

Thus,

$$ g(x) = \int_0^x f(y) (x-y)^v dy \implies f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sin \pi v}{\pi} \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x (x-y)^{-v} \frac{d g(y)}{dy}, \quad -1 < \Re(v) < 1. \quad (A.8) $$
(2)

\[ K(t) = \sqrt{t} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{3} \alpha t \right) \Rightarrow L(K) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{1}{2s^{5/2}} (a + s), \quad (A.9) \]

which is a special case of (19).

Then, we notice that

\[ \frac{L(g)}{L(K(t))} = sL \left( \frac{2e^{-at} \text{erfi}\left( \sqrt{\alpha} \sqrt{t} \right)}{\sqrt{\alpha} \sqrt{\pi}} \right) L(g''), \quad (A.10) \]

if \( g(0) = 0 \), \( g'(0) = 0 \),

where erfi is the error function with imaginary part; that is,

\[ \text{erfi}(x) = -i \text{erf}(ix). \quad (A.11) \]

Thus, the solution becomes

\[ f(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x \left( \frac{2e^{-ax-y} \text{erfi}\left( \sqrt{\alpha} \sqrt{x - y} \right)}{\sqrt{\alpha} \sqrt{\pi}} \right) g''(y). \quad (A.12) \]

Thus, the solution of (19) takes the following form:

\[ \tilde{f}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{c}^{d} \left( e^{-\frac{(\xi - \epsilon)^2}{2\sigma}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right) \eta''(\xi). \quad (A.13) \]

This reduces to (8) in the limit of \( \alpha \to 0 \).
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