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The semileptonic $B(B_s) \to f\ell\nu$, $\ell = \tau, \mu$, transitions are investigated in the frame work of the three-point QCD sum rules. Considering the quark condensate contributions, the relevant form factors of these transitions are estimated. The branching ratios of these channel modes are also calculated at different values of the continuum thresholds of the tensor mesons and compared with the obtained data for other approaches.

1. Introduction

Investigation of the $B$ meson decays into tensor mesons is useful in several aspects such as CP asymmetries, isospin symmetries, and the longitudinal and transverse polarization fractions. A large isospin violation has already been experimentally detected in $B \to \omega K^*_0(1430)$ mode [1]. Also, the decay mode $B \to \phi K^*_0(1430)$ is mainly dominated by the longitudinal polarization [2, 3], in contrast with $B \to \phi K^*$, where the transverse polarization is comparable with the longitudinal one [4]. Therefore, nonleptonic and semileptonic decays of $B$ meson can play an important role in the study of the particle physics.

In the flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry, the light $p$-wave tensor mesons with $J^P = 2^+$ containing isovector mesons $a_2(1320)$, isodoublet states $K_2^*(1430)$, and two isosinglet mesons $f_2(1270)$ and $f_2(1525)$ are building the ground state nonet which has been experimentally established [5, 6]. The quark content $q\bar{q}$ for the isovector and isodoublet tensor resonances is obvious. The isoscalar tensor states, $f_2(1270)$ and $f_2(1525)$, have mixing wave functions where mixing angle should be small [7, 8]. Therefore, $f_2(1270)$ is primarily a $(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$ state, while $f_2(1525)$ is dominantly $s\bar{s}$ [9].

As a nonperturbative method, the QCD sum rules is a well established technique in the hadron physics since it is based on the fundamental QCD Lagrangian [10]. The semileptonic decays of $B$ to the light mesons involving $\pi$, $K(K^*, K_0^*)$, and $a_i$ have been studied via the three-point QCD sum rules (3PSR), for instance, $B \to \pi\ell\nu$ [11], $B \to K\ell^+\ell^-$, $B \to K^*\ell^+\ell^-$ [12–14], $B \to K^*_0 \ell^+\ell^-$ [15], $B \to (K_0^*, f_2)\ell^+\ell^-$ [16], and $B \to a_2\ell^+\ell^-$ [17]. The determination of the form factor value $T_1(0) = 0.35 \pm 0.05$ relevant for the $B \to K^*\gamma$ and $B \to K^*\ell^+\ell^-$ [14, 18] decays allowed prediction of the ratio $\Gamma(B \to K^*\gamma)/\Gamma(b \to s\gamma) = 0.17 \pm 0.05$, which agrees with the experimental measurements [19–21]. The obtained results of the decay $B \to \pi\ell\nu$ [11] and simulations on the lattice [22–24] are in a reasonable agreement.

In this work, we investigate $B(B_s) \to K_2^*(a_2, f_2)\ell\nu$ decays within the 3PSR method. For analysis of these decays, the form factors and their branching ratio values are calculated. So far, the form factors of the semileptonic decays $B(B_s) \to K_2^*(a_2, f_2)\ell\nu$ have been studied via different approaches such as the LCSR [25], the perturbative QCD (PQCD) [5], the large energy effective theory (LEET) [26–28], and the ISGW II model [29]. A comparison of our results for the form factor values in $q^2 = 0$ and branching ratio data with predictions obtained from other approaches, especially the LCSR, is also made.

The plan of the present paper is as follows: the 3PSR approach for calculation of the relevant form factors of $B(B_s) \to K_2^*(a_2, f_2)\ell\nu$ decays is presented in Section 2. In the final section, the value of the form factors in $q^2 = 0$ and the branching ratio of the considered decays are reported. For a better analysis, the form factors and differential branching
ratios related to these semileptonic decays are plotted with respect to the momentum transfer squared $q^2$.

2. Theoretical Framework

In order to study $B(B_s \rightarrow K^*_2(\alpha_2, f_2)\ell \nu$ decays, we focus on the exclusive decay $B_s \rightarrow K^*_2$ via the 3PSR. The $B_s \rightarrow K^*_2$ decay governed by the tree level $b \rightarrow u$ transition (see Figure 1). In the framework of the 3PSR, the first step is appropriate definition of correlation function. In this work, the correlation function should be taken as

$$\Pi_{\alpha_0\beta}(p^2, p'^2, q^2) = i \int \int e^{i(p' x - py)} \langle 0 | j_{\beta}(x) j_{\mu}(0) j^{\beta}(y) | 0 \rangle d^4x d^4y,$$

where $p$ and $p'$ are four-momentum of the initial and final mesons, respectively, $q^2$ is the squared momentum transfer and $\mathcal{T}$ is the time ordering operator. $j_{\mu} = \overline{u}_{\mu}(1 - \gamma_5)b$ is the transition current. $j^{\beta}$ and $j^{K^*_2}$ are the interpolating currents of $B_s$ and the tensor meson $K^*_2$, respectively. With considering all quantum numbers, the Interpolating currents can be written as follows [33]:

$$j^{B_s}(y) = \overline{b}(y) \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu(y),$$

$$j^{K^*_2}(x)$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \left[ \bar{s}(x) \gamma_\mu \overrightarrow{D}_\mu(x) u(x) + \bar{s}(x) \gamma_\mu \overleftarrow{D}_\mu(x) u(x) \right],$$

where $\overrightarrow{D}_\mu(x)$ is the four-derivative vector with respect to $x$ acting at the same time on the left and right. It is given as

$$\overrightarrow{D}_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \overrightarrow{D}_\mu(x) - \overleftarrow{D}_\mu(x) \right],$$

$$\overrightarrow{D}_\mu(x) = \overrightarrow{D}_\mu(x) - i \frac{g}{2} \lambda^n A^n_\mu(x),$$

$$\overrightarrow{D}_\mu(x) = \overleftarrow{D}_\mu(x) + i \frac{g}{2} \lambda^n A^n_\mu(x),$$

where $\lambda^n$ and $A^n_\mu(x)$ are the Gell-Mann matrices and the external gluon fields, respectively. It should be noted that the second current in (2) interpolates a spin 2 particle for massless quarks. In the general case, to describe a spin 2 state one has to use a current such that the trace of $j^{K^*_2}$ vanishes.

The correlation function is a complex function of which the imaginary part comprises the computations of the phenomenological and real part comprises the computations of the theoretical part (QCD). By linking these two parts via the dispersion relation, the physical quantities are calculated. In the phenomenological part of the QCD sum rules approach, the correlation function in (1) is calculated by inserting two complete sets of intermediate states with the same quantum numbers as $B_s$ and $K^*_2$. After performing four integrals over $x$ and $y$, it will be

$$\Pi_{\alpha_0\beta}(p^2, p'^2, q^2) = - \frac{\langle 0 | j^{K^*_2}(p') \rangle \langle K^*_2(p') | j_{\mu} | B_s(p) \rangle \langle B_s(p) | j^{B_s} | 0 \rangle}{(p^2 - m_{B_s}^2)(p'^2 - m_{K^*_2}^2)} + \text{higher states.}$$

In (4), the vacuum to initial and final meson state matrix elements is defined as

$$\langle 0 | j^{K^*_2}(p') | K^*_2(p', \epsilon) \rangle = f_{K^*_2} m_{K^*_2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$\langle 0 | j^{B_s} | B_s(p) \rangle = -i \frac{f_{B_s} m_{B_s}}{(m_b + m_s)},$$

where $f_{K^*_2}$ and $f_{B_s}$ are the leptonic decay constants of $K^*_2$ and $B_s$ mesons, respectively. $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ is polarization tensor of $K^*_2$. The transition current gives a contribution to these matrix elements and it can be parametrized in terms of some form factors using the Lorentz invariance and parity conservation. The correspondence between a vector meson and a tensor meson allows us to get these parametrizations in a comparative way (for more information see [5]). The parametrization of $B \rightarrow T$ form factors is analogous to the $B \rightarrow V$ case except that $\epsilon$ is replaced by $\epsilon_T$, as follows:

$$\epsilon_T \langle K^*_2(p', \epsilon) | \overline{u}_{\mu}(1 - \gamma_5) b | B_s(p) \rangle$$

$$= -i e_{\mu}(m_{B_s} + m_{K^*_2}) A_1(q^2)$$

$$+ i (p + p')_{\mu} (\epsilon_T \cdot q) \frac{A_2(q^2)}{m_{B_s} + m_{K^*_2}}$$
$$+ i q_{\mu} (e_{\gamma} \cdot q) \frac{2m_{K}^{2}}{q^{2}} \left( A_{3} \left( q^{2} \right) - A_{0} \left( q^{2} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} e_{\gamma}^{\mu} p^{\nu} p'^{\rho} p'^{\sigma} \frac{2V \left( q^{2} \right)}{m_{B_{s}} + m_{K}^{2}}$$

with $A_{3} \left( q^{2} \right)$

$$= \frac{m_{B_{s}} + m_{K}^{2}}{2m_{K}^{2}} A_{1} \left( q^{2} \right) - \frac{m_{B_{s}} - m_{K}^{2}}{2m_{K}^{2}} A_{2} \left( q^{2} \right), \quad A_{0} \left( 0 \right) = A_{3} \left( 0 \right)$$

where $q = p - p'$, $P = p + p'$, and $e_{\gamma}^{\mu} = (p_{A}/m_{B_{s}}) \epsilon_{\mu}$. The factor $c_{\nu}$ accounts for the flavor content of particles: $c_{\nu} = \sqrt{2}$ for $a_{2}$, $f_{2}$ and $c_{\nu} = 1$ for $K_{S}^{0}$ [34]. Inserting (5) and (6) in (4) and performing summation over the polarization tensor as

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\beta\delta} = \frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\alpha} T_{\nu\beta} + \frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\beta} T_{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{3} T_{\mu\nu} T_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (8)$$

where $T_{\mu\nu} = -g_{\mu\nu} + p_{\mu}' p_{\nu}'/m_{K}^{2}$, the final representation of the physical side is obtained as

$$\Pi_{\alpha\beta\mu} = \frac{f_{B_{s}} m_{B_{s}}}{(m_{B_{s}} + m_{s})}$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{f_{K}^{2} m_{K}^{2}}{(p^{2} - m_{B_{s}}^{2})(p'^{2} - m_{K}^{2})} \left( V' \left( q^{2} \right) i \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{\rho} p'^{\rho} p'^{\sigma} \right) \right.$$

$$\left. + A_{0}' \left( q^{2} \right) p_{\alpha} p_{\beta} p_{\mu}' + A_{1}' \left( q^{2} \right) g_{\beta\mu} p_{\alpha} \right] + \text{higher states}$$

For simplicity in calculations, the following redefinitions have been used in (9):

$$V' \left( q^{2} \right) = \frac{V \left( q^{2} \right)}{m_{B_{s}} + m_{K}^{2}}$$

$$A_{0}' \left( q^{2} \right) = -\frac{m_{K}^{2}}{2m_{B_{s}}} \left( A_{3} \left( q^{2} \right) - A_{0} \left( q^{2} \right) \right)$$

$$A_{1}' \left( q^{2} \right) = -\frac{m_{B_{s}} + m_{K}^{2}}{2} A_{1} \left( q^{2} \right)$$

$$A_{2}' \left( q^{2} \right) = \frac{A_{2} \left( q^{2} \right)}{2 \left( m_{B_{s}} + m_{K}^{2} \right)}.$$

Now, the QCD part of the correlation function is calculated by expanding it in terms of the OPE at large negative value of $q^{2}$ as follows:

$$\Pi_{\alpha\beta\mu} = C_{\alpha\beta\mu}^{(0)} I + C_{\alpha\beta\mu}^{(3)} \left( 0 \mid \bar{\Psi} \Psi \mid 0 \right)$$

$$+ C_{\alpha\beta\mu}^{(4)} \left( 0 \mid \bar{G}_{\rho\sigma} G_{\rho\sigma} \mid 0 \right)$$

$$+ C_{\alpha\beta\mu}^{(5)} \left( 0 \mid \bar{\Psi} \sigma_{\rho\sigma} G_{\rho\sigma} \Psi \mid 0 \right) + \cdots,$$

where $C_{\alpha\beta\mu}^{(0)}$ are the Wilson coefficients, $I$ is the unit operator, $\bar{\Psi}$ is the local fermion field operator, and $G_{\rho\sigma}$ is the gluon strength tensor. In (11), the first term is contribution of the nonperturbative part and the other terms are contribution of the nonperturbative part.

To compute the portion of the perturbative part (Figure 1), using the Feynman rules for the bare loop, we obtain

$$C_{\alpha\beta\mu}^{(0)} = -\frac{i}{4} \int \left\{ e^{i(p' x - py)} \left[ \text{Tr} \left[ S_{\alpha} (x - y) \gamma_{\mu} D_{\beta} (x) \right] \right] \cdot \gamma_{\alpha} (1 - \gamma_{5}) \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_{5}) \right\} \right. \left. \cdot \gamma_{\alpha} (1 - \gamma_{5}) \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_{5}) \right\} \right. \left. + \text{Tr} [\alpha] \right. \right. \right.$$
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The quantities \( C_\rho \) where the spectral densities corresponding to (9) as follows:

\[
\rho_V (s, s', q^2) = 24 B_1 \sqrt{x} \left[ B_1 (m_s - m_b) + B_2 (m_s - m_u) \right],
\]

\[
\rho_0 (s, s', q^2) = 12 \left[ D_2 (m_s - m_b) + D_3 (m_s - m_u) \right],
\]

\[
\rho_1 (s, s', q^2) = 3B_1 \left[ 2m_s^2 (m_s + m_u - m_b) - m_s (2m_u m_u + u) + \Delta (m_s - m_u) + \Delta' (m_s - m_b) \right] + 6D_1 (m_s - m_u) - 24 E_1 (m_b - m_s),
\]

\[
\rho_2 (s, s', q^2) = 24 \left[ D_2 m_s + E_3 (m_s - m_u) \right].
\]

The relations in (15), \( \text{Im}[C_{\rho \mu}] \) can be calculated for each structure corresponding to (9) as follows:

\[
\text{Im} \left[ C_{\rho \mu}^{(0)} \right] = \rho_V (i \epsilon_{\rho \mu \alpha} p_\alpha p''_\mu) + \rho_0 (p_\rho p'\mu),
\]

where the spectral densities \( \rho_i \) \((i = V, 0, 1, 2)\) are found as

\[
\rho_V (s, s', q^2) = 24 B_1 \sqrt{x} \left[ B_1 (m_s - m_b) + B_2 (m_s - m_u) \right],
\]

\[
\rho_0 (s, s', q^2) = 12 \left[ D_2 (m_s - m_b) + D_3 (m_s - m_u) \right],
\]

\[
\rho_1 (s, s', q^2) = 3B_1 \left[ 2m_s^2 (m_s + m_u - m_b) - m_s (2m_u m_u + u) + \Delta (m_s - m_u) + \Delta' (m_s - m_b) \right] + 6D_1 (m_s - m_u) - 24 E_1 (m_b - m_s),
\]

\[
\rho_2 (s, s', q^2) = 24 \left[ D_2 m_s + E_3 (m_s - m_u) \right].
\]

Using the dispersion relation, the perturbative part contribution of the correlation function can be calculated as follows:

\[
C_i^{(0)} = \int \frac{\rho_i (s, s', q^2) ds' ds}{(s - p^2)(s' - p'^2)}. \tag{18}
\]

For calculation of the nonperturbative contributions (condensate terms), we consider the condensate terms of dimensions 3, 4, and 5 related to the contributions of the quark-quark, gluon-gluon, and quark-gluon condensate, respectively. They are more important than the other terms in the OPE. In the 3PSR, when the light quark is a spectator, the gluon-gluon condensate contributions can be easily ignored [35]. On the other hand, the quark condensate contributions of the light quark, which is a nonspectator, are zero after applying the double Borel transformation with respect to both variables \( p^2 \) and \( p'^2 \), because only one variable appears in the denominator. Therefore, only two important diagrams are depicted in Figure 2. After some calculations, the nonperturbative part of the correlation function is obtained as follows:

\[
C_0^{(3)} + C_0^{(5)} = -\frac{4 \kappa}{(p^2 - m_b^2) (p'^2 - m_b^2)},
\]

\[
C_1^{(3)} + C_1^{(5)} = \frac{\kappa}{(p^2 - m_b^2) (p'^2 - m_b^2)} \left[ (m_u + m_u)^2 - q^2 \right],
\]

\[
C_2^{(3)} + C_2^{(5)} = -\frac{4 \kappa}{(p^2 - m_b^2) (p'^2 - m_b^2)},
\]

where \( \kappa = (m_u^2 - m_b^2) / 16 \) \((0 | \bar{s}s | 0), m_b^2 = (0.8 \pm 0.2) \text{ GeV}^2 [35], \) and \( (0 | \bar{b}b | 0), (0 | \bar{u}u | 0), (0 | \bar{u}u | 0) = \langle 0 | \bar{d}d | 0 \rangle = -0.240 \pm 0.010 \text{ GeV}^2 \); that is, we choose the

---

**Figure 2:** The diagrams of the effective contributions of the condensate terms.
value of the condensates at a fixed renormalization scale of about 1 GeV [36, 37].

The next step is to apply the Borel transformations with respect to \( p^2 \) (\( p^2 \rightarrow M_1^2 \)) and \( p^2 \) (\( p^2 \rightarrow M_2^2 \)) on the phenomenological as well as the perturbative and nonperturbative parts of the correlation functions and equate these two representations of the correlations. The following sum rules for the form factors are derived:

\[
V'(q^2) = \left( \frac{m_b + m_s}{f_{B_s} m_B K_{s_1} K_{s_2}} \right) \int \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \rho_V(s, s', q^2) e^{-s/M_1^2} e^{-s'/M_2^2} + B \left[ C_V^{(3)} + C_V^{(5)} \right] ds' ds, \tag{20}
\]

\[
A_n'(q^2) = \left( \frac{m_b + m_s}{f_{B_s} m_B K_{s_1} K_{s_2}} \right) \int \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \rho_n(s, s', q^2) e^{-s/M_1^2} e^{-s'/M_2^2} + B \left[ C_n^{(3)} + C_n^{(5)} \right] ds' ds, \tag{20}
\]

where \( n = 0, \ldots, 2 \) and \( s_0 \) and \( s_0' \) are the continuum thresholds in the initial and final channels, respectively. The lower limit in the integration over \( s \) is \( s_L = m_0^2 + (m_0^2/q^2 - q^2) s' \). Also, the \( B \) transformation is defined as follows:

\[
B = \frac{1}{(p^2 - m_b^2)^m (p^2 - m_s^2)^n} \left[ (-1)^{m+n} \frac{e^{-m_0^2/M_1^2} e^{-m_0^2/M_2^2}}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(m) (M_1^2)^{m-1} (M_2^2)^{n-1}} \right], \tag{21}
\]

where \( M_1^2 \) and \( M_2^2 \) are Borel mass parameters.

In (20), to subtract the contributions of the higher states and the continuum, the quark-hadron duality assumption is also used; that is, it is assumed that

\[
\rho_{\text{higher states}}(s, s') = \rho_s(s, s') \theta(s - s_0) \theta(s' - s_0'). \tag{22}
\]

We would like to provide the same results for \( B \rightarrow a_2 \ell^+\nu \) and \( B \rightarrow f_2 \ell^+\nu \) decays. With a little bit of change in the above expressions such as \( s \rightarrow d(u) \) and \( m_{B_s} \rightarrow m_{B_s}(m_{f_2}) \), we can easily find similar results in (20) for the form factors of the new transitions.

### 3. Numerical Analysis

In this section, we numerically analyze the sum rules for the form factors \( V(q^2) \), \( A_0(q^2) \), \( A_1(q^2) \), and \( A_2(q^2) \) as well as branching ratio values of the transitions \( B(B_s) \rightarrow T \), where \( T \) can be one of the tensor mesons \( K_2^* \), \( a_2 \), or \( f_2 \). The values of the meson masses and leptonic decay constants are chosen as presented in Table 1. Also, \( m_b = 4.820 \text{ GeV}, m_s = 0.150 \text{ GeV} \) [38], \( m_t = 1.776 \text{ GeV} \), and \( m_{\nu} = 0.105 \text{ GeV} \) [30].

From the 3PSR, it is clear that the form factors also contain the continuum thresholds \( s_0 \) and \( s_0' \) and the Borel parameters \( M_1^2 \) and \( M_2^2 \) as the main input. These are not physical quantities; hence the form factors should be independent of these parameters. The continuum thresholds, \( s_0 \) and \( s_0' \), are not completely arbitrary, but these are in correlation with the energy of the first exiting state with the same quantum numbers as the considered interpolating currents. The value of the continuum threshold \( s_0^B = 35 \text{ GeV}^2 \) [39] is calculated from the 3PSR. The values of the continuum threshold \( s_0^B \) for the tensor mesons \( K_2^* \), \( a_2 \), and \( f_2 \) are taken to be \( s_0^B = 3.13 \text{ GeV}^2 \), \( s_0^B = 2.70 \text{ GeV}^2 \), and \( s_0^B = 2.53 \text{ GeV}^2 \), respectively [9]. In this work, the variations of \( s_0^B \) (\( T = a_2, K_2^*, f_2 \)) are considered to be \( \pm 0.2 \). In these regions, the dependence of the form factors on the continuum threshold values is very small. For instance, we have shown the variations of the form factor \( A_1^{B \rightarrow K_2^*}(q^2) \) for different values of \( s_0^B \) in Figure 3. As can be seen, these plots are very close to each other.

We search for the intervals of the Borel parameters so that our results are almost insensitive to their variations. One more condition for the intervals of these parameters is the fact that the aforementioned intervals must suppress the higher states, continuum, and contributions of the highest-order operators. In other words, the sum rules for the form factors must converge. As a result, we get \( 8 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq M_1^2 \leq 12 \text{ GeV}^2 \) and \( 4 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq M_2^2 \leq 8 \text{ GeV}^2 \). To show how the form factors depend on the Borel mass parameters, as examples, we depict the variations of the form factors \( V, A_0, A_1 \), and \( A_2 \) for \( B_s \rightarrow K_2^* \ell^+\nu \) at \( q^2 = 0 \) with respect to the variations of the \( M_1^2 \) and \( M_2^2 \) parameters in their working regions in Figure 4. From these figures, it is revealed that the form factors weakly depend on these parameters in their working regions.

In the Borel transform scheme, the ratio of the nonperturbative to perturbative part of the form factor \( V^{B \rightarrow K_2^*} \) is about \( V_{\text{non-per}}(0)/V_{\text{per}}(0) = 13\% \). This value confirms that the higher order corrections are small, constituting a few percent, and can easily be neglected. Our calculation shows that the same suppression is observed for all other form factors.

The sum rules for the form factors are truncated at about \( 0 \leq q^2 \leq 11 \text{ GeV}^2 \). The dependence of the form factors \( V, A_0, \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meson</th>
<th>( B_s )</th>
<th>( B )</th>
<th>( K_2^* )</th>
<th>( a_2 )</th>
<th>( f_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>( 5.366 )</td>
<td>( 5.279 )</td>
<td>( 1.425 )</td>
<td>( 3.181 )</td>
<td>( 1.275 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decay constant</td>
<td>( 0.222 \pm 0.012 )</td>
<td>( 0.186 \pm 0.014 )</td>
<td>( 0.118 \pm 0.005 )</td>
<td>( 0.107 \pm 0.006 )</td>
<td>( 0.102 \pm 0.006 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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\( A_1 \), and \( A_2 \) on \( q^2 \) for \( B \to T \) transitions is shown in Figure 5. However, it is necessary to obtain the behavior of the form factors with respect to \( q^2 \) in the full physical region, \( 0 \leq q^2 \leq (m_{B(B')}-m_T)^2 \), in order to calculate the decay width of the \( B \to T \) transitions. So, to extend our results, we look for a parametrization of the form factors in such a way that in the region \( 0 \leq q^2 \leq (m_{B(B')}-m_T)^2 \), this parametrization coincides with the sum rules predictions. Our numerical calculations show that the sufficient parametrization of the form factors with respect to \( q^2 \) as is follows:

\[
\mathbf{f}(q^2) = \frac{f(0)}{1 - a(q^2/m_{B(B')}^2) + b(q^2/m_{B(B')}^2)^2}.
\]

The values of the parameters \( f(0), a, \) and \( b \) for the transition form factors of \( B \to T \) are given in Table 2.

In Table 3, our results for the form factors of \( B \to T \bar{\tau} \) and \( T \tau \) decays in \( q^2 = 0 \) are compared with those of other approaches such as the LCSR, the PQCD, the LEET, and the ISGW II model. Our results are in good agreement with those of the LCSR, PQCD, and LEET in all cases.

At the end of this section, we would like to present the differential decay widths of the process under consideration. Using the parametrization of these transitions in terms of the form factors, the differential decay width for \( B \to T \bar{\tau} \) transition is obtained as

\[
\frac{d\Gamma(B \to T \bar{\tau})}{dq^2} = \frac{\left| G_F V_{ub} \right|^2 \sqrt{\lambda(m_B, m_{T}, q^2)} (1 - m_T^2/q^2)^2}{256m_B^3 \pi^3 q^2} \cdot (X_L + X_+ + X_-) \tag{24}
\]

where \( m_T \) represents the mass of the charged lepton. The other parameters are defined as

\[
X_L = \frac{\lambda}{9m_T^2m_B^2} \left[ (2q^2 + m_T^2) h_0^2(q^2) + 3\lambda m_T^2 A_2^2(q^2) \right],
\]

\[
X_+ = \frac{2q^2}{3} \left( 2q^2 + m_T^2 \right) \frac{\lambda}{8m_T^2m_B^2} \left( m_B + m_T \right) A_1^2(q^2) + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{m_B + m_T} V(q^2) \tag{25}
\]

\[
h_0(q^2) = \frac{1}{2m_T} \left[ (m_B^2 - m_T^2 - q^2)(m_B + m_T) A_1(q^2) \right] - \lambda \frac{1}{m_B + m_T} A_2(q^2)
\]

Integrating (24) over \( q^2 \) in the whole physical region and using \( V_{ub} = (3.89 \pm 0.44) \times 10^{-3} \) [30], the branching ratios of the \( B \to T \bar{\tau} \) decays are obtained. The differential branching ratios of the \( B \to T \bar{\tau} \) and \( T \tau \) decays on \( q^2 \) are shown in Figure 6. The branching ratio values of these decays are obtained as presented in Table 4. Furthermore, this table contains the results estimated via the PQCD. Considering the uncertainties, our estimations for the branching ratio values of the \( B \to T \bar{\tau} \) decays are in consistent agreement with those of the PQCD.

It should be noted that the uncertainties in the branching ratio values come from the form factors, the CKM parameter, and the meson and lepton masses which are about 30% of the central values.

In summary, we considered \( B \to K_{0}^* (a_{i}, f_{i}) \tau \bar{\tau} \) channels and computed the relevant form factors considering the contribution of the quark condensate corrections. Our results are in good agreement with those of the LCSR, PQCD, and LEET in all cases. We also evaluated the total decays widths and the branching ratios of these decays. Our branching ratio values of these decays are in consistent agreement with those of the PQCD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form factor</th>
<th>( f(0) )</th>
<th>( a )</th>
<th>( b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( V^F_{B \to K_{0}^*} )</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^F_{B \to K_{0}^*} )</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^F_{B \to K_{0}^*} )</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^F_{B \to K_{0}^*} )</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^F_{B \to K_{0}^*} )</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^F_{B \to K_{0}^*} )</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^F_{B \to K_{0}^*} )</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^F_{B \to K_{0}^*} )</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^F_{B \to K_{0}^*} )</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^F_{B \to K_{0}^*} )</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^F_{B \to K_{0}^*} )</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A^F_{B \to K_{0}^*} )</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3:** The form factor of \( A^F_{B \to K_{0}^*} \) on \( q^2 \) for different values of \( s_0^2 \).
Table 3: Comparison of the form factor values of $B \to T \ell \nu$ decays in $q^2 = 0$ in different approaches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{B \to K^*}$</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.05</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^0_{B \to K^*}$</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.04</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^1_{B \to K^*}$</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.03</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^2_{B \to K^*}$</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.02</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{B \to a_2}$</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^0_{B \to a_2}$</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^1_{B \to a_2}$</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^2_{B \to a_2}$</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{B \to f_2}$</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^0_{B \to f_2}$</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^1_{B \to f_2}$</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^2_{B \to f_2}$</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: The form factor of $B_s \to K^*_2$ on $M^2_1$ and $M^2_2$.

Table 4: Comparison of the branching ratio values of $B \to T \ell \nu$ decays with those of the PQCD (in units of $10^{-3}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Br $(B \to a_2 \mu \nu)$</th>
<th>This work</th>
<th>PQCD [5]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.82 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br $(B \to K^*_2 \mu \nu)$</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br $(B \to a_3 \tau \nu)$</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br $(B \to K^*_2 \tau \nu)$</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br $(B \to a_3 \tau \nu)$</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br $(B \to f_2 \tau \nu)$</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix

In this appendix, the explicit expressions of the coefficients $\lambda(s, s', q^2)$, $B_i (i = 1, 2)$, $D_j (j = 1, \ldots, 4)$, and $E_r (r = 1, \ldots, 6)$ are given.

\[
\lambda(s, s', q^2) = s^2 + s'^2 + (q^2)^2 - 2s q^2 - 2s' q^2 - 2s',
\]

\[
B_1 = \frac{I_0}{\lambda(s, s', q^2)} \left[ 2s' \Delta - \Delta' u \right],
\]

\[
B_2 = \frac{I_0}{\lambda(s, s', q^2)} \left[ 2s\Delta' - \Delta u \right],
\]

\[
D_1 = -\frac{I_0}{2s' (s, s', q^2)} \left[ 4ss' m_s^2 - s\Delta' - s' \Delta^2 - u^2 m_s^2 + u\Delta' \right],
\]

\[
D_2 = -\frac{I_0}{\lambda^2(s, s', q^2)} \left[ 8ss' m_s^2 - 2ss' \Delta' - 6s' \Delta^2 \right.
\]

\[
- 2u^2 s' m_s^2 + 6s' u\Delta' - u^2 \Delta'^2 \bigg],
\]

\[
D_3 = \frac{I_0}{\lambda^2(s, s', q^2)} \left[ 4ss' um_s^2 + 4s\Delta' \Delta' - 3su\Delta'^2 \right.
\]

\[
- 3u\Delta' s' - u^3 m_s^2 + 2u^2 \Delta' \bigg],
\]
Form factors ($B \to K_{2}^{-}$)

Figure 5: The SR predictions for the form factors of the $B(B_s) \to T \ell \nu$ transitions on $q^2$.

Form factors ($B \to a_2$)

Form factors ($B \to f_2$)

Figure 6: The differential branching ratios of the semileptonic $B \to T \ell \nu$ decays on $q^2$. 
\[ D_4 = \frac{I_0}{\lambda^3(s,s',q^2)} \left[ -6s'u\Delta' + 6s^2\Delta'^2 - 8s's'm_i^2 \
+ 2u^2sm_i^2 + u^2\Delta^2 + 2ss'\Delta' \right] \]
\[ E_1 = \frac{I_0}{2\lambda^3(s,s',q^2)} \left[ 8s_i^2m_i^2\Delta s + 2s_i^2m_i^2\Delta u^2 \
- 4u^3m_i^2\Delta s + 3s^2\Delta^3 - 2s^2\Delta^2 + 3u^3s\Delta^2 \
- 2\Delta^2\Delta s' - \Delta^2s\Delta u + u\Delta^3 \right] \]
\[ E_2 = \frac{I_0}{2\lambda^3(s,s',q^2)} \left[ 8s_i^2m_i^2\Delta s' + 2s_i^2m_i^2\Delta u^2 \
- 4s_i^2u^3m_i^2\Delta s' - 3s_i^2u^3\Delta^2 \
- 2s^2\Delta^2s\Delta u + 6s^2\Delta^2u^2 + u^2s^2\Delta s' \right] \]
\[ E_3 = -\frac{I_0}{\lambda^3(s,s',q^2)} \left[ 48s_i^2m_i^2\Delta^3 - 24s_i^2u^2m_i^2\Delta' \
- 12s^2\Delta^2s\Delta' - 12s^2\Delta^2u - 12s^2\Delta^2u^2 + 2s^2\Delta^3u^2 \
+ u^6\Delta^3 \right] \]
\[ E_4 = -\frac{I_0}{\lambda^3(s,s',q^2)} \left[ 16s_i^2m_i^2\Delta^2s^2 - 24s_i^2u^2m_i^2\Delta' \
- 12s^2\Delta^2s\Delta' - 12s^2\Delta^2u + 12s^2\Delta^2u^2 + 2s^2\Delta^3u^2 \
- 2s_i^2u^4 + 3u^2\Delta^2\Delta' \right] \]
\[ E_5 = -\frac{I_0}{\lambda^3(s,s',q^2)} \left[ 48s_i^2m_i^2\Delta^3s' - 20s^2'\Delta^3s \
- 12s^2\Delta^2s'\Delta - 12s^2\Delta^2u + 6s^2\Delta^2u^2 - 12s^2\Delta^2u^2 + 2s^2\Delta^3u^2 \
- 2s_i^2u^4 + 3u^2\Delta^2\Delta' \right] \]
\[ \Delta = s + m_i^2 - m_i^2, \Delta' = s' + m_i^2 - m_i^2, u = s + s' - q^2 \]
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