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We study the identified particle ratios produced at mid-rapidity (|y| < 0.5) in heavy-ion collisions, along with their correlations with the collision energy. We employ our earlier proposed unified statistical thermal freeze-out model (USTFM), which incorporates the effects of both longitudinal and transverse hydrodynamic flow in the hot hadronic system. A fair agreement seen between the experimental data and our model results confirms that the particle production in these collisions is of statistical nature. The variation of the chemical freeze-out temperature and the baryon chemical potential with respect to collision energies is studied. The chemical freeze-out temperature is found to be almost constant beyond the RHIC energy and is found to be close to the QCD predicted phase-transition temperature suggesting that the chemical freeze-out occurs soon after the hadronization takes place. The vanishing value of chemical potential at LHC indicates very high degree of nuclear transparency in the collision.

1. Introduction

Relative hadron yields and their correlations are observable which can provide information on the nature, composition, and size of the medium from which they originate in high energy heavy-ion collisions where a strongly interacting nuclear matter at high energy density and temperatures is formed. Within the framework of the statistical model, it is assumed that a hot and dense fireball is formed over an extended region for a brief period of time (∼a few fm/c) after the initial collision which undergoes collective expansion leading to a decrease in its temperature and finally to the hadronization. After the hadronization of the hot fireball, the hadrons keep interacting with each other and the particle number changing (inelastic) reaction processes continue to take place till the temperature drops to a certain value where a given reaction process almost comes to a stop. Those particle number changing reaction processes (e.g., strangeness exchange process) stop earlier for which the threshold energy is larger. The temperature at which the particle number changing process for a given hadron almost stops is called the “chemical freeze-out” temperature of that hadronic specie. However, the (elastic) rescattering still takes place and continues to build up the collective (hydrodynamic) expansion. Consequently, the matter becomes dilute and the mean free path for the elastic reaction processes of given hadronic species becomes comparable with the system size. At this stage the scattering processes stop and the hadron decouples from the rest of the system. This is called the “kinetic or thermal freeze-out” after which the hadron's energy/momentum spectrum is frozen in time [1]. As the inelastic cross sections are only a small fraction of the total cross section at lower (thermal) energies, the inelastic processes stop well before the elastic ones. Thus chemical freeze-out precedes kinetic or thermal freeze-out [2].

Statistical thermal models have successfully reproduced the essential features of particle production in heavy-ion collisions [3] as well as in many types of elementary collisions [4–7] at LHC energies suggesting a statistical nature of particle production in these collisions. Systematic studies of particle yields using experimental results at different beam energies have revealed a clear underlying freeze-out pattern for particle yields in heavy-ion collisions [8, 9]. The success of the statistical (thermal) models in describing the ratios of
hadron yields produced in heavy-ion collisions is remarkable. The agreement of the particle ratios with simple predictions of the statistical models is a key argument for the thermalization of the system formed in heavy-ion collisions. Measurements of antiparticle to particle ratios in these collisions give information on the net baryon density or baryon chemical potential achieved and are thus of interest in characterizing the environment created in these collisions. It has also been suggested that the measurement of strange antibaryon to baryon ratios could help distinguish between a hadron gas and deconfined plasma of quarks and gluons [10]. For a boost invariant system at mid-rapidity for the RHIC and LHC energies, the particle yields $dN/dy$ change only by a few percentages in the rapidity window $|y| < 1$. The particles ratios detected at mid-rapidity are the integrated yield from various parts of the fireball.

In this paper, we attempt to reproduce the particle ratios and to study their correlations and the energy dependence in the hadron gas (HG) scenario by using our phenomenological boost invariant unified statistical thermal freeze-out model (USTFM) [1,13–17] which assumes that at freeze-out all the hadrons in the hadron gas resulting from a high energy nuclear collision follow an equilibrium distribution. The local particle phase space densities have the form of the Fermi-Dirac or Bose-Einstein statistical distributions.

2. Model Description

The nuclear matter created in high energy heavy-ion collisions is assumed to form an ideal gas that can be described by Grand Canonical Ensemble. The density of the particle $i$ can then be written as

$$n_i = \frac{g_i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2dk}{\exp((E_i(k) - \mu_i)/T) \pm 1},$$

(1)

where $E_i = \sqrt{(k^2 + m_i^2)}$ is the energy, $k$ is the momentum of the particle specie, $g_i = (2J_i + 1)$ is the spin degeneracy factor, $\mu_i$ is the chemical potential of the particle species $i$, and $T$ is the temperature. The ($\pm$) sign is for fermions and ($\mp$) sign is for bosons. For high temperatures and energies, the Bose-Einstein or Fermi-Dirac statistics can be replaced with the Boltzmann statistics by dropping the $\pm 1$ term. The chemical freeze-out relates to the equilibrium between different flavors. If the hadron gas reaches chemical equilibrium, the particle abundance is described by chemical potentials and temperatures. The information of the chemical freeze-out can be extracted from particle ratios in the measurement. Relative particle production can be studied by particle ratios of the integrated $dN/dy$ yields. If we neglect the decay contributions and consider only the primordial yield, the antiparticle to particle ratios are found to be controlled only by their respective fugacities. That is,

$$\frac{n_i}{n\bar{i}} = \exp \left(\frac{\mu_i - \bar{\mu}_i}{T}\right) = \exp \left(\frac{2\mu_i}{T}\right),$$

(2)

Ratios of particles with the same mass, but different quark content, such as $\bar{p}/p$ and $K^-/K^+$, are sensitive to the balance between matter and antimatter, characterized by the baryon chemical potential $\mu_B$. As strange quarks are created during the collision and are not transported from the incoming nuclei, strangeness production is expected to be a good estimator of the degree of equilibration of the produced fireball [18]. The $\bar{p}/p$ ratio in accordance with (2) can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\bar{p}}{p} = \exp \left(-2\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right).$$

(3)

The other particle ratios of thermal yields (i.e., without feed-down contributions from the heavier resonances) can be correlated accordingly with the $\bar{p}/p$ ratio as follows:

$$\frac{K^-}{K^+} = \exp \left(2\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{p}}{p}\right)^{1/3},$$

(4)

$$\frac{\Lambda}{\bar{\Lambda}} = \exp \left(-2\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{p}}{p}\right)^{2/3},$$

(5)

$$\frac{\Sigma}{\bar{\Sigma}} = \exp \left(-2\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{p}}{p}\right)^{2/3},$$

(6)

$$\frac{\Xi}{\bar{\Xi}} = \exp \left(-4\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{p}}{p}\right)^{1/3},$$

(7)

$$\frac{\Omega}{\bar{\Omega}} = \exp \left(-6\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right).$$

(8)

Incidentally, the above given relations of the mid-rapidity equal mass particle ratios, emitted from a hadronic fireball maintaining a high degree of thermal and chemical equilibration, hold even when the resonance decay contributions are included [18]. In (8), the $\bar{p}/p$ ratio is absent because of the complete strange quark content of Omega mesons.

In our model [1,13–17], it is assumed that the rapidity axis is populated with hot hadronic regions moving along the beam axis with monotonically increasing rapidity $y_0$. This essentially emerges from the situation where the colliding nuclei exhibit transparency effects. Hence the regions away from the mid-region also consist of the constituent partons of the colliding nucleons, which suffer less rapidity loss due to partial nuclear transparency. Due to this, these regions have an excess of quarks over the antiquarks and hence maintain larger baryon chemical potentials on either side of the mid-region in a symmetric manner. For this reason, a quadratic-type rapidity-dependent chemical potential $\mu_B$ has been considered in our model as follows:

$$\mu_B = a + b y_0^2,$$

(9)

where the model parameter $a$ defines the chemical potential at mid-rapidity and the parameter $b$ gives the variation of the chemical potential along the rapidity axis. We focus on the mid-rapidity data ($dN/dy$), for which a bulk of published hadrons yields is available. We have also employed the strangeness conservation criteria in a way such that the total strangeness in the fireball is zero.
Table 1: The values of chemical potential obtained at different collision energies in our model are compared to the values obtained in references [11, 12].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)</th>
<th>$\mu_B = a$ (MeV) (our model)</th>
<th>$\mu_B$ (MeV) Ref. [11, 12]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>$290 \pm 3$</td>
<td>$300 \pm 12$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>$45 \pm 5$</td>
<td>$62.7 \pm 6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>$25 \pm 3$</td>
<td>$29 \pm 4.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>$23 \pm 2$</td>
<td>$22 \pm 4.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2760</td>
<td>$0.5 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>$0.75$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have tabulated above the different values of chemical potentials obtained in our previous papers [1, 13–17] for different center-of-mass energies, as shown in Table 1, by using our unified statistical thermal freeze-out model. For the sake of comparison, we have also mentioned the values of $\mu_B$ obtained at different SPS and RHIC energies by STAR collaboration [11] and by ALICE at LHC [12].

3. Results and Discussion

In order to reproduce the variation of various particle ratios, at all possible energies up to the LHC, we need to obtain the dependence of the chemical potential and the chemical freeze-out temperature on the collision energies. For this purpose, we use the following parameterization [19]:

$$\mu_B = \frac{c}{1 + d \sqrt{s_{NN}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Using the set of extracted values of $\mu_B$ from our model at five different energies we obtain $c = 1304$ MeV and $d = 0.38 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ when $\mu_B$ is in the units of MeV. These values are found to be in a close vicinity with the values of the parameters $c = 1308 \pm 0.028$ MeV and $d = 0.273 \pm 0.008 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ obtained by Cleymans et al. [20]. Similarly, in order to obtain the values of freeze-out temperatures at various energies, we first fit the $\overline{p}/p$ and $K/\Lambda$ ratios at five different collision energies by using the corresponding values of the chemical potentials obtained through our model as mentioned in Table 1. The five different values of freeze-out temperatures obtained in this way are shown in Table 2. To obtain the chemical freeze-out temperature at all possible energies we use the following parameterization:

$$T = T_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\left(\log \sqrt{s_{NN}} - e\right)/f}\right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

Using the set of chemical freeze-out temperature obtained at five different collision energies we obtain the values of the parameters in (11) as $T_0 = 172$ MeV, $e = 1.10$ GeV, and $f = 0.14$ GeV. The result of these parameterizations ((10) and (11)) is shown in Figure 1. The solid red curves represent the best fit and the black square shapes represent the values of chemical potentials and the freeze-out temperatures as obtained previously in our model.

In Table 2, we have also shown the values of kinetic freeze-out temperatures $T_{kin}$ obtained by reproducing the transverse momentum distributions of protons and kaons at the five different collision energies in our model [1, 13–17]. It is seen that the values of chemical freeze-out temperature $T$ and the kinetic freeze-out temperature $T_{kin}$ are almost the same at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.2$ GeV while, at RHIC, the values of chemical freeze-out temperature $T$ are a little higher than the values of kinetic freeze-out temperature $T_{kin}$. At LHC, this difference is even larger. Thus it seems that the time duration between the two types of freeze-outs is dependent on the collision energy. This duration is larger for the larger collision energies. This may be understood as a result of the larger particle production (and hence a larger system size) at higher energies, which results in the development of the larger collective flow effects at the cost of thermal temperature. We find in Figure 1 that the extracted temperature values generally increase rapidly whereas the baryon chemical potential decreases monotonically with the collision energy and tends to saturate at RHIC and LHC energies. In general, these values are found to lie close to the ideal gas values. Using these values of $\mu_B$ and $T$, we reproduce the energy dependence of various antiparticle to particle ratios by using (3)–(8).

These particle ratios are plotted in Figures 2–4. The experimental data shown by red colored shapes in Figures 2 and 3 is taken from [19] and the references therein, while, for Figure 4, the data is taken from [21] and the references therein. In heavy-ion collisions the increase in the antimatter to matter ratio with the center-of-mass energy of the system has been observed earlier by the NA49 and the STAR collaborations. The increase of $\overline{p}/p$ ratio towards unity with an increase in the center-of-mass energy from SPS to LHC is shown in Figure 2(a). Our model results are in good agreement with the experimental data. The increase in $\overline{p}/p$ ratio with an increase in collision energy reflects the decrease in net baryon density towards higher collision energies, thus making the collision system partially transparent at RHIC and almost completely transparent at LHC. The ratio $k^-/k^+$ plotted in Figure 2(b) shows a significant dependence on the center-of-mass energy. Our model prediction shows a close agreement with the experimental data points. Hence the overall feature of the experimental data in both cases is in good agreement with our model calculations. The decrease in $k^-/k^+$ ratio with a decrease in collision energy is due to an increase in net baryon density which leads to the associated production of kaons, thus favoring the production of $k^+$ over $k^-$. In Figures 3 and 4(a), we have plotted the energy.
dependence of singly, doubly, and triply strange antibaryon to baryon ratios. We find a fairly good agreement between our model results and the experimental data. It is seen that the ratios increase towards unity with the increase in collision energies. The ratios appear ordered with the strangeness quantum number, that is, the higher the strangeness quantum number, the smaller the difference between antibaryon and baryon content. This is so called “mass-hierarchy” where the saturation value (i.e., 1) of the ratio is achieved earlier for the more massive hyperons species [21]. It is interesting to note that even the yield of rarely produced strange particles like Ω is also fairly well described by our USTF model. In Figure 4(b), we have shown the energy dependence of $\pi^-/\pi^+$ ratio. This is also reproduced with a fairly good agreement.
It is seen that the distribution characteristics of $\pi^-/\pi^+$ ratio are different as compared to other particle ratios studied in this manuscript. This seems to be because of the associated production of $\pi^-$ over $\pi^+$ at lower energies. The ratio is greater than 1 at lower energies and goes to unity at LHC energies where the pair production mechanism dominates and complete nuclear transparency effects are observed. It is seen that antibaryon/baryon ratios have more sharp dependence on collision energy.

Figure 5 shows the correlation of $k^-/k^+$ (representing net strange chemical potential $\mu_s$) with the $\bar{p}/p$ ratio (representing net baryon chemical potential $\mu_B$). This is an attempt to prove the validity of our model. The black solid curve, which is a result of (4) $(k^-/k^+ \sim (\bar{p}/p)^{1/3})$, represents our model.
Table 3: Model predictions for hadron ratios at LHC ($T = 168.65$ MeV, $\mu_B = 0.85$ MeV). Decay contributions are included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K^-/K^+$</th>
<th>$\Xi^-/\Xi^+$</th>
<th>$\Lambda/\Omega$</th>
<th>$\pi^+/\pi^-$</th>
<th>$\Lambda/\Xi^+$</th>
<th>$K^-/\pi^+$</th>
<th>$K^-/\pi^-$</th>
<th>$\Lambda/\pi^-$</th>
<th>$\Xi^-/\pi^-$</th>
<th>$\Omega^-/\pi^-$</th>
<th>$\varphi/K^+$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>1.121</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5**: Correlation of $k^-/k^+$ ratio with $\bar{p}/p$ ratio at mid-rapidity for central collisions.

We have used our model (USTFM) to analyze the variations of the ratios of particles, produced in the high energy nucleus-nucleus collisions, with center-of-mass energy and their temperatures at RHIC and LHC energies. The steep decrease of the $p/\pi^+$ ratio towards higher energies reflects a decrease in the baryon chemical potential and hence an increase in the nuclear transparency, though the increase of pion production also plays a role in this. Beyond $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 100$ GeV the flattening of the curves takes place.

We have predicted the various hadron ratios produced in central Pb-Pb collisions at LHC ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV) and they are presented in Table 3. The antiparticle by particle ratios are close to unity reflecting a very small chemical potential at LHC. Also the yields relative to pions are very similar to the values measured at RHIC energy.

In Figure 7, we have plotted the chemical freeze-out temperature on the vertical axis and the chemical potential on the horizontal axis obtained at different collision energies. The value of chemical freeze-out temperature at RHIC and LHC lies in the vicinity of lattice QCD predicted phase-transition temperature of $\sim 170$ MeV [19], indicating that the freeze-out occurs almost simultaneously after the phase-transition. The red squares in Figure 7 represent the values of chemical potentials obtained at various collision energies as mentioned in Table 1.

### 4. Summary and Conclusion

We have used our model (USTFM) to analyze the variations of the ratios of particles, produced in the high energy nucleus-nucleus collisions, with center-of-mass energy and their
Figure 6: Continued.
correlations. We have compared our results with the experimental data. A good agreement between our model results and experimental data shows that thermal model used in the analysis gives a satisfactory description of the data. The dependence of the baryon chemical potential and the chemical freeze-out temperature on the energy is studied. For this purpose, a parameterization is used for each case. It is found that the extracted values of chemical freeze-out temperatures at RHIC and LHC are almost constant and are close to the lattice QCD predicted phase-transition temperature, suggesting that chemical freeze-out happens in the vicinity of the phase boundary, that is, shortly after hadronization process is completed. Also the difference between the chemical freeze-out and the kinetic (thermal)

freeze-out temperatures is found to increase with the collision energy.
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