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Osteogenic cells derived from rat multipotent adult progenitor cells (rMAPCs) were investigated for their potential use in bone regeneration. rMAPCs are adult stem cells derived from bone marrow that have a high proliferation capacity and the differentiation potential to multiple lineages. They may also offer immunomodulatory properties favorable for applications for regenerative medicine. rMAPCs were cultivated as single cells or as 3D aggregates in osteogenic media for up to 38 days, and their differentiation to bone lineage was then assessed by immunostaining of osteocalcin and collagen type I and by mineralization assays. The capability of rMAPCs in facilitating bone regeneration was evaluated in vivo by the direct implantation of multipotent adult progenitor cell (MAPC) aggregates in rat calvarial defects. Bone regeneration was examined radiographically, histologically, and histomorphometrically. Results showed that rMAPCs successfully differentiated into osteogenic lineage by demonstrating mineralized extracellular matrix formation in vitro and induced new bone formation by the effect of rMAPC aggregates in vivo. These outcomes confirm that rMAPCs have a good osteogenic potential and provide insights into rMAPCs as a novel adult stem cell source for bone regeneration.

1. Introduction

For the repair of large bone defects, a bone tissue engineering strategy has to employ osteogenic cells, osteoinductive growth factors, and osteoconductive scaffolds. The key to the success of this strategy is the effectiveness of the cell source. An ideal cell source for bone tissue engineering should have readily available cells, high proliferative potential in vitro, and a high osteogenic differentiation potential under in vitro culture condition. Various stem cells have been explored as the cell source for tissue engineering applications as they can be expanded and differentiated in culture to meet the demand [1–3]. In particular, adult stem cells are attractive because they can be isolated from patients, and autologous applications are possible. Their differentiation potential in bone lineage makes them promising cell sources in repairing skeletal defects caused by trauma, tumor removal, and congenital malformations [4, 5]. Currently, bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs), adipose tissue stem cells (ASCs), amniotic stem cells (ASCs), and multipotent adult stem cells (MAPCs) have been shown to possess osteogenic potential, and their potential use in bone regeneration has been explored [6–9].

Among those adult stem cells, BMSCs have been the most commonly used in bone tissue engineering. In the studies of other types of adult stem cells for bone regeneration, BMSCs have often been used as the control for comparison [10]. However, MSCs usually have less proliferative potential compared to pluripotent stem cells such as embryonic stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells. Their expansion and differentiation potential may vary depending on the age of donor or patient. Many have reported the aging effects of donor source for MSC, and this effect may have implications in the potential use of autologous MSCs for bone regeneration [11, 12].

MAPC was first isolated from adult bone marrow during the subculturing of mesenchymal cells by Jiang et al. [13, 14].
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Within the BMSC population, there was a group of cells that were identified to have an extensive expansion capability with high expression of Oct4, a pluripotent marker. MAPCs do not form teratoma when transplanted into mice and require no feeder layers. They can differentiate into various specialized cell types including mesodermal cells, muscle cells, endothelial cells, liver cells, and neuroectodermal cells under defined experimental conditions. Their wide range of differentiation potentials makes them attractive as a possible cell source for the regeneration of bone tissue. Recently, it was discovered that rMAPCs are similar to rat blastocyst-derived extraembryonic endoderm precursor (rXENP) cells, which showed extensive extraembryonic endodermal differentiation [15]. Under rMAPC culture conditions, rat hypoblast stem cells (rHypoSCs) are similar to rMAPCs and MAPC medium-shifted rXENP cells in their gene expression profiles and developmental potentials. rHypoSCs were derived from rat blastocysts in a more direct and rapid way assigning the lineage identity to rMAPCs, indicating that rMAPCs are originated by environmental reprogramming [16, 17].

Only a few studies have explored the osteogenic differentiation potential of rMAPCs; Ferreira et al. reported that titanium enriched hydroxyapatite scaffold could enhance bone regeneration in calvarial defect sites, and three-dimensional cell seeding method could also help rMAPCs to regenerate bone defects in vivo [18]. Inspired by the study of Ferreira et al. on three-dimensional (3D) culture method of rMAPCs, rMAPCs were also examined for their osteogenic differentiation potential as 3D aggregates [19]. In a recent publication, higher bone regeneration was induced by using a novel biomaterial, hydroxyapatite-gelatin calcium silicate (HGCS), with rMAPCs in a rat model. In the study, HGCS had an osteogenic effect on rMAPCs and stimulated calvarial bone regeneration [20].

Recent studies on immunological characteristics of human multipotent adult stem cells (hMAPCs) revealed that they have comparable immunomodulatory effects to human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) [21]. MHC class I was expressed highly in MSCs but only at a low level in MAPCs. Both hMSCs and hMAPCs do not express MHC class II on the surface. hMAPCs showed strong immunosuppressive effects on T-cell proliferation and were not influenced by MHC compatibility [22]. These findings have clinical relevance of MAPCs for the potential use in bone regeneration. In humans, while hMAPCs can expand over 70 population doublings, hMSCs can only have 20 to 25 population doublings [23]. This extensive growth capability of hMAPCs allows cell banking for better cell supply and quality control. The immunomodulatory property of hMAPCs may allow them to be a universal donor.

In this study, we hypothesized that both rMAPCs in the form of 3D aggregates and single rMAPCs can have osteogenic differentiation potential after osteogenic differentiation, and the rMAPCs can stimulate in vivo bone formation. To test the hypothesis, we examined the osteogenic potential of rMAPCs in monolayer and 3D aggregate culture to determine whether the rMAPCs have in vitro osteogenic differentiation potential or not. The 3D aggregates were further assessed for their in vivo osteogenic potential in bone regeneration.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of HG Scaffolds. The preparation of hydroxyapatite and gelatin (HG) scaffolds and HG coated dishes was described in a previous study [20]. Briefly, HAP-Gel slurry was biomimetically synthesized by the coprecipitation method using in situ hybridization of calcium silicates or titanium oxide with HG powders. The powders of calcium hydroxide and HG were mixed and cross-linked with enT-MOS (bis[3-(trimethoxysilyl)-propyl]ethylenediamine) for 30 seconds before adding a calcium chloride solution to the mixture. As the mixture thickened, the material was quickly transferred into 1 cc syringes with 1 mm inner diameter needles, and the material was extruded to make intertwined structures with macropores. The structures were then dried for 7 days and sterilized with cold ethylene oxide (EO) gas before use.

2.2. MAPCs and Differentiation in 2D and 3D Aggregates. The isolation and culture of rMAPCs from rat have been described in previous studies [13, 14, 18]. For the 2D monolayer cultures, rMAPCs (8×10^4 cells) were seeded with growth media (GM) in 24-well plates (Costar, Corning Inc. Life Sciences, Lowell, MA, USA). Cells were allowed to grow for 5 days until they reach 80 to 90% of confluency. Subsequently, growth media were replaced by osteogenic media (OM, Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Media, 10% Fetal Bovine serum, 0.2 mM β-glycerophosphate, 0.2 μM ascorbic acid, and 10 nM Dexamethasone). The media were changed every 3 days for up to 42 days.

To prepare the 3D aggregates, rMAPCs (2×10^5 cells) were seeded in suspension with rMAPC growth media in 96-well rounded bottom ultralow attachment plates (Costar, Corning Inc. Life Sciences, Lowell, MA, USA), using a modification of a forced aggregation method reported previously [24–26]. Briefly, rMAPC suspension was centrifuged at 1400 rpm for 4 min to allow cells to form aggregates over time. Aggregates were grown for 5 days. Then, ten aggregates were distributed to each well of 24-well ultralow attachment plates (Costar, Corning Inc. Life Sciences, Lowell, MA, USA) and switched to osteogenic media. Fresh osteogenic media were supplied every 3 days up to 38 days to stop the differentiation.

2.3. Characterization for rMAPCs. A characterization study of rMAPCs was described in previous studies [13, 14, 18]. rMAPCs were provided by Dr. Hu from the University of Minnesota. Briefly, a monolayer culture of rMAPCs was harvested by trypsinization and suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 3% serum at 100,000 cells per Eppendorf tube (1.5 mL). All immunostaining (both osteocalcin and collagen type I) was performed for the rMAPCs on the HG coated dish or the frozen section of rMAPC aggregates except for Oct4 and CD31. Both rMAPCs and 3D aggregates were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), rinsed, treated with 0.3% H2O2 for 30 minutes, dehydrated in 100% methanol, rehydrated with water, and transferred
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... to Triton X-100 in PBS solution. Then, avidin/biotin activity was blocked with Avidin-Biotin kit (Dako, CA, USA) and the sample was rinsed three times with PBST (Triton X-100 in PBS) and specific antibody binding sites were blocked for 30 min with 0.4% fish skin gelatin in PBS. Cell/matrix layers were incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit primary antibody against rat collagen type I (NB600-408, Novus Biologicals, CO), rinsed three times, and incubated with secondary biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (NB730-B, Novus Biologicals, CO) for 30 min at room temperature (RT). The cell/matrix layer was incubated in ABC complex (Vector Laboratories, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol and rinsed three times, and DAB Chromogen solution (Liquid DAB+Substrate Chromogen System, Dako, CA) was added to the matrix layer for 5 to 20 minutes until a brown color developed. MC3T3-E1 cells were used as positive control and negative controls were without primary antibody.

rMAPCs morphology on the HG coated dish was examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Hitachi TM3000). rMAPC cultures were fixed in 4% PFA, rinsed, incubated for 30 min with 0.3% H2O2 in 100% methanol, rehydrated, and transferred to PBS-Triton solution. After blocking for 30 min with 0.4% fish skin gelatin in PBS, both cells and aggregates were incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit primary antibody against rat collagen type I (NB600-408, Novus Biologicals, CO), rinsed thrice, and incubated with secondary biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (NB730-B, Novus Biologicals) for 30 min at RT. After incubation in ABC complex (Vector Laboratories, CA) following the manufacturer's instruction, DAB Chromogen solution (Dako, CA) was added for 5–20 minutes until a brown color developed. For the OCN, primary OCN antibody (Santa Cruz) and FITC conjugated secondary antibody (Abcam) were used instead. Images were acquired with a Nikon fluorescence imaging system and a DP70 color digital camera equipped with color image software (DPl, Olympus USA, Center Valley, PA, USA).

Both single rMAPCs on HG coated dish and 3D aggregates were fixed in neutral buffered 10% formalin, and then the aggregates were embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) solution. Five-micrometer sections were cut and stained with Alizarin Red S (ARS) solution (pH 4.2) to observe mineralized extracellular matrices. Mineralization on HG coated dishes by rMAPCs was also stained with ARS on days 3, 7, 14, and 21. After drying, stained dishes were scanned for the images acquisition.

2.6. Analysis of Single rMAPCs and 3D Aggregates for OCN and Coll-I and Mineralization. For immunostaining of Coll-I and OCN, single rMAPCs and cryosectioned 3D aggregates were fixed with 4% PFA, rinsed, incubated for 30 min with 0.3% H2O2 in 100% methanol, rehydrated, and transferred to PBS-Triton solution. After blocking for 30 min with 0.4% fish skin gelatin in PBS, both cells and aggregates were incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit primary antibody against rat collagen type I (NB600-408, Novus Biologicals, CO), rinsed thrice, and incubated with secondary biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (NB730-B, Novus Biologicals) for 30 min at RT. After incubation in ABC complex (Vector Laboratories, CA) following the manufacturer's instruction, DAB Chromogen solution (Dako, CA) was added for 5–20 minutes until a brown color developed. For the OCN, primary OCN antibody (Santa Cruz) and FITC conjugated secondary antibody (Abcam) were used instead. Images were acquired with a Nikon fluorescence imaging system and a DP70 color digital camera equipped with color image software (DPl, Olympus USA, Center Valley, PA, USA).

2.7. In Vivo Implantation of MAPC Aggregate and HG Scaffolds. Differentiated rMAPC aggregates in osteogenic media were seeded onto the HGCS scaffolds (n = 3). Two test groups (HG only and HG+MAPCs) were used with three rats in each group for a total of six male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River, Wilmington, MA; about 250 to 300 g, 7 weeks). An 8-mm, critical-sized defect (CSD) was created after anesthesia by Ketamine-HCl injection (10 mg/kg; Putney Inc., Portland, ME, USA). Three rats were implanted with HG scaffold only and the other three rats were implanted with HG scaffold and MAPC aggregates. For the mineral apposition rate (MAR) measurement, fluorochrome labels, Alizarin Red S (30 mg/kg, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and Calcein (20 mg/kg, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were injected periocularly into each animal twice during the study. Alizarin Red S was administered 10 days after the surgery and Calcein was given 15 days before sacrifice. The interlabeling periods were 10 and 70 days.

2.8. Micro-CT Analysis. After 12 weeks, the calvariae were removed and trimmed by preserving the implanted sites...
before fixing in 10% formalin for 7 days at 4°C. Then, the specimens were preserved in 70% isopropyl alcohol at 4°C. The calvaria explants were scanned by using a micro-CT system (mCT 40; Scanco Medical, Brüttisellen, Switzerland) at 70 kV and 114 mA with a 200 ms integration time. Detailed setting parameters for acquisition and analysis of the acquired images were described in a previous study [20].

2.9. Fluorescence Microscopy for Mineral Deposition. The detailed method for the slide preparation was described previously [22]. The fluorescent images of completed sections were acquired by using a fluorescence microscope (Eclipse Ti-U, Nikon Instruments Inc., Melville, NY, USA) with bright field, TRITC and FITC filters, and a digital camera. After fluorescent image acquisition, calvaria specimen slides were further stained with Steven Blue by counterstaining with Van Gieson to visualize the formation of newly formed bone (NFB) tissue for the quantitation as previously described [19]. Briefly, entire images of the medial (central) sagittal histologic section were acquired with a DP70 color digital camera equipped with color image software (DPII, Olympus USA, Center Valley, PA, USA) under 20x magnification and then merged using Adobe Photoshop CS6 (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) to recreate as one figure. The new bone surface area (B.Ar.) and the total area of each defect (T.Ar.) were measured in pixels by using an automated image analysis system (Image) software version 1.46R, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA) to calculate the NFB (in %: B.Ar./T.Ar./0.01) based on the standardized protocols of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research [27]. A one-tailed Student t-test was used to compare the means between the groups.

2.10. Statistical Analysis. All results were quantified as mean ± standard deviation. ANOVA was used to define whether differences between each group were significant or not. When the p value was less than 0.05, the differences were considered significant.

3. Results and Discussion

For effective bone regeneration using a tissue engineering and regenerative medicine strategy, the stem cells and the scaffold material are the two major components. Because the osteogenic inducing capability of the scaffold materials was already examined in previous studies [18–20], our study was mainly focused on the rMAPCs for their in vitro osteogenic differentiation and in vivo bone forming potential. The detailed mechanisms by which a component (either stem cell or material) contributes to bone regeneration are not known. Besides, the fate of implanted stem cells and the extent of their direct contribution to bone regeneration remain controversial [28].

rMAPCs are small, elongated, or oval shape cells when grown on the fibronectin coated culture dishes (Figure 1(a)). rMAPCs express a high level of the transcription factors Rexl [29] and Oct4 [30], which are pluripotency markers for stem cells. In this study, undifferentiated rMAPCs were confirmed by showing the expression of Oct4 and CD31 by immunostaining (Figures 1(b) and 1(c)).

rMAPCs can be differentiated into endothelial, adipogenic, chondrogenic, and osteogenic lineage cells, cardiomyocytes, and hepatocytes [13, 31]. In 2D tissue culture plates, rMAPCs do not attach strongly like other mesenchyme origin cells (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). The morphology of undifferentiated rMAPCs in HG was examined by SEM (Figures 1(d) and 1(e)) and TEM (Figure 1(f)). After 2 days, rMAPCs were weakly attached to the surface of HG compared to the cells on fibronectin coated plates. Most attached cells exhibited round or oval shapes rather than flat ones.

To observe in vitro proliferative potential, rMAPCs were tested in either growth or osteogenic medium for MTS assay. In general, when higher numbers of rMAPCs react with MTS, higher formazan activity will be yielded. Using the biochemical reaction, we measured MTS activity of the rMAPCs on days 1 and 7. At day 1, rMAPCs cultured in growth medium had an OD value of 0.06 ± 0.07 and 0.07 ± 0.08 in osteogenic media. The low value of OD is due to the nature of the rMAPC culture, maintaining low cell density to keep the cells undifferentiated. On day 7, rMAPCs in growth and osteogenic media had ODs of 0.35 ± 0.44 and 0.39 ± 0.6, respectively (Figure 1(g)). Both growth and osteogenic media stimulated rMAPC proliferation. In many previous studies, MSCs were used as control to compare the growth potential with new adult stem cell source. However, the differentiation potential of MSCs is largely dependent on the age of the donor so it is recommended to maintain MSCs at low passage number (passage 6 or less for human cells) for their stemness and high proliferative potential [21]. While rMAPCs share characteristics of pluripotent stem cells with high expression of Oct4, rMAPCs can be expanded to more than 70 doublings.

Differentiated cells were immunostained for osteocalcin (OCN) and collagen type I (Col-I) after 21 and 38 days of osteogenic differentiation in 2D and 3D culture, respectively. Osteocalcin, generated by mature osteoblasts, is known to deposit onto the extracellular matrix as an indicator of bone repair [32]. Osteocalcin was detected around and between each differentiated cell (Figure 2(a), white arrows). It appears to show expression of OCN when rMAPCs were differentiated alone (Figure 2(a), top) and also when rMAPCs were differentiated on the HG coated dish (Figure 2(a), bottom). Although OCN expression was not quantified, rMAPCs in osteogenic media could express higher level than that in growth media. rMAPCs under growth media did not express OCN in both control and coated dish (data not shown). The expression of Col-I on differentiated rMAPCs showed a similar trend to OCN expression on the differentiated rMAPCs. HG coating increased the expression level of Col-I in osteogenic differentiation of rMAPCs (Figure 2(b)).

Although we did not quantify the expression level of osteogenic protein and mineralization to compare between 2D and 3D differentiation, we did observe that both single rMAPC culture and 3D aggregate showed clear expression of OCN and Col-I protein as well as mineral formation (Figures 2 and 3). Another advantage for using rMAPC aggregate is to increase the cellular viability during the seeding process by
**Figure 1:** Characterization of rat MAPCs. DIC microscopic picture of MAPCs culture on the fibronectin coated dish (a). MAPCs were stained with Oct4 antibody conjugated with chemiluminescent dye (b) and CD31 antibody conjugated with TRITC (c). SEM analysis visualized MAPCs on the HG materials in low power (d) and high power (e). TEM image of MAPCs that interacted with ECM and HG materials (f): hydroxyapatite (HG), MAPCs (M), and extracellular matrix (E). Scale: 5 μm. MAPCs proliferation was assessed at baseline (within 24 h after seeding) and after 7 days in osteogenic media; "*" \( p < 0.05 \).
sustaining the aggregates in the defect site without absorption (Figure 3(i)). While seeding single cells on the scaffold or defect site, cells can be easily lost by their absorption into the surrounding tissues. Generally, a scaffold plays a role as a carrier to prevent the loss of cells during the seeding process. Still, the poor attachment characteristic makes single rMAPCs even difficult to be successfully retained in the scaffold.

The mineralization of rMAPCs differentiated in a monolayer was tested by staining the calcium deposition after 7, 14, and 21 days of osteogenic differentiation. Mineral deposition in the control plate without HG coating increased over time up to 21 days (Figure 2(c), top) and was visible 7 days after differentiation. Differentiation in the control plate (rMAPC only) showed more intense mineralization by ARS staining than the HG coated dish without rMAPCs (Figure 2(c), bottom). Differentiated aggregates were also tested for the same markers and mineralization as the cells in 2D culture. The in vitro osteogenic differentiation study indicated that rMAPCs in both 2D and 3D culture system could differentiate
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Figure 3: Characterization of osteogenic differentiation of MAPCs in 3D aggregate culture. The generation of MAPC aggregates was observed on 0, 2, and 5 days after suspension culture ((a) to (c)). Cell aggregation formed a compact spheroid at day 5 (c). After 28 days, osteogenic potential of 3D aggregates was analyzed by immunostaining with osteocalcin ((d), (e), and (f)) and collagen type I (h) antibodies. Mineralization was also observed by Alizarin Red S staining (g). (i) showed direct aggregates seeding on the scaffold during surgery on rat calvarial defect (arrows indicate 3D aggregates). Scale bars: 100μm ((a) to (f)), 200μm ((g) and (h)), and 1 mm (i).

into osteogenic cells. Although the osteogenic effect by HG materials on rMAPCs was not prominent in the 2D culture system, still they may have a critical influence on bone regeneration. Further studies will be needed to provide clarification.

To evaluate whether the bone regeneration potential rMAPC aggregates can stimulate bone formation, the rMAPC aggregates were tested by depositing on HG scaffolds in calvarial critical-sized defects in a rat model. After 12 weeks of implantation, calvariae were resected and evaluated by μCT (Figure 4). The resection procedure must be carried out with great caution because any small errors in the defect site can cause significant misinterpretation of the result. In Figure 4, the HG scaffold with MAPC aggregates regenerated bone in the defect site better than HG scaffold only. Many times, the micro-CT image from the dorsal and ventral sides showed different degrees of bone formation. Zooming on the ventral side of the calvaria clearly showed that higher bone regeneration was induced by rMAPC aggregates. One possible prediction is that many rMAPC aggregates seeded on the defect site flowed through the HG scaffold to the bottom of the defect site. Without absorption, the 3D aggregates could stimulate the bone regeneration mostly on the dorsal site. Most of all, the regenerated site with rMAPC aggregates with HG had a continuous bridge through the center of the defect. This demonstrated a high degree of bone regeneration in the calvarial defect site and is used as a scoring system by many researchers [33]. On the other hand, bone regeneration
on the defect site with HG showed less bone formation and a more disintegrated appearance than HG with rMAPC aggregates.

For the analysis of new bone formation, micro-CT image alone is inadequate to distinguish new bones from the surrounding host bone or the radiopaque HG materials. Histological and fluorescent image can provide further information on new bone formation. Undecalcified calvaria sections were stained with Steven Blue and Van Gieson to identify new bone formation (brighter red) in the defect site (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). New bone formation was calculated to be $66.99 \pm 26.44\%$ for rMAPCs with HG group and $34.44 \pm 19.62\%$ for the HG-only group (Figure 5(c)). As the higher percentage indicated, more new bones were observed in the center of defect apart from host tissue in rMAPCs with HG group (Figure 5(b)). Unlike the rMAPCs with HG group, HG-only groups relied on the cell source from the host bone. Most of the new bone was observed near each end (near host bone) without much new bone formation in the center of the defect area (Figure 5(a)). However, whether implanted rMAPCs did differentiate into osteoblasts in vivo to create new bone is not clear. There is also a possibility that rMAPCs could induce more repairing capability of host cells by extending its coverage to the center of the defect although rMAPCs themselves did not generate bones. Further studies using a cell tracking method will be necessary to find whether rMAPCs can directly participate in bone regeneration.

Taken together, rMAPC aggregates can be differentiated into osteogenic lineage in vitro both in 2D monolayer and in 3D aggregate culture systems. In vivo bone regeneration using rMAPC aggregates with HG scaffold resulted in higher bone regeneration than using HG scaffold only. This supports our hypothesis that MAPCs can differentiate into osteogenic cells and also promotes bone regeneration.
For possible improvement in bone regeneration using rMAPCs in the future, a study on the mechanism of rMAPC aggregated in terms of osteogenic signal pathways such as Wnt and TGF beta signal transduction may provide crucial factors to modulate the osteogenic differentiation of rMAPCs. Although rMAPCs in this study showed an osteogenic potential both in vitro and in vivo, whether their bone regenerative capability is superior to other types of adult stem cells is still uncertain. Therefore, a comparative study of rMAPCs with other types of stem cells will also give a better insight into choosing the right cell source for future bone tissue engineering applications.

4. Conclusion

The findings in this study support the osteogenic potential of rMAPCs and the direct effect on bone regeneration both in vitro and in vivo. rMAPCs showed a good cell proliferation ability in both growth and osteogenic media. Also, in vitro osteogenic differentiation was able to be induced in osteogenic media for 28 days and confirmed by expression of osteogenic markers, osteocalcin, and collagen type I, and mineralization was observed. The 3D aggregates of rMAPCs showed a significantly higher level of osteogenic differentiation outcome than rMAPCs in 2D monolayer culture in vitro. Thus, aggregates were carried by HG scaffold in a construct and implanted into the rat calvarial defect. The in vivo osteogenic effect of rMAPCs with HG scaffolds was revealed by the superior bone formation on the defect site. Micro-CT, histology, and histomorphometric analysis also showed much higher bone formation for the group implanted with rMAPC aggregates than HG scaffold only.

In summary, the outcomes confirmed that rMAPCs have superior osteogenic potential in the application of 3D aggregates for both in vitro mineralization and in vivo bone formation. These results may regard the rMAPCs as a novel adult stem cell source for the future clinical applications in bone regeneration.
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