Impact of Tropical Storm Bopha on the Intensity Change of Super Typhoon Saomai in the 2006 Typhoon Season
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1. Introduction

Tropical cyclones (TCs), especially super typhoons (the terms “hurricane” and “typhoon” are regionally specific names for a strong tropical cyclone. Typhoon is the name of tropical cyclone in the northwest Pacific Ocean west of the dateline. Super typhoon is the strongest category in the typhoon classification (C. Landsea, http://www.aoml.noaa.gov/hrd/tcfaq/A1.html)), are one of the most powerful natural phenomena, which may bring torrential precipitation, dangerous storm surge, flash flooding, and disastrous winds to the impacted areas. Thus, it is of great interests to accurately predict tracks, intensities, and the abrupt intensity changes of TCs in operational forecasts. Apparently, TC intensity change interweaves multiscale nonlinear interactions among different physical processes and weather systems [1, 2]. Such interactions include environmental moisture transport and binary TC (BTC) interaction.

As early as the 1920s, scientists began to note interaction phenomena between two vortexes in the tropics such as BTCs in the tropics, that is, binary vortices phenomenon or the Fujiwhara effect [3–5]. Later, Brand [6] studied 22 cases of BTCs over the North Pacific. The study found that BTC interaction could occur when the distance of two TC centers is less than 700 nautical miles. It also showed that the effect of such a BTC interaction depends on sizes, structures, intensities, and the ambient circulations of TCs. Concerning the more complicated and realistic flow patterns surrounding tropical cyclones, studies by Carr et al. and Carr and Elsberry [7, 8] detailed three conceptual models to categorize the BTC interaction processes and objective identification criteria of the interaction categories.

The observational and theoretical aspects of tropical cyclone interaction processes and their impact on TC’s intensity were further investigated in a series of papers by Chen and Meng [9], Chen et al. [10], Wei and Zhang [11], and
Kuo et al. [12], Chen and Meng [9] and Chen et al. [10] pointed out that two TCs usually rotate counterclockwise around the centroid point on the connection line between two centers, attract each other, and tend to merge into one circulation system when the distance between two TCs is close enough. Wei and Zhang [11] conducted laboratory simulations and theoretical analyses of BTCs, which are similar to actual TCs dynamically and thermodynamically, to investigate their interaction. The results show that the rapid exchange of mass and momentum between two TCs is a primary physical process for their mutual rotation and attraction and evolution in their structures. Kuo et al. [12] suggests a correlation between the development and mutual interaction between Tropical Storm Alex (1998, 19W) and Super Typhoon Zeb (1998, 18W). The cyclonic rotation of Alex around Zeb accelerated as the separation distance decreased to 850 km. In the final stages of the interaction, Alex was quickly elongated and wrapped cyclonically around Zeb and thus became a spiral band of Zeb. It appeared that minimum Mean Sea Level Pressure (MSLP) of Zeb deepened from 960 to 920 hPa within 24 h although the spiral band, which was originated by Alex, may never have mixed into the convective core of Zeb.

Many of the previous processes have also been examined by numerical studies of Yang et al. [13], Xu et al. [14], and Wu et al. [15]. Yang et al. [13] indicated that prediction of binary interaction is sensitive to the representation of initial vortex by studying the binary interaction between Typhoon Fengshen (2002) and Fungwong (2002). They further implied that the interaction is influenced by the monsoon trough and subtropical high as well. Xu et al. [14] found that the presence of Tropical Storm Goni (2009, 08W) at the upstream of Typhoon Morakot (2009, 09W) may be an important factor for the maintenance and intensification of Morakot. Goni transported a large amount of moisture and energy into Morakot. Numerical simulations indicate that the interaction between Goni and Morakot accounts for about 30% more rainfall than no interaction when Goni did not present. However, Wu et al. [15] explained the relative effects between Morakot and Goni. At upper level, the northeasterly jet flow in the southwest quadrant of Morakot circulation enhanced the upper-level divergence of Goni and thus sustained and enhanced intensity of Goni. Meantime, Morakot, which possesses strong convergence and ascending airflow at the low level, supplies a stable southwesterly warm and wet flow through the transport channel, thus supporting the lower-level water vapor convergence of Goni. In contrast, Goni, which locates at the upstream of the southwesterly flow to maintain Morakot moisture convergence, intercepted part of the water vapor transportation and weakened the moisture convergence to Morakot. Their simulation results indicated that BTC interaction processes strongly affected the forecast of TCs' intensity.

In this study, we discussed the interaction processes between Super Typhoons Saomai and Typhoon Bopha in August 2006. Specifically, we explored impacts of BTC interaction on modulating the intensity and structure of Saomai. In Section 2, we described the HWRF model configuration and design of numerical experiments used in this study. We presented the results of numerical simulations and detailed effects on Saomai intensity through BTC interaction in Section 3. Finally we summarize conclusions in Section 4.

### 2. Model Description and Experiment Design

The Hurricane Weather Research and Forecast System (HWRF) Model [16, 17], an advanced hurricane prediction system based on Weather Research and Forecast software framework [18], was developed at National Centers for Environmental Prediction's (NCEP's) Environmental Modeling Center (EMC) for understanding the hurricane forecast issues and improvement of hurricane prediction. The HWRF modeling system, based on the Non-Hydrostatic Mesoscale Model dynamic core [19, 20], is a high-resolution coupled air-sea-land prediction model with a movable nested grid capable of following the moving TC [16]. The configuration of the HWRF system consists of outermost (parent) domain and the movable nested grid with resolutions of 27 and 9 km respectively and 42 vertical levels. This HWRF modeling system has been used for real-time operational forecasts at NCEP since 2007 and was made available to research community in April 2010. The rotated latitude-longitude projection is utilized to maximize efficiency. The discretization grid is based on the Arakawa-E grid. The parameterization schemes are briefly described in [16, 17, 21].

HWRF uses a TC initialization and relocation algorithm to initialize the TC based on the observed position and intensity. The Grid Point Statistical Interpolation (GSI), a 3-Dimensional Variational (3DVAR) Data Assimilation (DA) system, is implemented for initializing the environmental circulation outside the TC vortex area. The vortex initialization, relocation, and GSI DA produce the initial conditions to better match the actual TC observations. Therefore, a composite synthetic vortex, which is generated from bogus vortex or cycled from the vortex of the previous cycle, is assimilated in the observed TC location. The initialization of the HWRF model consists of four major steps: (1) create first guess fields from the Global Forecast System (GFS) analysis; (2) remove the TC vortex from the global analysis; (3) add the synthetic vortex through the vortex initialization procedure; and (4) assimilate any additional available observational data outside TC core, which the GFS has not assimilated yet by its analysis time then. Steps (3) and (4) provide major advantages over the conventional GFDL TC initialization procedure.

A series of sensitivity experiments are conducted to explore the impact on the intensity of Saomai and its relation with the BTC interaction. The NCEP Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) (refer to http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/modelinfo/index.html for GFS and GDAS documents) Final Operational Global Analyses (1° × 1°) are used as initial and boundary conditions. The CNTR simulation is integrated for 90h, starting from 0000 UTC 8 August, 2006 with two synthetic vortices generated from the vortex initialization procedure aforementioned. Without the initialization, the initial analyses of the Mean Sea Level Pressures (MSLPs) of Bopha and Saomai are 1003 hPa and
996 hPa, respectively, which are much weaker than the best-track MSLP analyses of 991 hPa and 967 hPa from the Joint Typhoon Warning Center (JTWC). After implanting the vortices of the two storms, the corresponded intensities reach 993 hPa and 972 hPa, respectively, which are in close agreement with the best track analyses by JTWC.

Table 1 summarized the designed experiments in this study. In CNTR experiment, HWRF TC initialization and relocation algorithm is used to initialize the TC based on the JTWC position and intensity (MSLP of Saomai and Bopha are 967 hPa and 991 hPa, resp.). In Bopha_rm experiment, the Bopha vortex was filtered from the first guess fields through a selective band filter [22]. In Bopha_wk experiment, the relocation algorithm was not applied because GFS had applied the same relocation procedure on its analysis and the TC intensity adopted intensity of the GFS analysis, where MSLP of Bopha is about 12 hPa higher than the one in CNTR. In Bopha_str experiment, MSLP of Bopha was reduced to 967 hPa through HWRF vortex initialization and relocation procedure.

3. Results

3.1. Synoptic Background. Super typhoon Saomai was the most powerful typhoon ever to make landfall on Mainland China since 1949, with an MSLP of 915 hPa and a sustained maximum 10 m wind speed (VMAX) (refer to http://www.aoml.noaa.gov/hrd/tcfaq/D4.html for definition of maximum sustained surface wind by Christopher Landsea) of over 72 m s$^{-1}$, as well as the record-breaking deepening rate of 25 hPa in 18 hours. Saomai began at 0000 UTC 4 August, 2006, as a tropical depression (tropical depression (TD): 10.8–17.1 m s$^{-1}$; tropical storm (TS): 17.2–24.4 m s$^{-1}$; typhoon (TY): 32.7–41.4 m s$^{-1}$; super typhoon (STY): ≥ 51.0 m s$^{-1}$) over the northwest Pacific Ocean. It intensified into a tropical storm at 0600 UTC 5 August and typhoon at 1200 UTC 6 August. Then it rapidly strengthened into super typhoon by 1200 UTC 9 August. Saomai made landfall on the coast of Zhejiang province (27.20°N, 119.90°E) at 1200 UTC 10 August then weakened and dissipated inland ~1000 km west of the landfall point. Meanwhile, after its genesis to the east of the Taiwan Island at 0000 UTC 5 August, tropical storm Bopha moved west-northwestward at 0600 UTC 6 August under the influence of favorable environmental circulation such as warm sea surface temperature. Then Bopha moved southwestward and crossed the island of Taiwan. It wandered for nearly 60 h in the South China Sea interacting with Super Typhoon Saomai. The large-scale environment of experiment initial time at 0000 08 August, 2006, is shown in Figure 1. Two cyclonic circulations at the surface locate in row in the east of Taiwan Island. A strong subtropical high on the 500 hPa stations in the east of Shikoku Island. The low center of Saomai is aligned with the surface circulation, which suggests that Saomai has become a mature typhoon. The low center of Bopha is tilted to the south on the upper level in the shear environment resulting from Saomai’s outskirt flow in the upper level. Bopha is prevented further intensification in such an environment although a strong warm center of SST is just beneath it.

3.2. Numerical Experiment Analysis. Figure 2 presents the simulated track of CNTR, initiated at 0000 UTC 08 AUG, and the observed best tracks from the Joint Typhoon Warning Center (JTWC) and China Meteorological Administration-Shanghai Typhoon Institute (CMA-SH). CNTR demonstrates that the track of Bopha is in good agreement with the observed tracks in the first 30 hr simulation, while it generally tends to a southward bias in the final 60 h simulation. Except for slight overestimation of the translation speed after 36 hrs, the simulated track of Saomai is nearly the same as the observed track from the JTWC and CMA-SH. The simulated intensities of Saomai and Bopha in CNTR and those from JTWC and CMA-SH are shown in Figure 3. Despite the differences in the detailed intensity, the model simulates reasonably well the initial intensification, the maintaining stage, and the subsequent weakening stage, respectively. The intensity change timing is almost identical to the observed. In short, the simulated track and intensity in CNTR show the reasonable track and intensity evolutions inspite of underprediction of the peak intensity of Saomai.

Meanwhile, there may exist BTC interactions between Saomai and Bopha as the two TCs approach each other within a certain range as indicated by other studies (e.g., [8–10]). We designed a group of sensitivity experiments to investigate the processes. First, the influence of different Bopha intensities on Saomai’s track is examined by comparing experiments Bopha_rm, Bopha_wk, and Bopha_str with CNTR. Figure 4 displays the simulated tracks in these experiments from 0000 UTC 8 August to 0000 UTC 12 August. All of experiments show virtually identical tracks of Saomai in the initial 30 hr simulation. The track of Saomai of the Bopha_str diverges towards the right of the CNTR in the final 60 hr simulation. In contrast, the track of Saomai of the Bopha_wk deviates towards the left of the CNTR, and the track of Saomai of the Bopha_rm shifts further leftward and becomes almost westward track. These simulated track characteristics indicate that the existence of Bopha may play an important role on Saomai’s track. The results further indicate that different intensity of Bopha may result in more impact on the intensity of Saomai than on its track as indicated in CNTR, Bopha_wk, and Bopha_str. As pointed out in Yang et al. [13], the BTC
interaction process is sensitive to initial conditions, especially in weaker TCs.

The intensity evolutions of the two TCs in all experiments are shown in Figure 5. Saomai’s simulated intensity progressed differently at different stages among the different experiments. Several interesting sensitivity characteristics in distinctive stages are worthy of further discussion. During the intensification stage, Saomai attained similar minimum MSLPs and maximum surface wind speed among all experiments despite different paces. During the weakening stage, the existence of Bopha was able to alter significantly the timing of the rapid intensity weakening process of Saomai. In general, Saomai’s maximum intensity seems less sensitive to Bopha’s intensity. However, at the intensification stage, Saomai obtains slightly stronger intensities in Bopha_rm and Bopha_wk than in CNTR. On the other hand, intensity of Saomai is weaker in Bopha_str than in CNTR. By contrast, during weakened stage of Saomai starting at 0000 UTC 10 August, the weakening rate monotonically depends on the initial intensities of Bopha. The deeper the initial Bopha vortices are, the stronger intensities of Saomai attained at the weakening stage will be. The MSLP difference between two extreme experiments, Bopha_rm versus Bopha_str, is about 25 hPa at 1800 UTC 10 August. The results of these numerical experiments demonstrate that the initial intensities of Bopha have a distinctive effect on Saomai at different stages. Saomai is intensified (weakened) and deviated northward (southward) when Bopha’s initial intensity weakens (deepens) in intensifying (weakening) stage.

In addition to Bopha’s effect on Saomai’s track and intensity, Bopha also triggers the Saomai’s different vertical structure response at the weakening and intensifying stages. Figure 6 shows the longitude-vertical sections of Saimai at the intensifying stage. CNTR (Figure 6(a)) also simulates the vertical extent of 50 m/s region extending up to 450 hPa on the east side as compared to 800 hPa on the west side although the maximum winds on both sides are stronger than those in Bopha_rm and Bopha_wk. In Bopha_rm (Figure 6(b)), Saomai has nearly symmetric structure. Its narrow weak wind region (<5 m/s) extends up to approximately 350 hPa vertically and wind speed >50 m/s extend up to 380 hPa on the east side of Saomai and 650 hPa in the west side. In Bopha_wk (Figure 6(c)), the maximum wind speed >50 m/s extends up to about 550 hPa on the eastside and 800 hPa on west side. In Bopha_str, the weakening trend is further displayed (Figure 6(d)). These features suggest that Saomai becomes weaker and less symmetric with increasing intensity of Bopha at the intensifying stage.

The meridional vertical sections of Saomai’s horizontal winds resulted from Bopha intensity sensitive experiments are shown the vertical structure at weakening stage (Figure 7). In contrast to the intensifying stage, Bopha’s initial intensity on Saomai’s intensity shows opposite effects at the weakening stage. The maximum winds reach 40 m/s in Bopha_rm, 50 m/s in Bopha_wk, and 55 m/s in CNTR and Bopha_str, respectively. Conclusively, the stronger (weaker) the Bopha’s initial intensities are, the weaker (stronger) Saomai’s horizontal winds are resulted at the Saomai’s intensifying stage. The opposite effects are shown at the Saomai’s weakening stage. The vertical structure evolution of Saomai sensitive to Bopha’s initial strength is also consistent with Saomai’s intensity shown in Figure 5.

Figure 8(a) illustrates volume moisture fluxes computed from the NCEP FNL operational global analysis 1° × 1° data.
Figure 3: The 6-hourly minimum sea-level pressure (hPa) of best tracks of CMA and JTWC and simulated tracks of CNTR of Saomai (a) and Bopha (b) from 0000 UTC 8 August to 1800 UTC 11 August, 2006. (c) and (d) are corresponding to (a) and (b) except for 10-meter maximum wind.

Figure 4: Simulated tracks of all sensitivity experiments at the 6-hour interval from 0000 UTC 8 August to 1800 UTC 11 August, 2006. We compute the volume moisture fluxes between surface and 100 hPa. The two components of the moisture flux are defined [14] as

\[ Q_u = \frac{1}{g} \int_{P_s}^{P_t} u q \, dp, \quad Q_v = \frac{1}{g} \int_{P_s}^{P_t} v q \, dp, \]  

where \( P_s \) and \( P_t \) are pressures at surface and 100 hPa, respectively, \( q \) is specific humidity, \( u \) and \( v \) are horizontal wind components, and \( g \) is the acceleration of gravity. There was a distinctive channel of moisture transport between Saomai and Bopha. The strong moisture fluxes display asymmetrical pattern around the connecting line between two TC centers. In contrast, the channel also exists between Saomai and Bopha at 0000 UTC 11 August, 2006 (Figure 8(b)), and at 0600 UTC 09 August, 2006.
the rainbands located on the east side of the line (Figure omitted). Apparently, the rainbands are colocated within the channels, which are on the right side of the moisture transport direction along the line connecting two TC centers. These results indicate that the appearance of Bopha may be an important factor to modulate the Saomai’s intensity. As pointed out in Xu et al. [14], BTCs interact with each other through the moisture and momentum transportations within the channels. Of interest is that the transportation direction within the channels shows opposite directions in different stages of Saomai’s evolution because of the relative position of two TCs. Moisture is transported from Saomai to Bopha at the intensifying stage while the transport direction is reversed at the weakened stage. The direction change of moisture transport within the channels is resulted from the relative position between Saomai and Bopha and the moisture sources supply of moisture available to them. Noticeably, environmental moisture transported into Saomai exists directly from the southeast flow from the ocean. The moisture budget within Saomai can certainly influence the energy budget, resulting in the observed intensity fluctuation.

We also compare the volume moisture fluxes among the sensitivity experiments (Figures 9 and 10). Distribution of the moisture transport channel in CNTR agrees with Figure 8 except that the simulated magnitude is somewhat larger than NCEP FNL analysis. It is shown that the channel almost

**Figure 5:** The 6-hourly minimum sea-level pressure (hPa) of Saomai (a) and Bopha (b) in each experiment from 0000 UTC 8 August to 1800 UTC 11 August, 2006. (c) and (d) are corresponding to (a) and (b) except for 10-meter maximum wind.
disappears in Bopha_rm. The difference between CNTR and Bopha_rm implies the important role of Bopha in the formation of the channel of moisture transport. In general, the sensitivity of the channels is consistent to Bopha's initial intensity and is reflected the distinction at different stages of Saomai's development. The peak tangential moisture fluxes in the channels increase from 700 kg m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) in Bopha wk, slightly bigger in CNTR, and 800 kg m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) in Bopha str (Figure 9) at the intensifying stage. In contrast, the peak tangential moisture fluxes in the channels are almost the same as those at the intensifying stage, while the strongest is in Bopha_str, followed in CNTR, and the weakest is in Bopha_rm (Figure 10) at the Saomai's weakening stage.

In order to corroborate the moisture transportation, FLEXPART, a Lagrangian particle dispersion model, was utilized to trace trajectories of water vapor particles based the NCEP Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR) 0.5-degree products [23]. A description of the model was documented in Stohl et al. [24]. FLEXPART calculates the trajectories of so-called tracer particles using the mean winds interpolated from the meteorological input fields plus random motions representing turbulence [25]. For moist convective transport, FLEXPART uses the scheme of Emanuel and Živković-Rothman [26], as described and tested by Forster et al. [27]. Figure 11 describes backward trajectories of the particle cluster released in the Bopha (115.5∼125.5 E, 20.1∼25.0 N) at 1200 UTC 9 August, 2006. Initially, the particle cluster advances along the backward trajectories (Figure 11(a)). The cluster then appears to connect Saomai at the east side (Figure 11(b)). This connection is more obvious at 0600 UTC 8 August (Figure 11(c)). The cluster mixes into southeast flow (Figure 11(d)). Figure 12 shows the cluster trajectories released in Saomai (114.5∼120.5 E, 26∼33.5 N) at 0000 UTC 13 August, 2006. There are two branches of moisture transport.
channels. Similar to the analysis in Figure 8, one of them is from southeast flow. The other part of the cluster is drawn back into Bopha through the channels and eventually merges into Bopha. The results verify that the moisture is transported from Saomai to Bopha at the intensifying stage and from Bopha to Saomai at weakening stage through the channel.

As a summary, we portray the relative role of Bopha to the development of Saomai in a schematic diagram (Figure 13). At the beginning, southeast flows are transporting moisture into Saomai. They are divided into two branches after entraining into Saomai: one is ingested into Saomai and becomes part of the Saomai entity; the other one is transported into Bopha, which weakens Saomai in the west side, enhances asymmetry of Saomai, and therefore weakens Saomai. In the absence of Bopha, the moistures would all remain in Saomai and further intensify Saomai as the sensitivity experiments manifest. When they approach close enough, Bopha and Saomai start rotating cyclonically around the middle point of the connecting line between two TC centers through the BTC interaction process. The rotation changes the relative position in the environment circulation. The directions of moisture transportation through the channels are reversed because of the supply of moisture source. At this stage, Bopha also receives moisture from southeast flows and then also transports moisture into Saomai. Hence, Bopha can intensify Saomai at the later stage.

4. Conclusion and Discussion

The relationship between Super Typhoon Saomai and Tropical Storm Bopha is regarded as the BTC interaction. Bopha’s effect may play an important role in maintaining and intensifying the intensity of Saomai. We use a mesoscale numerical model particularly designed for the purpose of
Figure 8: Volume moisture fluxes (vectors) with their magnitude (shaded, kg m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) at (a) 0600 UTC 09 August, 2006, and (b) 0000 UTC 11 August, 2006, computed from the 1° × 1° NCEP FNL operational global analysis. The red lines connect two centers of Saomai and Bopha.

Figure 9: Simulated volume moisture fluxes (vectors) with their magnitude (shaded, kg m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) for CNTR (a), Bopha _rm (b), Bopha _wk (c), and Bopha _str (d) at 0600 UTC 09 August, 2006.
hurricane research and forecast, HWRF, to quantify the influence of Bopha on the simulated Saomai. One control and three sensitivity experiments are designed in this study by artificially modifying Bopha intensities in initial conditions through HWRF vortex initialization application.

It is found that CNTR produces the track of Bopha consistent with the best track estimated by JTWC during the first 30 hours and with a general southward bias in the final 60 h simulation thereafter. The simulated Saomai track is almost the same as the best track from JTWC expect for slight overestimation of the translation speed after 36 h. Despite some differences in the detailed intensity changes, HWRF simulates reasonably well the intensities during the rapid intensification stage, the sustained stage, and the weakening stage, especially the timing of the intensity change, which is one of the most difficult forecast problems. The detailed intensity verification is not the emphasis in this study because of the deficiency of the best track estimation. The simulation in CNTR further reveals the BTC interaction process. The discrepancy in the best tracks between the JTWC and the CMA arises mainly because of the differences in the way the maximum sustained wind is estimated. The JTWC best track uses 1-minute sustained 10-meter maximum wind while CMA estimates the 2-minute averaged 10-meter wind speed. The more complicated problem is to estimate the sustained or averaged maximum wind over the ocean without surface
Figure 11: Back trajectories of the cluster of moisture particles released at 1200 UTC 9 August in Bopha (115.5°–125.5° E, 20.1°–25.0° N) in the FLEXPART model at (a) 0600 UTC 09 August, 2006, (b) 1800 UTC 08 August, (c) 0600 UTC 08 August, and (d) 1800 UTC 07 August.
Figure 12: Back trajectories of the cluster of moisture particles released at 0000 UTC 13 August in Saomai (114.5–120.5°E, 26–33.5°N) in the FLEXPART model at (a) 1200 UTC 11 August, (b) 0000 UTC 11 August, (c) 1200 UTC 10 August, and (d) 0000 UTC 10 August, 2006.

Figure 13: Schematic diagram portraying the TC mutual rotation and binary TC interaction process. The thick arrows donate the moisture flux source; the solid blue arrows donate the moisture flux channel; the dashed arrows illustrate the cyclonic rotation.
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