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A strong teleconnection exists between the sea surface temperature (SST) over the tropical Pacific and the winter precipitation in the southeastern United States (SE US). This feature is adopted to validate the fidelity of Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) in this study. In addition, the authors examine whether the teleconnection pattern persists in the future under a global warming scenario. Generally, most of the eight selected models show a positive correlation between November SST over Niño3 region and December–February (DJF) mean daily precipitation anomalies over the SE US, consistent with the observation. However, the models with poor realization of skewness of Niño indices fail to simulate the realistic teleconnection pattern in the historical simulation. In the Representative Concentration Pathways 8.5 (RCP8.5) run, all of the models maintain positive and slightly increased correlation patterns. It is noteworthy that the region with strong teleconnection pattern shifts northward in the future. Increased variance of winter precipitation due to the SST teleconnection is shown over Alabama and Georgia rather than over Florida under the RCP8.5 scenario in most of the models, differing from the historical run in which the precipitation in Florida is the most attributable to the eastern Pacific SST.

1. Introduction

In 2008, many climate-modeling groups in the world agreed to build a new set of coordinated climate model experiments. The Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) was planned to produce a standard set of simulations from state-of-the-art models to assess the fidelity of the models in simulating the recent past, to provide projections of future climate change, and to promote our understanding of mechanisms responsible for model differences [1].

Although numerous studies have been conducted to validate the credibility of the newly launched CMIP5 data globally [2–5], regional assessments of the CMIP5 have rarely been carried out. Therefore, it is crucial to narrow our viewpoint to a regional scale. We restrict our study to the southeastern United States (SE US) including Alabama, Georgia, and Florida during wintertime in this study. The SE US has been studied less frequently than other regions in the United States despite its unprecedented population growth during recent decades [6]. Furthermore, agriculture vulnerable to climate conditions is a major industry over the SE US, which is a large contributor to total annual US agricultural production. According to the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) National Agricultural Statistics Service [7], the estimated total value of principal crops in 2012 for Alabama, Florida, and Georgia was 1.33, 4.75, and 3.94 billion US dollars, respectively. As the risk related to floods and droughts causes significant financial losses from agricultural businesses, a better understanding of climate variability and climate change in SE US is essential to build appropriate risk management and adaptation strategies in agriculture.

It is well known that the SE US has a strong teleconnection to tropical Pacific sea surface temperature (SST). Previous studies demonstrated that warmer (colder) SSTs lead to
wetter (drier) winters in the coastal southeastern states and to the opposite signal more inland [8–10]. El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), the most significant tropical signal, influences the interannual variability in the midlatitudes, including the SE US. El Niño (La Niña) events are recognized by anomalous warming (cooling) in the eastern-to-central equatorial Pacific. Previous research has documented that changes in the SST and atmospheric circulation in the equatorial Pacific during El Niño and La Niña give rise to a shift of the subtropical and polar jet positions [11]. During El Niño phase, the shift interacts with cyclone development over the Gulf of Mexico, resulting in increased cloudiness in the SE US. On the other hand, during La Niña phase, because of the northward-shifted jet, the storm tracks tend to stay north of the SE US [11]. This prevents the cold air from reaching the region, resulting in a warmer and drier winter in the SE US. The strong ENSO teleconnection in this region has been used in the last decade to advise farmers about seasonal climate conditions to improve their climate-related discussion and decision-making [12]. Even though the highest correlations between ENSO and precipitation in the SE US region are during wintertime in the Northern Hemisphere (DJF), precipitation in this season affects seed germination and plant emergence by conditioning the available soil moisture necessary for establishing a crop. Low levels of soil moisture and late rainfall onsets affect the initial plant vigor, as well as the viability of the crop on following phenological stages and yields. By being able to predict the ENSO-based seasonal climate in the region, farmers can change planting dates and/or crop varieties to tailor water requirements to the seasonal forecast as well as buy the appropriate crop insurance to avoid losses [13]. Nevertheless, it is less clear if the global climate model (GCM) can adequately reproduce the precipitation teleconnection associated with the ENSO [14–16].

Furthermore, several studies have examined the change of teleconnection with time. For instance, Diaz et al. [17] found that the correlation between ENSO and precipitation over the SE US is higher after the 1980s. Kug et al. [18] also demonstrated a strong possibility that the local climate impact of ENSO will be different in the future. The climate change is now widely accepted as a global issue. Under the influence of global warming, the mean climate of the Pacific region will presumably undergo a significant change. Jones et al. [19] emphasized the importance of the predictability of climate and its influence on crop production. With better predictions of climate, it is possible to cope with natural hazards and to take advantage of expected favorable conditions. Although the physical mechanisms behind this temporal change have not been understood, it will be helpful for stakeholders’ preparation for possible impacts of climate change over the SE US to investigate the teleconnection pattern change in the future using the CMIP5 experiments. We hypothesized that a model, which simulates realistic atmospheric response to the SST related to ENSO in the present climate, could have higher reliability than the other models with unrealistic present simulation in terms of future projections under global warming scenarios.

In this study, the fidelity of CMIP5 models in terms of simulation of regional scale climate variability and trend is assessed by comparing modeled teleconnection patterns with the observed pattern. We also investigate whether this current teleconnection will persist in the future under the global warming scenario. This paper is structured as follows. Data and method used for the analysis are described in Section 2. In Section 3, teleconnection patterns in the past and future are investigated. In addition, further validation of models by comparing skewness of model SST anomalies with observation is conducted. A summary and discussion are presented in Section 4.

2. Data and Methods

2.1. Data. We analyze historical and Representative Concentration Pathways 8.5 (RCP8.5) simulations produced by 8 CMIP5 models. Eight CMIP5 models are chosen for this study on the basis of their availability of key variables for crop model including precipitation, maximum and minimum temperature, and solar radiation so that our results can be applied to forthcoming study focusing on crop yield change under the climate scenario using the crop model. Models with too sparse resolution (both the longitude and latitude are larger than 2.5°) are not selected for this study because our domain of interest is confined to the SE US region. The model resolution, the number of ensemble members, and the total simulated years are given in Table 1. More detailed information of CMIP5 models can be found at http://cmip-pcmdi.llnl.gov/cmip5/index.html. The historical run is carried out by imposing changing solar forcing, volcanic, and anthropogenic influences from 1850 to 2005 (Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) models spanning from 1861 to 2005). RCP8.5 is the pathway with the highest greenhouse gas emission: the radiative forcing increases throughout the 21st century before reaching a level of about 8.5 W/m² at the end of the century. The integration for the RCP8.5 experiment spans the period of 2006–2100.

The extended reconstruction of historical sea surface temperature (ERSST) version 3 data are used to provide SST observations for the period of 1854–2010. The data are acquired from the web site http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/d ata/gridded/data.noaa.ersst.html. Cooperative station daily precipitation data provided by the National Climate Data Center (NCDC; http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/land -based-station-data/land-based-datasets/cooperative-ob server-network-coop) are also utilized for validation of the model simulations in this study. This is the “Data Set 3200,” or “Surface Land Daily Cooperative Summary of the Day,” coming from thousands of volunteers that took observation in US and the extensive quality controlled data are available at NCDC’s web site: http://cdo.ncdc.noaa.gov.

2.2. Methods. Through application of a bilinear interpolation method [20], which is a simplified statistical downscaling method [21], daily precipitation datasets from the CMIP5 models and station data are interpolated to 20 km spatial resolution for easy comparison between the observed station
and model precipitation data. This enables us to conduct more intensive analysis on our domain of interest.

To examine the strength of the teleconnection between the SST over the tropical Pacific and the winter precipitation in the southeastern United States, we compute correlation coefficient ($r$) between Niño 3 index in November and December–February (DJF) mean daily precipitation anomalies as follows:

$$r = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum(y_i - \bar{y})^2}},$$  

where $x_i$ is Niño 3 index in November and $y_i$ is DJF mean daily precipitation anomalies. Here, monthly SST anomalies averaged over the Niño 3 region (5°N–5°S, 150°W–90°W) are referred to as the Niño 3 index. Monthly climatology by averaging over each calendar month in the time series and the trend for the analysis period are removed from SST data.

Although various different methods have been used to specify when El Niño or La Niña events have occurred, Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) index is utilized to classify ENSO events into El Niño, neutral, and La Niña phases following Hanley et al. [22]. The index is based on the monthly SST anomalies in the region 4°S to 4°N, 150°W to 90°W, which is nearly similar to the Niño 3 region. The anomalies are filtered via a five-month running mean. The JMA definition for an El Niño (La Niña) event requires SST in the JMA region to be greater (less) than a threshold for six consecutive months and the months must include October–November–December (OND). In this study, the thresholds are determined using the SST of each CMIP5 model. The monthly anomalies are sorted; the value corresponding to the 75th percentile is selected for El Niño year’s threshold, and the value corresponding to the 25th percentile is chosen for La Niña year’s threshold.

Burgers and Stephenson [23] suggested that skewness could be a useful tool for validating models. The skewness represents the asymmetry of a probability density function (PDF), which is zero for a normal distribution. It is defined as

$$\text{skewness} = \frac{(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^3}{\left(\left((1/n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right)^{2/3}\right)},$$  

where $x_i$ is $i$th data value, $\bar{x}$ is the mean, and $n$ is number of data points. A PDF with positive (negative) skewness has heavier tails on the positive (negative) anomaly side of the mean. Positive (negative) skewness has greater (less) mean and median than mode. In addition to Niño 3, Niño 3.4 (SST anomalies averaged over 5° N–5° S, 170° W–120° W) and Niño 4 (SST anomalies averaged over 5° N–5° S, 160° E–150° W) indices are also utilized for this study to investigate the skewness of SST over each Niño region regarding CMIP5 models’ performance of simulating the SST over the equatorial Pacific Ocean.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>AM resolution</th>
<th>Integration period (years)</th>
<th>Number of ensembles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCSM4</td>
<td>National Center for Atmospheric Research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9° Lat × 1.25° Lon</td>
<td>156, 95</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-Mk3-6-0</td>
<td>CSIRO (Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Australia)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>T63</td>
<td>145, 95</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL-CM3</td>
<td>Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>144 × 90: 2.0° Lat × 2.5° Lon</td>
<td>145, 95</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL-ESM2G</td>
<td>Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>144 × 90: 2.0° Lat × 2.5° Lon</td>
<td>145, 95</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL-ESM2M</td>
<td>Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>144 × 90: 2.0° Lat × 2.5° Lon</td>
<td>156, 95</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC5</td>
<td>Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute (The University of Tokyo), National Institute for Environmental Studies, and Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>T85</td>
<td>156, 95</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI-ESM-LR</td>
<td>Max Planck Institute for Meteorology (MPI-M)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>T63</td>
<td>156, 95</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NorESM1-M</td>
<td>Norwegian Climate Centre</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.9° Lat × 1.25° Lon</td>
<td>156, 95</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
value of 0.7 in northern Florida, whereas the GFDL Earth System Model (GFDL-ESM2G; Figure 2(d)) displays the weakest teleconnection, with 0.3 for the smallest value among GFDL models. CM3 is designed to address emerging issues in climate change, including aerosol-cloud interactions, chemistry-climate interactions, and coupling between the troposphere and stratosphere [24]. ESM is a coupled global carbon-climate Earth System Model developed to advance understanding of how the earth’s biogeochemical cycles, including human actions, interact with the climate system [24]. On the other hand, ESM2G and ESM2M differ in the physical ocean component. In ESM2M, pressure-based vertical coordinates are used along the developmental path of GFDL’s Modular Ocean Model version 4.1. In ESM2G, an independently developed isopycnal model using the generalized ocean layer dynamics (GOLD) code base is used (http://www.gfdl.noaa.gov/earth-system-model).

Dunne et al. [24] documented that ESM2M has an overly strong El Niño-Southern Oscillation, whereas weak ENSO is represented in ESM2G. The weaker correlation of GFDL-ESM2G than that of GFDL-ESM2M (Figure 2(e)) seems to be attributable to the capability of the model in representing ENSO. This demonstrates that one partial change in the model could contribute to different teleconnection patterns. Although the value of correlation coefficient slightly differs among the models, the winter precipitation in Florida tends to have closer relationship with the Pacific SST than the precipitation in Georgia and Alabama in most of the models. However, GFDL-ESM2G (Figure 2(d)) and MPI-ESM-LR (Figure 2(g)) have slightly reduced correlation over Florida compared to the other two states, which is also inconsistent with the observation. The fact that modeled correlation maps are compatible with the observed map shown in Figure 1 implies that the global models in the CMIP5 are capable of simulating the regional response of the teleconnection. We expect that the models that simulate more realistic teleconnection patterns in the historical run may project more possible features of teleconnection patterns under the global climate change scenario.

3. Results

3.1. Teleconnection Patterns over the SE US: Historical and RCP8.5 Simulations. The SE US has a robust interannual predictability of the precipitation because of the strong teleconnection to the tropical Pacific SST. This distinct feature is applied to the assessment of the CMIP5 models in this study. Figure 1 shows the correlations between the observed DJF mean daily precipitation anomalies and the November Niño 3 index based on ERSST for the period of 1970 to 2010. Figure 1 illustrates that warmer (colder) SSTs in November lead to wetter (drier) winters in SE US, which demonstrates the strong teleconnection between tropical Pacific SST and winter precipitation over Florida and southeastern Georgia in particular. Florida shows the highest value of correlation coefficient, whereas Alabama displays the lowest value (less than critical value 0.3 at 95% confidence level) among the three states of the SE US.

Comparing this prominent feature in the observation to that in the CMIP5 simulations, we are able to assess the credibility of CMIP5 models implicitly. Figure 2 shows the modeled teleconnection of DJF mean daily precipitation anomalies over the SE US to the November Niño 3 index derived from each model. On the basis of the results from the historical run (Figures 2(a)–2(h)), we further examine teleconnection patterns under the future climate scenario, RCP8.5 (Figures 2(i)–2(p)). For the model having ensemble members, the ensemble mean of the correlations is adopted. Looking at the correlations of the historical experiment, we find that most of the models show a positive correlation between the Niño 3 SST in November and the DJF mean daily precipitation anomalies over the SE US, consistent with the observation. It is of particular interest to note that the GFDL Climate Model version 3 (GFDL-CM3; Figure 2(c)) model shows the strongest teleconnection with the largest

![Figure 1: Temporal correlation between November Niño 3 index and the observed rainfall (DJF mean) for 1970–2010: a correlation with the absolute value greater than 0.3 is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level.](image-url)
Figure 2: Continued.
Figure 2: Temporal correlations between November Niño 3 index and the DJF mean daily precipitation anomalies for historical (a–h) and RCP8.5 (i–p) experiments from CMIP5 models. Each model is identified by ID number as in Table 1.
over the Niño 3 region accounts for 49% of the variance of winter precipitation over the region. The explained variance of each state is stacked as a column in Figure 3. By comparing the height of the columns, we can deduce the degree to which SST over Niño 3 region accounts for the variance of total DJF mean daily precipitation over each state in the observation and models. As a benchmark, the observed result is shown in a rightmost column of Figure 3(a). Whereas the SST over the Niño 3 region accounts for the largest portion of variance of the rainfall in Florida (more than 20%) in the observation, it shows the least percentage of variance in Alabama. Most of the CMIP5 models analyzed in this study show this feature despite different column heights. Among the eight CMIP5 models in the historical experiment, GFDL-CM3 shows the tallest column, indicating three times larger explained variance than the observation. In contrast, GFDL-ESM2G shows the shortest column. GFDL-ESM2G and MPI-ESM-LR rarely show the largest proportion in Florida, which is different from the observation. The features are consistent with the spatial teleconnection pattern shown in Figure 2.

In the RCP8.5 run (Figure 3(b)), the stronger relationship between the SST over the Niño 3 region and the DJF mean daily precipitation is projected by all of the models, except for CSIRO-Mk3-6-0. Red circles in the right figure of Figure 3 indicate the increase in the total explained variance of the RCP8.5 experiment, compared to the historical run. It is noteworthy that the total increase in the explained variance of most of the models is attributed to the increase in the explained variance over Alabama and Georgia. Figure 4 further clarifies this feature. The sum of the percentages from each state over the SE US shown in Figure 3 is converted to 100% to determine which state accounts for the largest percentage of variance of precipitation in the entire SE US. As a result, Florida accounts for more than 60% of SE US rainfall variance, which is associated with the SST over Niño
3 region in the observation (Figure 4(a)). In the historical simulation, Florida also has the largest portion, around 40%, except for the results from GFDL-ESM2G and MPI-ESM-LR. However, the models that show the largest portion of rainfall in Florida in the historical run (NorESM1-M, MIROC5, GFDL-ESM2M, GFDL-CM3, and CCSM4) have an increased portion of variance in Alabama and Georgia under the RCP8.5 scenario.

To analyze the impact of ENSO on the high percentile of rainfall over the SE US during winter, we focus on the 75th percentile from the right tail of DJF daily rainfall anomalies distribution in terms of ENSO phases (i.e., El Niño, neutral, and La Niña phases) classified by the JMA index. Model-generated DJF daily precipitation is displayed on the rightmost column of Figures 5 and 6, while the spatial patterns of the 75th percentile of precipitation anomaly for El Niño, neutral, and La Niña years are in the left three columns. The area of maximum DJF mean daily rainfall amount is mostly located over the northern SE US, spanning Alabama and Georgia. Consistent with the previous correlation map, during El Niño years we have heavier 75th percentile of rainfall over the SE US; however, the magnitude of rainfall anomalies is likely to be reduced during La Niña years. Florida has greater value of the 75th percentile of rainfall anomaly for El Niño years than the other two states, differing from the DJF mean daily precipitation pattern. In the same way, smaller value of the 75th percentile of rainfall anomaly during La Niña years is mostly located over Florida. The 75th percentile of rainfall in GFDL-ESM2G (Figures 5(d), 5(h), and 5(l)) is rarely dependent on the ENSO phase, in agreement with the small correlation pattern shown in Figure 2(d).

Figure 7 shows the difference of the rainfall amount at the 75th percentile between RCP8.5 and historical experiments by ENSO phase. The models, which show a greater correlation coefficient in the RCP8.5 than in the historical run, have larger positive value in the difference of the 75th percentile of rainfall amount during El Niño events. Interestingly, the increase of extreme rainfall amount due to the El Niño events tends to be dominant over the northern regions of the SE US, including Alabama and Georgia, as discussed earlier (Figures 2–4). In contrast, during La Niña events these models show negative value in the difference of rainfall amount due to the teleconnection. However, the decrease in the rainfall amount is not solely concentrated over the northern domain, which supports the hypothesis that the teleconnection associated with El Niño is not a mirror image of La Niña [25].

3.2. Relationship between SE US Winter Precipitation and Pacific SST. We have examined the teleconnection between the SST over the eastern Pacific in November and the following wintertime precipitation over the SE US. Figures 8 and 9 display the correlation between the November SST over the entire Pacific and the following DJF mean daily rainfall anomalies averaged over the SE US in the CMIP5 models. The figures depict the SST in November from which region of the Pacific Ocean has a closer relationship with the following winter precipitation over the SE US in each CMIP5 model. In addition, the figures enable us to deduce the shift of the region where the strong relationship exists in the historical run under the global warming scenario, RCP8.5. In general, the positive correlation in the tropical central and eastern Pacific is flanked by negative correlation to the northwest and southwest in both the historical and RCP8.5 runs of all CMIP5 models. Interestingly, the reverse correlation pattern in CSIRO-Mk3-6-0 (Figure 8(b)), the closest relationship of the SST over the western Pacific with the SE US precipitation during wintertime, is evident. Higher correlation regions are shifted westward, mainly covering the Niño 4 and Niño 3.4 regions in the RCP8.5 run of GFDL-ESM2G.

3.3. SST Simulation in Past and Future Climate. The possible reason that many coupled climate models have exhibited unrealistic teleconnections between ENSO and extratropical circulation patterns is attributed to having poorly represented physical processes in the ENSO region in the models [26, 27]. Therefore, the CMIP5 models’ performance of simulating the SST over the equatorial Pacific Ocean can also result in different climate impacts on the remote regions, especially the SE US. There have been numerous studies that examined the ability of CMIP5 models to simulate ENSO by comparing equatorial SST mean, standard deviation of Niño indices, ENSO spectral characteristics, and occurrence of ENSO events [28–32].

In this section, the SST over the equatorial Pacific Ocean in the CMIP5 models is further examined by comparing skewness of the SST from the historical run of CMIP5 models to that of the observed SST to reveal the reason for the models simulating different teleconnection patterns.

Figure 10 shows the skewness of November Niño indices, such as Niño 3, Niño 3.4, and Niño 4, derived from the observed ERSST from 1984 to 2010. ERSST displays decrease in skewness when moving from east to west across the equatorial Pacific. There exists the strongest positive skewness exceeding 0.6 over the Niño 3 region, indicating the warm SST anomalies are more probable than cold SST anomalies. It also shows the weakest positive skewness over the western Pacific. Although skewness of Niño indices is sensitive to the period selected, the feature of decreasing skewness from east to west across the Pacific is consistent with previous studies [23, 33].

By comparing the skewness of Niño indices obtained from the CMIP5 models to the observation shown in Figure 10, we can investigate which model has the highest credibility. Looking at the left panel in Figure 11, we can see that only the MIROC5 shows a pattern of decreasing skewness in positive sign when going from east to west across the equatorial Pacific consistent with the observation. The CCSM4 and GFDL-ESM2M have smaller positive skewness of SST over Niño 3.4 region than over Niño 3 region, but negative skewness over Niño 4 region. The NorESM1-M also has smaller positive skewness of SST over Niño 3.4 region than over Niño 3 region; however, it has relatively large negative skewness of SST over Niño 4 region, indicating that cold SST anomaly is dominant. On the other hand, the CSIRO-Mk3-6-0 and MPI-ESM-LR show a reverse pattern: skewness of SST in positive sign increases from east to west across the Pacific. Consequently, the reverse correlation
Figure 5: The 75th percentile of DJF mean daily precipitation anomalies (mm/day) distribution of El Niño ((a)–(d)), neutral ((e)–(h)), and La Niña ((i)–(l)) years in historical run of CMIP5 models 1–4. DJF mean daily precipitation is shown in the right column.
pattern in CSIRO-Mk3-6-0 shown in Figure 8(b), the closest relationship of the SST over the western Pacific with the SE US precipitation, seems to be related to the reversed pattern of skewness. MPI-ESM-LR shows a small portion of rainfall from Florida in terms of the total portion of precipitation over the SE US (Figure 4(a)), which is inconsistent with the observation. It is noteworthy that degree of positive skewness of SST over all three Niño regions is similar in the GFDL-ESM2G reported as the model with weak ENSO realization [24]. GFDL-ESM2G shows not only the weakest relationship between the SST over Niño 3 and the winter precipitation over the SE US but also reduced explained variance over the

Figure 6: Same as Figure 5 but for CMIP5 models 5–8.
Figure 7: Difference of 75th percentile of DJF mean daily precipitation anomalies (mm/day) between RCP8.5 and historical run for El Niño ((a)–(d) and (i)–(l)) and La Niña ((e)–(h) and (m)–(p)).
Figure 8: Ensemble member averaged correlation between the November SST and the DJF mean daily rainfall anomaly averaged over the SE US for CMIP5 models 1–4 (historical run: (a)–(d), RCP8.5 run: (e)–(h)). Locations of the Niño 3, 3.4, and 4 regions are marked with rectangular box from left, middle, and right, respectively. The 0.4 contour is thickened for better comparison.
Figure 9: Same as Figure 8, but for CMIP5 models 5–8.
Florida peninsula, differing from the observation (Figures 2 and 3).

Although the SST from the historical run of CMIP5 models in this study shows different characteristics of skewness from those observed in Figure 10, the models tend to maintain the overall feature of skewness from the historical run in the future simulation. Five of the eight models show a decrease in the skewness of SST over the Niño 3 region in the RCP8.5. Moreover, the positive skewness over the Niño 3 region in the historical runs changes to negative in the RCP8.5.

4. Conclusion and Discussion

In this study, we inquire whether the current teleconnection characteristics between winter precipitation over the SE US and the tropical Pacific SST will persist in the future under the global warming scenario simulated by current state-of-the-art climate models. The credibility of CMIP5 models regarding the simulation of the regional scale climate variability is validated by applying the robust teleconnection feature to the assessment in order to obtain confidence in future climate prediction. We find out that most of the 8 models selected in this study show a positive correlation between the November Niño 3 SST and the DJF mean daily precipitation anomalies over the SE US, consistent with the observation. Although the global models in the CMIP5 are capable of simulating the regional response of the teleconnection, it is of interest to note that one partial change in the model could contribute to different teleconnection patterns.

In the RCP8.5 run, all of the models maintain the positive and slightly increased correlation patterns. However, the degree of change in the relationship differs from domains under the climate change scenario, RCP8.5. Whereas the SST over Niño 3 region accounts for the largest portion of variance...
of rainfall in Florida in the observation as well as in the historical run of the models (NorESM1-M, MIROC5, GFDL-ESM2M, GFDL-CM3, and CCSM4), the models have an increased portion of variance in Alabama and Georgia in the RCP8.5 regarding the winter precipitation that is attributed to the SST over Niño 3 region.

To analyze the impact of ENSO on the wintertime extreme rainfall over the SE US, we focus on the 75th percentile from the right tail of DJF daily rainfall anomaly distribution in terms of ENSO phases. The greater value of the 75th percentile of rainfall for El Niño is mostly found over Florida, differing from the DJF mean daily precipitation pattern in which maximum DJF mean daily rainfall area is mostly located over the northern SE US, spanning Alabama and Georgia. In the same way, the smaller value of the 75th percentile of rainfall for La Niña is mostly located over Florida. The models that show a greater correlation coefficient in the RCP8.5 than in the historical run have heavier rainfall during El Niño events in the future run. It is noteworthy that the increase in extreme rainfall amount during El Niño events tends to be dominant over the northern regions of the SE US, including Alabama and Georgia under the climate change.

We have found that the CMIP5 models’ performance of simulating the SST over the equatorial Pacific Ocean affects the climate impact on the SE US. By comparing the skewness of Niño indices obtained from the historical run of CMIP5 models to that of the observation, we assessed which model has the highest credibility. It turns out that the models with poor realization of skewness, such as CSIRO-Mk3-6-0, GFDL-ESM2G, and MPI-ESM-LR, fail to simulate the realistic teleconnection pattern and have lower confidence in future climate prediction.

We also have shown the northward shift of the ENSO teleconnection pattern over the SE US. This finding refines the results of previous studies on the change of the teleconnections over the North Pacific and North America associated with El Niño events in a future warmer climate [16, 34, 35]. The change could be attributed not only to changes in the amplitude and the location of the convection center of the El Niño events themselves but also to an altered base-state, midlatitude atmospheric circulation due to the increase of greenhouse gases. Furthermore, rainfall is the economically important climate variable for the SE US, a region of major agricultural production. The projected RCP8.5 teleconnections between ENSO and precipitation patterns found in this study will provide farmers in the SE US northern regions (Alabama and Georgia) with additional knowledge about the projected seasonal climate in the region. According to the RCP8.5 projections regarding the strength of the studied teleconnection, yield predictability of corn [35], cotton [36], peanuts [37], and citrus [38], among the main crops in the regions, will increase and allow farmers in the region to make better climate based decisions.
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